A Proof of the Paley-Wiener Theorem for Hyperfunctions with a Convex Compact Support by the Heat Kernel Method

Masanori SUWA and Kunio YOSHINO

Sophia University

Abstract. In this paper we shall give a proof of the Paley-Wiener theorem for hyperfunctions supported by a convex compact set by the heat kernel method.

1. Introduction

In 1987, T. Matsuzawa gave a new proof of the Paley-Wiener theorem for hyperfunctions supported by *a ball* by the heat kernel method [4]. S. Lee and S.-Y. Chung gave a proof of the Paley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem for distributions supported by a convex compact set by the heat kernel method [3]. M. Suwa and K. Yoshino treated the case of tempered distributions supported by a proper convex cone [6].

In this paper we shall treat the Paley-Wiener theorem for hyperfunctions supported by *a convex compact set* by the heat kernel method (Theorem 4.2).

2. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 2.1. We define some notations:

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad x^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2.$$

$$\langle x, \xi \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \xi_j \quad \text{for } x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

$$\overline{B(0, \delta)} = \{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x| \le \delta \}.$$

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbf{N}^n, \quad |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n,$$

$$\alpha! = \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!.$$

$$D^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{\alpha_n}}{\partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}, \quad \Delta = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}.$$

$$E(x,t) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp(-x^2/4t), \quad (t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n).$$

For
$$\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$$
, $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$, we put $|\zeta| = \sqrt{|\zeta_1|^2 + \dots + |\zeta_n|^2}$.

DEFINITION 2.2 ([1]). If $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a compact set, then $\mathcal{A}'(K)$, the space of analytic functionals carried by K, is the space of linear forms u on the space \mathcal{A} of entire functions in \mathbb{C}^n such that for every neighborhood ω of K

$$|u(\varphi)| \leq C_{\omega} \sup_{\omega} |\varphi|, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{A}.$$

DEFINITION 2.3. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact set. Then we call the element of $\mathcal{A}'(K)$ hyperfunctions supported by K.

DEFINITION 2.4. $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is the space of \mathcal{C}^{∞} functions with compact support. $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is the space of rapidly decreasing \mathcal{C}^{∞} functions.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let K be a convex compact set in \mathbb{R}^n . Then for $\delta > 0$ we set $K_{\delta} = K + \overline{B(0,\delta)}$ and we define supporting function of K by $h_K(x) = \sup_{\xi \in K} \langle \xi, x \rangle$.

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following proposition is known for between $\mathcal{A}(K)$ and \mathcal{A} . For the details of the proof we refer the reader to [1]:

PROPOSITION 2.6 ([1]). Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact set, and set for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$K_{(\varepsilon)} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n ; |\text{Re}z - x| + 2|\text{Im}z| < \varepsilon \text{ for some } x \in K \}.$$

For every φ which is analytic in a neighborhood V of $K_{(\varepsilon)}$ one can then find a sequence $\varphi_j \in A$ such that

$$\sup_{K_{(\varepsilon)}} |\varphi_j - \varphi| \to 0 \,, \quad j \to \infty \,.$$

3. A characterization of hyperfunctions by using the heat kernel

In this section, we shall introduce a characterization of hyperfunctions by the heat kernel method. For the details, we refer the reader to [4], [5].

THEOREM 3.1 ([4], [5]). Let K be a compact set in \mathbf{R}^n , $u \in \mathcal{A}'(K)$ and $U(x,t) = \langle u_y, E(x-y,t) \rangle$. Then $U(x,t) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n \times (0,\infty))$ and $U(\cdot,t) \in \mathcal{A}$ for each t > 0. Furthermore U satisfies the heat equation:

(1)
$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) U(x, t) = 0 \quad in \ \mathbf{R}^n \times (0, \infty) .$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ *we have*

(2)
$$|U(x,t)| < C_{\varepsilon} e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{t}} \quad in \ \mathbf{R}^n \times (0,\infty) .$$

We have for any $\delta > 0$

(3)
$$U(\cdot, t) \to 0$$
 uniformly in $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n; dis(x, K) \ge \delta\}$ as $t \to 0_+$.

(4)
$$U(\cdot,t) \to u \text{ in } \mathcal{A}'(K) \text{ as } t \to 0_+,$$

i.e.

(5)
$$\langle u, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{t \to 0_+} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} U(x, t) \chi(x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{A}.$$

for any $\chi(x) \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\chi(x) = 1$ in a neighborhood of K.

Conversely, every $U(x,t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n \times (0,\infty))$ satisfying the condition (1), (2) and (3) can be expressed in the form $U(x,t) = \langle u_y, E(x-y,t) \rangle$ with unique element $u \in \mathcal{A}'(K)$.

4. A proof of the Paley-Wiener theorem by the heat kernel method

In this section, we shall give a proof of the Paley-Wiener theorem for hyperfunctions with a convex compact support by the heat kernel method given in section 3.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let $u \in \mathcal{A}'(K)$, K is a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n . Then we denote the Fourier-Laplace transform $\tilde{u}(\zeta)$ by

$$\tilde{u}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \langle u_x, e^{-i\zeta x} \rangle.$$

Then the following Paley-Wiener type theorem is known [2]:

THEOREM 4.2. Let K be a convex compact set in \mathbb{R}^n and $u \in \mathcal{A}'(K)$. Then $\tilde{u}(\zeta)$ is an entire function such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ such that

(6)
$$|\tilde{u}(\zeta)| \le C_{\varepsilon} e^{h_K(\eta) + \varepsilon|\zeta|}, \quad \zeta = \xi + \iota \eta \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Conversely, if $F(\zeta)$ is an entire function satisfying the estimate (6), then there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{A}'(K)$ such that $F(\zeta) = \tilde{u}(\zeta)$.

PROOF. By the continuity, we have the necessity. Now we shall prove the sufficiency by the heat kernel method.

Let $\delta > 0$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus K_{2\delta}$. Since $x \notin K_{\delta}$, there exist $\eta_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $|\eta_0| = 1$, and $c_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\langle x, \eta_0 \rangle > c_0, \quad \langle y, \eta_0 \rangle < c_0, \quad \forall y \in K_\delta.$$

So we have

$$\sup_{y \in K_{\delta}} \langle y, \eta_0 \rangle \le c_0 \Leftrightarrow h_{K_{\delta}}(\eta_0) \le c_0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow h_K(\eta_0) + h_{\overline{B(0,\delta)}}(\eta_0) \le c_0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow h_K(\eta_0) + \delta |\eta_0| \le c_0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow h_K(\eta_0) + \delta \le c_0 < \langle x, \eta_0 \rangle.$$

Therefore

(7)
$$h_K(\eta_0) - \langle x, \eta_0 \rangle < -\delta.$$

Now we set U(x, t) by

(8)
$$U(x,t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} F(\xi) e^{-t\xi^2} e^{i\xi x} d\xi , \quad t > 0.$$

Then for U(x, t), we have the following conditions [4]:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) U(x, t) = 0,$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon} \ge 0$ such that

$$|U(x,t)| \leq C_{\varepsilon} e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{t}}, \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n \times (0,\infty).$$

Now we shift the integration in (8) into the complex domain:

$$U(x,t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} F(\xi + \iota \eta') e^{-t(\xi + \iota \eta')^2} e^{\iota(\xi + \iota \eta')x} d\xi,$$

where $\eta' = \frac{\delta}{2t}\eta_0$. Estimating this integral by using (7), we have

$$\begin{split} |U(x,t)| & \leq C e^{h_K(\eta') + \varepsilon |\eta'| + t \eta'^2 - \eta' x} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{-t \xi^2 + \varepsilon |\xi|} d\xi \\ & = C e^{h_K(\eta') + \varepsilon |\eta'| + t \eta'^2 - \eta' x + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4t}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{-t(|\xi| - \frac{\varepsilon}{2t})^2} d\xi \\ & \leq C e^{h_K(\eta') + \varepsilon |\eta'| + t \eta'^2 - \eta' x + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4t}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{-\frac{t}{2} |\xi|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4t}} d\xi \\ & = C e^{h_K(\eta') + \varepsilon |\eta'| + t \eta'^2 - \eta' x + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2t}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{-\frac{t}{2} |\xi|^2} d\xi \\ & = C (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{h_K(\eta') + \varepsilon |\eta'| + t \eta'^2 - \eta' x + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2t}} \\ & = C' t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{\frac{\delta}{2t}} h_K(\eta_0) + \frac{\varepsilon\delta}{2t} + \frac{\delta^2}{4t} - \frac{\delta}{2t} \eta_0 x + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2t}} \\ & \leq C' t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2t}} + \frac{\varepsilon\delta^2}{2t} + \frac{\delta^2}{4t} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2t}} \,. \end{split}$$

If we put $\varepsilon = \frac{\delta}{4}$, then

$$|U(x,t)| \le C' t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2t} + \frac{\delta^2}{8t} + \frac{\delta^2}{4t} + \frac{\delta^2}{32t}}$$

$$= C' t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{3\delta^2}{32t}}.$$

So we have

$$U(x,t) \to 0 \quad (t \to 0_+)$$

uniformly in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K_{2\delta}$. By Theorem 3.1, there exists $u \in \mathcal{A}'(K)$ such that

$$U(x, t) = \langle u_y, E(x - y, t) \rangle$$
.

Since $F(\xi)e^{-t\xi^2} \in \mathcal{S}$,

(9)
$$F(\xi)e^{-t\xi^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} U(x,t)e^{-t\xi x} dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \langle U(x,t), e^{-t\xi x} \rangle.$$

LEMMA 4.3.

(10)
$$\lim_{t \to 0_+} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} U(x, t) \chi(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx = \lim_{t \to 0_+} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} U(x, t) e^{-i\xi x} dx,$$

where $\chi(x) \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\chi(x) = 1$ in a neighborhood of K.

PROOF OF LEMMA. Since for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_{\varepsilon} \ge 0$ such that

$$|U(x,t)| \le C_{\varepsilon} e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{t} - \frac{dis(x,K)^2}{4t}}$$

(see [5]), for

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in K_{\delta}, \\ 0, & x \in \mathbf{R}^n \backslash K_{2\delta}, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |U(x,t)(\chi(x)-1)e^{i\xi x}|dx &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n\setminus K_\delta} |U(x,t)(\chi(x)-1)e^{i\xi x}|dx \\ &\leq C_\varepsilon e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{t} - \frac{\delta^2}{8t}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n\setminus K_\delta} e^{-\frac{dis(x,K)^2}{8t}} dx \\ &\leq C_\varepsilon' e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{t} - \frac{\delta^2}{8t}}. \end{split}$$

When we put $\varepsilon = \frac{\delta^2}{16}$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to 0+} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |U(x,t)(\chi(x)-1)e^{i\xi x}| dx \le C_{\varepsilon}' \lim_{t\to 0+} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{16i}} = 0.$$

The proof is complete.

Now we resume the proof of Theorem 4.2.

By (4), (9) and (10),

$$F(\xi) = \lim_{t \to 0_{+}} F(\xi) e^{-t\xi^{2}}$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0_{+}} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \langle U(x, t), e^{-t\xi x} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \langle u_{x}, e^{-t\xi x} \rangle = \tilde{u}(\xi).$$

Since $F(\zeta)$ and $\tilde{u}(\zeta)$ are entire functions, we have $F(\zeta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \langle u_x, e^{-\iota \zeta x} \rangle$, $\zeta = \xi + \iota \eta \in$

 \mathbb{C}^n . If $\tilde{u}(\zeta) = 0$, then $\langle u_x, x^m \rangle = 0$ for $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}^n$. By Proposition 2.6, for any $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{A}(K)$, there exists $\varphi_i(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in K_{(\varepsilon)}} |\varphi - \varphi_j| \to 0, \quad j \to 0.$$

So we have $\langle u, \varphi \rangle = 0$ for $\forall \varphi(z) \in \mathcal{A}(K)$. This means that u is unique.

References

- [1] L. HÖRMANDER, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I, Springer (1983).
- [2] L. HÖRMANDER, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators II, Springer (1983).
- [3] S. LEE and S.-Y.CHUNG, The Paley-Wiener theorem by the heat kernel method, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 35, (1998), 441–453.
- [4] T. MATSUZAWA, A calculus approach to the hyperfunctions I, Nagoya Math. J. 108, (1987), 53–66.
- [5] T. MATSUZAWA, A calculus approach to the hyperfunctions II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 313 (1989), 619-654.
- [6] M. SUWA and K. YOSHINO, A characterization of tempered distributions with support in a proper convex cone by the heat kernel method and its applications, to appear in J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo.

Present Address:

Department of Mathematics, Sophia University, Kioicho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, 102–8554 Japan.

e-mail: m-suwa@mm.sophia.ac.jp k_yosino@mm.sophia.ac.jp