THE LOCAL S-CLASS GROUP OF AN INTEGRAL DOMAIN

AHMED HAMED

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define the local S-class group of an integral domain D. A nonzero fractional ideal Iof D is said to be S-invertible if there exist an $s \in S$ and a fractional ideal J of D such that $sD \subseteq I, J \subseteq D$. The local S-class group of D, denoted S-G(D), is the group of fractional t-invertible t-ideals of D under t-multiplication modulo its subgroup of S-invertible t-invertible t-ideals of D. We study the case S-G(D) = 0, and we generalize some known results developed for the classic contexts of Krull and PvMD domains. Moreover, we investigate the case of isomorphism S-G(D) \simeq S-G(D[[X]]). In particular, we give with an additional condition an answer to the question of Bouvier [7], that is, when is G(D) isomorphic to G(D[[X]])?

1. Introduction. Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K. Let $\mathcal{F}(D)$ be the set of nonzero fractional ideals of D. For an $I \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, set $I^{-1} = \{x \in K/xI \subseteq A\}$. The mapping on $\mathcal{F}(D)$, defined by $I \mapsto I_v = (I^{-1})^{-1}$, is called the *v*-operation on D. A nonzero fractional ideal I is said to be a *v*-ideal or divisorial if $I = I_v$, and I is said to be of *v*-finite type if $I = J_v$ for some finitely generated ideal J of D. For properties of the *v*-operation, the reader is referred to [11, Section 34].

The mapping on $\mathcal{F}(D)$, defined by

 $I \mapsto I_t = \bigcup \{J_v, J \text{ is a nonzero finitely generated} \}$

fractional subideal of I},

is called the *t*-operation (for properties of the *t*-operation, the reader may consult [3]). A fractional ideal I of D is called a *t*-ideal if $I = I_t$, and I is said to be *t*-invertible (respectively, invertible) if $(II^{-1})_t = D$

²⁰¹⁰ AMS Mathematics subject classification. Primary 13A15, 13C20, 13F05, 13F25.

Keywords and phrases. Local class group, formal power series, *S*-invertible ideal. Received by the editors on July 19, 2017, and in revised form on September 25, 2017.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2018-48-5-1585 Copyright ©2018 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

AHMED HAMED

(respectively, $II^{-1} = D$). The set T(D) of t-invertible fractional tideals of D is a group under the t-multiplication $I^*J := (IJ)_t$, and the set of invertible fractional ideals of D is a subgroup of T(D), denoted Inv(D). Let P(D) be the set of nonzero principal fractional ideals of D. Then, P(D) is a subgroup of both T(D) and Inv(D).

Following [9], the quotient groups $\operatorname{Cl}(D) = T(D)/\operatorname{P}(D)$ and $\operatorname{G}(D) = T(D)/\operatorname{Inv}(D)$ are, respectively, called the *class group* and the *local class group* of D [7, 8, 9]. Let S be a multiplicative subset of D and I an ideal of D. Recall from [4] that I is S-finite (respectively, S-principal) if $sI \subseteq J \subseteq I$ for some finitely generated (respectively, principal) ideal J of D and some $s \in S$. Let S-P(D) be the set of S-principal t-invertible t-ideals of D. Then, S-P(D) is a subgroup of T(D) under the t-multiplication. Note that, if S consists of units of D, then S-P(D) =P(D). In [12], the authors showed that the set of S-principal ideals of D is not included in T(D), and the inclusion P(D) $\subseteq S$ -P(D) may be strict.

Following [12], the S-class group of D, denoted S-Cl(D), is the group of fractional t-invertible t-ideals of D under t-multiplication modulo its subgroup of S-principal t-invertible t-ideals of D, that is, S-Cl(D) = T(D)/S-P(D). Inspired by this definition, we define the local S-class group of D, denoted S-G(D), as follows: let I be a fractional ideal of D; we say that I is S-invertible if there exist an $s \in S$ and a fractional ideal J of D such that $sD \subseteq I$, $J \subseteq D$. Let S-I(D) be the set of fractional S-invertible t-invertible t-ideals of D. Then, quotient group S-G(D) = T(D)/S-I(D) is called the local S-class group of D. Note that, if S consists of units of D, then S-G(D) = G(D).

In this paper, we study the case S-G(D) = 0, and we generalize some known results developed for the classic contexts of Krull domains and PvMDs. Moreover, we investigate the case of isomorphism S-G(D) \simeq S-G(D[[X]]). In the particular case where S consists of units of D, we give with an additional condition an answer for the question of Bouvier [8], that is, when is G(D) isomorphic to G(D[[X]])?

In order to prove these results, we need to give an S-version of the well-known results regarding invertible ideals.

In Section 2, we study many properties of an S-invertible ideal. We give an example of an S-invertible ideal which is not invertible. Among other things, we show that every S-invertible ideal is S-finite. We also give a necessary and sufficient condition for an ideal of D to be S-invertible. We prove that I is S-invertible if and only if I_S is invertible in D_S and I is S-finite. Moreover, we say that D is an Sgeneralized GCD domain (S-G-GCD domain) if every finite intersection of invertible ideals of D is S-invertible. Note that, if S is included in the set of units of D, then D is an S-G-GCD domain if and only if D is a G-GCD domain (an integral domain in which every finite intersection of integral invertible ideals is invertible [1]). Thus, the S-G-GCD property generalizes both the GCD and G-GCD properties. We show that D is an S-G-GCD domain if and only if every v-finite type ideal of D is S-invertible. In addition, if D is an S-G-GCD domain, then D_S is a G-GCD domain.

In Section 3, we prove that, if D is an integral domain and S is a multiplicative subset of D, then the following are equivalent:

- (a) S-G(D) = 0;
- (b) for each $I, J \in T(D), (IJ)_S \in T(D_S);$
- (c) for each $I, J \in T(D)$, if $(IJ)_t = D$, then $(IJ)_S = D_S$;
- (d) for each $I, J \in T(D), ((IJ)_S)^{-1} = (I^{-1}J^{-1})_S.$

Also, we show that, for a PVMD (Prüfer v-multiplication domain) S-G(D) = 0 if and only if D is an S-G-GCD domain. Moreover, we investigate the cases of isomorphisms S-G(D) $\simeq S$ -G(D[[X]]) and S-G(D) $\simeq S$ -G(D[{ X_{α} }_{$\alpha \in \Lambda$}]) where $(X_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a set of indeterminates over D. Following [12], the power series ring D[[X]] satisfies property (*) if, for all integral v-invertible v-ideals I and J of D[[X]] such that $(IJ)_0 \neq (0)$, we have $((IJ)_0)_v = ((IJ)_v)_0$ where $I_0 = \{f(0), f \in I\}$. In [13], the class of TV-domains was introduced, domains in which the *t*-operation coincides with the *v*-operation. It was observed in [13] that the class of TV-domains includes the class of Noetherian domains. We show that, if D is an integrally closed domain, then S-G(D) $\simeq S$ -G(D[{ X_{α} }_{$\alpha \in \Lambda$}]). In addition, for the power series ring, we show that, if D is a TV-domain such that D[[X]] satisfies property (*) and S is a multiplicative subset of D, then S-G(D) $\simeq S$ -G(D[[X]]). In the particular case where S consists of units of D, we give, with an additional condition, an answer to the question of Bouvier [8], that is, when is G(D) isomorphic to G(D[[X]])? We conclude this paper by giving a necessary and sufficient condition for the power series ring to be an S-G-GCD domain in the case of a Krull domain satisfying property (*). We show that, if D is a Krull domain such that D[[X]] satisfies (*) and S a multiplicative subset of D, then D is an S-G-GCD domain if and only if D[[X]] is an S-G-GCD domain.

2. On S-invertible ideals. We begin this section by introducing the following definition in order to generalize some known results regarding invertible ideals.

Definition 2.1. Let D be an integral domain, S a multiplicative subset of D and I a nonzero fractional ideal of D. We say that I is *Sinvertible* if there exist an $s \in S$ and a fractional ideal J of D such that $sD \subseteq IJ \subseteq D$.

Remark 2.2. Note that, if S consists of units of D, then I is S-invertible if and only if I is an invertible ideal of D, i.e., $II^{-1} = D$.

Example 2.3. Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D.

(a) Every invertible ideal of D is S-invertible.

(b) The converse of (a), is not true in general. Indeed, let $D = \mathbb{Z} + X\mathbb{Z}[i][X], S = \{2^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $I = 2\mathbb{Z} + (1+i)X\mathbb{Z}[i][X]$. Since $2 \in I$, then $2D \subseteq I \cdot D \subseteq D$, which implies that I is S-invertible. On the other hand, by [6, Lemma 2.1], it is easy to show that

$$I^{-1} = \mathbb{Z} + X \frac{1-i}{2} \mathbb{Z}[i][X].$$

Thus, if $II^{-1} = D$, then

 $1 = P_1(0)Q_1(0) + \dots + P_n(0)Q_n(0)$

for some $P_1, \ldots, P_n \in I$ and $Q_1, \ldots, Q_n \in I^{-1}$. However, $P_i(0) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $Q_i(0) \in \mathbb{Z}$; thus, $1 = 2m_1 + \cdots + 2m_n$, $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. Hence, I is not invertible.

Remark 2.4. Let *I* be a fractional *S*-invertible ideal of *D*. Then, there exist an $s \in S$ and a fractional ideal *J* of *D* such that $sD \subseteq IJ \subseteq D$. We have

$$sJ^{-1} \subseteq sJ^{-1}D \subseteq J^{-1}(IJ) \subseteq (J^{-1}J)I \subseteq DI \subseteq I.$$

On the other hand, since $IJ \subseteq D$, then $I \subseteq J^{-1}$. Thus, $sJ^{-1} \subseteq I \subseteq J^{-1}$.

Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. Recall from [4] that an ideal I of D is said to be S-finite (respectively, S-principal) if $sI \subseteq J \subseteq I$ for some finitely generated (respectively, principal) ideal J of D and some $s \in S$.

The next proposition gives an S-version of a classical result for an invertible ideal, that is, every invertible ideal is of finite type.

Proposition 2.5. Let D be an integral domain, S a multiplicative subset of D and I a nonzero fractional ideal of D. If I is S-invertible, then I is S-finite.

Proof. Since I is S-invertible, then there exist an $s \in S$ and a fractional ideal J of D such that $sD \subseteq IJ \subseteq D$. As $s \in IJ$, so there exist an $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in I$ and a $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n \in J$ such that $s = \alpha_1\beta_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n\beta_n$. Set $I_0 = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \subseteq I$ and $J_0 = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n) \subseteq J$. Since $sD \subseteq I_0J_0 \subseteq D$, then I_0 is an S-invertible ideal of D. From Remark 2.4, $sJ_0^{-1} \subseteq I_0 \subseteq J_0^{-1}$ and $sJ^{-1} \subseteq I \subseteq J^{-1}$. As $J_0 \subseteq J$, then $J^{-1} \subseteq J_0^{-1}$. Thus, $sI \subseteq sJ^{-1} \subseteq sJ_0^{-1} \subseteq I_0 \subseteq I$, and hence, I is an S-finite ideal of D.

Proposition 2.6. Let I be a fractional ideal of D and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then, I is S-invertible if and only if there exists an $s \in S$ such that $sD \subseteq II^{-1} \subseteq D$. In particular, I^{-1} is also an S-invertible ideal of D.

Proof. Assume that I is an S-invertible ideal of D. Then, there exist an $s \in S$ and a fractional ideal J of D such that $sD \subseteq IJ \subseteq D$. Thus, $J \subseteq I^{-1}$, and hence, $sD \subseteq IJ \subseteq II^{-1} \subseteq D$. The other implication is obvious.

Proposition 2.7. Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. Every S-principal ideal of D is S-invertible.

Proof. Let I be a nonzero fractional S-principal ideal of D. Then, $sI \subseteq \alpha D \subseteq I$ for some $\alpha \in I$ and $s \in S$. Then, $sI^{-1} \subseteq (s/\alpha)D \subseteq I^{-1}$, which implies that $sI^{-1}I \subseteq (s/\alpha)I \subseteq I^{-1}I$; thus, $(s/\alpha)I \subseteq I^{-1}I$. However, $\alpha D \subseteq I$. Thus, $(s/\alpha)\alpha D \subseteq (s/\alpha)I \subseteq II^{-1}$; therefore, $sD \subseteq II^{-1} \subseteq D$, and I is S-invertible.

Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. It is well known that, for each finitely generated fractional ideal I of D, $(I_S)^{-1} = (I^{-1})_S$. The next lemma improves this result.

Lemma 2.8. Let D be an integral domain, S a multiplicative subset of D and I a nonzero fractional ideal of D. If I is an S-finite ideal of D, then $(I_S)^{-1} = (I^{-1})_S$.

Proof. We always have that $(I^{-1})_S \subseteq (I_S)^{-1}$; thus, we must prove the converse in order to reach a conclusion. Since I is S-finite, there exist an $s \in S$ and a finitely generated ideal $J \subseteq I$ such that $sI \subseteq J \subseteq I$. Thus, $J^{-1} \subseteq (1/s)I^{-1}$, and consequently, $(J^{-1})_S \subseteq (I^{-1})_S$. Since Jis finitely generated, $(J^{-1})_S = (J_S)^{-1}$. Moreover, $J_S \subseteq I_S$. Thus, $(I_S)^{-1} \subseteq (J_S)^{-1} = (J^{-1})_S \subseteq (I^{-1})_S$, and hence, $(I^{-1})_S = (I_S)^{-1}$. \Box

Next, a necessary and sufficient condition is given for an ideal I of D to be S-invertible.

Theorem 2.9. Let D be an integral domain, S a multiplicative subset of D and I a nonzero fractional ideal of D. Then, the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) I is an S-invertible ideal of D.
- (ii) I_S is an invertible ideal of D_S , and I is an S-finite ideal of D.

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). By Proposition 2.5, I is an S-finite ideal of D. Moreover, since I is S-invertible, $sD \subseteq II^{-1} \subseteq D$ for some $s \in S$. Thus,

$$D_S \subseteq (II^{-1})_S \subseteq D_S,$$

which implies that $D_S = I_S(I^{-1})_S$. Finally, from Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.8, $I_S(I_S)^{-1} = D_S$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). By hypothesis, $sI \subseteq J \subseteq I$ for some $s \in S$ and finitely generated ideal J of D. Then, $I_S = J_S$. Since I_S is invertible in D_S , then $I_S(I_S)^{-1} = D_S$. Thus, $J_S(J_S)^{-1} = D_S$; therefore, $(JJ^{-1})_S$ $= J_S(J^{-1})_S = J_S(J_S)^{-1} = D_S$. Hence, there exist $t \in S, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in J$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in J^{-1}$ such that

$$1 = \frac{a_1b_1}{t} + \dots + \frac{a_nb_1n}{t}.$$

Then, $tR \subseteq JJ^{-1} \subseteq R$. However, $sI \subseteq J \subseteq I$. Then, $sI^{-1} \subseteq sJ^{-1} \subseteq I^{-1}$, which implies that $sJJ^{-1} \subseteq II^{-1}$. Thus,

$$stR \subseteq sJJ^{-1} \subseteq II^{-1} \subseteq R.$$

 \square

Hence, I is an S-invertible ideal of D.

Remark 2.10. Let I be a v-finite type ideal of D, i.e., $I = J_v$ for some finitely generated ideal J of D. If I_S is an invertible ideal of D_S , then I is S-invertible. Indeed, since $I_S(I_S)^{-1} = D_S$, then

$$(J_{\nu})_{S}(J_{S})^{-1} = (J_{\nu})_{S}(((J_{\nu})_{S})_{\nu})^{-1} = (J_{\nu})_{S}((J_{\nu})_{S})^{-1} = I_{S}(I_{S})^{-1} = D_{S}$$

[14, Lemma 3.4(2)]. Thus, $(J_v J^{-1})_S = D_S$. In the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 2.9, there exists a $t \in S$ such that $tR \subseteq J_v J^{-1} \subseteq R$. Thus, $tR \subseteq II^{-1} \subseteq R$, and hence, I is an S-invertible ideal of D.

Proposition 2.11. Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then, the following statements hold.

- (i) If I is an S-finite ideal of D, then there exists an $s \in S$ such that $s(I_T)^{-1} \subseteq (I^{-1})_T \subseteq (I_T)^{-1}$ for each multiplicative subset T of D.
- (ii) If I is an S-finite locally principal ideal of D, then I is Sinvertible.

Proof.

(i) We have $sI \subseteq J \subseteq I$ for some finitely generated ideal J of Dand $s \in S$. Let T be a multiplicative subset of D. We always have $(I^{-1})_T \subseteq (I_T)^{-1}$. On the other hand, since $sI_T \subseteq J_T \subseteq I_T$, then $s(I_T)^{-1} \subseteq s(J_T)^{-1} \subseteq (I_T)^{-1}$. Moreover, as $sI^{-1} \subseteq sJ^{-1} \subseteq I^{-1}$, then $s(I^{-1})_T \subseteq s(J^{-1})_T \subseteq (I^{-1})_T$. Hence,

$$s(I_T)^{-1} \subseteq s(J_T)^{-1} = s(J^{-1})_T \subseteq (I^{-1})_T.$$

(ii) Assume that I is an S-finite locally principal ideal of D. Thus, by (i), there exists an $s \in S$ such that $s(I_M)^{-1} \subseteq (I^{-1})_M \subseteq (I_M)^{-1}$ for each maximal ideal M of D. Hence,

$$sD = \bigcap_{M \in \text{Max}(D)} sD_M$$
$$= \bigcap_{M \in \text{Max}(D)} sI_M (I_M)^{-1}$$
$$\subseteq \bigcap_{M \in \text{Max}(D)} I_M (I^{-1})_M$$
$$= \bigcap_{M \in \text{Max}(D)} (II^{-1})_M$$
$$= II^{-1} \subset D.$$

Hence, I is an S-invertible ideal of D.

Recall from [1] that an integral domain D is called a generalized GCD domain (G-GCD domain) if every finite intersection of (integral) invertible ideals of D is invertible [1]. Then, it is natural to define the notion of an S-generalized GCD domain (S-G-GCD domain), which is a generalization of a G-GCD domain.

Definition 2.12. Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. We say that D is an *S*-generalized GCD domain (*S*-G-GCD domain) if every finite intersection of invertible ideals of D is *S*-invertible.

Example 2.13. Let S be a multiplicative subset of an integral domain D.

- (i) If S is included in the set of units of D, then D is an S-G-GCD domain if and only if D is a G-GCD domain.
- (ii) If D is a G-GCD domain, then D is an S-G-GCD domain.

Remark 2.14. Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then, the following assertions are equivalent.

- Every finite intersection of invertible fractional ideals of D is Sinvertible.
- (ii) Every finite intersection of invertible integral ideals of D is Sinvertible.

1592

Indeed, it is obvious to show the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Conversely, let I_1, \ldots, I_n be a fractional invertible ideal of D. Then, there exist $d_1, \ldots, d_n \in D \setminus (0)$ such that $d_i I_i \subseteq D$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since, for each $1 \leq i \leq n, d_1 \cdots d_n I_i$ is an invertible integral ideal of D, by hypothesis,

 $d_1 \cdots d_n (I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_n) = (d_1 \cdots d_n I_1) \cap \cdots \cap (d_1 \cdots d_n I_n)$

is S-invertible. Thus, $(I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_n)$ is S-invertible.

Theorem 2.15. Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then, the following assertions are equivalent.

(i) D is an S-G-GCD domain.

(ii) Every v-finite type ideal of D is S-invertible.

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $I = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)_v$ be a *v*-finite type ideal of *D*. We have $I = ((a_1, \ldots, a_n)^{-1})^{-1} = ((1/a_1)D \cap \cdots \cap (1/a_n)D)^{-1}$. However, by hypothesis, $(1/a_1)D \cap \cdots \cap (1/a_n)D$ is an *S*-invertible ideal of *D*. Hence, *I* is *S*-invertible.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let I_1, \ldots, I_n be an invertible ideal of D. Then, for each $1 \leq i \leq n, I_i^{-1}$ is a finitely generated ideal of D. Let $I = (I_1^{-1} + \cdots + I_n^{-1})_v$. Then, I is of v-finite type, which implies that I is an S-invertible ideal of D. Hence, $I^{-1} = (I_1)_v \cap \cdots \cap (I_n)_v = I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_n$ is S-invertible.

Corollary 2.16. Let D be an integral domain. Then, D is a G-GCD domain if and only if every v-finite type ideal of D is invertible.

Proof. In the previous theorem, it suffices to take S included in the set of units of D.

Corollary 2.17. Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. If D is an S-G-GCD domain, then D_S is a G-GCD domain.

Proof. Let I_S be a *v*-finite type ideal of D_S . Then, there exists a finitely generated ideal J of D such that $I_S = (J_S)_v$. Hence, by [14, Lemma 3.4(2)], $I_S = (J_S)_v = ((J_v)_S)_v$. However, J_v is a *v*-finite type

ideal of D; thus, there exists an $s \in S$ such that $sD \subseteq J_{\nu}(J_{\nu})^{-1} \subseteq D$. Since $(J_{\nu})^{-1} = J^{-1}$, then

$$D_{S} \subseteq (J_{v})_{S}(J^{-1})_{S} = (J_{v})_{S}(J_{S})^{-1}$$
$$\subseteq ((J_{v})_{S})_{v}((J_{S})_{v})^{-1} \subseteq I_{S}(I_{S})^{-1} \subseteq D_{S}.$$

Hence, I_S is invertible.

Proposition 2.18. Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. Let T be a multiplicative subset of D. If D is an S-G-GCD domain, then D_T is an S-G-GCD domain.

Proof. Let I_T be a v-finite type ideal of D_S . Then, $I_T = (J_T)_v$ for some finitely generated ideal J of D. Since D is an S-G-GCD domain, there exists an $s \in S$ such that $sD \subseteq J_v J^{-1} \subseteq D$. Thus,

$$sD_T \subseteq (J_v)_T (J^{-1})_T = (J_v)_T (J_T)^{-1} \subseteq ((J_v)_T)_v ((J_T)_v)^{-1} \subseteq I_T (I_T)^{-1} \subseteq D_T.$$

Hence, I_T is an S-invertible ideal of D_T .

Lemma 2.19. Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. Let $a, b \in D \setminus (0)$. Then, $aD \cap bD$ is S-invertible if and only if $(1/a)D \cap (1/b)D$ is S-invertible.

Proof. It is sufficient to remark that, for each $a, b \in D \setminus (0)$,

$$\frac{1}{a}D \cap \frac{1}{b}D = \frac{1}{ab}(aD \cap bD).$$

Recall from [2] that an ideal I of D is a v-ideal of type 2 if $I = (aD + bD)_v$ for some $a, b \in D \setminus (0)$. We conclude this section with the following equivalent condition for a v-ideal of type 2 to be S-invertible.

Proposition 2.20. Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then, the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) Every v-ideal of type 2 is S-invertible.
- (ii) For $a, b \in D \setminus (0)$, $aD \cap bD$ is an S-invertible ideal of D.
- (iii) For $a, b \in D \setminus (0)$, aD : bD is an S-invertible ideal of D.

Proof. We will show that (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii).

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $a, b \in D \setminus (0)$, and let

$$I = \left(\frac{1}{a}D + \frac{1}{b}D\right)_{v}.$$

Then, I is a v-ideal of type 2, and, by hypothesis, I is S-invertible. Thus, $I^{-1} = aD \cap bD$ is an S-invertible ideal of D.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $I = (aD + bD)_v$ be a v-ideal of type 2. We have

$$I = ((aD + bD)^{-1})^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{a}D \cap \frac{1}{b}D\right)^{-1}$$

However, by hypothesis and Lemma 2.19, $(1/a)D \cap (1/b)D$ is an S-invertible ideal of D. Hence, I is S-invertible.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (i). It is sufficient to remark that, for each $a, b \in D$, $aD \cap bD = (aD : bD)(bD)$.

3. The local S-class group of an integral domain. In this section, we define the local S-class group of an integral domain D, denoted by S-G(D), as the group of t-invertible fractional t-ideals of D under t-multiplication modulo its subgroup of S-invertible t-invertible t-ideals of D. We investigate the case of isomorphism $S-G(D) \simeq S-G(D[[X]])$, and we generalize some known results developed for the classic contexts of Krull domains and PvMDs.

We begin this section by introducing the following definitions in order to generalize some known results about G(D).

Notation 3.1. Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. We note that S-Inv(D) (respectively, S-Prin(D)) is the set of S-invertible (respectively, S-principal) fractional ideals of D. It is clear that S-Prin(D) is a subset of S-Inv(D). Moreover, if S consists of units of D, then S-Inv(D) =Inv(D) (respectively, S-Prin(D) =Prin(D)) is the set of invertible (respectively, principal) fractional ideals of D.

Theorem 3.2. Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then, S-Inv(D) is a monoid under the usual multiplication $I \cdot J = IJ$, and S-Prin(D) is a submonoid of S-Inv(D).

AHMED HAMED

Proof. We show that the usual multiplication " \cdot " is a binary operation on S-Inv(D). Let I and J be two S-invertible fractional ideals of D. There exist $s, t \in S$ such that $sD \subseteq II^{-1} \subseteq D$ and $tD \subseteq JJ^{-1} \subseteq D$. It is easily seen that $I^{-1}J^{-1} \subseteq (IJ)^{-1}$. Thus,

$$stD \subseteq (II^{-1})(JJ^{-1}) \subseteq (IJ)(I^{-1}J^{-1}) \subseteq (IJ)(IJ)^{-1} \subseteq D;$$

therefore, IJ is S-invertible. Moreover, it is easy to prove that the multiplication " \cdot " is associative, and $D \in S$ -Inv(D) is the identity element. Hence, S-Inv(D) is a monoid.

We show that S-Prin(D) is a submonoid of S-Inv(D). Let I and J be two S-principal fractional ideals of D. There exist $s, t \in S, a \in I$ and $b \in J$ such that $sI \subseteq aD \subseteq I$ and $tJ \subseteq bD \subseteq J$. Then,

$$st(IJ) \subseteq abD \subseteq IJ;$$

therefore, IJ is S-principal. Since $D \in S$ -Prin(D), then S-Prin(D) is a submonoid of S-Inv(D).

Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K. We note that S-I(D) is the set of fractional S-invertible t-invertible t-ideals of D. Recall that D is a Prüfer v-multiplication domain (PvMD) if every nonzero finitely generated ideal of D is t-invertible.

Remark 3.3.

(i) The set of S-invertible ideals of D is not included in T(D). Indeed, let $D = \mathbb{Z}[X]$, $I = 2\mathbb{Z} + X\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $S = \{2^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since $2 \in I$, then I is an S-principal ideal. Thus, by Proposition 2.7, I is S-invertible. On the other hand, by [6, Lemma 2.1], $I^{-1} = \mathbb{Z}[X]$. This implies that $I_v = \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Thus, I is not a v-ideal; however, $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ is a Noetherian ring. Therefore, I is not a t-ideal, and hence, $I \notin T(D)$.

(ii) There exists an S-invertible ideal which is not t-invertible. Indeed, let D be an integral domain which is not PvMD. Then, there exists a finitely generated ideal I of D which is not t-invertible. Let $s \in I \setminus \{0\}$ and $S = \{s^n, n\mathbb{N}\}$. Then, S is a multiplicative subset of D. Moreover, I is an S-principal ideal of $D(S \cap I \neq \emptyset)$. Thus, by Proposition 2.7, I is S-invertible.

(iii) The inclusion $\operatorname{Inv}(D) \subseteq S \cdot I(D)$ may be strict. Indeed, let $D = \mathbb{Z} + X\mathbb{Z}[i][X], I = 2\mathbb{Z} + (1+i)X\mathbb{Z}[i][X]$ and $S = \{2^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. By [6, Remark 3.2], I is a *t*-invertible *t*-ideal of D. Hence, by Example 2.3,

 ${\cal I}$ is a fractional S-invertible t-invertible t-ideal of D which is not invertible.

Proposition 3.4. Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then, S-I(D) is a subgroup of T(D) under the t-multiplication $I \star J = (IJ)_t$.

Proof. We have $D \in S ext{-}I(A)$. Since T(D) is a group and, by Proposition 2.6, if $I \in S ext{-}I(D)$, then $I^{-1} \in S ext{-}I(D)$. Let I and J be two elements of $S ext{-}I(D)$. We show that $I ext{+}J = (IJ)_t \in S ext{-}I(D)$. We have $(IJ)_t \in T(A)$. Moreover, since I and J are both S-invertible ideals, there exist $s, s' \in S$ such that $sD \subseteq II^{-1} \subseteq D$ and $s'D \subseteq JJ^{-1} \subseteq D$. Thus,

$$ss' \in II^{-1}JJ^{-1} = (IJ)(I^{-1}J^{-1}) \subseteq (IJ)_t I^{-1}J^{-1} \subseteq D.$$

Therefore, $ss'D \subseteq (IJ)_t I^{-1} J^{-1} \subseteq D$.

Definition 3.5. Let *D* be an integral domain and *S* a multiplicative subset of *D*. The quotient group S-G(*D*) = T(D)/S-I(D) is called the local *S*-class group of *D*.

Remark 3.6.

- (i) When the multiplicative subset S is included in the set of units of D, then S-G(D) = G(D)(the local class group of D).
- (ii) It follows from Theorem 2.15 that, if D is an S-G-GCD domain, then S-G(D) = 0.

Our next theorem presents the case when S-G(D) = 0. Note that the proof is inspired by [9, Theorem 2.1].

Theorem 3.7. Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then, the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) S-G(D) = 0;
- (ii) for each $I, J \in T(D), (IJ)_S \in T(D_S);$
- (iii) for each $I, J \in T(D)$, if $(IJ)_t = D$, then $(IJ)_S = D_S$;
- (iv) for each $I, J \in T(D)$, $((IJ)_S)^{-1} = (I^{-1}J^{-1})_S$.

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). If $I, J \in T(D)$, then I and J are S-invertible ideals of D. Thus, by Theorem 3.2, IJ is an S-invertible ideal of D, and, by Theorem 2.9, $(IJ)_S$ is invertible. Hence, $(IJ)_S \in T(D_S)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let I and J be t-invertible t-ideals of D such that $(IJ)_t = D$. We always have $((IJ)_t)_S \subseteq ((IJ)_S)_t$ by [14, Lemma 3.4(iii)]. Then, $D_S \subseteq ((IJ)_S)_t$. As $IJ \subseteq (IJ)_t = D$, then $((IJ)_S)_t \subseteq D_S$. Thus, $((IJ)_S)_t = D_S$. However, $(IJ)_S \in T(D_S)$. Hence, $(IJ)_S = ((IJ)_S)_t = D_S$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Let I and J be t-invertible t-ideals of D. We have

$$(IJI^{-1}J^{-1})_t = (II^{-1}JJ^{-1})_t = ((II^{-1})_t(JJ^{-1})_t)_t = D.$$

Then, by hypothesis,

$$(IJ)_S(I^{-1}J^{-1})_S = (IJI^{-1}J^{-1})_S = D_S.$$

Hence, $((IJ)_S)^{-1} = (I^{-1}J^{-1})_S$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Let *I* be a *t*-invertible *t*-ideal of *D*. We show that *I* is *S*-invertible. Since I^{-1} is a *t*-invertible *t*-ideal of *D*, then $((II^{-1})_S)^{-1} = (II^{-1})_S$. In addition, since $(II^{-1})_S \subseteq D_S$, then

$$D_S \subseteq ((II^{-1})_S)^{-1} = (II^{-1})_S \subseteq D_S.$$

Thus, $D_S = (II^{-1})_S = (I_S)(I^{-1})_S$, and hence, I_S is an invertible ideal of D_S . Moreover, since I of v-finite type, from Remark 2.10, I is an S-invertible ideal of D.

Recall from [16] that an integral domain D is said to be a *-domain if, for $a_i, b_j \in Di = 1, ..., m$ and j = 1, ..., n,

$$\left(\bigcap_{i}(a_{i})\right)\left(\bigcap_{j}(b_{j})\right) = \bigcap_{i,j}(a_{i}b_{j}).$$

According to [15], D is a *-domain if, and only if, for all finitely generated fractional ideals I, J of D, $(IJ)^{-1} = I^{-1}J^{-1}$. The next definition generalizes the notion of *-domains.

Definition 3.8. Let *D* be an integral domain and *S* a multiplicative subset of *D*. We say that *D* is an *S*-*-*domain* if, for all finitely generated fractional ideals *I*, *J* of *D*, $((IJ)_S)^{-1} = (I^{-1}J^{-1})_S$.

We remark that, if we take S to be included in the set of units of D, then the notions *-domain and S-*-domain are equivalent. The next theorem gives an S-version of a well-known result, that is, in a PvMD, G(D) = 0 if and only if D is a G-GCD domain if and only if D is a *-domain [9].

Theorem 3.9. Let D be a PvMD. Then, the following assertions are equivalent.

(i) S-G(D) = 0 (ii) D is an S-G-GCD domain. (iii) D is an S-*-domain.

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). We suppose that S-G(D) = 0. Let $I = J_v$ be a v-finite type ideal of D. We show that I is S-invertible. Since D is a PvMD, then J is t-invertible. Thus, $I = J_t$ is a t-invertible t-ideal of D. Then, $[I] \in S$ -G(D) = 0. Therefore, I is S-invertible, and hence, D is an S-G-GCD domain.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Assume that *D* is an *S*-G-GCD domain, and let *I* be a fractional *t*-invertible *t*-ideal of *D*. Then, *I* is *v*-finite type, which implies that $I = J_v$ for some finitely generated fractional ideal *J*. Since *D* is an *S*-G-GCD domain, then $I = J_v$ is *S*-invertible, and hence, S-G(*D*) = 0.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii). Let *I* and *J* be two finitely generated fractional ideals of *D*. Since *D* is a PvMD, then *I* and *J* are *t*-invertible ideals of *D*. Thus, $I_v, J_v \in T(D)$. Then, by Theorem 3.7 (iv) , $((I_v J_v)_S)^{-1} = (I^{-1}J^{-1})_S$. However,

$$((I_v J_v)_S)^{-1} = (((I_v)_S (J_v)_S)_v)^{-1}$$

= $((((I_v)_S)_v ((J_v)_S)_v)_v)^{-1}$
= $(((I_S)_v (J_S)_v)_v)^{-1}$
= $(I_S J_S)^{-1} = ((IJ)_S)^{-1}.$

Thus, $((IJ)_S)^{-1} = (I^{-1}J^{-1})_S$, and hence, *D* is an *S*-*-domain.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let *I* and *J* be two fractional *t*-invertible *t*-ideals of *D*. Then, *I* and *J* are *v*-finite types, which implies that $I = A_v$ and $J = B_v$ for some finitely generated fractional ideals *A* and *B* of *D*. We

have

$$((IJ)_S)^{-1} = ((A_v B_v)_S)^{-1} = ((AB)_S)^{-1}.$$

Since *D* is an *S*-*-domain, $((AB)_S)^{-1} = (A^{-1}B^{-1})_S = (I^{-1}J^{-1})_S$. Thus, $((IJ)_S)^{-1} = (I^{-1}J^{-1})_S$, and hence, by Theorem 3.7 (iv), *S*-G(*D*) = 0.

Proposition 3.10. Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. If G(D) = 0, then $S \cdot G(D) = 0$.

Proof. It is sufficient to remark that every invertible ideal is S-invertible.

Remark 3.11. The converse of Proposition 3.10 is false, in general. Indeed, let D be a PvMD which is not a G-GCD domain [2, page 218], and let $S = D \setminus \{0\}$. Then, D is an S-PID (S-principal ideal domain); in particular, D is S-G-GCD, and, by Theorem 3.9, S-G(D) = 0. However, D is a PvMD which is not G-GCD. Then, by [9], $G(D) \neq 0$.

Let $D \subseteq L$ be an extension of integral domains. Following [5], we say that T is t-linked over D if, for each finitely generated fractional ideal I of D with $I^{-1} = D$, we have $(IL)^{-1} = L$.

Theorem 3.12. Let $D \subseteq L$ be an extension of integral domains such that L is t-linked over D and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then, the mapping

$$\varphi: S \operatorname{-} \mathcal{G}(D) \longrightarrow S \operatorname{-} \mathcal{G}(L), \qquad [I] \longmapsto [(IL)_t]$$

is well defined, and it is a homomorphism.

Proof. By [5, Theorem 2.2], it is sufficient to show that, if $I \in S$ -I(D), then $(IL)_t \in S$ -I(L). Let $I \in S$ -I(D). Then, $I \in T(D)$. Since T is t-linked over D, then $(IL)_t \in T(L)$ [5, Theorem 2.2]. Moreover, there exists an $s \in S$ such that $sD \subseteq II^{-1} \subseteq D$. Then,

$$sL \subseteq (II^{-1})L = (IL)(I^{-1}L) \subseteq (IL)_t(I^{-1}L) \subseteq L.$$

Thus, $(IL)_t$ is S-invertible, and hence, $(IL)_t \in S - I(L)$.

1600

Let *D* be an integral domain and $(X_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ a set of indeterminates over *D*. In [8], the author showed that, if *D* is a Krull domain, then $G(D) \simeq G(D[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}])$ [8, Corollary 7]. Our next theorem shows the case *S*-G(*D*) \simeq *S*-G(*D*[$\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$]).

Theorem 3.13. Let D be an integrally closed domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then, S-G(D) \simeq S-G(D[{X_{\alpha}}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}]).

Proof. Let $\varphi: S\text{-}G(D) \to S\text{-}G(D[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}]), [I] \mapsto [(ID[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}])_t]$. From [10, Lemma 1.6], $D[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}]$ is t-linked over D; thus, by Theorem 3.13, φ is well defined, and it is a homomorphism. Again, by [10, Lemma 1.6], it is easy to show that, for every $I \in T(D)$, we have $(ID[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}])_t = ID[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}]$. We show that φ is injective. Let I be an integral t-invertible t-ideal of D such that $\varphi([I]) = 0$. Then, $[ID[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}]] = 0$. Thus, $ID[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}]$ is an S-invertible ideal of $D[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}]$, which implies that there exists an $s \in S$ such that

$$sD[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}] \subseteq (ID[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}])(ID[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}])^{-1}$$
$$= II^{-1}D[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}] \subseteq D[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}].$$

Thus, $sD \subseteq II^{-1} \subseteq D$, and hence, I is S-invertible.

Next, we show that φ is surjective. Let I be a *t*-invertible *t*-ideal of $D[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}]$. Since D is integrally closed, by [10, Theorem 3.6], the mapping $\psi: \operatorname{Cl}_t(D) \to \operatorname{Cl}_t(D[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}]), [I]_t \mapsto [ID[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}]]_t$ is an isomorphism, where $[I]_t$ is the class of the fractional ideal I of D in $\operatorname{Cl}_t(D)$. Thus, there exists a fractional *t*-invertible *t*-ideal J of D such that $[I]_t = [JD[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}]]_t$. This implies that $(I^{-1}JD[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}])_t$ is a principal ideal of $D[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}]$, in particular, S-invertible. Therefore, $[I] = [JD[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}]]$, and hence, φ is surjective.

Corollary 3.14. Let D be an integrally closed domain. Then, $G(D) \simeq G(D[\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}]).$

Since, for each nonzero fractional ideal I of D, $(I \cdot D[[X]])^{-1} = I^{-1}[[X]]$, then it is easy to show that the power series ring D[[X]] is t-linked over D.

Lemma 3.15. Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then:

$$\begin{split} \varphi: S\text{-}\mathcal{G}(D) &\longrightarrow S\text{-}\mathcal{G}(D[[X]]) \\ [I] &\longmapsto [(I \cdot D[[X]])_t] \end{split}$$

is an injective homomorphism.

Proof. Since D[[X]] is t-linked over D, by Theorem 3.13, φ is a homomorphism.

Let *I* be a fractional *t*-invertible *t*-ideal of *D* such that $(I \cdot D[[X]])_t$ is *S*-invertible. We show that *I* is an *S*-invertible ideal of *D*. By **[12**, Lemma 3.1], $(I \cdot D[[X]])_t = I[[X]]$. Thus, there exists an $s \in S$ such that $sD[[X]] \subseteq I[[X]](I[[X]])^{-1} = I[[X]]I^{-1}[[X]] \subseteq D[[X]]$, which implies that $sD \subseteq II^{-1} \subseteq D$. Hence, *I* is *S*-invertible.

Our next theorem shows the case of the isomorphism S-G(D) \simeq S-G(D[[X]]). First, we recall the following notions. Let D be an integral domain and S a multiplicative subset of D. The S-class group of D, S-Cl(D), is the group of fractional t-invertible t-ideals of D under t-multiplication modulo its subgroup of S-principal t-invertible t-ideals of D, that is, S-Cl(D) = T(D)/S-P(D) [12]. Also, in [12], the authors defined the following mapping:

$$\psi: S\text{-Cl}(D) \longrightarrow S\text{-Cl}(D[[X]])$$
$$[J]^S \longmapsto [(J \cdot D[[X]])_t]^S$$

where $[J]^S$ is the class of the fractional ideal J of D in S-Cl(D).

Theorem 3.16. Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K and S a multiplicative subset of D. If the mapping ψ is an isomorphism, then the mapping φ is an isomorphism. In particular, S-G(D) \simeq S-G(D[[X]]).

Proof. From Lemma 3.15, φ is an injective homomorphism. We show that φ is surjective. Let I be a nonzero fractional *t*-invertible *t*-ideal of D[[X]]. Since ψ is surjective, $[I]^S = [(J \cdot D[[X]])_t]^S$ for some *t*-invertible *t*-ideal J of D, which implies that $(I^{-1}(J \cdot D[[X]])_t)_t$ is an S-principal ideal of D[[X]]. Then, by Proposition 2.7, $(I^{-1}(J \cdot D[[X]])_t)_t$

is S-invertible. Therefore, $[I] = [(J \cdot D[[X]])_t]$, and hence, $\varphi([J]) =$ $[(J \cdot D[[X]])_t] = [I].$

Recall that D is a TV-domain if the v- and the t-operation on Dare the same. In addition, the power series ring D[[X]] is said to satisfy property (*) if, for all integral v-invertible v-ideals I and J of D[[X]] such that $(IJ)_0 \neq (0)$, we have $((IJ)_0)_{\psi} = ((IJ)_{\psi})_0$, where $I_0 = \{f(0), f \in I\}$ [12].

Corollary 3.17. Let D be a TV-domain such that D[[X]] satisfies property (*). Then, S-G(D) $\simeq S$ -G(D[[X]]).

Proof. From [12, Theorem 4.4], the mapping ψ is an isomorphism. Thus, by the previous theorem, S-G(D) $\simeq S$ -G(D[[X]]). \square

In the particular case where S consists of units of D, we provide with an additional condition an answer to the question of Bouvier [8], that is, when is G(D) isomorphic to G(D[|X||)?

Corollary 3.18. Let D be a TV-domain such that D[[X]] satisfies property (*). Then, $G(D) \simeq G(D[[X]])$.

We conclude this paper with the following results regarding the power series ring as an S-G-GCD domain (S-*-domain).

Corollary 3.19. Let D be a Krull domain such that D[[X]] satisfies (*) and S a multiplicative subset of D. Then, D is an S-G-GCD domain (respectively, S-*-domain) if and only if D[[X]] is an S-G-GCD domain (respectively, S-*-domain).

Proof. By Theorem 3.9, D is an S-G-GCD domain if and only if S-G(D) = 0 if and only if D is an S-*-domain. However, by Corollary 3.17, S-G(D) \simeq S-G(D[[X]]). Thus, D is an S-G-GCD domain (S-*domain) if and only if S-G(D[[X]]) = 0, which is equivalent to the fact that D[[X]] is an S-G-GCD domain (S-*-domain).

Example 3.20. It follows from Corollary 3.19 that, if D is a Krull domain such that D[[X]] satisfies (*), then D is a G-GCD domain (respectively, *-domain) if and only if D[[X]] is a G-GCD domain (respectively, *-domain). For example, if we take $D = \mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$, then D is a Krull domain. Moreover, by [12, Example 3.1], $\mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}][[X]]$ satisfies (*). Since $\mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$ is a G-GCD domain (respectively, *-domain) (Dedekind domain), then $\mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}][[X]]$ is a G-GCD domain (respectively, *-domain).

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank the referees for their careful consideration.

REFERENCES

1. D.D. Anderson, π -domains, divisorial ideals and overrings, Glasgow Math. J. 19 (1978), 199–203.

2. D.D. Anderson and D.F. Anderson, *Generalized* GCD-domains, Comm. Math. Univ. 28 (1979), 215–221.

3. _____, Some remarks on star operations and the class group, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **51** (1988), 27–33.

4. D.D. Anderson and T. Dumitrescu, *S-Noetherian rings*, Comm. Algebra **30** (2002), 4407–4416.

5. D.D. Anderson, E.G. Houston and M. Zafrullah, *t-linked extensions, the t-class group, and Nagat's theorem*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **86** (1993), 109–129.

6. D.F. Anderson, S.E. Baghdadi and S.E. Kabbaj, On the class group of A + XB[X] domains, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **205** (1999), 73–85.

7. A. Bouvier, Le groupe des classes d'un anneau intègre, Cong. Soc. Savantes 107 (1982), 85–92.

8. ____, The local class group of a Krull domain, Canadian Math. Bull. 26 (1983), 13–19.

9. A. Bouvier and M. Zafrullah, On some class groups of an integral domain, Bull. Greek Math. Soc. 29 (1988), 45–59.

10. S. Gabelli, On divisorial ideal in polynomal rings over Mori domains, Comm. Algebra 15 (1987), 2349–2370.

11. R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative ideal theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.

12. A. Hamed and S. Hizem, On the class group and S-class group of formal power series rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 221 (2017), 2869–2879.

13. E. Houston and M. Zafrullah, Integral domains in which each t-ideal is divisorial, Michigan Math. J. 35 (1988), 291–300.

14. B.G. Kang, Prüfer v-multiplication domains and the ring $R[X]_{N_v}$, J. Algebra 123 (1989), 151–170.

 M. Zafrullah, On generalized Dedekind domains, Mathematika 33 (1986), 285–295. 16. M. Zafrullah, On a property of pre-Schreier domains, Comm. Algebra 15 (1987), 1895–1920.

UNIVERSITY OF MONASTIR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MONASTIR, TUNISIA **Email address: hamed.ahmed@hotmail.fr**