

## ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS INVOLVING NATURAL GROWTH IN THE GRADIENT AND GENERAL ABSORPTION TERMS

HAYDAR ABDELHAMID

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we treat the existence of solutions for a class of general elliptic problems whose prototype is the following:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + h(x)|u|^{q-1}u = \beta|\nabla u|^p + \lambda f(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N > 1$ ,  $1 < p < N$ ,  $q \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h \in L^1(\Omega)$  with  $h \geq 0$  and  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Assuming that the source term  $f$  satisfies

$$\lambda_1(f) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} |f||w|^p dx} : w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\} > 0,$$

we obtain the existence of a solution  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  when  $|\lambda|$  is sufficiently small.

**1. Introduction.** This work is devoted to the study of the existence of solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems whose model example is the following:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + h(x)|u|^{q-1}u = \beta|\nabla u|^p + \lambda f(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N > 1$ ,  $1 < p < N$ ,  $q \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h \in L^1(\Omega)$  with  $h \geq 0$  and  $f$  is *admissible data* in the sense of:

$$(1.2) \quad f \not\equiv 0, \quad f \in L^1(\Omega)$$

---

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 35J60, 35J65.

*Keywords and phrases.* Elliptic equations, natural growth, absorption term.

Received by the editors on September 2, 2017.

and

$$\lambda_1(f) = \inf_{\substack{w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ w \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} |f| |w|^p dx} > 0.$$

For  $h(x) \equiv 0$ , problem (1.1) is the subject of a large number of research papers. For instance, Ferone and Murat [5] proved the existence of solutions for general nonlinear equations when the source term belongs to the *limit space*  $L^{N/p}(\Omega)$  with *sufficiently small* norm. In the case where  $\beta$  depends upon  $u$ , we refer to [1, 10], where the problem under growth assumptions on  $\beta$  was studied (also see [2] for  $p = 2$ ).

In Dall'Aglio, Giachetti and Puel [4], the authors considered problem (1.1) in the case where  $h(x) = \alpha_0$  is a positive constant and  $q = p - 1$ . In the presence of the absorption term, the existence of weak solutions in general domains was obtained under some summability assumptions on the source term  $f$  without smallness conditions.

Our goal is to prove the existence of weak solutions in the Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  under the hypothesis (1.2) when  $|\lambda|$  is sufficiently small. From [8], we see that any nonzero function  $f \in L^{N/p}(\Omega)$  satisfies (1.2), and  $\lambda_1(f)$  is attained by some  $\phi_1 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . An example of *admissible data* in the sense of (1.2), which does not belong to  $L^{N/p}(\Omega)$ , is the Hardy potential  $f(x) = 1/|x|^p$  when  $0 \in \Omega$ . This is due to the classical Hardy inequality:

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \geq \Lambda_N \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} dx \quad \text{for all } u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

where

$$\Lambda_N = \left( \frac{N-p}{p} \right)^p$$

is optimal, and it is not attained in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , see [6].

The outline of this paper is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to stating our main result. In Section 3, we establish a priori estimates for  $\Phi_\tau(u_n) = (e^{\tau|u_n|} - 1)\text{sign}(u_n)$ , where  $u_n$  is a sequence of bounded solutions of the approximating problems. In Section 4, we prove some compactness properties for  $u_n$ , and we pass to the limit in the approximating problems in order to conclude our main result.

**2. Assumptions and the main result.** Consider the elliptic problem

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A(x, u, \nabla u)) + C(x, u) = B(x, u, \nabla u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with  $N > 1$ ,  $p$  is a real such that  $1 < p < N$  and  $A : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $B : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $C : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are Carathéodory functions satisfying:

(HA) there exist  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\eta > 0$  and a positive function  $\sigma \in L^{p/(p-1)}(\Omega)$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad (A(x, s, \xi) - A(x, s, \eta))(\xi - \eta) > 0,$$

$$(2.3) \quad A(x, s, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \alpha|\xi|^p,$$

$$(2.4) \quad |A(x, s, \xi)| \leq \eta(\sigma(x) + |s|^{p-1} + |\xi|^{p-1})$$

for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ , every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , with  $\xi \neq \eta$ .

(HB) There exist  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and a nonnegative function  $f$  satisfying (1.2) such that

$$(2.5) \quad |B(x, s, \xi)| \leq \beta|\xi|^p + \lambda f(x),$$

for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ , every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

(HC) The sign condition:

$$(2.6) \quad C(x, u)u \geq 0 \quad \text{for almost every } x \in \Omega \text{ and every } u \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the summability hypothesis:

$$(2.7) \quad c_k(x) = \sup_{\{|u| \leq k\}} |C(x, u)| \in L^1(\Omega) \quad \text{for every } k > 0.$$

For  $\tau > 0$ , we define the function

$$(2.8) \quad \Phi_\tau(s) = (e^{\tau|s|} - 1)\operatorname{sign}(s).$$

We also denote

$$(2.9) \quad \gamma = \beta(\alpha(p-1))^{-1}, \quad \bar{\lambda} = \gamma^{1-p}\alpha\lambda_1(f),$$

where

$$\lambda_1(f) = \inf_{\substack{w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ w \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} |f||w|^p dx};$$

and, for every  $\lambda > 0$ , we define

$$(2.10) \quad \mu(\lambda) = (\lambda^{-1} \alpha \lambda_1(f))^{1/(p-1)}.$$

We say that  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is a solution to problem (2.1) if  $A(x, u, \nabla u) \in L^{p/(p-1)}(\Omega)$ ,  $C(x, u) \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $B(x, u, \nabla u) \in L^1(\Omega)$  and

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{\Omega} A(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} C(x, u) \varphi = \int_{\Omega} B(x, u, \nabla u) \varphi,$$

for all  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

Now we are in a position to state our main result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that assumptions (HA), (HB) and (HC) hold. If  $\lambda < \bar{\lambda}$ , then there exists a solution of (2.1) such that*

$$(2.12) \quad C(x, u) \Phi_\tau(u) \in L^1(\Omega), \quad \Phi_\tau(u) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

for every  $\tau < \mu(\lambda)$ .

**3. Approximation and a priori estimates.** In this section, we introduce a sequence of bounded approximating solutions to problem (2.1), and we establish a priori estimates in Theorem 3.2. We begin by introducing some useful notation: for  $k > 0$ , we define the truncation at level  $\pm k$  by

$$(3.1) \quad T_k(s) = \max(-k, \min(s, k)).$$

We also consider

$$(3.2) \quad G_k(s) = s - T_k(s).$$

**Approximating problem.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we define

$$(3.3) \quad C_n(x, s) = T_n(C(x, s)), \quad B_n(x, s, \xi) = T_n(B(x, s, \xi)).$$

From standard results of Leray and Lions [7] for existence and [11] for boundedness, there exists a solution  $u_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  of the

problem

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)) + C_n(x, u_n) = B_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

**Cancellation lemma.** The following technical lemma will be useful in the proofs.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that (2.3) and (2.5) hold. Let  $u_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  be a sequence of solutions of (3.4). Then:*

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho \operatorname{sign}(v)u_n} A(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\Omega} C_n(x, u_n) e^{\rho \operatorname{sign}(v)u_n} v \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho \operatorname{sign}(v)u_n} f|v|,$$

for every  $\rho \geq \beta\alpha^{-1}$  and  $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ , and consider  $e^{\rho \operatorname{sign}(v)u_n} v$  as a test function in the approximating problem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho \operatorname{sign}(v)u_n} A(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\Omega} \rho e^{\rho \operatorname{sign}(v)u_n} A(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla u_n |v| \\ + \int_{\Omega} C_n(x, u_n) e^{\rho \operatorname{sign}(v)u_n} v \\ = \int_{\Omega} B_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) e^{\rho \operatorname{sign}(v)u_n} v. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.3) and (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} A(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla v e^{\rho \operatorname{sign}(v)u_n} + \rho\alpha \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho \operatorname{sign}(v)u_n} |\nabla u_n|^p |v| \\ + \int_{\Omega} C_n(x, u_n) e^{\rho \operatorname{sign}(v)u_n} v \leq \beta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p e^{\rho \operatorname{sign}(v)u_n} |v| \\ + \lambda \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho \operatorname{sign}(v)u_n} f|v|, \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude (3.5) holds if  $\rho \geq \beta\alpha^{-1}$ . □

**A priori estimates.** The following a priori estimates comprise the main tool in the proof of our result.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that (HA), (HB) and (HC) hold. Let  $u_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  be a sequence of solutions of (3.4). If  $\lambda < \bar{\lambda}$ , then, for every  $\tau$  such that  $\gamma \leq \tau < \mu(\lambda)$ , there exists a constant  $M = M(p, \lambda, \alpha, \tau, \lambda_1(f), \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}) > 0$  such that*

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi_{\tau}(u_n)|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} C_n(x, u_n) \Phi_{\tau}(u_n) \leq M,$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_k(u_n)|^p dx \leq M e^{-\tau pk}.$$

*Proof.* Taking  $v = \Phi_{\tau}(u_n) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  in the cancelation lemma, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho|u_n|} A(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla \Phi_{\tau}(u_n) + \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho|u_n|} C_n(x, u_n) \Phi_{\tau}(u_n) \\ \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho|u_n|} f |\Phi_{\tau}(u_n)|, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \int_{\Omega} e^{(\rho+\tau)|u_n|} A(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla u_n + \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho|u_n|} C_n(x, u_n) \Phi_{\tau}(u_n) \\ \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho|u_n|} f |\Phi_{\tau}(u_n)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using (2.3) and (2.6), we obtain:

$$\tau \alpha \int_{\Omega} e^{(\rho+\tau)|u_n|} |\nabla u_n|^p + \int_{\Omega} C_n(x, u_n) \Phi_{\tau}(u_n) \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho|u_n|} f |\Phi_{\tau}(u_n)|.$$

Taking  $\rho = (p - 1)\tau$  and  $\tau \geq \beta\alpha^{-1}/(p - 1)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{1-p} \alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi_{\tau}(u_n)|^p + \int_{\Omega} C_n(x, u_n) \Phi_{\tau}(u_n) \\ \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} f (1 + |\Phi_{\tau}(u_n)|)^{p-1} |\Phi_{\tau}(u_n)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\tau^{1-p}\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi_{\tau}(u_n)|^p + \int_{\Omega} C_n(x, u_n) \Phi_{\tau}(u_n) \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(1+|\Phi_{\tau}(u_n)|)^p.$$

For  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $K$  that only depends upon  $\epsilon$  and  $p$  such that

$$(1 + T)^p \leq (1 + \epsilon)T^p + K \quad \text{for every } T \geq 0.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{1-p}\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi_{\tau}(u_n)|^p + \int_{\Omega} C_n(x, u_n) \Phi_{\tau}(u_n) &\leq \lambda(1 + \epsilon) \int_{\Omega} f|\Phi_{\tau}(u_n)|^p dx + \lambda K \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda(1 + \epsilon)}{\lambda_1(f)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi_{\tau}(u_n)|^p dx + \lambda K \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

due to assumption (1.2). Thus,

$$(3.8) \quad \left( \tau^{1-p}\alpha - \frac{\lambda(1 + \epsilon)}{\lambda_1(f)} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi_{\tau}(u_n)|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} C_n(x, u_n) \Phi_{\tau}(u_n) \leq M,$$

where  $M$  is a constant that only depends upon  $\lambda, \epsilon, p, \lambda_1(f)$  and  $\|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$ .

For  $\lambda < \bar{\lambda}$ , where  $\bar{\lambda}$  is defined by (2.9), we observe that  $\lambda < \beta^{1-p}\alpha^p(p - 1)^{p-1}\lambda_1(f)$ . The last inequality implies  $\gamma < \mu(\lambda)$ , where  $\mu(\lambda)$  is given by (2.10). Now, for every  $\tau$  such that  $\gamma \leq \tau < \mu(\lambda)$ , we obtain estimate (3.8) since  $\tau \geq \gamma = \beta\alpha^{-1}/(p - 1)$ .

On the other hand, the inequality  $\tau < \mu(\lambda)$  implies  $\tau^{1-p}\alpha\lambda_1(f) - \lambda > 0$ . Thus, for every  $0 < \epsilon < \tau^{1-p}\alpha\lambda_1(f) - \lambda$ , we have

$$\left( \tau^{1-p}\alpha - \frac{\lambda(1 + \epsilon)}{\lambda_1(f)} \right) > 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain estimate (3.6) from (3.8) for a new constant  $M$  which does not depend upon  $n$ .

Finally, since

$$\{|u_n| > k\} = \{|\Phi_{\tau}(u_n)| > (e^{\tau k} - 1)\}$$

and

$$|\nabla u_n| = \tau^{-1} e^{-\tau|u_n|} |\nabla \Phi_\tau(u_n)|,$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|u_n|>k\}} |\nabla u_n|^p dx &= \int_{\{|\Phi_\tau(u_n)|>(e^\tau k-1)\}} \tau^{-p} e^{-\tau p|u_n|} |\nabla \Phi_\tau(u_n)|^p dx \\ &\leq \tau^{-p} e^{-\tau p k} \int_{\{|\Phi_\tau(u_n)|>(e^\tau k-1)\}} |\nabla \Phi_\tau(u_n)|^p dx \\ &\leq \tau^{-p} e^{-\tau p k} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi_\tau(u_n)|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

and we deduce estimate (3.7) using (3.6). □

**4. Compactness and proof of the main result.** In this section, we will prove our main result (Theorem 2.1). Toward this aim, we establish the following compactness properties for a sequence of solutions  $u_n$  of (3.4). In the sequel, we denote, respectively, by  $\epsilon(n)$  and  $\epsilon(n, h)$  all possible different quantities such that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon(n) = 0, \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon(n, h) = 0.$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2, a subsequence of  $u_n$ , still denoted  $u_n$ , and a function  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  exist such that*

$$(4.1) \quad C_n(x, u_n) \longrightarrow C(x, u) \quad \text{strongly in } L^1(\Omega),$$

$$(4.2) \quad f e^{\rho|u_n|} \longrightarrow f e^{\rho|u|} \quad \text{strongly in } L^1(\Omega) \text{ for } \rho = \beta\alpha^{-1},$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad T_k(u_n) \longrightarrow T_k(u) \quad \text{strongly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ for all } k > 0.$$

*Proof.* From estimate (3.6), we can easily see that  $u_n$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Then, we can extract a subsequence, still denoted  $u_n$ , such that

$$(4.4) \quad u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$



and

$$(4.5) \quad u_n \longrightarrow u \quad \text{almost everywhere in } \Omega,$$

for some  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

It follows from (4.5) that  $C_n(x, u_n)$  and  $f e^{\rho|u_n|}$  converge almost everywhere in  $\Omega$  to  $C(x, u)$  and  $f e^{\rho|u|}$ , respectively.

In order to obtain (4.1) and (4.2) by applying Vitali's theorem, we will prove the equi-integrability of the sequence  $u_n$ . Let  $E$  be a measurable set of  $\Omega$ . For any  $k > 0$ , in view of the assumption (2.6), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |C_n(x, u_n)| &\leq \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| \leq k\}} |C_n(x, u_n)| + \frac{1}{e^{\tau k} - 1} \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| > k\}} C_n(x, u_n) \Phi_\tau(u_n) \\ &\leq \int_E c_k(x) + \frac{M}{e^{\tau k} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\rho = \beta\alpha^{-1}$  and  $\gamma = \rho(p - 1)^{-1}$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E f e^{\rho|u_n|} &= \int_E f(1 + |\Phi_\gamma(u_n)|)^{p-1} \\ &= \int_E f^{1/p} f^{(p-1)/p} (1 + |\Phi_\gamma(u_n)|)^{p-1} \\ &\leq \left( \int_E f \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_E f(1 + |\Phi_\gamma(u_n)|)^p \right)^{(p-1)/p}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields, using the assumption  $\lambda_1(f) > 0$  and (3.6),

$$\int_E f e^{\rho|u_n|} \leq \overline{M} \left( \int_E f \right)^{1/p},$$

where  $\overline{M}$  is a constant that does not depend upon  $n$ .

Now, we shall prove the strong convergence (4.3). Toward this end, we follow the technique used by Porretta [9, 10]. For fixed  $k$  and  $h > k$ ,

consider the function:

$$v_n = T_{2k}(u_n - T_h(u_n) + T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)).$$

Let  $l = h + 4k$ , and denote:

$$(4.6) \quad D_n = \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho \text{sign}(v_n) T_k(u_n)} \{ [A(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) - A(x, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u))] \cdot [\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)] \} dx.$$

We can write

$$(4.7) \quad D_n = I_n - J_n,$$

where

$$(4.8) \quad I_n = \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho \text{sign}(v_n) T_k(u_n)} A(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \cdot (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)) dx$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad J_n = \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho \text{sign}(v_n) T_k(u_n)} A(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \cdot (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)) dx.$$

Using  $A(x, s, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq 0$ , from (2.3), we have

$$A(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \cdot (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)) \leq A(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla v_n + |A(x, T_l(u_n), \nabla T_l(u_n))| |\nabla T_k(u)| \chi_{\{|u_n| > k\}}.$$

Then,

$$(4.10) \quad I_n \leq \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho \text{sign}(v_n) u_n} A(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla v_n + \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho \text{sign}(v_n) u_n} |A(x, T_l(u_n), \nabla T_l(u_n))| |\nabla T_k(u)| \chi_{\{|u_n| > k\}}.$$

Combining (3.5) and (4.10) for  $v = v_n$  and (4.7), we obtain:

$$(4.11) \quad D_n + J_n + L_n \leq F_n + E_n,$$

where

$$L_n = \int_{\Omega} C_n(x, u_n)v_n, \quad F_n = \int_{\Omega} f e^{\rho|u_n|}|v_n|,$$

$$E_n = \int_{\Omega} e^{\rho|u_n|}|A(x, T_l(u_n), \nabla T_l(u_n))||\nabla T_k(u)||\chi_{\{|u_n|>k\}}.$$

Let us examine the terms  $J_n, L_n, F_n$  and  $E_n$ .

For  $J_n$ , from (4.4), we know that  $T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)$  weakly converges to 0 in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . From assumption (2.4), we observe that

$$e^{\rho \text{sign}(v_n)T_k(u_n)} A(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u))$$

is uniformly bounded with respect to  $n$  in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ . Therefore, by applying Lebesgue's convergence theorem, we obtain:

$$(4.12) \quad J_n = \epsilon(n).$$

For  $L_n$ , using convergences (4.1) and (4.5), we can apply Lebesgue's theorem to obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} C_n(x, u_n)v_n = \int_{\Omega} C(x, u)T_{2k}(u - T_h(u)).$$

Then,

$$(4.13) \quad L_n = \epsilon(n, h)$$

since  $C(x, u)T_{2k}(u - T_h(u))$  converges pointwise to 0 as  $h \rightarrow +\infty$  and is bounded by  $2kC(x, u) \in L^1(\Omega)$ .

For  $F_n$ , in a similar manner to  $L_n$ , due to the convergence (4.2), we have:

$$(4.14) \quad F_n = \epsilon(n, h).$$

For  $E_n$ , we conclude, by using assumption (2.3) and the boundedness of  $u_n$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , that

$$e^{\rho|u_n|}|A(x, T_l(u_n), \nabla T_l(u_n))|$$

is uniformly bounded with respect to  $n$  in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ . Then, using that  $|\nabla T_k(u)||\chi_{\{|u_n|>k\}}$  strongly converges to 0 in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , we get:

$$(4.15) \quad E_n = \epsilon(n).$$

In view of (4.11), the results (4.12), (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15) yield:

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} D_n = 0.$$

Taking into account assumption (2.3) and that  $e^{\text{sign}(v_n)T_k(u_n)} \geq e^{-k} > 0$ , we conclude that

$$\int_{\Omega} \{ [A(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) - A(x, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u))] \cdot [\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)] \} dx = \epsilon(n).$$

Under assumption (HA), due to [3, Lemma 5], this implies the strong convergence (4.3).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Recalling the definitions (3.1) and (3.2), we can write

$$\nabla u_n - \nabla u = \nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u) + \nabla G_k(u_n) - \nabla G_k(u).$$

Then, using (3.7) and (4.3), we prove that  $u_n$  strongly converges to  $u$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Therefore, taking into account (4.1), we can pass to the limit in the approximating problem (3.4), and we conclude that  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is a solution of (2.1) in the sense of (2.11). Finally, using the a priori estimate (3.6), we deduce the regularity (2.12) by means of Fatou's lemma.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. H. Abdel Hamid and M.F. Bidaut-Véron, *On the connection between two quasilinear elliptic problems with source terms of order 0 and 1*, Comm. Contemp. Math. **12** (2010), 727–788.
2. B. Abdellaoui, A. Dall'Aglio and I. Peral, *Some remarks on elliptic problems with critical growth in the gradient*, J. Diff. Eqs. **222** (2006), 21–62; *Corrigendum*, J. Diff. Eqs. **246** (2009), 2988–2990.
3. L. Boccardo, F. Murat and J.P. Puel, *Existence of bounded solutions for nonlinear elliptic unilateral problems*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **152** (1988), 183–196.
4. A. Dall'Aglio, D. Giachetti and J.P. Puel, *Nonlinear elliptic equations with natural growth in general domains*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **181** (2002), 407–426.
5. V. Ferone and F. Murat, *Nonlinear problems having natural growth in the gradient: An existence result when the source terms are small*, Nonlin. Anal. **42** (2000), 1309–1326.
6. J. Garcia Azorero and I. Peral, *Hardy inequalities and some critical elliptic and parabolic problems*, J. Diff. Eqs. **144** (1998), 441–476.

7. J. Leray and J.L. Lions, *Quelques résultats de Višik sur les problèmes elliptiques nonlinéaires par les méthodes de Minty-Browder*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **93** (1965), 97–107.

8. M. Lucia and S. Prashant, *Simplicity of principal eigenvalue for  $p$ -Laplace operator with singular indefinite weight*, Arch. Math. **86** (2006), 79–89.

9. A. Porretta, *Nonlinear equations with natural growth terms and measure data*, Electr. J. Diff. Eqs. Conf. **09** (2002), 183-202.

10. A. Porretta and S. Segura de León, *Nonlinear elliptic equations having a gradient term with natural growth*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **85** (2006), 465–492.

11. G. Stampacchia, *Équations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinus*, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montreal, 1966.

FAHED BEN SULTAN UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCES AND HUMANITIES, TABUK,  
SAUDI ARABIA

**Email address:** [habelhamid@fbsu.edu.sa](mailto:habelhamid@fbsu.edu.sa)