# Classification of Local Singularities on Torus Curves of Type (2,5) 

Masayuki KAWASHIMA<br>Tokyo University of Science<br>(Communicated by M. Guest)


#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider curves of degree 10 of torus type (2,5), $C:=\left\{f_{5}(x, y)^{2}+f_{2}(x, y)^{5}=0\right\}$. Assume that $f_{2}(0,0)=f_{5}(0,0)=0$. Then $O=(0,0)$ is a singular point of $C$ which is called an inner singularity. In this paper, we give a topological classification of singularities of $(C, O)$.


## 1. Introduction

A plane curve $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is called a curve of torus type $(p, q)$ if there is a defining polynomial $F$ of $C$ which can be written as $F=F_{p}^{q}+F_{q}^{p}$, where $F_{p}, F_{q}$ are homogeneous polynomials of $X, Y, Z$ of degree $p$ and $q$ respectively. In [6], D.T. Pho classified the local and global configurations of the singularities of sextics of torus type $(2,3)$. In this paper, we will classify local inner singularities of torus type $(2,5)$. We assume $C$ is a reduced curve. Using affine coordinates $x=X / Z, y=Y / Z, C$ is defined as

$$
C:=\left\{f_{2}(x, y)^{5}+f_{5}(x, y)^{2}=0\right\}
$$

where $f_{2}(x, y)=F_{2}(x, y, 1), f_{5}(x, y)=F_{5}(x, y, 1)$. Put $C_{2}:=\left\{f_{2}(x, y)=0\right\}$ and $C_{5}:=$ $\left\{f_{5}(x, y)=0\right\}$. We assume that the origin $O=(0,0)$ is an intersection point of $C_{2}$ and $C_{5}$ and $O$ is an isolated singularity of $C$. We classify the topological types of the local singularity ( $C, O$ ) following the method of [6]. If $f_{2}(x, y)=-\ell(x, y)^{2}$ for some linear form $\ell$, the curve $C$ is called a linear torus curve and it consists of two quintics, as $f_{2}^{5}+f_{5}^{2}=\left(f_{5}+\ell^{5}\right)\left(f_{5}-\ell^{5}\right)$.

First we recall the following notation.

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{n}: x^{n+1}+y^{2}=0 \quad(n \geq 1), \\
D_{n}: x^{n-1}+x y^{2}=0 \quad(n \geq 4), \\
E_{6}: x^{3}+y^{4}=0, \quad E_{7}: x^{3}+x y^{3}=0, \quad E_{8}: x^{3}+y^{5}=0, \\
B_{n, m}: x^{n}+y^{m}=0 \quad \text { (Brieskorn-Pham type) } .
\end{gathered}
$$
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In the case $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)>1$, the equation of $B_{n, m}$ can contain other monomials on the Newton boundary. For example, $B_{2,4}$ has the form $C_{t}: x^{2}+t x y^{2}+y^{4}=0$, for $t \neq \pm 2$. The germ $\left(C_{t}, O\right)$ is topologically equivalent to $B_{2,4}$ (Oka [2]). In this notation, $A_{n}=B_{n+1,2}$ and $E_{6}=B_{3,4}$.

We remark that every non-degenerate singularity in the sense of the Newton boundary is a union of Brieskorn-Pham type singularities. For example, $C_{p, q}: x^{p}+x^{2} y^{2}+y^{q}, p, q \geq$ $4, p+q \geq 9$ is the union of two singularities: $x^{p-2}+y^{2}=0$ and $x^{2}+y^{q-2}=0$. So we introduce the notation: $B_{p-2,2} \circ B_{2, q-2}$ to express $C_{p, q}$.

For the classification, we use the local intersection multiplicity $\iota:=I\left(C_{2}, C_{5} ; O\right)$ effectively. The complete classifications is given by Theorem 1 in §5.

This paper consists of the following sections:
§1. Introduction.
§2. Preliminaries.
§3. Some lemmas for torus curves of type ( $p, q$ ).
§4. Calculation of the local singularities.
§5. The classification.
§6. Linear torus curves of type $(2,5)$.
§7. Appendix.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Toric modification. Throughout this paper, we follow the notation of Oka [4]. First we recall a toric modification. Let

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & \beta \\
\gamma & \delta
\end{array}\right)
$$

be a unimodular integral $2 \times 2$ matrix. We associate $\sigma$ with a birational morphism $\pi_{\sigma}$ : $\mathbf{C}^{* 2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{* 2}$ by $\pi_{\sigma}(x, y)=\left(x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}, x^{\gamma} y^{\delta}\right)$. If $\alpha, \gamma \geq 0$ (respectively. $\beta, \delta \geq 0$ ), this map can be extended to $x=0$ (resp. $y=0$ ). Note that the morphisms $\left\{\pi_{\sigma} \mid \sigma\right.$ : unimodular\} satisfy the equalities: $\pi_{\sigma} \circ \pi_{\tau}=\pi_{\sigma \tau}$ and $\left(\pi_{\sigma}\right)^{-1}=\pi_{\sigma^{-1}}$.

Let $N$ be a free $\mathbf{Z}$-module of rank two with a fixed basis $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}\right\}$. Through this basis, we identify $N_{\mathbf{R}}:=N \otimes \mathbf{R}$ with $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. Thus $N$ can be understood as the set of integral points in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. We denote a vector in $N$ by a column vector. Hereafter we fix two special vectors $E_{1}={ }^{t}(1,0)$ and $E_{2}={ }^{t}(0,1)$. Let $N^{+}$be the space of positive vectors of $N$. Let $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}$ be given positive primitive integral vectors in $N^{+}$. Let $P_{i}={ }^{t}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ and assume that $\operatorname{det}\left(P_{i}, P_{i+1}\right)>0$ for each $i=0, \ldots, m$. Here $P_{0}=E_{1}, P_{m+1}=E_{2}$. We associate $\left\{P_{0}, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m+1}\right\}$ with a simplicial cone subdivision $\Sigma^{*}$ of $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ which has $m+1$ cones of dimension two Cone $\left(P_{i}, P_{i+1}\right), i=0, \ldots, m$ where

$$
\operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{i}, P_{i+1}\right):=\left\{t P_{i}+s P_{i+1} \mid t, s \geq 0\right\} .
$$

We call $\left\{P_{0}, \ldots, P_{m+1}\right\}$ the vertices of $\Sigma^{*}$. We say that $\Sigma^{*}$ is a regular simplicial cone subdivision of $N^{+}$if $\operatorname{det}\left(P_{i}, P_{i+1}\right)=1$ for each $i=0, \ldots, m$.

Assume that $\Sigma^{*}$ is a given regular simplicial cone subdivision with vertices $\left\{P_{0}\right.$, $\left.P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m+1}\right\},\left(P_{0}=E_{1}, P_{m+1}=E_{2}\right)$ and put $P_{i}={ }^{t}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$. For each Cone $\left(P_{i}, P_{i+1}\right)$, we associate the unimodular matrix $\sigma_{i}$ where

$$
\sigma_{i}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{i} & a_{i+1} \\
b_{i} & b_{i+1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We identify $\operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{i}, P_{i+1}\right)$ with the unimodular matrix $\sigma_{i}$. Let $(x, y)$ be a fixed system of coordinates of $\mathbf{C}^{2}$. Then we consider, for each $\sigma_{i}$, an affine space $\mathbf{C}_{\sigma_{i}}^{2}$ of dimension two with coordinates ( $x_{\sigma_{i}}, y_{\sigma_{i}}$ ) and the birational map $\pi_{\sigma_{i}}: \mathbf{C}_{\sigma_{i}}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$. First we consider the disjoint union of $\mathbf{C}_{\sigma_{i}}^{2}$ for $i=0, \ldots, m$ and we define the variety $X$ as the quotient of this union by the following identification. Two points $\left(x_{\sigma_{i}}, y_{\sigma_{i}}\right) \in \mathbf{C}_{\sigma_{i}}^{2}$ and $\left(x_{\sigma_{j}}, y_{\sigma_{j}}\right) \in \mathbf{C}_{\sigma_{j}}^{2}$ are identified if and only if the birational map $\pi_{\sigma_{j}-1}$ is well defined at the point $\left(x_{\sigma_{i}}, y_{\sigma_{i}}\right)$ and $\pi_{\sigma_{j}-1} \sigma_{i}\left(x_{\sigma_{i}}, y_{\sigma_{i}}\right)=\left(x_{\sigma_{j}}, y_{\sigma_{j}}\right)$. It can be easily checked that $X$ is non-singular and the maps $\left\{\pi_{\sigma_{i}}: \mathbf{C}_{\sigma_{i}}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2} \mid 0 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ glue into a proper analytic map $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$.

Definition 1. The map $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ is called the toric modification associated with $\left\{\Sigma^{*},(x, y), O\right\}$ where $\Sigma^{*}$ is a regular simplicial cone subdivision of $N^{+}$and $(x, y)$ is a coordinate system of $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ centered at the origin $O$.

Recall that this modification has the following properties.
(1) $\left\{\mathbf{C}_{\sigma_{i}}^{2},\left(x_{\sigma_{i}}, y_{\sigma_{i}}\right)\right\},(0 \leq i \leq m)$ give coordinate charts of $X$ and we call them the toric coordinate charts of $X$.
(2) Two affine divisors $\left\{y_{\sigma_{i-1}}=0\right\} \subset \mathbf{C}_{\sigma_{i-1}}^{2}$ and $\left\{x_{\sigma_{i}}=0\right\} \subset \mathbf{C}_{\sigma_{i}}^{2}$ are glued together to make a compact divisor isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. We denote this divisor by $\hat{E}\left(P_{i}\right)$.
(3) $\pi^{-1}(O)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \hat{E}\left(P_{i}\right)$ and $\pi: X-\pi^{-1}(O) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}-\{O\}$ is an isomorphism. The non-compact divisor $x_{\sigma_{0}}=0$ (respectively $y_{\sigma_{m}}=0$ ) is isomorphically mapped onto the divisor $x=0$ (resp. $y=0$ ).
(4) $\hat{E}\left(P_{i}\right) \cap \hat{E}\left(P_{j}\right) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i-j= \pm 1$. If $i-j= \pm 1$, they intersect transversely at a point.
2.2. Toric modification with respect to an analytic function. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of germs of analytic functions at the origin. Recall that $\mathcal{O}$ is isomorphic to the ring of convergent power series in $x, y$. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}$ be a germ of a complex analytic function and suppose that $f(O)=0$. Let $f(x, y)=\sum c_{i, j} x^{i} y^{j}$ be the Taylor expansion of $f$ at the origin. We assume that $f(x, y)$ is reduced as a germ. The Newton polygon $\Gamma_{+}(f ; x, y)$ of $f$, with respect to the coordinate system $(x, y)$, is the convex hull of the union $\bigcup_{i, j}\left\{(i, j)+\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2}\right\}$ where the union is taken for $(i, j)$ such that $c_{i, j} \neq 0$ and the Newton boundary $\Gamma(f ; x, y)$ is the union of
compact faces of the Newton polygon $\Gamma_{+}(f ; x, y)$. For each compact face $\Delta$ of $\Gamma(f ; x, y)$, the face function $f_{\Delta}(x, y)$ is defined by $f_{\Delta}(x, y):=\sum_{(i, j) \in \Delta} c_{i, j} x^{i} y^{j}$.

In the space $M$ where the Newton polygon $\Gamma_{+}(f ; x, y)$ is contained, we use $\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right)$ as the coordinates. For any positive weight vector $P={ }^{t}(a, b) \in N$, we consider $P$ as a linear function on $M$ by $P\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right)=a \nu_{1}+b \nu_{2}$. We define $d(P ; f)$ to be the smallest value of the restriction of $P$ to the Newton polygon $\Gamma_{+}(f ; x, y)$ and let $\Delta(P ; f)$ be the face where $P$ takes the smallest value. For simplicity we shall write $f_{P}$ instead of $f_{\Delta(P ; f)}$. By the definition, $f_{P}$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of degree $d(P ; f)$ with the weight $P={ }^{t}(a, b)$. For each face $\Delta \in \Gamma(f ; x, y)$ there is a unique primitive integral vector $P={ }^{t}(a, b)$ such that $\Delta=\Delta(P ; f)$. The Newton boundary $\Gamma(f ; x, y)$ has a finite number of faces.


Let $\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{m}$ denote these faces and let $P_{i}={ }^{t}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ be the corresponding positive primitive integral vector, i.e., $\Delta_{i}=\Delta\left(P_{i} ; f\right)$. We call $P_{i}$ the weight vector of the face $\Delta_{i}$. Then we can factor $f_{P_{i}}(x, y)$ as

$$
f_{P_{i}}(x, y)=c x^{r_{i}} y^{s_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{k_{i}}\left(y^{a_{i}}+\gamma_{i, j} x^{b_{i}}\right)^{v_{i, j}}, \quad c \neq 0
$$

with distinct non-zero complex numbers $\gamma_{i, 1}, \ldots, \gamma_{i, k_{i}}$. We define

$$
\tilde{f}_{P_{i}}(x, y)=f_{P_{i}}(x, y) / x^{r_{i}} y^{s_{i}}=c \prod_{j=1}^{k_{i}}\left(y^{a_{i}}+\gamma_{i, j} x^{b_{i}}\right)^{v_{i, j}}
$$

The polynomial $\sum_{(i, j) \in \Gamma(f ; x, y)} c_{i, j} x^{i} y^{j}$ is called the Newton principal part of $f(x, y)$ and we denote it by $\mathcal{N}(f ; x, y)$. We say that $f(x, y)$ is convenient if the intersection $\Gamma(f ; x, y)$ with each axis is non-empty. Note that $\tilde{f}_{\Delta_{i}}(x, y)$ is always convenient. We say that $f$ is non-degenerate on a face $\Delta_{i}$ if the function $f_{\Delta_{i}}: \mathbf{C}^{* 2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ has no critical points. This is equivalent to $\nu_{i, j}=1$ for all $j=1, \ldots, k_{i}$. We say that $f$ is non-degenerate if $f$ is non-degenerate on any face $\Delta_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$.

We introduce an equivalence relation $\sim$ in $N^{+}$which is defined by $P \sim Q$ if and only if $\Delta(P ; f)=\Delta(Q ; f)$. The equivalence classes define a conical subdivision of $N^{+}$. This gives a simplicial cone subdivision of $N^{+}$with $m+2$ vertices $\left\{P_{0}, \ldots P_{m+1}\right\}$ with $P_{0}=E_{1}$, $P_{m+1}=E_{2}$. We denote this subdivision by $\Gamma^{*}(f ; x, y)$ and we call it the dual Newton
diagram of $f$ with respect to the system of coordinates $(x, y)$. The dual Newton diagram $\Gamma^{*}(f ; x, y)$ has $m+1$ cones of dimension $2, \operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{i}, P_{i+1}\right), i=0, \ldots, m$. Note that these cones are not regular in general.

DEFINITION 2. A regular simplicial cone subdivision $\Sigma^{*}$ is admissible for $f(x, y)$ if $\Sigma^{*}$ is a subdivision of $\Gamma^{*}(f ; x, y)$. The corresponding toric modification $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ is called an admissible toric modification for $f(x, y)$ with respect to the system of coordinates $(x, y)$.

There exists a unique canonical regular simplicial cone subdivision (Lemma 3.3 of [3]). We call the corresponding toric modification the canonical toric modification with respect to $f(x, y)$. Let $C$ be a germ of a reduced curve defined by $f(x, y)=0$ and let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ be a good resolution. Recall that the dual graph of the resolution is defined as follows. Let $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{r}$ be the exceptional divisors and put $\pi^{*} f^{-1}(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{i} E_{i}+\sum_{j}^{s} \widetilde{C_{j}}$. To each $E_{i}$, we associate a vertex $v_{i}$ of $\mathcal{G}(\pi)$ denoted by a black circle. We give an edge joining $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ if $E_{i} \cap E_{j} \neq \emptyset$. For the extended dual graph $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(\pi)$, we add vertices $w_{i}$ to each irreducible components $C_{i}, i=1, \ldots, s$ and we join $w_{j}$ and $v_{i}$ if $E_{i} \cap C_{j} \neq \emptyset$ by a dotted arrow line. It is also important to remember the multiplicities of $\pi^{*} f$ along $E_{i}$, which we denote by $m_{i}$. So we put weights $m_{i}$ to each vertex and call $\mathcal{G}(\pi)$ with weight the weighted dual graph of the resolution $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$.

Example 1. Consider the curve $C:=\left\{y^{2}-x^{3}=0\right\}$. The Newton boundary consists of one face $\Delta$. Then $P={ }^{t}(2,3)$ is the weight vector corresponding to the face $\Delta$. The dual Newton diagram $\Gamma^{*}(f ; x, y)$ has three vertices $\left\{E_{1}, P, E_{2}\right\}$. We take a regular simplicial cone subdivision $\Sigma^{*}$ of $\Gamma^{*}(f ; x, y)$ and we consider an admissible toric modification $\pi$ : $X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ associated to $\left\{\Sigma^{*},(x, y), O\right\}$. Vertices of $\Sigma^{*}$ are $\left\{E_{1}, T_{1}, P, T_{2}, E_{2}\right\}$ where $T_{1}=$ ${ }^{t}(1,1)$ and $T_{2}={ }^{t}(1,2)$ are new vertices which are added to $\Gamma^{*}(f ; x, y)$ to make $\Sigma^{*}$ regular.

The proper transform $\widetilde{C}$ intersects transversely with the exceptional divisor $\hat{E}(P)$ at 1point. Take a system of toric coordinates $(u, v)$ which corresponds to $\operatorname{Cone}\left(P, T_{2}\right)$ so that $u=0$ defines $\hat{E}(P)$ and let $\xi$ be the intersection point. Then $\widetilde{C}$ is defined by $v-1=0$ and $\widetilde{C}$ is smooth at $\xi$. We have $\left(\pi^{*} f\right)=2 \hat{E}\left(T_{1}\right)+6 \hat{E}(P)+3 \hat{E}\left(T_{2}\right)+\widetilde{C}$.
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## 3. Some lemmas for torus curves of type $(p, q)$

3.1. Notation. Throughout this paper, we use the same notation as in [2], unless otherwise stated. We also use the fact that the topological equivalence class of a non-degenerate germ depends only on its Newton boundary (Theorem 2.1 of [2]). In the process of the classification of the topological type of inner singularities of a torus curve of type (2.5), we have the following possibilities:
(1) $(C, O)$ is non-degenerate and the Newton boundary has one face,
(2) ( $C, O$ ) is non-degenerate (in some local coordinate system) but the Newton boundary has several faces, or
(3) $(C, O)$ has some degenerate faces for any choice of coordinates $(x, y)$.

To make the expression of these singularity classes simpler, we introduce some notation. The class of singularities (1) can be expressed by the class of $B_{n, m}$ :

$$
B_{n, m}: \quad x^{n}+y^{m}=0 .
$$

The class of singularities (2) can be understood as a union of singularities of type (1). For example, $x^{n}+x^{2} y^{2}+y^{m}=0$ is topologically equivalent to $\left(x^{n-2}+y^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{m-2}\right)=0$ and thus we denote this class by $B_{n-2,2} \circ B_{2, m-2}$, as is already introduced in $\S 1$. The last class (3) is most complicated. For example, we consider the singularity germ $(C, O)$ which is defined by $f(x, y)=\left(\lambda x^{3}+y^{2}\right)^{2}+x^{3} y^{3}$. Then $\mathcal{N}(f ; x, y)=\left(\lambda x^{3}+y^{2}\right)^{2}$ and $\Gamma(f ; x, y)$ consists of one face $\Delta$ with the weight vector $P={ }^{t}(2,3)$ and $f$ is degenerate on $\Delta$. We take a regular simplicial subdivision $\Sigma^{*}$ as in Example 1 and we take a toric modification $\pi_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$. Then we can see that $\widetilde{C_{1}}$ intersects transversely with $\hat{E}(P)$ at $\xi_{1}=(0,-\lambda)$ in the system of the toric coordinates $(u, v)$ corresponding to $\operatorname{Cone}\left(P, T_{2}\right)$ and $\hat{E}(P)=\{u=0\}$ with multiplicity 12 . (Figure 2 ).

To express the strict transform $\widetilde{C}$ at $\xi_{1}$, we choose the coordinate $\left(u, v_{1}\right)$ where $v_{1}=$ $v+\lambda$. We call these coordinates $\left(u, v_{1}\right)$ the translated toric coordinates for $\left(\widetilde{C}, \xi_{1}\right)$. Now we find that $\left(\widetilde{C}, \xi_{1}\right)$ is defined by $B_{3,2}: v_{1}^{2}-\lambda u^{3}+($ higher terms $)=0$ where $u=0$ defines the exceptional divisor which contains $\xi_{1}$. Observe that $\hat{E}(P)$ is defined by $u=0$ and the tangent cone of $\widetilde{C}$ is $v_{1}^{2}=0$. Thus the tangent cone is transverse to $\hat{E}(P)$ at $\xi_{1}$. Again we take a toric blow-up $\pi_{2}: X_{2} \rightarrow\left(X_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)$. This is essentially the same as the one for the cusp singularity $v_{1}^{2}-\lambda u^{3}=0$. As $\pi_{1}^{*} f(0)$ is non-degenerate in $\left(u, v_{1}\right), \pi_{2}$ gives a good resolution of $\left(\widetilde{C}, \xi_{1}\right)$ and therefore the composition $\pi_{1} \circ \pi_{2}: X_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ gives a good resolution of $(C, O)$. The
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resolution graph is simply obtained by adding a bamboo for this blowing up (See (1) in Figure 3 ). We denote this class of singularity by $\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{3,2}}$.

Sometimes, we need to take a coordinate change of the type ( $u, v_{2}$ ) where $v_{2}=v_{1}+$ $h(u)$ for some polynomial $h(u)$. The important point here is that we do not change the first coordinate $u$, as it defines the exceptional divisor $\hat{E}(P)$. We call such a coordinate system $\left(u, v_{2}\right)$ admissible translated toric coordinates at $\xi_{1}$.

Example 2. Consider $f(x, y)=\left(y^{5}-x y^{2}+x^{2} y+x^{5}\right)^{2}+(x-2 y)^{5}(x-3 y)^{5}$. Then $\mathcal{N}(f ; x, y)=-31 y^{10}-2 x y^{7}+x^{2} y^{2}(x-y)^{2}+2 x^{7} y+2 x^{10}$ and $\Gamma(f ; x, y)$ consists of three faces $\Delta_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ with weight vectors $P_{1}={ }^{t}(3,1), P_{2}={ }^{t}(1,1)$ and $P_{3}={ }^{t}(1,3)$. Note that $f(x, y)$ is degenerate on $\Delta\left(P_{2} ; f\right)$. By adding vertices $T_{1}={ }^{t}(2,1)$ and $T_{2}={ }^{t}(1,2)$, we get the canonical regular subdivision. We take the associated toric modification and we can easily see that the strict transform $\widetilde{C}$ splits into three germs $\widetilde{C_{1}}, \widetilde{C_{2}}$ and $\widetilde{C_{3}}$ so that for $i=1,3$, $\widetilde{C}_{i}$ intersects transversely with the exceptional divisor $\hat{E}\left(P_{i}\right)$ at two points and $\widetilde{C}_{i}$ is smooth at these points. (Thus $\widetilde{C_{1}}$ and $\widetilde{C_{3}}$ are the union of two irreducible components of $C$.) The germ $\widetilde{C_{2}}$ which intersects with $\hat{E}\left(P_{2}\right)$ is still singular and intersects with $\hat{E}\left(P_{2}\right)$ transversely at $\xi_{1}=(0,1)$ in the toric coordinate $(u, v)$ corresponding to Cone $\left(P_{2}, T_{2}\right)$. Thus taking the translated toric coordinate $\left(u, v_{1}\right), v_{1}=v-1$ at $\xi_{1}$, we can write the defining equation of $\widetilde{C_{2}}$ as $v_{1}^{2}-4 u^{2} v_{1}-239 u^{4}+$ (higher terms) $=0$, while the exceptional divisor $\hat{E}\left(P_{2}\right)$ is defined by $u=0$. Note that $\left(\widetilde{C_{2}}, \xi_{1}\right)=B_{4,2}$. Thus $\pi_{1}^{*} f$ is non-degenerate and we need one more toric modification centered at $\xi_{1}$. Then the resolution graph is given by (2) of Figure 3. We denote this class of singularity as $B_{6,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,6}$.


Figure 3
3.2. Some lemmas for general torus curves. First we prepare some lemmas for the general torus curves of type $(p, q)$. Let $C=\{f=0\}$ be a curve of torus type $(p, q)$ which can be written as $f=f_{q}^{p}+f_{p}^{q}$ where $f_{p}$ and $f_{q}$ are polynomial of degree $p$ and $q$ respectively. We assume that $p \geq q \geq 2$. We put $C_{q}:=\left\{f_{q}=0\right\}$ and $C_{p}:=\left\{f_{p}=0\right\}$. Suppose that $O \in C_{q} \cap C_{p}$ and let $\iota$ be the local intersection multiplicity $I\left(C_{p}, C_{q} ; O\right)$. We recall the following key lemmas. Hereafter we denote the tangent cone of $C_{p}$ at $O$ by $T_{O} C_{p}$.

Lemma 1 (Lemma 1 of [1]). Suppose that $C_{p}$ is non-singular at $O$. Then the singularity $(C, O)$ is topologically equivalent to the Brieskorn-Pham singularity $B_{p \iota, q}$.

Lemma 2 (Lemma 4.3 of [5]). Suppose that $\left(C_{p}, O\right)$ is singular with multiplicity $m$ and $\left(C_{q}, O\right)$ is smooth. If $C_{q}$ intersects transversely with $C_{p}$ and $p<q m$, the singularity $(C, O)$ is topologically equivalent to $B_{m q, p}$.

Lemma 3. Suppose that $\left(C_{p}, O\right)$ is singular with multiplicity $m$ and $T_{O} C_{p}$ consist of $m$ distinct lines. Then $C_{p}$ consists of $m$ smooth components at $O$. Consider the local factorization $f_{p}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} g_{i}$.
(1) Suppose that $C_{q}$ is smooth at $O$ and $p<q m$.
(a) If $\iota<\frac{p}{p-q}(m-1)$, then $(C, O) \sim B_{q \iota, p}$.
(b) If $\iota>\frac{p}{p-q}(m-1)$, then $(C, O) \sim B_{\beta, q} \circ B_{q(m-1), p-q}$ where $\beta=p(\iota-$ $m+1)-q(m-1)$.
(c) If $\iota=\frac{p}{p-q}(m-1)$ and the coefficients are generic, then $(C, O) \sim B_{q \iota, p}$.
(2) Suppose that $m=2, C_{q}$ is smooth and $p>2 q$. Then $(C, O) \sim B_{p(t-1)-q, q} \circ$ $B_{q, p-q}$.
(3) Suppose that $\left(C_{q}, O\right)$ is singular with multiplicity 2 and $T_{O} C_{q}$ consists of two distinct lines and let $f_{q}=h_{1} h_{2}$ be the local factorization. Put $\ell_{i}=\left\{h_{i}=0\right\}, i=$ 1, 2. We assume that $g_{1}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=x_{2}, g_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=y_{2}$ for a local coordinate system $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ and

$$
I\left(\ell_{1}, g_{i} ; O\right)=1, \quad(i \neq 1) \quad I\left(\ell_{2}, g_{j} ; O\right)=1, \quad(j \neq 2)
$$

Put $\nu_{1}=I\left(\ell_{1}, g_{1} ; O\right)$ and $\nu_{2}=I\left(\ell_{2}, g_{2} ; O\right)$. Assume that $2 p>q m$. Then
$(C, O) \sim B_{\beta_{1}, 2} \circ\left(B_{m-2, m-2}^{q}\right)^{(m-2) B_{2 p-q m, q}} \circ B_{2, \beta_{2}}, \quad \beta_{i}=p\left(\nu_{i}+1\right)-q(m-1)$.
Proof. By the assumption, $T_{O} C_{p}$ consists of $m$ distinct lines. This implies $\left(C_{p}, O\right)$ has $m$ smooth components which are transverse with each other.

First we consider the case (1). First we take a local coordinate system $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ so that $C_{q}$ is defined by $y_{1}=0$. Let $f_{p}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{m} g_{i}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ be the factorization in $\mathcal{O}$ such that $g_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=y_{1}+\alpha_{1} x_{1}^{v}+$ (higher terms) and $g_{i}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=y_{1}-\alpha_{i} x_{1}+$ (higher terms) with $\alpha_{i} \neq 0(2 \leq i \leq m)$. In this expression, we have $\iota=v+m-1$. In the case of (a): $\iota<\frac{p}{p-q}(m-a)$, the Newton boundary of $f_{p}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)^{q}, f_{q}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)^{p}$ are on the left hand side of Figure 4. Thus it is easy to see that $f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ is non-degenerate in this system of coordinates and we have $(C, O) \sim B_{q \iota, p}$.

In the case of $(b): \iota>\frac{p}{p-q}(m-a), f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ are degenerate in this coordinate. We take another coordinate: $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ with $x_{2}=x_{1}, y_{2}=g_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ so that $y_{2} \mid f_{p}$. Then in these coordinates $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$, the Newton boundaries of $f_{p}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ and $f_{q}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ are given on the right hand side of Figure 4 so that $f\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ are now non-degenerate and the assertion follows.


Figure 4

For the proof of (2), we take a local coordinate system so that $f_{p}(x, y)=c x_{1} y_{1}$ where $c \neq 0$. Then we may assume that $f_{q}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=y_{1}+c^{\prime} x^{l-1}+$ (higher terms). Then the assertion is immediate from the Newton boundary argument.

Next we consider the case (3). We have chosen a local coordinate system ( $x_{2}, y_{2}$ ) so that $g_{1}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=c x_{2}(c \neq 0)$ and $g_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=y_{2}$. Put $g_{i}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=y_{2}-\alpha_{i} x_{2}+$ (higher terms) ( $3 \leq i \leq m$ ). By the assumption, we can write

$$
h_{1}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=x_{2}+d_{1} y_{2}^{\nu_{1}}+(\text { higher terms }), \quad h_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=y_{2}+d_{2} x_{2}^{\nu_{2}}+(\text { higher terms })
$$

with $d_{1}, d_{2} \neq 0$. Then the Newton principal part of $f$ can be written as:

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(f, x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=d_{1}^{p} y_{2}^{p\left(v_{1}+1\right)}+c^{q} x_{2}^{q} y_{2}^{q} \prod_{i=3}^{m}\left(y_{2}-\alpha_{i} x_{2}\right)^{q}+d_{2}^{p} x_{2}^{p\left(v_{2}+1\right)}
$$

The Newton boundary of $f$ consists of three faces $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$ and $\Delta_{3}$ and $f$ is non-degenerate on $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{3}$ but degenerate on $\Delta_{2}$. We take an admissible toric blowing-up $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ with respect to some regular simplicial cone subdivision $\Sigma^{*}=\left\{P_{0}, \ldots, P_{k}\right\}$. Assume that $P_{\gamma}={ }^{t}(1,1)$, the weight vector of the homogeneous face $\Delta_{2}$ and put $P_{\gamma+1}={ }^{t}(n, n+1)$. Then the pull-back of $f_{p}$ and $f_{q}$ to the coordinate chart $\left(\mathbf{C}_{\sigma}^{2},\left(u_{\gamma}, v_{\gamma}\right)\right)$ with $\sigma=\operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{\gamma}, P_{\gamma+1}\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{\sigma}^{*} f_{p}\left(u_{\gamma}, v_{\gamma}\right)=u_{\gamma}^{m} v_{\gamma} \prod_{i=3}^{m}\left\{g_{i}\left(u_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{n}, u_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{n+1}\right) / u_{\gamma}\right\} \\
& \pi_{\sigma}^{*} f_{q}\left(u_{\gamma}, v_{\gamma}\right)=u_{\gamma}^{2}\left(h_{1}\left(u_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{n}, u_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{n+1}\right) / u_{\gamma}\right) \times\left(h_{2}\left(u_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{n}, u_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{n+1}\right) / u_{\gamma}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{i}\left(u_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{n}, u_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{n+1}\right) / u_{\gamma} \equiv v_{\gamma}-\alpha_{i} \quad \bmod u_{\gamma} \\
& h_{1}\left(u_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{n}, u_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{n+1}\right) / u_{\gamma} \equiv 1 \quad \bmod u_{\gamma} \\
& h_{2}\left(u_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{n}, u_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}^{n+1}\right) / u_{\gamma} \equiv v_{\gamma} \quad \bmod u_{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{\sigma}^{*}\left(f\left(u_{\gamma}, v_{\gamma}\right)\right) & =u_{\gamma}^{q m}\left(\bar{f}_{p}^{q}\left(u_{\gamma}, v_{\gamma}\right)+u_{\gamma}^{2 p-q m} \bar{f}_{q}^{p}\left(u_{\gamma}, v_{\gamma}\right)\right) \\
& =u_{\gamma}^{q m}\left(\prod_{i=3}^{m}\left(v_{\gamma}-\alpha_{i}\right)^{q}+u^{2 p-q m} \bar{f}_{q}\left(u_{\gamma}, v_{\gamma}\right)+(\text { higher terms })\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\bar{f}_{q}\left(\alpha_{i}, 0\right) \neq 0$. We put $\xi_{i}=\left(0, \alpha_{i}\right)$ and we take local coordinates $\left(u_{\gamma}, v_{\gamma, i}\right)$ at $\xi_{i}$ with $v_{\gamma, i}=v_{\gamma}-\alpha_{i}$. The above expression implies $\left(\widetilde{C}, \xi_{i}\right) \sim B_{2 p-q m, q}$.

The assertion (1) of Lemma 3 can be generalized for the case where $T_{O} C_{p}$ may have some factors with multiplicity as follows.

Lemma 4. Suppose that $C_{p}$ is singular at $O$ and $C_{q}$ is smooth at $O$. Let $m$ be the multiplicity of $\left(C_{p}, O\right)$. We take a local coordinate system $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ so that $C_{q}$ is defined by $y_{1}=0$. We assume that $p<q m$ and

- either $y_{1} \not \backslash\left(f_{p}\right)_{m}$ (this implies that $C_{q}$ intersects transversely with $\left.T_{O} C_{p}\right)$, or
- $y_{1}=0$ is a simple tangent line of $T_{O} C_{p}$ (this is equivalent to $\left.y_{1} \mid\left(f_{p}\right)_{m}, y_{1}^{2} X\left(f_{p}\right)_{m}\right)$ where $\left(f_{p}\right)_{m}$ is the homogeneous part of degree $m$ of $f_{p}$.
Then we have:
(1) If $\iota<\frac{p}{p-q}(m-1)$, then $(C, O) \sim B_{q \iota, p}$.
(2) If $\iota>\frac{p}{p-q}(m-1)$, then $(C, O) \sim B_{\beta, q} \circ B_{q(m-1), p-q}$ where $\beta=p(\iota-m+$ 1) $-q(m-1)$.
(3) If $\iota=\frac{p}{p-q}(m-1)$ and the coefficients are generic, then $(C, O) \sim B_{q \iota, p}$.

PROOF. The proof is completely parallel to that of Lemma 3.

## 4. Calculation of the local singularities

We come back to our original situation of a torus curve of type $(2,5)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & =\left\{f(x, y)=f_{5}(x, y)^{2}+f_{2}(x, y)^{5}=0\right\} \\
C_{5} & =\left\{f_{5}(x, y)=0\right\}, \quad C_{2}=\left\{f_{2}(x, y)=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

First we consider the case that $C_{2}$ is reduced in Section 4 and 5. Next we consider the case of $C$ being a linear torus curve in Section 6. For the classification, we start from the following generic equations:

$$
f_{2}(x, y)=\sum_{i+j \leq 2} a_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}, \quad f_{5}(x, y)=\sum_{i+j \leq 5} b_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}
$$

Hereafter $x, y$ are the affine coordinates $x=X / Z, y=Y / Z$ on $\mathbf{C}^{2}:=\mathbf{P}^{2} \backslash\{Z=0\}$. As we assume that $C_{2}, C_{5}$ pass through the origin, we have $a_{00}=b_{00}=0$. We study the inner
singularity $O \in C_{2} \cap C_{5}$. We denote hereafter the multiplicities of $C_{2}$ and $C_{5}$ at the origin $O$ by $m_{2}$ and $m_{5}$ respectively and the intersection multiplicity $I\left(C_{2}, C_{5} ; O\right)$ of $C_{2}$ and $C_{5}$ at $O$ by $\iota$. By the Bézout theorem, we have the inequalities:

$$
1 \leq \iota \leq 10, \quad m_{2} m_{5} \leq \iota
$$

The tangent cone of $C_{p}$ at the origin is denoted by $T_{O} C_{p}$ for $p=2,5$.
For the classification of possible topological types of the singularity ( $C, O$ ), we divide the situations into the 5 cases, corresponding to the values of $m_{5}$. Then for a fixed $m_{5}$, we consider the subcases, corresponding to $\iota, m_{5} \leq \iota \leq 10$ taking the geometry of the intersection of $C_{2}$ and $C_{5}$ at $O$ into account. And each case has several subcases by type of the singularity of $\left(C_{5}, O\right)$.
(1) Case I. $m_{5}=1$. The quintic $C_{5}$ is smooth.
(2) Case II. $m_{5}=2$. We divide this case into two subcases (a) and (b) by the type of the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$.
(a) The tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of two distinct lines i.e., $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim A_{1}$.
(b) The tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a single line with multiplicity 2.
(3) Case III. $m_{5}=3$. We divide this case into three subcases by the type of the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$.
(a) The tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of three distinct lines.
(b) The tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 2 and another line.
(c) The tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a single line with multiplicity 3 .
(4) Case IV. $m_{5}=4$. We divide this case into five subcases by the type of the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$.
(a) The tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of four distinct lines.
(b) The tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 2 and two distinct lines.
(c) The tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 3 and another line.
(d) The tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a single line with multiplicity 4 .
(e) The tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of two lines with multiplicity 2.
(5) Case V. $m_{5}=5$. The quintic $C_{5}$ consists of five lines.
4.1. Case I: $m_{5}=1$. The quintic $C_{5}$ is smooth. This case is determined by Lemma 1 as follows.

Proposition 1. Suppose that $C_{5}$ is smooth at $O$ and let $\iota=I\left(C_{5}, C_{2} ; O\right)$ be the local intersection multiplicity. Then $(C, O) \sim B_{5 \iota, 2}$ for $\iota=1, \ldots, 10$.
4.2. Case II: $m_{5}=2$. We divide Case II into two subcases $(a)$ and $(b)$ by the type of $T_{O} C_{5}$.
(a) $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of two distinct lines i.e., $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim A_{1}$.
(b) $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 2 .

Case II-(a): We consider the subcase (a). In this case, we assume that $T_{O} C_{5}$ is given $x y=0$ so that $f_{5}(x, y)=x y+$ (higher terms).

PROPOSITION 2. Under the situation in (a), we have the following possibilities.
(1) Assume that the conic $C_{2}$ is smooth and $T_{O} C_{2}$ is defined by $y=0\left(a_{10}=0, a_{01} \neq\right.$ 0 ) for simplicity. Then $(C, O)$ is equivalent to one of $B_{5 \iota-7,2} \circ B_{2,3}$ for $2 \leq \iota \leq 10$.
(2) If $C_{2}$ consists of two lines $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}$ (i.e., $a_{01}=a_{10}=0$ ), the generic singularity is $B_{8,2} \circ B_{2,8}$. Further degeneration occurs when these lines are tangent to one or both tangent cones of $C_{5}$. Put $\iota_{i}=I\left(\ell_{i}, C_{5} ; O\right)$ for $i=1,2$. Then $4 \leq \iota_{1}+\iota_{2} \leq 10$ and the corresponding singularity is $B_{5 \iota_{2}-2,2} \circ B_{2,5 \iota_{1}-2}$.
Proof. Both assertions are immediate from Lemma 2 and Lemma 3
Case II-(b): We assume that $m_{5}=2$ and $T_{O} C_{5}$ is given by $L: y^{2}=0$ (with multiplicity 2 ). We divide this subcase (II-b) into two subcases: (b-1) $m_{2}=1$ and (b-2) $m_{2}=2$.
(b-1) Assume that $m_{5}=2, m_{2}=1$ and $T_{O} C_{5}$ is defined by $y^{2}=0$.
Proposition 3. Suppose that the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is a line with multiplicity 2 and $C_{2}$ is smooth. Then we have the following possibilities.
(1) If $C_{2}$ and $T_{O} C_{5}$ are transverse at $O(\iota=2)$, then $(C, O) \sim B_{5,4}$.
(2) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{3,2}$ and $\iota=3$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{3,2} \text {. }}$
(3) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{4,2}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{\left(B_{5--18,2}+B_{2,2}\right)}$ for $\iota=4, \ldots, 10$. (Here the upper ( $B_{5 \iota-18,2}+B_{2,2}$ ) implies we have two non-degenerate singularities $B_{5 \iota-18,2}, B_{2,2}$ sitting on two different points on the exceptional divisor $\widehat{E}(P), P=$ ${ }^{t}(1,2)$, after one toric modification.)
(4) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{5,2}$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim B_{10,4}$ generically and $B_{k, 2} \circ B_{5,2}, 6 \leq k \leq 15$ for $\iota=4$,
(b) $\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}}$ for $\iota=5$.
(5) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{6,2}$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim B_{10,4}$ for $\iota=4$ and
(b) $\quad(C, O) \sim\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{\left(B_{5 \iota-27,2}+B_{3,2}\right)}$ for $\iota=6, \ldots, 10$.
(6) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{7,2}$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim B_{10,4}$ for $\iota=4$ and
(b) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{7,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5 \iota-28,2}}$ for $\iota=6,7$.
(7) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{8,2}$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim B_{10,4}$ for $\iota=4$,
(b) $(C, O) \sim B_{15,4}$ for $\iota=6$,
(c) $\left(B_{8,2}^{2}\right)^{\left(B_{5-36,2}+B_{4,2}\right)}$ for $\iota=8,9,10$.
(8) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{9,2}$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim B_{10,4}$ for $\iota=4$,
(b) $(C, O) \sim B_{15,4}$ for $\iota=6$,
(c) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{9,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5 \iota-35,2}}$ for $\iota=8,9$.
(9) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{10,2}$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim B_{10,4}$ for $\iota=4$,
(b) $(C, O) \sim B_{15,4}$ for $\iota=6$,
(c) $(C, O) \sim B_{20,4}$ and $B_{k, 2} \circ B_{10,2}(k=11,12)$ for $\iota=8$,
(d) $\left(B_{10,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{5,2}}$ for $\iota=10$.
(10) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{11,2}$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim B_{10,4}$ for $\iota=4$,
(b) $(C, O) \sim B_{15,4}$ for $\iota=6$,
(c) $(C, O) \sim B_{20,4}$ for $\iota=8$,
(d) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{11,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{6,2}}$ for $\iota=10$.
(11) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{12,2}$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim B_{10,4}$ for $\iota=4$,
(b) $(C, O) \sim B_{15,4}$ for $\iota=6$,
(c) $(C, O) \sim B_{20,4}$ for $\iota=8$,
(d) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{12,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{1,2}}$ for $\iota=10$.
(12) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{13,2}$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim B_{10,4}$ for $\iota=4$,
(b) $(C, O) \sim B_{15,4}$ for $\iota=6$,
(c) $(C, O) \sim B_{20,4}$ for $\iota=8$,
(d) $(C, O) \sim B_{25,4}$ for $\iota=10$.

Proof. We can proceed with the classification mainly using the local intersection multiplicity $\iota=I\left(C_{2}, C_{5} ; O\right)$ and the geometry of $C_{2}$ and $C_{5}$. By the assumption $m_{5}=2$, we have $\iota \geq 2$. When $\iota=2, C_{2}$ intersects transversely with $T_{O} C_{5}$ at the origin, then $(C, O) \sim B_{5,4}$. Thus hereafter we assume that $\iota \geq 3$.

Assume that $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim A_{\ell-1}$. Then by taking local coordinates $\left(x, y_{1}\right)$ where $y_{1}=$ $y+c_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+c_{k-1} x^{k-1}$, we can write $f_{5}$ as

$$
f_{5}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\alpha y_{1}^{2}+\beta x^{\ell}+(\text { higher terms }), \quad \ell \geq 2(k-1), \quad \alpha, \beta \neq 0 .
$$

A simple computation shows that $\ell \leq 13$. Now we can write $f_{2}$ in this coordinates as

$$
f_{2}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\gamma y_{1}+\delta x^{\nu}+(\text { higher terms }), \quad \nu \geq 2, \quad \gamma, \delta \neq 0 .
$$

(Here $\delta=0$ if $v=\infty$, i.e., $y_{1} \mid f_{2}$.) First we notice that
$(\star): \iota \geq \min (2 \nu, \ell)$ and the equality holds except for the case $\ell=2 \nu$ and $\alpha \delta^{2}+\beta \gamma^{2}=$ 0.

We observe that

1. If $5 v<2 \ell$, then $f$ is non-degenerate in these coordinates and $(C, O) \sim B_{5 v, 4}$.
2. If $5 v=2 \ell$ (in this situation, the possible pairs of $(\nu, \ell)$ which satisfy this condition are $(\nu, \ell)=(2,5),(4,10))$, then we have $\mathcal{N}(f, x, y)=\alpha^{2} y_{1}^{4}+2 \alpha \beta x^{\ell} y_{1}^{2}+\left(\beta^{2}+\right.$ $\left.\delta^{5}\right) x^{2 \ell}$ and if $\left(\beta^{2}+\delta^{5}\right) \neq 0$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{2 \ell, 4}$. If $\left(\beta^{2}+\delta^{5}\right)=0$, then the Newton boundary has two faces with $R=(\ell, 2)$ as the common vertex and $f$ can be non-degenerate on these faces, after taking a suitable triangular change of coordinates ( $x, y_{2}$ ).
3. If $5 v>2 \ell$, then it is easy to see that the Newton principal part of $f\left(x, y_{1}\right)$ is given by $\left(\alpha y_{1}^{2}+\beta x^{\ell}\right)^{2}$ which implies that $f\left(x, y_{1}\right)$ is degenerate in this coordinate. So we first need to take a toric modification $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ with respect to the canonical regular simplicial cone subdivision $\left\{P_{0}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}$ and we have to study the equation of the total transform $\pi^{*} f$ in $X$.
The weight vector of $A_{\ell-1}$ is given as $P={ }^{t}(2, \ell),{ }^{t}(1, \ell / 2)$ for $\ell$ is odd or even respectively. Note also the germ $A_{\ell-1}$ has two smooth components if $\ell$ is even. Thus the description for the toric modification has to be divided in two cases.

Case A. $\quad \ell$ is odd. The weight vector of $\Gamma\left(f_{5} ; x, y_{1}\right)$ is given by $P={ }^{t}(2, \ell)$. We may assume that $P=P_{s}$ and we consider the cone $\sigma:=\operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{s}, P_{s+1}\right)$ and corresponding unimodular matrix

$$
\sigma:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & a \\
\ell & b
\end{array}\right), \quad 2 b-a \ell=1 .
$$

Let $(u, v)$ be the toric coordinates of this chart. Then in these coordinates, we have $x=$ $u^{2} v^{a}, y_{1}=u^{\ell} v^{b}$. We can write

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi^{*} f_{5}(u, v)=u^{2 \ell} v^{a \ell} \tilde{f}_{5}(u, v), \quad \tilde{f}_{5}(u, v)=\alpha v+\beta+h_{5}(u, v), \\
\pi^{*} f_{2}(u, v)=u^{\mu} v^{\mu^{\prime}} \tilde{f}_{2}(u, v), \quad \mu=\min (\ell, 2 v), \mu^{\prime}=\min (b, a v) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Putting $\xi=(0,-\beta / \alpha), \eta=5 \mu-4 \ell$, we can write $\pi^{*} f$ as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi^{*} f(u, v)=u^{4 \ell} v^{2 a \ell} \tilde{f}(u, v) \\
\tilde{f}(u, v)=\tilde{f}_{5}(u, v)^{2}+u^{\eta} v^{5 \mu^{\prime}-2 a \ell} \tilde{f}_{2}(u, v)^{5} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus using admissible translated toric coordinates $\left(u, v_{2}\right), v_{2}=v_{1}+h(u), v_{1}=v+\beta / \alpha$ for some polynomial $h$, the strict transform is defined as

$$
\alpha^{2} v_{2}^{2}+\varepsilon u^{\eta^{\prime}}+(\text { higher terms })=0, \varepsilon \neq 0
$$

which implies $(\tilde{C}, \xi) \sim B_{\eta^{\prime}, 2}$ and the tangent cone is transverse to the exceptional divisor $u=0$ where $\eta^{\prime} \geq \eta$.

Case B. $\quad \ell$ is even. The weight vector of $\Gamma\left(f_{5} ; x, y_{1}\right)$ is given by $P={ }^{t}(1, k)$ where $\ell=2 k$. We may assume that there is a cone corresponding to a unimodular matrix

$$
\sigma:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
k & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $(u, v)$ be the toric coordinates of this chart. Then in these coordinates, we have $x=$ $u, y_{1}=u^{k} v$. Then we can write

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi^{*} f_{5}(u, v)=u^{\ell} \tilde{f}_{5}(u, v), \quad \tilde{f}_{5}(u, v)=\alpha\left(v+\alpha_{1}\right)\left(v+\alpha_{2}\right)+u v h_{5}(u, v), \\
\pi^{*} f_{2}(u, v)=u^{\mu} \tilde{f}_{2}(u, v), \mu=\min (k, v)
\end{gathered}
$$

Putting $\xi_{i}=\left(0, \alpha_{i}\right),(i=1,2), \eta=5 \mu-4 \ell$, we can write $\pi^{*} f$ as

$$
\pi^{*} f(u, v)=u^{2 \ell}\left(\tilde{f}_{5}(u, v)^{2}+u^{\eta} \tilde{f}_{2}(u, v)^{5}\right)
$$

Then the strict transform $\widetilde{C}$ has two components. Thus using admissible translated toric coordinates $\left(u, v_{i}^{\prime}\right), v_{i}^{\prime}=v_{i}+h(u), v_{i}=v+\alpha_{i}(i=1,2)$ in a neighborhood of $(u, v)=\left(0,-\alpha_{i}\right)$ for some polynomial $h$, the total transform $\pi^{*} f$ is described as

$$
\pi^{*} f\left(u, v_{i}^{\prime}\right)=u^{2 \ell}\left(\alpha^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)^{2} v_{i}^{\prime 2}+\varepsilon u^{\eta^{\prime}}+(\text { higher terms })\right), \varepsilon \neq 0
$$

which implies $\left(\tilde{C}, \xi_{i}\right) \sim B_{\eta^{\prime}, 2}$ where $\eta^{\prime} \geq \eta$. Putting the strategy above into consideration, we will explain several cases in more detail.

First we consider the case (2) in Proposition 3: $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{3,2}, \iota=3(\ell=3, v \geq 2)$.
We have $f_{5}(x, y)=\alpha y^{2}+\beta x^{3}+$ (higher terms). We have to consider the toric modification in the toric coordinate chart:

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
3 & 2
\end{array}\right), \quad \pi(u, v)=\left(u^{2} v, u^{3} v^{2}\right)
$$

in the observation above. Then taking the translated coordinates $\left(u, v_{1}\right), v_{1}=v+\beta / \alpha$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi^{*} f_{5}\left(u, v_{1}\right) & =u^{6}\left(v_{1}-\beta / \alpha\right)^{3}\left(\alpha v_{1}+c u+h_{5}\left(u, v_{1}\right)\right), \\
\pi^{*} f_{2}\left(u, v_{1}\right) & =u^{3}\left(v_{1}-\beta / \alpha\right)^{2}\left(\delta u+\gamma+h_{2}\left(u, v_{1}\right)\right) \\
\pi^{*} f\left(u, v_{1}\right) & =u^{12}\left(v_{1}-\beta / \alpha\right)^{6} \tilde{f}\left(u, v_{1}\right) \\
\tilde{f}\left(u, v_{1}\right) & =\left(\alpha v_{1}+c u+h_{5}\left(u, v_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+u^{3}\left(v_{1}-\beta / \alpha\right)^{4}\left(\delta u+\gamma+h_{2}\left(u, v_{1}\right)\right)^{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can see that

$$
\tilde{f}\left(u, v_{2}\right)=v_{2}^{2}+c^{\prime} u^{3}+(\text { higher terms }), \quad c^{\prime} \neq 0, \quad v_{2}=\alpha v_{1}+c u
$$

which implies that the corresponding singularity is $\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{3,2}}$.
Next we consider the case (3) in Proposition 3. Thus we assume ( $C_{5}, O$ ) $\sim B_{4,2}$ and $\iota \geq 4$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{5}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\alpha y_{1}^{2}+\beta x^{4}+(\text { higher terms }) \\
& f_{2}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\gamma y_{1}+\delta x^{\nu}+(\text { higher terms }), v \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $v \geq 2$ and the case $\iota>4$ only if $v=2$ and $\alpha \delta^{2}+\beta \gamma^{2}=0$. Thus for simplicity, we assume that $v=2$. For the simplicity of the calculation, we put:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{5}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\alpha y_{1}^{2}+\beta x^{4}+(\text { higher terms })=\alpha\left(y_{1}+\alpha_{1} x\right)\left(y_{1}+\alpha_{2} x\right)+(\text { higher terms }) \\
& \left.f_{2}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\gamma y_{1}+\delta x^{2}+(\text { higher terms })\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The corresponding toric chart is associated with:

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right), \pi(u, v)=\left(u, u^{2} v\right)
$$

by the above consideration. Note that $\iota=4$ if and only if $\alpha \delta^{2}+\beta \gamma^{2} \neq 0$. Then taking the translated coordinates $\left(u, v_{1}\right), v_{1}=v+\alpha_{1}$ (respectively $\left.\left(u, v_{2}\right), v_{2}=v+\alpha_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi^{*} f_{5}\left(u, v_{1}\right)= & u^{4}\left(\alpha\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right) v_{1}+c_{1} u+h_{5}\left(u, v_{1}\right)\right) \\
\pi^{*} f_{2}\left(u, v_{1}\right)= & u^{2}\left(\left(\gamma v_{1}-\gamma \alpha_{1}+\delta\right)+u h_{2}\left(u, v_{1}\right)\right) \\
\pi^{*} f\left(u, v_{1}\right)= & u^{8} \tilde{f}\left(u, v_{1}\right), \quad\left(\text { resp. } \pi^{*} f\left(u, v_{2}\right)=u^{8} \tilde{f}\left(u, v_{2}\right)\right), \\
\tilde{f}\left(u, v_{1}\right)= & \left(\alpha\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right) v_{1}+c_{1} u\right)^{2}+\left(\delta-\gamma \alpha_{1}\right)^{5} u^{2}+(\text { higher terms }), \\
& \left(\text { resp. } \tilde{f}\left(u, v_{2}\right)=\left(\alpha\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) v_{1}+c_{2} u\right)^{2}+\left(\delta-\gamma \alpha_{2}\right)^{5} u^{2}+\text { (higher terms) }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{i}$ is constant for $i=1,2$. Then if $\iota=4$, we have $\alpha \delta^{2}+\beta \gamma^{2} \neq 0$ and we see that $\left(\widetilde{C}, \xi_{i}\right)=A_{1}$ for $i=1,2$. Thus $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}}$ and the resolution graph is given by Figure 5.


Figure 5

The case $v>2$ gives the same conclusion as above.
If $v=2, \iota>4$ and if $\alpha \delta^{2}+\beta \gamma^{2} \neq 0$, then we have $\left(\widetilde{C}, \xi_{2}\right)=A_{1}$ but $\left(\widetilde{C}, \xi_{1}\right)$ is bigger than $A_{1}$. Thus we have to take a triangular change of coordinates $\left(u, v_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ so that $\widetilde{C}$ is defined at $\xi_{1}$ as $\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+c^{\prime} u^{k}+$ (higher terms) $=0$. The explicit computation shows that the possibilities of $k$ are $5 \iota-18$ for $4 \leq \iota \leq 10$.

Next we consider the assertion (4) in Proposition 3. Assume ( $C_{5}, O$ ) $\sim B_{5,2}$ and $\iota \geq 4$. We put as above

$$
f_{5}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\alpha y_{1}^{2}+\beta x^{5}+(\text { higher terms }), \quad f_{2}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\gamma y_{1}+\delta x^{\nu}+(\text { higher terms }) .
$$

Note that $\iota=4$ if and only if $v=2$. If $v>2, \iota=5$ and $\mathcal{N}\left(f, x, y_{1}\right)=\left(\alpha y_{1}^{2}+\beta x^{5}\right)^{2}$ and we have to take a toric modification. If $v=2$, then $\iota=4$ and as $\mathcal{N}\left(f, x, y_{1}\right)=y_{1}^{4}+2 \beta x^{5} y_{1}^{2}+$ $\left(\beta^{2}+\delta^{5}\right) x^{10}$, we see that $(C, O) \sim B_{10,4}$ if $\iota=4$ and $\beta^{2}+\delta^{5} \neq 0$. If $\beta^{2}+\delta^{5}=0$, the Newton boundary has two faces.

First we consider the case $\iota=4$ (so $\delta \neq 0$ ) and $\beta^{2}+\delta^{5}=0$. Then $\mathcal{N}\left(f, x, y_{1}\right)=$ $y_{1}^{4}+2 \beta x^{5} y_{1}^{2}+\gamma_{8} x^{8} y_{1}+\gamma_{11} x^{11}$ and $\Gamma\left(f ; x, y_{1}\right)$ consists of two faces $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$. Clearly
$f$ is non-degenerate on $\Delta_{1}$. If $f$ is degenerate on $\Delta_{2}$, we take a suitable triangular change of coordinates $\left(x, y_{2}\right)$ so that $\mathcal{N}\left(f ; x, y_{2}\right)=\alpha^{2} y_{2}^{4}+2 \alpha \beta x y_{2}^{2}+\gamma^{\prime} x^{11+k}, k=0, \ldots, 9$. This implies $(C, O) \sim B_{k+6,2} \circ B_{5,2}$.

Secondly, we consider the case $\iota \geq 5$ (i.e., $v>2$ ). In this case, due to the previous consideration, we see that $\iota=5$. Then $\mathcal{N}\left(f, x, y_{1}\right)=\left(\alpha y_{1}^{2}+\beta x^{5}\right)^{2}$ and $\Gamma\left(f ; x, y_{1}\right)$ consists of one face $\Delta$ with the weight vector $P={ }^{t}(2,5)$ and $f$ is degenerate on $\Delta$. We consider the toric modification with respect to the canonical regular subdivision $\Sigma^{*}$ of $\Gamma^{*}\left(f ; x, y_{1}\right)$. The toric coordinate chart which intersects the strict transform $\widetilde{C}$ is described by a unimodular matrix

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
5 & 3
\end{array}\right), \quad \pi(u, v)=\left(u^{2} v, u^{5} v^{3}\right)
$$

Then taking admissible translated toric coordinates $\left(u, v_{2}\right), v_{2}=v_{1}+h(u), v_{1}=\alpha v+\beta$ for a suitable polynomial $h$, we have

$$
\pi^{*} f\left(u, v_{2}\right)=u^{20}\left(v_{2}-\beta / \alpha+h(u)\right)\left(\alpha^{2} v_{2}^{2}+\beta^{\prime \prime} u^{5}+(\text { higher terms })\right), \quad \beta^{\prime \prime} \neq 0
$$

Thus we get $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}}$. Hence we have the assertion (4) of Proposition 3.
The assertions (5), ..., (12) of Proposition 3 can be shown in a similar manner.
Remark 1. Note that the singularity $B_{25,4}$ in case (12) has the Milnor number 72 and 72 is the maximum Milnor number of an irreducible curve of degree 10 . Thus in this case, $C$ is a rational curve.

The classification (Proposition 3) can be rewritten as follows from the viewpoint of $\iota$.
(1) If $\iota=2$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{5,4}$.
(2) If $\iota=3$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{3,2}}$.
(3) If $\iota=4$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}}, B_{10,4}$ and $B_{k, 2} \circ B_{5,2}(6 \leq k \leq 15)$.
(4) If $\iota=5$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{\left(B_{7,2}+B_{2,2}\right)}$ and $\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}}$.
(5) If $\iota=6$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{\left(B_{12,2}+B_{2,2}\right)},\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{3,2}},\left(B_{7,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}}$ and $B_{15,4}$.
(6) If $\iota=7$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{\left(B_{17,2}+B_{2,2}\right)},\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{\left(B_{8,2}+B_{3,2}\right)}$ and $\left(B_{7,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}}$.
(7) If $\iota=8$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{\left(B_{22,2}+B_{2,2}\right)},\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{\left(B_{13,2}+B_{3,2}\right)},\left(B_{8,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{4,2}}$, $\left(B_{9,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}, B_{20,4}, B_{11,2} \circ B_{10,2} \text { and } B_{12,2} \circ B_{10,2} \text {. } . . . . ~\left(C, B_{2}\right)}$
(8) If $\iota=9$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{\left(B_{27,2}+B_{2,2}\right)},\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{\left(B_{18,2}+B_{3,2}\right)}$, $\left(B_{8,2}^{2}\right)^{\left(B_{9,2}+B_{4,2}\right)}$ and $\left(B_{9,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{10,2}}$.
(9) If $\iota=10$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{\left(B_{32,2}+B_{2,2}\right)},\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{\left(B_{23,2}+B_{3,2}\right)}$, $\left(B_{8,2}^{2}\right)^{\left(B_{14,2}+B_{4,2}\right)},\left(B_{10,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{5,2}},\left(B_{11,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{6,2}},\left(B_{12,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{1,2}}$ and $B_{25,4}$.
(b-2) Assume that $C_{2}$ is a union of two lines meeting at the origin $\left(m_{2}=2\right)$ and $T_{O} C_{5}$ is $y^{2}=0$.

Proposition 4. Suppose that the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is a line with multiplicity 2 and $C_{2}$ is a union of two lines meeting at the origin. Then the germ $(C, O)$ take one the following singularities.
(1) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{3,2}$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{8,2}}$ for $\iota=4$ and
(b) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{13,2}}$ for $\iota=5$.
(2) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{4,2}$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}}$ for $\iota=4$,
(b) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{7,2}}$ for $\iota=6$, and
(c) $(C, O) \sim B_{16,2} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}}$ for $\iota=7$.
(3) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{5,2}$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim B_{10,4}$ or $B_{k, 2} \circ B_{5,2}(6 \leq k \leq 15)$ for $\iota=4$,
(b) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{10,2}}$ for $\iota=6$ and
(c) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{15,2}}$ for $(\iota=7)$.
(4) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{6,2}$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim B_{10,4}$ for $\iota=4$ and
(b) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{3,2}}$ for $\iota=6$.
(5) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{7,2}$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim B_{10,4}$ for $\iota=4$ and
(b) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{7,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}}$ for $\iota=6$.
(6) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{k, 2}$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim B_{10,4}$ for $\iota=4$ and
(b) $(C, O) \sim B_{15,4}(8 \leq k \leq 13)$ for $\iota=6$

Proof. By taking local coordinates ( $x, y_{1}$ ), we can assume

$$
f_{5}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\alpha y_{1}^{2}+\beta x^{\ell}+(\text { higher terms }), \quad \alpha, \beta \neq 0 .
$$

Now we assume that $f_{2}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\ell_{1}\left(x, y_{1}\right) \ell_{2}\left(x, y_{1}\right)$ where

$$
\ell_{1}=y_{1}+c_{\nu} x^{\nu}+(\text { higher terms }), \quad \ell_{2}=c_{2}\left(y_{1}+\gamma x\right)+(\text { higher terms }), \quad c_{\nu}, c_{2} \neq 0 .
$$

We put $\iota_{1}=I\left(\ell_{1}, C_{5} ; O\right) \leq 5$ and $\iota_{2}=I\left(\ell_{2}, C_{5} ; O\right)$. As $\gamma \neq 0$, we have $\iota_{2}=2$. Hence $\iota=\iota_{1}+2$ and we have $4 \leq \iota \leq 7$.

Comparing the Newton boundaries of $f_{5}^{2}$ and $f_{2}^{5}$ and applying a similar argument as in (b-1) of Case II-(b), we get assertions of Proposition 4.
4.3. Case III: $m_{5}=3$. We divide Case III into three cases by the type of $T_{O} C_{5}$.
(a) $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of three distinct lines.
(b) $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 2 and another line.
(c) $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of is a single line with multiplicity 3 .

First we remark that if $C_{2}$ is smooth and $C_{2}$ intersects transversely with $T_{O} C_{5}$ at the origin $(\iota=3)$, we have $(C, O) \sim B_{6,5}$ by Lemma 2 in $\S 3$. So hereafter, we consider the case that $C_{2}$ and $T_{O} C_{5}$ do not intersect transversely.

Case III-(a): We first consider Case III-(a). We assume that $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of three distinct lines.

Proposition 5. Under the situation of Case III-(a), we have $(C, O) \sim B_{6,5}$ if $\iota=3$. For $\iota \geq 4$, we have the following possibilities of $(C, O)$.
(1) Assume that $C_{2}$ is smooth and tangent to $y=0$. Then ( $C, O$ ) can be $B_{5 \iota-14,2} \circ B_{4,3}$ for $\iota=4, \ldots, 10$.
(2) Assume that $C_{2}$ consists of two distinct lines $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}$. Put $\iota_{i}=I\left(\ell_{i}, C_{5} ; O\right) \geq 3$ $(i=1,2)$. Then $(C, O) \sim B_{5 \iota_{2}-9,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,5 \iota_{1}-9}$ with $\iota_{1}+\iota_{2}=6, \ldots, 10$.
Proof. The assertion is immediate from Lemma 3.
Case III-(b): In this case, we may assume that $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 2 which is defined by $\{y=0\}$ and a single line $\{x=0\}$.
(b-1) First we assume that $C_{2}$ is smooth $\left(m_{2}=1\right)$. If $\iota=3$, we have $(C, O) \sim B_{6,5}$ by Lemma 2. Therefore we consider the case $\iota \geq 4$. The common tangent line of $C_{2}$ is either $\{x=0\}$ or $\{y=0\}$. When the common tangent line is $\{x=0\},(C, O)$ is described by Lemma 4.

So we assume that common tangent cone is $\{y=0\}$. If $\iota=4$, we have $f_{5}(x, y)=$ $b_{12} x y^{2}+b_{40} x^{4}+($ higher terms $)$ and $\iota=4$ if and only if $b_{40} \neq 0$. Hence we have $(C, O) \sim$ $B_{8,5}$.

Next we consider the case $\iota \geq 5$ and we take a local coordinate system $\left(x, y_{1}\right)$ so that $C_{2}$ is defined by $y_{1}=0$ and we have $f_{5}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\beta_{12} x y_{1}^{2}+\beta_{31} x^{3} y_{1}+\beta_{50} x^{5}+$ (higher terms) with $\beta_{12} \neq 0$. First we assume that $\iota=5$. Then $\beta_{50} \neq 0$ and we factor $\mathcal{N}\left(f ; x, y_{1}\right)$ as

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(f ; x, y_{1}\right)=y_{1}^{5}+x^{2}\left(\beta_{12} y_{1}^{2}+\beta_{31} x^{2} y_{1}+\beta_{50} x^{4}\right)^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{5}\left(y_{1}+\alpha_{i} x^{2}\right) .
$$

We see that $\Gamma(f ; x, y)$ consists of one face with the weight vector $P={ }^{t}(1,2)$. Then we have several cases:
(1) $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{5}$ are all distinct.
(2) $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}, \alpha_{5}$ are mutually distinct and different from $\alpha_{1}$.
(3) $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{4}, \alpha_{5}$ are mutually distinct and different from $\alpha_{1}$.
(4) $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}$ and $\alpha_{1} \neq \alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{5}$ is different from $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3}$.

By an easy computation, we can see that the other cases are not possible. (By a direct computation, we see that if $\mathcal{N}\left(f ; x, y_{1}\right)=0$ has a root with multiplicity $4, \beta_{50}=0$ and the intersection number jumps to 6 .)

Lemma 5. Under the above situation, we further assume that $\iota=5$.
(1) If $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{5}$ are all distinct, then $(C, O) \sim B_{10,5}$.
(2) If $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}, \alpha_{5}$ are mutually distinct and different from $\alpha_{1}$, then ( $\left.C, O\right) \sim$ $B_{k, 2} \circ B_{6,3},(5 \leq k \leq 12)$.
(3) If $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{4}, \alpha_{5}$ are mutually distinct and different from $\alpha_{1}$, then $(C, O) \sim B_{k, 3} \circ B_{4,2}(k=7, \ldots, 11)$ or $B_{3,1} \circ B_{5,2} \circ B_{4,2}$ or $B_{3,1} \circ B_{7,2} \circ B_{4,2}$ or $B_{k, 2} \circ B_{3,1} \circ B_{4,2}(k=7,8,9)$.
(4) If $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}$ and $\alpha_{1} \neq \alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{5}$ is different from $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3}$, then ( $\left.C, O\right) \sim$ $B_{k_{2}+4,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k_{1}, 2}}$ where $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ moves in the set $\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) ; 13-k_{2} \geq k_{1} \geq k_{2}-4, k_{2}=5, \ldots, 7\right\} \cup\{(4,8),(5,9)\}$.

Proof. The case (1) is clear. We consider the case (2) and we may assume $\alpha_{1}=$ $\alpha_{2}$. Then we see that the Newton boundary $\Gamma\left(f ; x, y_{1}\right)$ has two faces $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ and $f$ is non-degenerate on $\Delta_{1}$. Taking a suitable triangular coordinate change, we can make $f$ nondegenerate on $\Delta_{2}$. Hence this gives the series $(C, O) \sim B_{k, 2} \circ B_{6,3}, k=5, \ldots, 12$. We can consider the cases (3) and (4) similarly.

REMARK 2. In (4) of Lemma 5, we have the following symmetry. Let

$$
f\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\left(y_{1}+\alpha_{1} x^{2}\right)^{2}\left(y_{1}+\alpha_{3} x^{2}\right)^{2}\left(y_{1}+\alpha_{5} x^{2}\right)+(\text { higher terms })
$$

be a defining polynomial of $(C, O)$. First we take a change of coordinates $\left(x, y_{2}\right)$ with $y_{2}=$ $y_{1}+\alpha_{1} x^{2}$ and we take further changes of coordinates of type $y_{2} \rightarrow y_{2}+c x^{j}, 2 \leq j \leq\left[k_{2} / 2\right]$ if necessary and we can assume

$$
f\left(x, y_{2}\right)=y_{2}^{2}\left(y_{2}+\left(\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{1}\right) x^{2}\right)^{2}\left(y_{2}+\left(\alpha_{5}-\alpha_{1}\right) x^{2}\right)+\beta x^{k_{2}+6}+(\text { higher terms }) .
$$

The Newton boundary consists of two faces $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ and $f$ is non-degenerate on $\Delta_{2}$ but degenerate on $\Delta_{1}$. (Here "higher terms" are linear combinations of monomials above the Newton boundary.) Let $P_{1}={ }^{t}(1,2)$ and $P_{2}$ be the weight vectors corresponding to $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$ respectively. To make Cone $\left(E_{1}, P_{1}\right)$ regular, we need to put one vertex $T_{1}={ }^{t}(1,1)$. The subdivision of the cones $\operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{2}, E_{2}\right)$ depends on the parity of $k_{2}$ (i.e., either $k_{2}$ is even or odd).

For $k_{2}=2 m+1$, we get $P_{2}={ }^{t}(2,2 m+1)$ and $\operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{2}, E_{2}\right)$ are subdivided into regular fans by adding vertices $\left\{T_{i}={ }^{t}(1, i), 3 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ and $S={ }^{t}(1, m+1)$.

For $k_{2}=2 m$, we get $P_{2}={ }^{t}(1, m)$ ) and $\operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ is subdivided into a regular fan by adding vertices $\left\{T_{i}={ }^{t}(1, i), 3 \leq i \leq m-1\right\}$. The $\operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{2}, E_{2}\right)$ is already regular. Note that in any case, the corresponding resolution is minimal. In the second case, $\hat{E}\left(P_{2}\right)^{2}=-1$ but it intersects with two components of $C$.
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After taking the toric modification with respect to the canonical subdivision, we have $\left(\widetilde{C}, \xi_{1}\right) \sim B_{k_{1}, 2}$. Hence $(C, O) \sim B_{k_{2}, 2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k_{1}, 2}}$.

Using the canonical subdivision for the second toric modification, we see that the resolution graph has three branches with the center $\hat{E}\left(P_{1}\right)$ : one branch with a single vertex which corresponds to $\hat{E}\left(T_{1}\right)$. The second branch corresponds to the vertices in $\operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{2}, E_{2}\right)$ (respectively $\left.\operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)\right)$ for $k_{2}$ is odd (resp. even). The third branch corresponds to the vertices for the second toric modification.

To see the relation between the second and third branches, we take a change of coordinates $\left(x, y_{3}\right)=\left(x, y_{2}+\left(\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{1}\right) x^{2}\right)$ from the beginning. After a finite number of triangular changes of coordinates, we arrive at the expression $(C, O) \sim B_{k_{2}^{\prime}, 2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k_{1}^{\prime}, 2}}$. By an easy calculation and by the minimality of the resolution, we see that $k_{1}^{\prime}=k_{2}-4, k_{2}^{\prime}=k_{1}+4$. Thus $B_{k_{2}, 2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k_{1}, 2}} \sim B_{k_{1}+4,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k_{2}-4,2}}$. Therefore in the classification, we can assume that $k_{1}+4 \geq k_{2}$.

Next we consider the case $\iota \geq 6$.
Lemma 6. Assume the case III-(b) and $\iota=6$. Then the topological type of $(C, O)$ is generically $B_{9,2} \circ B_{6,3}$ and it can degenerate into $\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,1}$ or $B_{9,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k, 2}}$, ( $k=1, \ldots, 8$ ).

Proof. We take a local coordinates system $\left(x, y_{1}\right)$ so that $C_{2}$ is defined by $y_{1}=0$ and $f_{5}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\beta_{12} x y_{1}^{2}+\beta_{31} x^{3} y_{1}+\beta_{60} x^{6}+$ (higher terms). Then $f\left(x, y_{1}\right)$ is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x, y_{1}\right) & =y_{1}^{5}+\left(\beta_{12} x y_{1}^{2}+\beta_{31} x^{3} y_{1}+\beta_{60} x^{6}\right)^{2}+(\text { higher terms }) \\
& =y_{1}^{5}+\left(\beta_{12} x y_{1}^{2}+\beta_{31} x y_{1}^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(\beta_{31} x^{3} y_{1}+\beta_{60} x^{6}\right)^{2}-\beta_{31}^{2} x^{6} y_{1}^{2}+(\text { higher terms })
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\beta_{31} \neq 0, \Gamma\left(f ; x, y_{1}\right)$ consists of two faces $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$ and

$$
f_{\Delta_{1}}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=y_{1}^{5}+x^{2} y_{1}^{2}\left(\beta_{12} y_{1}+\beta_{31} x^{2}\right)^{2}, \quad f_{\Delta_{2}}(x, y)=\left(\beta_{31} x^{3} y_{1}+\beta_{60} x^{6}\right)^{2}
$$

In this case, we first take a triangular change of coordinates of type $\left(x, y_{2}\right)=\left(x, y_{1}+c_{3} x^{3}+\right.$ $c_{4} x^{4}$ ) so that the face $\Delta_{2}$ changes into a non-degenerate face $\Delta_{2}^{\prime}$ (a new face after a change of the coordinate) and

$$
f_{\Delta_{2}^{\prime}}\left(x, y_{2}\right)=\beta_{31} x^{6}\left(y_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{\prime} x^{9}\right), \quad c_{3} \neq 0 .
$$

If $f$ is non-degenerate on $\Delta_{1}$, we have $(C, O) \sim B_{9,2} \circ B_{6,3}$.
If $f$ is degenerate on $\Delta_{1}\left(\beta_{31}=4 \beta_{12}^{3} / 27, \beta_{31} \neq 0\right)$, then

$$
f_{\Delta_{1}}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\alpha^{2} y_{1}^{2}\left(9 y_{1}+\beta_{12}^{2} x^{2}\right)\left(9 y_{1}+4 \beta_{12}^{2} x^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a non-zero constant. To analyze the singularity on $\Delta_{1}$, we take a toric modification: let $P={ }^{t}(1,2)$ be the weight vector corresponding to $\Delta_{1}$ and we take a toric modification with respect to an admissible regular simplicial cone subdivision $\Sigma^{*}, \pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$. We
may assume that $\sigma=\operatorname{Cone}\left(P_{1}, T_{1}\right)$ is a cone in $\Sigma^{*}$ where $T_{1}={ }^{t}(1,3)$. We take the toric coordinates $(u, v)$ of the chart $\mathbf{C}_{\sigma}^{2}$. Then we have $\pi_{\sigma}(u, v)=\left(u v, u^{2} v^{3}\right)$ and

$$
\pi_{\sigma}^{*} f(u, v)=\alpha^{2} u^{10} v^{12} \tilde{f}(u, v)=\alpha^{2} u^{10} v^{12}\left(\left(9 v+\beta_{12}^{2}\right)\left(9 v+4 \beta_{12}^{2}\right)^{2}+(\text { higher terms })\right) .
$$

The strict transform $\widetilde{C}$ splits into two components. We see that one of the components of $\widetilde{C}$ which correspond to the non-degenerate component of $f_{\Delta_{1}}$ is smooth and intersects transversely with $\hat{E}(P)=\{u=0\}$. To see the other component of $\widetilde{C}$, we take the translated toric coordinates $\left(u, v_{1}\right), v_{1}=9 v+4 \beta_{12}^{2}$. Then $\tilde{f}\left(u, v_{1}\right)=c v_{1}^{2}+\gamma_{1} u+$ (higher terms) where $c$ is a non-zero constant. Hence if $\gamma_{1} \neq 0$, we get $(C, O) \sim B_{9,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{1,2}}$. If $\gamma_{1}=0$, taking a triangular change of coordinates of the type $\left(u, v_{2}\right)=\left(u, v_{1}+d_{1} u+\cdots+d_{j} u^{j}\right), j=[k / 2]$, we can easily see that $(C, O) \sim B_{9,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k, 2}},(k=2, \ldots, 8)$.

Next we consider the case $\beta_{31}=0$. Then

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(f ; x, y_{1}\right)=y_{1}^{5}+x^{2}\left(\beta_{12} y_{1}^{2}+\beta_{60} x^{5}\right)^{2}
$$

where $\beta_{60} \neq 0$ since $\iota=6$ and $\Gamma\left(f ; x, y_{1}\right)$ has two faces $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ and the corresponding face functions are given by $f_{\Delta_{1}}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=y_{1}^{5}+\beta_{12}^{2} x^{2} y_{1}^{4}$ and $f_{\Delta_{2}}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=x^{2}\left(\beta_{12} y_{1}^{2}+\beta_{60} x^{5}\right)^{2}$. Thus $f$ is non-degenerate on $\Delta_{1}$ and degenerate on $\Delta_{2}$. Then taking a toric modification which is the same as (4) of Proposition 3, we get $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,1}$.

For the remaining cases $\iota \geq 7$, we can carry out the classification in the exact same way. So we can summarize the result as follows.

Proposition 6. Suppose that $C_{2}$ is smooth, $m_{5}=3$ and the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of $L_{1}$ and a single line $L_{2}$ where $L_{1}$ is a line with multiplicity 2 . If $\iota=3$, we have $(C, O) \sim B_{6,5}$. If $\iota \geq 4$, then $C_{2}$ is tangent to either $L_{1}$ or $L_{2}$ and we have the following possibilities.
(I) Assume that the common tangent cone is $L_{2}$.

Then the germ $(C, O)$ can be of type $B_{3,4} \circ B_{2,5 \iota-14}$ for $4 \leq \iota \leq 10$. (Lemma 4).
(II) Assume that the common tangent cone is $L_{1}$.

Then the germ $(C, O)$ can be of type $B_{2 \iota, 5}$ for $\iota=4,5$ and $B_{5 \iota-21,2} \circ B_{6,3}$ for $\iota=6, \ldots, 10$. Further degenerations are given for fixed $\iota$ by the following list.
(1) If $\iota=5$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim B_{k, 2} \circ B_{6,3}(5 \leq k \leq 12)$
(b) $(C, O) \sim B_{k, 3} \circ B_{4,2}(k=7, \ldots, 11), B_{3,1} \circ B_{5,2} \circ B_{4,2}, B_{3,1} \circ B_{7,2} \circ B_{4,2}$ and $B_{k, 2} \circ B_{3,1} \circ B_{4,2}(k=7,8,9)$.
(c) $(C, O) \sim B_{k_{2}+4,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k_{1}, 2}}$
where $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ is in $\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) ; 13-k_{2} \geq k_{1} \geq k_{2}-4, k_{2}=5, \ldots, 7\right\} \cup$ $\{(4,8),(5,9)\}$.
(2) If $\iota=6$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,1}$
(b) $(C, O) \sim B_{9,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k, 2}}(k=1, \ldots, 8)$
(3) If $\iota=7$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,1}$ and $B_{13,4} \circ B_{2,1}$.
(b) $(C, O) \sim B_{14,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k, 2}}(k=1, \ldots, 7)$
(4) If $\iota=8$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{6,2}+B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,1}$ and $\left(B_{7,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{3,2}} \circ B_{2,1}$
(b) $(C, O) \sim B_{19,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k, 2}}(k=1, \ldots, 6)$
(5) If $\iota=9$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{11,2}+B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,1},\left(B_{8,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,1}, B_{18,4} \circ B_{2,1}$ and $B_{11,2} \circ$ $B_{9,2} \circ B_{2,1}$
(b) $(C, O) \sim B_{24,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k, 2}},(k=1, \ldots, 4)$
(6) If $\iota=10$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{16,2}+B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,1},\left(B_{8,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}+B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,1}$ and $\left(B_{9,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,1}$
(b) $(C, O) \sim B_{29,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k, 2}},(k=1, \ldots, 5, k \neq 4)$
(b-2) Assume that $C_{2}$ is a union of two lines passing through the origin $\left(m_{2}=2\right)$ and $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 2 which is defined by $\{y=0\}$ and a single line is $\{x=0\}$. Thus we assume that $f_{5}(x, y)=b_{12} x y^{2}+b_{40} x^{4}+b_{04} y^{4}+$ (higher terms). We assume that two lines of $C_{2}$ are defined by $\ell_{1}:=\left\{y+\alpha_{1} x=0\right\}, \ell_{2}:=\left\{\alpha_{2} y+x=0\right\}$ and we put $\iota_{i}=I\left(\ell_{i}, C_{5} ; O\right) \geq 3$ for $i=1$, 2 . Then we have $\iota=\iota_{1}+\iota_{2} \geq 6$. If $\iota=6$, then we have $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)=(3,3)\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \neq 0\right)$. If $\iota \geq 7$, then we have several possibilities of $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ :


The above diagram depends only the numbers $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, b_{40}, b_{04}\right)$.
Proposition 7. Suppose that $C_{2}$ is a union of two lines and the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 2 and a single line $\left(m_{5}=3\right)$. Then we have the following possibilities.
(1) If $\iota=6$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,6}$,
(b) $(C, O) \sim B_{8,4} \circ B_{2,6}$ and $B_{k, 2} \circ B_{4,2} \circ B_{2,6}(5 \leq k \leq 12)$.
(2) If $\iota=7$, then we have two cases: $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)=(4,3)$ or $(3,4)$.
(a) If $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)=(4,3)$, then we have
(i) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,11}$,
(ii) $\quad(C, O) \sim B_{8,4} \circ B_{2,11}$ and $B_{k, 2} \circ B_{4,2} \circ B_{2,11}(5 \leq k \leq 10)$.
(b) If $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)=(3,4)$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{9,2}} \circ B_{2,6}$.
(3) If $\iota=8$, then we have two cases: $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)=(5,3)$ or $(4,4)$ or $(3,5)$.
(a) If $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)=(5,3)$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,16}$.
(b) If $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)=(4,4)$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{9,2}} \circ B_{2,11}$.
(c) If $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)=(3,5)$, then we have
(i) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,6}$,
(ii) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{6,2}} \circ B_{2,6},\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,6}$ and $B_{13,4} \circ B_{2,6}$.
(4) If $\iota=9$, then we have two cases: $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)=(5,4)$ or $(4,5)$.
(a) If $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)=(5,4)$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{9,2}} \circ B_{2,16}$.
(b) If $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)=(4,5)$, then we have
(i) $\quad(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,11}$,
(ii) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{6,2}} \circ B_{2,11},\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,11}$ and $B_{13,4} \circ B_{2,11}$.

If $\iota=10$, then we have
(a) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,16}$,
(b) $\quad(C, O) \sim\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{6,2}} \circ B_{2,16},\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,16}$ and $B_{13,4} \circ B_{2,16}$.

We omit the proof as it is parallel to that of Proposition 3.
Case III-(c): In this case, we may assume that $T_{O} C_{5}$ is defined by $y^{3}=0$.
(c-1) Assume that $m_{2}=1$. If $\iota=3$, we have $(C, O) \sim B_{6,5}$ by Lemma 2. Therefore we consider the case $\iota \geq 4$. If $\iota=4$, we have ( $C, O$ ) $\sim B_{8,5}$ as in case (b-1). If $\iota \geq 5$, we get the following possibilities.

Proposition 8. Suppose that $C_{2}$ is smooth, $m_{5}=3$ and the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is a line with multiplicity 3. Then the germ $(C, O)$ can be of type $B_{2 \iota, 5}$ for $\iota=3,4$ and if $\iota \geq 5$, we have the following possibilities.
(1) If $\iota=5$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{5,10}$ and $B_{k, 2} \circ B_{6,3}(k=5, \ldots, 12)$.
(2) If $\iota=6$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{9,2} \circ B_{6,3}$ and $B_{12,5}$.
(3) If $\iota=7$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{14,2} \circ B_{6,3}, B_{7,2} \circ B_{8,3}$ and $B_{14,5}$.
(4) If $\iota=8$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{19,2} \circ B_{6,3}, B_{12,2} \circ B_{8,3}$ and $B_{16,5}$.
(5) If $\iota=9$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{24,2} \circ B_{6,3}, B_{17,2} \circ B_{8,3}, B_{10,2} \circ B_{10,3}$ and $B_{18,5}$.
(6) If $\iota=10$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{29,2} \circ B_{6,3}, B_{22,2} \circ B_{8,3}, B_{15,2} \circ B_{10,3}$ and $B_{20,5}$.

We omit the proof as it is parallel to Lemma 5 and Lemma 6.
(c-2) Assume that $C_{2}$ is a union of two lines passing through the origin and $T_{O} C_{5}$ is defined by $\left\{y^{3}=0\right\}$. Then we have $\iota \geq 6$ and we can list the possibilities as in the following proposition.

Proposition 9. Suppose that $C_{2}$ is a union of two lines passing through the origin and the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is defined by $\left\{y^{3}=0\right\}$. Then we have:
(1) If $\iota=6$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{6,2}}, B_{4,2} \circ\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}}, B_{10,6}$ or $B_{6,3} \circ B_{5,3}$.
(2) If $\iota=7$ then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{11,2}}$.
(3) If $\iota=8$ then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{9,2} \circ\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}}$ or $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{5,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{10,2}}$.

The proof is parallel to the previous computations.
4.4. Case IV: $m_{5}=$ 4. We divide Case IV into five subcases by the type of $T_{O} C_{5}$.
(a) $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of four distinct lines.
(b) $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 2 and two distinct lines.
(c) $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 3 and another line.
(d) $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 4 .
(e) $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of two lines with multiplicity 2 .

First remark that if $C_{2}$ is smooth and $C_{2}$ intersects transversely with $T_{O} C_{5}$ at the origin $(\iota=4)$, we have $(C, O) \sim B_{8,5}$ by Lemma 2. So hereafter, we consider the case $C_{2}$ and $T_{O} C_{5}$ does not intersect transversely. First we prepare the following Lemma.

Lemma 7. Suppose that the conic $C_{2}$ is smooth and let $\left(x, y_{1}\right)$ be a local coordinate system so that $C_{2}$ is defined by $y_{1}=0$. We put $f_{5}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=y_{1}\left(y_{1}+c_{1} x\right)\left(y_{1}+c_{2} x\right)\left(y_{1}+\right.$ $\left.c_{3} x\right)+($ higher terms $)$. Then
(1) If $c_{i} \neq 0$ for $i=1,2,3$, then $(C, O) \sim B_{2 \iota, 5}$ for $\iota=4,5$ and if $\iota \geq 5$, we have two series $B_{k, 2} \circ B_{6,3}, 5 \leq k \leq 10$ for $\iota=5$ and $B_{5 \iota-21,2} \circ B_{6,3}$ for $\iota=6, \ldots, 10$.
(2) If $c_{1}=0$ and $c_{i} \neq 0$ for $i=2,3$, then we have ( $\left.C, O\right) \sim B_{2 \iota, 5}$ for $\iota=4,5,6$ and $(C, O) \sim B_{5 \iota-28,2} \circ B_{8,3}$ for $\iota=7, \ldots, 10$.
(3) If $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$ and $c_{3} \neq 0$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{2 \iota, 5}$ for $\iota=4, \ldots, 8$ and $(C, O) \sim B_{5 \iota-35,2} \circ B_{10,3}$ for $\iota=9,10$.
(4) If $c_{i}=0,(i=1,2,3)$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{2 \iota, 5}$ for $\iota=4, \ldots, 10$.

Proof. We consider the Newton boundary $\mathcal{N}\left(f, x, y_{1}\right)$. We have

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(f, x, y_{1}\right)=y_{1}^{5}+c^{2} x^{6} y_{1}^{2}+2 c \beta_{50} x^{8} y_{1}+\beta_{50}^{2} x^{10}, \quad \text { where } c=c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}
$$

and $\Gamma\left(f ; x, y_{1}\right)$ consists of one face $\Delta$ with the weight vector ${ }^{t}(1,2)$. We can see that the discriminant $R$ of the face function $f_{\Delta}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(f, x, y_{1}\right)$ in $y_{1}$ can be written as $R=$ $\beta_{50}^{5} \alpha x^{40}$ where $\alpha$ is a polynomial of $c$ and $\beta_{50}$. Then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{10,5}$ if $R \neq 0, \iota=5$ $\left(\iota=5\right.$ if and only if $\beta_{50} \neq 0$ ). We observe that $R \neq 0$, if $c=0$ and $\beta_{50} \neq 0$.

We first consider the case (1): $c_{i} \neq 0, i=1,2,3$. Note that $\alpha=0$ and $\beta_{50}=0$ is impossible. If $\beta_{50} \alpha \neq 0$, then we have $\iota=5$ and $(C, O) \sim B_{10,5}$ as observed above. Thus we consider the case $\alpha=0$ or $\beta_{50}=0$. In both cases, by taking a suitable triangular change of coordinates, we can get a non-degenerate singularity. If $\alpha=0$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{k, 2} \circ B_{6,3}, 5 \leq k \leq 10$. If $\beta_{50}=0$, then we have $B_{5 \iota-21,2} \circ B_{6,3}$ for $\iota=6, \ldots, 10$. Hence we have assertion (1).

To consider the cases (2) $\sim(4)$, we may assume $\beta_{50}=0$. Then we can write

$$
f_{5}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=y_{1}^{4}+\left(c_{2}+c_{3}\right) x y_{1}^{3}+c_{2} c_{3} x^{2} y_{1}^{2}+\beta_{41} x^{4} y_{1}+\beta_{60} x^{6}+(\text { higher terms })
$$

and we have $\mathcal{N}\left(f ; x, y_{1}\right)=y_{1}^{5}+\beta_{60}^{2} x^{12}$ and note that $\beta_{60} \neq 0$ if and only if $\iota=6$. If $\beta_{60} \neq 0$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{12,5}$ and if $\beta_{60}=0$, then we have $\iota \geq 7$. Secondly we consider that (2): $c_{1}=0, c_{i} \neq 0,(i=2,3)$. Then

$$
f_{5}\left(x, y_{1}\right)=y_{1}^{4}+\left(c_{2}+c_{3}\right) x y_{1}^{3}+c_{2} c_{3} x^{2} y_{1}^{2}+\beta_{41} x^{4} y_{1}+\beta_{70} x^{7}+(\text { higher terms })
$$

and we have $\mathcal{N}\left(f ; x, y_{1}\right)=y_{1}^{5}+x^{8}\left(\beta_{41} y_{1}+\beta_{70} x^{3}\right)^{2}$. The assertion (2) follows easily by the Newton boundary argument. For the assertions (3) and (4), we can consider them similarly.

Case IV-(a): Now we classify the singularities in this case. We have the following.
PROPOSItION 10. Suppose that the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of four distinct lines.
(1) If the conic $C_{2}$ is smooth, then we have the following possibilities.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } \iota=4 \text {, then we have }(C, O) \sim B_{2 \iota, 5} \text {. } \\
& \text { If } \iota=5 \text {, then we have }(C, O) \sim B_{2 \iota 5} \text { or } B_{k, 2} \circ B_{6,3}(5 \leq k \leq 10) \text {. } \\
& \text { If } \iota \geq 6 \text {, then we have } B_{5 \iota-21,2} \circ B_{6,3} \text { for } \iota=6, \ldots, 10 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Assume that the conic $C_{2}$ is a union of two lines $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}$. Putting $\iota_{i}=I\left(\ell_{i}, C_{5} ; O\right)$ for $i=1,2$, we have $(C, O) \sim B_{5 \iota_{1}-16,2} \circ\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,5 \iota_{2}-16}$ with $\iota_{1}+\iota_{2}=\iota$.
Proof. The assertion (1) immediately follows from Lemma 7. The assertion (2) follows from the Lemma 3.

Case VI-(b): In this case, we denote components of $T_{O} C_{5}$ by $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ where $L_{1}$ is a line with multiplicity 2.
(b-1) Assume that $C_{2}$ is smooth $\left(m_{2}=1\right)$. Then we have the following.
Proposition 11. Suppose that $C_{2}$ is smooth and the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 2 and two distinct lines. The germ $(C, O) \sim B_{8,5}$ for $\iota=4$. If $\iota \geq 5$, we have the following possibilities for $(C, O)$.
(1) We assume that $C_{2}$ is tangent to $L_{2}$ or $L_{3}$. Then $(C, O) \sim B_{5,10}$ or $(C, O) \sim$ $B_{3,6} \circ B_{2, k},(5 \leq k \leq 10)$ for $\iota=5$ and $B_{3,6} \circ B_{2,5 \iota-21}$ for $\iota=6, \ldots, 10$.
(2) We assume that $C_{2}$ is tangent to $L_{1}$. Then $(C, O) \sim B_{2 \iota, 5}$ for $\iota=5,6$ and $(C, O) \sim$ $B_{5 \iota-28,2} \circ B_{8,3}$ for $\iota=7, \ldots, 10$.
Proof. The assertions (1) and (2) are immediate by Lemma 4 and Lemma 7.
(b-2) Assume that $C_{2}$ is a union of two lines passing through the origin $\ell_{i}:=\left\{a_{i} x+\right.$ $\left.b_{i} y=0\right\},(i=1,2)$ and we assume that $L_{1}=\left\{y^{2}=0\right\}, L_{2}=\{x=0\}$ and $L_{3}=\{y+c x=$ $0\}$.

Proposition 12. Suppose that $C_{2}$ is a union of two lines and the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 2 and two distinct lines. Then

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\iota=8: \quad(C, O) \sim B_{6,4} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,4}, \quad B_{4,2} \circ B_{3,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,4} \\
\iota=9: \quad(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,4}, \quad \ell_{1}=L_{1} \quad \text { or } \\
& B_{6,4} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,9}, \quad B_{4,2} \circ B_{3,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,9}, \quad \ell_{1}=L_{2} \\
\iota=10: \quad(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,9}, \ell_{1}=L_{1}, \ell_{2}=L_{2}, \\
& B_{6,4} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}} \circ B_{2,9}, B_{4,2} \circ B_{3,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}} \circ B_{2,9}, \quad \ell_{1}=L_{2}, \ell_{2}=L_{3}
\end{array}
$$

We omit the proof as it follows from an easy calculation.
Case IV-(c): We assume that $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of $L_{1}$ and a simple $L_{2}$ where $L_{1}$ is a line with multiplicity 3 .
(c-1) Assume that $C_{2}$ is smooth. Then we have the following.
Proposition 13. Suppose that $C_{2}$ is smooth and $C_{5}$ is as above. Then $(C, O) \sim B_{8,5}$ for $\iota=4$.

Assume that $\iota \geq 5$. Then the possibilities of $(C, O)$ are:
(1) If $C_{2}$ is tangent to $L_{2}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{5,10}$, or $B_{3,6} \circ B_{2, k},(5 \leq k \leq 10)$ for $\iota=5$ and $(C, O) \sim B_{3,6} \circ B_{2,5 \iota-21}$ for $\iota=6, \ldots, 10$.
(2) If $C_{2}$ is tangent to $L_{1}$, then we have ( $\left.C, O\right) \sim B_{2 \iota, 5}$ for $\iota=4, \ldots, 8$ and $(C, O) \sim$ $B_{5 \iota-35,2} \circ B_{10,3}$ for $\iota=9,10$.

The proofs of (1) and (2) are immediate from Lemma 4 and Lemma 7.
(c-2) Assume that $C_{2}$ is a union of two lines $\ell_{i}, i=1,2$ passing through the origin and put $\ell_{i}:=\left\{a_{i} x+b_{i} y=0\right\}$ for $i=1,2$. We assume that $L_{1}=\left\{y^{3}=0\right\}$ and $L_{2}=\{x=0\}$. Then we have $\iota \geq 8$.

Proposition 14. Suppose that $C_{2}$ is a union of two lines $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}$ and $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of $L_{1}$ and a simple line $L_{2}$ where $L_{1}$ is a line with multiplicity 3. Then
(1) If $\iota=8$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{8,6} \circ B_{2,4}$ and $B_{5,3} \circ B_{4,3} \circ B_{2,4}$.
(2) Suppose that $\iota=9$.
(a) If $\ell_{1}=L_{1}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,4}$ and $B_{5,3} \circ B_{4,3} \circ B_{2,9}$.
(b) If $\ell_{2}=L_{2}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{8,6} \circ B_{2,9}$.
(3) If $\iota=10$, then we have $\ell_{1}=L_{1}, \ell_{2}=L_{2}$ and $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,9}$.

## Case VI-(d):

Proposition 15. Suppose that the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is a line with multiplicity 4.
(1) If $C_{2}$ is smooth, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{2 \iota, 5}$ for $\iota=4, \ldots, 10$.
(2) Suppose $C_{2}$ consists of two lines.
(a) If $\iota=8$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{10,8}$ and $B_{2,1} \circ B_{4,3} \circ B_{5,4}$.
(b) If $\iota=9$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{5,4}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}}$.

Note that when $\iota=10, C$ is a linear torus curve. See $\S 6$.
Case VI-(e): In this case, we denote the lines with multiplicity 2 of $T_{O} C_{5}$ by $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$.
(e-1) Assume that $C_{2}$ is smooth.
Proposition 16. Suppose that $C_{2}$ is smooth and the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of two lines with multiplicity 2. If $\iota=4$, then the germ $(C, O) \sim B_{8,5}$. If $\iota \geq 5$, then we have the following possibilities of $(C, O)$.
(1) If $C_{2}$ is tangent to $L_{1}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{2 \iota, 5}$ for $\iota=5,6$ and $B_{5 \iota-28,2} \circ B_{8,3}$ for $\iota=7, \ldots, 10$.
(2) If $C_{2}$ is tangent to $L_{2}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{5,2 \iota}$ for $\iota=5,6$ and $B_{3,8} \circ B_{2,5 \iota-28}$ for $\iota=7, \ldots, 10$.
(e-2) Assume that $C_{2}$ is a union of two lines.
Proposition 17. Suppose that $C_{2}$ is the union of two lines and the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of two lines with multiplicity 2 . Then
(1) If $\iota=8$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{6,4} \circ B_{4,6}, B_{4,2} \circ B_{3,2} \circ B_{4,6}$ and $B_{4,2} \circ B_{3,2} \circ$ $B_{2,3} \circ B_{2,4}$.
(2) If $\iota=9$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{6,4} \circ\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}}$ and $B_{4,2} \circ B_{3,2} \circ\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}}$.
(3) If $\iota=10$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \circ\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}}$
4.5. Case V: $m_{5}=5$. In this case, we have $\iota \geq 5$. Similarly we can also divide this case depending either $C_{2}$ is smooth or a union of two lines.

Proposition 18. Suppose that the multiplicity of the quintic $C_{5}$ is 5 , i.e., $C_{5}$ consists of five line components. Then we have the following possibilities of $(C, O)$.
(1) If $C_{2}$ is an irreducible conic, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{2 \iota, 5}$ for $\iota=5, \ldots, 10$.
(2) If $C_{2}$ consists of two lines, then $f$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 10 and therefore $(C, O) \sim B_{10,10}$, i.e., $C$ consists of 10 line components.

## 5. The classification

Now we have the following local classification.
ThEOREM 1. Let $C=\left\{f=f_{2}^{5}+f_{5}^{2}=0\right\}$ is a (2,5)-torus curve. We assume that $C_{2}=\left\{f_{2}=0\right\}$ is a reduced conic. The topological type of $(C, O)$ is equivalent to one of the following where $\dagger(C, O)$ denotes that it has the degenerate series.
I. If $C_{5}$ is smooth, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{5,2}, \iota=1, \ldots, 10$.
II. Assume that $C_{5}$ is singular.
(II-1) Assume first that $C_{2}$ is an irreducible conic. Then we have:

| $\iota$ | $(C, O)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $B_{3,2} \circ B_{2,3}, \quad B_{5,4}$ |
| 3 | $B_{8,2} \circ B_{2,3},\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{3,2}, B_{6,5}}$ |
| 4 | $B_{13,2} \circ B_{2,3}, B_{6,2} \circ B_{4,3}, B_{8,5}, \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}}$ |
| 5 | $B_{18,2} \circ B_{2,3}, B_{11,2} \circ B_{4,3}, \dagger B_{10,5}, \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}+B_{2,2}}$ |
| 6 | $B_{23,2} \circ B_{2,3}, B_{16,2} \circ B_{4,3}, \dagger B_{9,2} \circ B_{6,3}, B_{12,5}, \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{12,2}+B_{2,2}}$ |
| 7 | $B_{28,2} \circ B_{2,3}, B_{21,2} \circ B_{4,3}, \dagger B_{14,2} \circ B_{6,3}, \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{17,2}+B_{2,2}, B_{7,2} \circ B_{8,3}, B_{14,5}}$ |
| 8 | $B_{33,2} \circ B_{2,3}, B_{26,2} \circ B_{4,3}, \dagger B_{19,2} \circ B_{6,3}, \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{22,2}+B_{2,2}}, B_{12,2} \circ B_{8,3}, B_{16,5}$ |


| 9 | $B_{38,2} \circ B_{2,3}, B_{31,2} \circ B_{4,3}, \quad \dagger B_{24,2} \circ B_{6,3}, \quad \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{27,2}+B_{2,2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $B_{17,2} \circ B_{8,3}, \quad B_{10,2} \circ B_{10,3}, \quad B_{18,5}$ |  |
| 10 | $B_{43,2} \circ B_{2,3}, \quad B_{36,2} \circ B_{4,3}, \dagger B_{29,2} \circ B_{6,3}, \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{32,2}+B_{2,2}}$ |
| $B_{22,2} \circ B_{8,3}, \quad B_{15,2} \circ B_{10,3}, \quad B_{20,5}$ |  |

The singularities with $\dagger$ have further degenerations as is indicated below.
$\dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}}: B_{10,4}, \quad B_{k, 2} \circ B_{2,5} \quad(6 \leq k \leq 15)$
$\dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}+B_{2,2}}:\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}}$
$\dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{12,2}+B_{2,2}}:\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{3,2}},\left(B_{7,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}}, B_{15,4}$
$\dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{17,2}+B_{2,2}}:\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{8,2}+B_{3,2}},\left(B_{7,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}}$
$\dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{22,2}+B_{2,2}}:\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{13,2}+B_{3,2}},\left(B_{8,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{4,2}},\left(B_{9,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}}$
$B_{20,4}, B_{k, 2} \circ B_{10,2}(k=11,12)$
$\dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{27,2}+B_{2,2}}:\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{18,2}+B_{3,2}},\left(B_{8,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{9,2}+B_{4,2}},\left(B_{9,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{10,2}}$
$\dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{32,2}+B_{2,2}}:\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{23,2}+B_{3,2}},\left(B_{8,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{13,2}+B_{4,2}},\left(B_{10,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{5,2}},\left(B_{11,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{6,2}}$,
$\left(B_{12,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{1,2}}, B_{25,4}$
$\dagger B_{10,5}: B_{k, 2} \circ B_{6,3}(5 \leq k \leq 12), B_{k, 3} \circ B_{4,2}(7 \leq k \leq 11), B_{3,1} \circ B_{5,2} \circ B_{4,2}$,
$B_{3,1} \circ B_{7,2} \circ B_{4,2}, B_{k, 2} \circ B_{3,1} \circ B_{4,2}(k=7,8,9), B_{k_{2}+4,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k_{1}, 2}}$,
$\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \mid k_{2}-4 \leq k_{1} \leq 13-k_{2}, 5 \leq k_{2} \leq 7\right\} \cup\{(4,8),(5,9)\}$.
$\dagger B_{9,2} \circ B_{6,3}:\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,1}, B_{9,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k, 2}}(1 \leq k \leq 8)$
$\dagger B_{14,2} \circ B_{6,3}:\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,1}, B_{13,2} \circ B_{2,1}, B_{14,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k, 2}}(1 \leq k \leq 7)$
$\dagger B_{19,2} \circ B_{6,3}: B_{12,2} \circ\left(B_{3,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,1},\left(B_{7,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2} \circ B_{2,1}, B_{19,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k, 2}}(1 \leq}$ $k \leq 6$ )
$\dagger B_{24,2} \circ B_{6,3}: B_{17,2} \circ\left(B_{3,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,1},\left(B_{8,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{1,2}, B_{18,4} \circ B_{2,1}$, $B_{24,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k, 2}}(1 \leq k \leq 5)$
$\dagger B_{29,2} \circ B_{6,3}: B_{22,2} \circ\left(B_{3,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,1}, B_{22,2} \circ\left(B_{4,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,1},\left(B_{9,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,1}$, $B_{29,2} \circ B_{2,1} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{k, 2}} \quad(1 \leq k \leq 5, k \neq 4)$
(II-2) Next we assume that $C_{2}$ consists of the two distinct lines. Then we have:

| $\iota$ | $(C, O)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $B_{8,2} \circ B_{2,8}, \quad \dagger\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{8,2}}$ |
| 5 | $B_{13,2} \circ B_{2,8}, \quad\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{13,2}}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} B_{18,2} \circ B_{2,8}, \quad B_{13,2} \circ B_{2,13}, \quad \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{7,2}} \\ B_{6,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,6}, \quad \dagger\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,6}, \quad \dagger\left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{6,2}} \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} B_{23,2} \circ B_{2,8}, \quad B_{18,2} \circ B_{2,13}, \quad \dagger B_{16,2} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}} \\ B_{11,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,6}, \quad\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{9,2}} \circ B_{2,6}, \quad \dagger\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,11},\left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{11,2}} \end{gathered}$ |
| 8 | $\begin{gathered} B_{23,2} \circ B_{2,13}, B_{18,2} \circ B_{2,18}, B_{11,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,11}, B_{6,4} \circ B_{6,4}, B_{10,8} \\ B_{16,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,6},\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{9,2} \circ B_{2,11},\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,16} \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,6}} \\ B_{4,2} \circ\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,4}, \dagger B_{6,4} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,4}, \dagger B_{8,6} \circ B_{2,4}, B_{4,2} \circ\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}} \end{gathered}$ |
| 9 | $\begin{gathered} B_{23,2} \circ B_{2,18}, B_{16,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,11} \\ \left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{9,2}} \circ B_{2,16}, \quad \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,11}, \quad B_{9,2} \circ\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,4} \\ \dagger B_{6,4} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,9},\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,4} \dagger B_{6,4} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}} \circ B_{2,4} \\ \dagger\left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,4},\left(B_{5,4}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}, \dagger B_{6,4} \circ\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2},} B_{8,6} \circ B_{2,9}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | $\begin{gathered} B_{23,2} \circ B_{2,23}, \quad B_{16,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,16} \\ \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{5,2} \circ B_{2,16}, \quad B_{9,2} \circ\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,9}, \quad \dagger B_{6,4} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}} \circ B_{2,9}} \\ \left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,9}, B_{6,4} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2} \circ B_{2,4}} \\ \left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,9},\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \circ\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \end{gathered}$ |

The singularities with $\dagger$ have further degenerations as is indicated below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dagger\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{8,2}}:\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}}, \quad B_{10,4}, B_{k, 2} \circ B_{2,5}(6 \leq k \leq 15) \\
& \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{7,2}}:\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{10,2}},\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{3,2}},\left(B_{7,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}}, B_{15,4} \\
& \dagger B_{16,2} \circ\left(B_{2,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}}:\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{15,2}} \\
& \dagger\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,6}, \quad B_{8,4} \circ B_{2,6}, \quad B_{k, 2} \circ B_{4,2} \circ B_{2,6}(5 \leq k \leq 12) \\
& \dagger\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,11}: B_{8,4} \circ B_{2,11}, \quad B_{k, 2} \circ B_{4,2} \circ B_{2,11}(5 \leq k \leq 10) \\
& \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,6}:\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{6,2}} \circ B_{2,6},\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,6}, \quad B_{13,4} \circ B_{2,6} \\
& \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,11}:\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{6,2}} \circ B_{2,11},\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,11}, \quad B_{13,4} \circ B_{2,11} \\
& \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,16}:\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{6,2}} \circ B_{2,16},\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{1,2}} \circ B_{2,16}, \quad B_{13,4} \circ B_{2,16} \\
& \dagger\left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{6,2}}: B_{10,6}, \quad B_{6,3} \circ B_{5,3} \\
& \dagger B_{6,4} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,9}: B_{4,3} \circ B_{3,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,9} \\
& \dagger B_{6,4} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}} \circ B_{2,4}: B_{4,3} \circ B_{3,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}} \circ B_{2,4} \\
& \dagger B_{6,4} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}} \circ B_{2,9}: B_{4,3} \circ B_{3,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}} \circ B_{2,9}
\end{aligned}
$$

```
\(\dagger B_{8,6} \circ B_{2,4}: B_{5,4} \circ B_{4,3} \circ B_{2,4}\)
\(\dagger B_{8,6} \circ B_{2,9}: B_{5,4} \circ B_{4,3} \circ B_{2,9}\)
\(\dagger B_{6,4} \circ B_{4,6}: B_{4,2} \circ B_{3,2} \circ B_{2,6}, \quad B_{4,2} \circ B_{3,2} \circ B_{2,3} \circ B_{2,4}\)
\(\dagger B_{6,4} \circ\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}}: B_{4,2} \circ B_{3,2} \circ\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}}\)
```


## 6. Linear torus curve of type $(2,5)$

DEFINITION 3. Let $C$ be a torus curve of type $(2,5)$ which has a defining polynomial $f$ which can be written as $f(x, y)=f_{2}(x, y)^{5}+f_{5}(x, y)^{2}$ where $\operatorname{deg} f_{j}=j(j=2,5)$. If $f_{2}(x, y)=\ell(x, y)^{2}$ for some linear form $\ell$, then $C$ is called a linear torus curve.

A linear torus curve of degree 10 is a union of two quintics.
6.1. Local Classification. In this section we determine the local singularity of linear torus curve type $(2,5)$.

For the determination of $(C, O)$, we divide it into five cases as in the previous sections. If $C_{5}$ is smooth, we have already determined the singularity $(C, O)$ by Lemma 1 . Hence we consider the case that the multiplicity of $C_{5}$ is greater than or equal to 2 .
6.2. Case L-II: $m_{5}=2$. In this case, $T_{O} C_{5}$ has two types and we have $\iota=4,6,8,10$.

Proposition 19. Suppose $m_{5}=2$.
(1) If the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of two distinct lines, then we have ( $C, O$ ) $\sim$ $B_{5 \iota-12,2} \circ B_{2,8}$ for $\iota=4,6,8,10$.
(2) Assume that the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is a line with multiplicity 2.
(a) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{3,2}$, then we have
(i) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{8,2}}$ for $\iota=4$ and
(ii) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{18,2}}$ for $\iota=6$.
(b) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{4,2}$, then we have
(i) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}}$ for $\iota=4$,
(ii) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{12,2}}$ for $\iota=8$ and
(iii) $\quad(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{22,2}+B_{12,2}}$ for $\iota=10$.
(c) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{5,2}$, then we have
(i) $(C, O) \sim B_{10,4}$ and $B_{k, 2} \circ B_{2,5}(6 \leq k \leq 15)$ for $\iota=4$
(ii) $\quad(C, O) \sim\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{20,2}}$ for $\iota=8$.
(iii) $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{30,2}}$ for $\iota=10$.
(d) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{6,2}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{8,2}}$ for $\iota=8$.
(e) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{7,2}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{7,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{12,2}}$ for $\iota=8$.
(f) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{8,2}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{8,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{4,2}}$ for $\iota=8$.
(g) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{9,2}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{9,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}}$ for $\iota=8$.
(h) If $\left(C_{5}, O\right) \sim B_{10,2}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{20,5}$ and $B_{k, 2} \circ B_{10,3}(11 \leq k \leq$ 13) for $\iota=8$.

Proof. The assertion (1) is shown by the Newton boundary argument (See Lemma 3). The proof of the assertion (2) is mainly computational. See the proof of Proposition 3.
6.3. Case L-III: $m_{5}=3$. In this case, $T_{O} C_{5}$ has three types and we have $\iota=2 k,(k=$ 3, 4, 5).

Proposition 20. Suppose $m_{5}=3$.
(1) If the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of three distinct lines, then we have ( $C, O$ ) $\sim$ $\left(B_{3,3}^{2}\right)^{3 B_{4,2}}$ and $B_{16,2} \circ\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{4,2}}$ and $B_{26,2} \circ\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{4,2}}$ for $\iota=6,8,10$.
(2) Suppose the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is a line with multiplicity 2 and a single line.
(a) If $\iota=6$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{6,2} \circ\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}}, B_{8,4} \circ B_{2,6}$ and $B_{k, 2} \circ B_{4,2} \circ$ $B_{2,6},(5 \leq k \leq 10)$.
(b) If $\iota=8$, then we have the following.
(i) If the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is $\left\{x y^{2}=0\right\}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim$ $\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{14,2}} \circ B_{2,6}$.
(ii) If the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is $\left\{x^{2} y=0\right\}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{16,2} \circ$ $\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}}, B_{16,2} \circ B_{4,8}$ and $B_{16,2} \circ B_{2,4} \circ B_{2, k},(5 \leq k \leq 10)$.
(c) If $\iota=10$, then we have the following.
(i) If the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is $\left\{x y^{2}=0\right\}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim$ $\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{10,2}} \circ B_{2,6},\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{16,2}} \circ B_{2,6},\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{6,2}} \circ B_{2,6},\left(B_{8,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,6}$, $B_{18,2} \circ B_{2,6}$ and $B_{19,2} \circ B_{2,6}$.
(ii) If the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is $\left\{x^{2} y=0\right\}$, then we have ( $\left.C, O\right) \sim B_{26,2}$ 。

$$
\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}}, B_{26,2} \circ B_{4,8} \text { and } B_{26,2} \circ B_{2,4} \circ B_{2, k},(5 \leq k \leq 9) .
$$

(3) Suppose that the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is a line with multiplicity 3.
(a) If $\iota=6$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{6,2}}, B_{4,2} \circ\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}}, B_{10,6}$ and $B_{3,6} \circ B_{5,3}$.
(b) If $\iota=8$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{16,2}}$.
(c) If $\iota=10$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{4,2} \circ\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{12,2}}$ and $\left(B_{5,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{20,2}}$.
6.4. Case L-IV: $m_{5}=4$.

Proposition 21. Suppose that the multiplicity of $\left(C_{5}, O\right)$ is 4 .
(1) Assume that the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is four distinct lines. Then
(a) If $\iota=8$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,4}^{2}\right)^{4 B_{2,2}}$.
(b) If $\iota=10$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{14,2} \circ\left(B_{3,3}^{2}\right)^{3 B_{2,2}}$.
(2) Assume that the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is a line with multiplicity 2 and two distinct lines.
(a) If $\iota=8$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{4,6}$ and $\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,3} \circ B_{2,4}$
(b) Suppose $\iota=10$.
(i) If the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is $\left\{x^{2} y(y+c x)=0\right\}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim$ $B_{14,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{4,6}$ and $B_{14,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,3} \circ B_{2,4}$.
(ii) If the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is $\left\{x y^{2}(y+c x)=0\right\}$, then we have $(C, O) \sim$ $\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{10,2}} \circ\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,4}$.
(3) Assume that the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is a line with multiplicity 3 and another line.
(a) If $\iota=8$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{4,2} \circ B_{6,8}$ and $B_{4,2} \circ B_{3,4} \circ B_{2,3} \circ B_{1,2}$.
(b) Suppose $\iota=10$.
(i) If the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is $\left\{x^{3} y=0\right\}$, then we have $B_{14,2} \circ B_{6,8}$ and $B_{14,2} \circ B_{3,4} \circ B_{2,3} \circ B_{1,2}$.
(ii) If the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is $\left\{x y^{3}=0\right\}$, then we have $\left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{10,2}} \circ B_{2,4}$.
(4) Assume that the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ is a line with multiplicity 4.
(a) If $\iota=8$, then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{8,10}$ and $B_{4,5} \circ B_{3,4} \circ B_{1,2}$.
(b) If $\iota=10$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,5}^{2}\right)^{B_{10,2}}$.
(5) Assume that the tangent cone $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of two lines with multiplicity 2.
(a) If $\iota=8$, then we have $(C, O) \sim\left(B_{2,2}^{4}\right)^{2 B_{10,2}},\left(B_{1,1}^{4}\right)^{B_{10,2}} \circ B_{4,6}$ and $\left(B_{1,1}^{4}\right)^{B_{10,2}} \circ$ $B_{2,3} \circ B_{2,4}$.

Putting together the above classifications, we have:

| $\iota$ | (C, O) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $B_{10,2}$ |
| 4 | $B_{20,2}, \quad B_{8,2} \circ B_{2,8}, \dagger\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{8,2}}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & B_{30,2}, \quad B_{18,2} \circ B_{2,8}, \quad \dagger\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{18,2}} \\ & \dagger B_{6,2} \circ\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}, \quad \dagger\left(B_{4,3}\right)^{B_{6,2},} \dagger\left(B_{3,3}^{2}\right)^{3 B_{4,2}}} \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & B_{40,2}, B_{28,2} \circ B_{2,8}, \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{12,2}}, \dagger B_{8,10},\left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{16,2}},\left(B_{4,4}^{2}\right)^{4 B_{2,2}} \\ & \left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right) B_{14,2} \circ B_{2,6}, \dagger B_{16,2} \circ\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}}, \dagger\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{4,6} \\ & \dagger B_{4,2} \circ B_{6,8}, \dagger\left(B_{2,2}^{4}\right)^{2 B_{10,2}}, B_{16,2} \circ\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{4,2}} \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | $\begin{array}{ll} B_{50,2}, & B_{38,2} \circ B_{2,8}, \\ \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{22,2}+B_{12,2}}, & \left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{10,2} \circ B_{2,4}} \\ \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{10,2}} \circ B_{2,6}, & B_{26,2} \circ\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}}, \\ B_{10,10}, & \dagger B_{14,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{4,6} \\ \dagger B_{4,2} \circ\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{12,2},}, & B_{14,2} \circ\left(B_{3,3}^{2}\right)^{3 B_{2,2}}, \\ \left(B_{4,5}^{2}\right)^{B_{10,2}}, \quad \dagger B_{14,2} \circ B_{6,8} \\ \dagger\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{10,2}} \circ\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2} \circ B_{2,4},} B_{26,2} \circ\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{4,2},},\left(B_{4,5}^{2}\right)^{B_{10,2}} \end{array}$ |

ThEOREM 2. Let $C$ be a linear torus curve of type $(2,5)$. The $(C, O)$ is described as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dagger\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{8,2}}: B_{10,4},\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}}, \quad B_{k, 2} \circ B_{5,2} \quad(6 \leq k \leq 15) \\
& \dagger\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{18,2}}:\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{20,2}},\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{8,2}},\left(B_{7,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{12,2}},\left(B_{8,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{4,2}},\left(B_{9,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}}, \\
& B_{20,5}, \quad B_{k, 2} \circ B_{10,3}(1 \leq k \leq 13) \\
& \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{22,2}+B_{12,2}}:\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{30,2}} \\
& \dagger B_{6,2} \circ\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}}: B_{8,4} \circ B_{2,6}, \quad B_{k, 2} \circ B_{4,2} \circ B_{2,6}(5 \leq k \leq 10) \\
& \dagger B_{16,2} \circ\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}}: B_{16,2} \circ B_{4,8}, \quad B_{16,2} \circ B_{2,4} \circ B_{2, k}(5 \leq k \leq 10) \\
& \dagger B_{26,2} \circ\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}}: B_{26,2} \circ B_{4,8}, \quad B_{26,2} \circ B_{2,4} \circ B_{2, k}(5 \leq k \leq 9) \\
& \dagger\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{10,2}} \circ B_{2,6}:\left(B_{5,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{16,2}} \circ B_{2,6},\left(B_{6,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{6,2}} \circ B_{2,6},\left(B_{8,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,6}, \\
& B_{18,2} \circ B_{2,6}, \quad B_{19,2} \circ B_{2,6} \\
& \dagger\left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{6,2}}: B_{4,2} \circ\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}}, \quad B_{10,6}, \quad B_{6,3} \circ B_{5,3} \\
& \dagger B_{4,2} \circ\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{12,2}}:\left(B_{5,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{20,2}} \\
& \dagger\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{4,6}: B_{2,4} \circ B_{2,3} \circ\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,3} \circ B_{2,4} \\
& \dagger B_{14,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{4,6}: B_{14,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,3} \circ B_{2,4} \\
& \dagger B_{4,2} \circ B_{6,8}: B_{4,2} \circ B_{3,4} \circ B_{2,3} \circ B_{1,2} . \\
& \dagger B_{14,2} \circ B_{6,8}: B_{14,2} \circ B_{3,4} \circ B_{2,3} \circ B_{1,2} \\
& \dagger B_{8,10}: B_{4,5} \circ B_{3,4} \circ B_{1,2} \\
& \dagger\left(B_{2,2}^{4}\right)^{2 B_{10,2}}:,\left(B_{1,1}^{4}\right)^{B_{10,2}} \circ B_{4,6},\left(B_{1,1}^{4}\right)^{B_{10,2}} \circ B_{2,3} \circ B_{2,4} \\
& \dagger\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{10,2}} \circ B_{4,6}:\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{10,2}} \circ B_{2,3} \circ B_{2,4}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 7. Appendix

In this section, we give some examples of singularities which were obtained in the previous sections.

Example 1 (Case I). We assume that the quintic $C_{5}$ is smooth at $O$. Then we have $(C, O) \sim B_{5 \iota, 2}, 1 \leq \iota \leq 10$. The following example

$$
C: f(x, y)=\left(y^{5}+y+x^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(y+x^{2}\right)^{5}
$$

corresponds to $\iota=10$ and $(C, O) \sim A_{49}$ and the Milnor number $\mu$ is 49 ([1]).
Example 2 (Case II-(a)). We assume that $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of two distinct lines.
(a-1) $C: f(x, y)=\left(y-x^{2}\right)^{5}+\left(y^{5}+x y-x^{3}\right)^{2},(C, O) \sim B_{43,2} \circ B_{2,3}$,

$$
\iota=10, \mu=51 .
$$

(a-2) $\quad C: f(x, y)=x^{5} y^{5}+\left(y^{5}+y x+x^{5}\right)^{2}, \quad(C, O) \sim B_{23,2} \circ B_{2,23}, \iota=10, \mu=51$.

Example 3 (Case II-(b)). We assume that $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 2.
(b-1) $\quad C_{t}: f(x, y)=\left(y+x^{2}\right)^{5}+\left(-y^{5}+2 x y^{3}+\left(2 x^{3}+1\right) y^{2}+(2+t) x^{2} y+(1+t) x^{4}\right)^{2}$, $\left(C_{t}, O\right) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{32,2}+B_{2,2}}, \iota=10, \mu=55$.
This singularity degenerate into:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{0}: f(x, y) & =\left(y+x^{2}\right)^{5}+\left(-y^{5}+2 x y^{3}+\left(2 x^{3}+1\right) y^{2}+2 x^{2} y+x^{4}\right)^{2} \\
\quad\left(C_{0}, O\right) & \sim B_{25,4}, \iota=10, \mu=72 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $C_{0}$ is a rational curve.

Example 4 (Case III-(a)). We assume that $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of three distinct lines.
(a-1) $\quad C: f(x, y)=\left(y+x^{2}\right)^{5}+\left(y^{5}+x y^{3}+\left(x^{3}+x\right) y^{2}+\left(x^{3}+x^{2}\right) y+x^{4}\right)^{2}$ $(C, O) \sim B_{36,2} \circ B_{4,3}, \quad \iota=10, \quad \mu=56$.
(a-2) $\quad C: f(x, y)=x^{5} y^{5}+\left(y^{5}+x y^{2}+x^{2} y+x^{5}\right)^{2}$ $(C, O) \sim B_{16,2} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{4,2}} \circ B_{2,16}, \quad \iota=10, \quad \mu=57$.

Example 5 (Case III-(b)). We assume that $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 2 and a single line.
(b-1) $\quad C: f(x, y)=\left(y+x^{2}\right)^{5}+\left(y^{5}+y^{2} x-x^{5}\right)^{2},(C, O) \sim B_{29,2} \circ B_{6,3}, \iota=10$, $\mu=61$.
(b-2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C: f(x, y)=x^{5} y^{5}+\left(y^{5}+y^{2} x+x^{5}\right)^{2},(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,16}, \iota=10, \\
& \quad \mu=61 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 6 (Case III-(c)). We assume that $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 3.
(c-1) $\quad C: f(x, y)=\left(y+x^{2}\right)^{5}+\left(y^{5}+y^{3}+y^{2} x^{2}+y x^{3}+x^{5}\right)^{2}$,
$(C, O) \sim B_{29,2} \circ B_{6,3}, \quad \iota=10, \mu=61$.
(c-2) $\quad C: f(x, y)=x^{5} y^{5}+\left(y^{3}+x^{5}\right)^{2}, \quad(C, O) \sim\left(B_{5,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{10,2}, \quad \iota=8, \mu=55 .}$
Example 7 (Case IV-(a)). We assume that $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of four distinct lines.
(a-1) $\quad C: f(x, y)=\left(y^{5}+y^{4} x+x y^{3}+2 y^{2} x^{3}+x^{3} y+x^{5}\right)^{2}+\left(y^{2}+y+x^{2}\right)^{5}$,
$(C, O) \sim B_{29,2} \circ B_{6,3}, \quad \iota=10, \mu=61$.
(a-2) $C: f(x, y)=x^{5} y^{5}+\left(y^{5}+y^{3} x+y x^{3}+x^{5}\right)^{2}$,

$$
(C, O) \sim B_{14,2} \circ\left(B_{2,2}^{2}\right)^{2 B_{2,2}} \circ B_{2,14}, \quad \iota=10, \mu=67
$$

EXAMPLE 8 (Case IV-(b)). We assume that $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 2 and two distinct lines.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { (b-1) } \quad C: f(x, y)=\left(y^{5}+y^{4}+x^{2} y^{3}+x^{2} y^{2}+x^{4} y\right)^{2}+\left(y+x^{2}\right)^{5} \\
\\
\\
(C, O) \sim B_{22,2} \circ B_{6,3}, \quad \iota=10, \mu=66 . \\
(\text { (b-2) } \quad C: \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
(C, O) \sim B_{6,4} \circ\left(B_{1,1}^{2}\right)^{B_{7,2}} \circ y_{2,9}, \quad \iota=\left(2 y^{5}+\left(x^{2}+x\right) y^{3}-y^{2} x^{2}+2 x^{5}\right)^{2}+\left(y x-x^{2}\right)^{5}, \\
\end{gathered}
$$

Example 9 (Case IV-(c)). We assume that $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 3 and a single line.
(c-1) $\quad C: f(x, y)=\left(2 y^{5}+y^{4} x+\left(x^{2}+x\right) y^{3}+3 y^{2} x^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(y^{2}+(x+1) y+3 x^{2}\right)^{5}$ $(C, O) \sim B_{15,2} \circ B_{10,3}, \quad \iota=10, \mu=71$.
(c-2) $\quad C: f(x, y)=\left(y^{5}+y^{3} x+x^{5}\right)^{2}+y^{5} x^{5},(C, O) \sim\left(B_{4,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \circ B_{2,9}, \iota=10$, $\mu=71$.
Example 10 (Case IV-(d)). We assume that $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of a line with multiplicity 4.
(d-1) $\quad C: f(x, y)=\left(2 y^{5}+(x+1) y^{4}+x^{2} y^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(y^{2}+(x+1) y+x^{2}\right)^{5}$

$$
(C, O) \sim B_{20,5}, \quad \iota=10, \mu=76
$$

(d-2) $\quad C: f(x, y)=\left(y^{4}+x^{5}\right)^{2}+\left(y^{2}+y x\right)^{5}, \quad(C, O) \sim\left(B_{5,4}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}}, \quad \iota=9, \mu=68$.
Example 11 (Case IV-(e)). We assume that $T_{O} C_{5}$ consists of two lines with multiplicity 2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C: f(x, y)=\left(y^{5}+y^{2} x^{2}+x^{5}\right)^{2}+y^{5} x^{5},(C, O) \sim\left(B_{3,2}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \circ\left(B_{2,3}^{2}\right)^{B_{5,2}} \\
& \quad \iota=10, \mu=71
\end{aligned}
$$
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