

SUPERADDITIVITY AND MONOTONICITY OF  
SOME FUNCTIONALS ASSOCIATED WITH  
THE HERMITE-HADAMARD INEQUALITY  
FOR CONVEX FUNCTIONS IN LINEAR SPACES

S.S. DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. The superadditivity and monotonicity properties of some functionals associated with convex functions and the Hermite-Hadamard inequality in the general setting of linear spaces are investigated. Applications for norms and convex functions of a real variable are given. Some inequalities for arithmetic, geometric, harmonic, logarithmic and identric means are improved.

**1. Introduction.** For any convex function we can consider the well-known inequality due to Hermite and Hadamard. It was first discovered by Ch. Hermite in 1881 in the journal *Mathesis* (see [6]). Hermite mentioned that the following inequality holds for any convex function  $f$  defined on  $\mathbf{R}$

$$(1.1) \quad (b-a)f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) < \int_a^b f(x) dx < (b-a)\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}, \\ a, b \in \mathbf{R}.$$

But this result was nowhere mentioned in the mathematical literature and was not widely known as Hermite's result [8]. Beckenbach, a leading expert on the history and the theory of convex functions, wrote that this inequality was proven by Hadamard in 1893 [1]. In 1974, Mitrović found Hermite's note in *Mathesis* [6]. Since (1.1) was known as Hadamard's inequality, the inequality is now commonly referred to as the Hermite-Hadamard inequality [8].

Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$ . Define the segment  $[x, y] := \{(1-t)x + ty, t \in [0, 1]\}$ . We consider the function  $f : [x, y] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and

---

2010 AMS Mathematics subject classification. Primary 26D15, 26D10.

Keywords and phrases. Convex functions, Hermite-Hadamard's inequality, norms, means.

Received by the editors on February 7, 2010.

the associated function

$$g(x, y) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad g(x, y)(t) := f[(1-t)x + ty], \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Note that  $f$  is convex on  $[x, y]$  if and only if  $g(x, y)$  is convex on  $[0, 1]$ .

For any convex function defined on a segment  $[x, y] \subset X$ , we have the Hermite-Hadamard integral inequality (see [2, page 2], [3, page 2])

$$(1.2) \quad f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \int_0^1 f[(1-t)x + ty] dt \leq \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2},$$

which can be derived from the classical Hermite-Hadamard inequality (1.1) for the convex function  $g(x, y) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ .

Since  $f(x) = \|x\|^p$  ( $x \in X$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) is a convex function, we have the following norm inequality from (1.2) (see [7, page 106])

$$(1.3) \quad \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\|^p \leq \int_0^1 \|(1-t)x + ty\|^p dt \leq \frac{\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p}{2},$$

for any  $x, y \in X$ . Particularly, if  $p = 2$ , then

$$(1.4) \quad \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\|^2 \leq \int_0^1 \|(1-t)x + ty\|^2 dt \leq \frac{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2}{2},$$

holds for any  $x, y \in X$ . We also get the following refinement of the triangle inequality when  $p = 1$

$$(1.5) \quad \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \leq \int_0^1 \|(1-t)x + ty\| dt \leq \frac{\|x\| + \|y\|}{2}.$$

**2. Some functional properties.** Consider a convex function  $f : C \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined on the convex subset  $C$  in the real linear space  $X$  and two distinct vectors  $x, y \in C$ . We denote by  $[x, y]$  the closed segment defined by  $\{(1-t)x + ty, t \in [0, 1]\}$ . We also define the functional

$$(2.1) \quad \Psi_f(x, y; t) := (1-t)f(x) + tf(y) - f((1-t)x + ty) \geq 0,$$

where  $x, y \in C$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f : C \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a convex function on the convex set  $C$ . Then, for each  $x, y \in C$  and  $z \in [x, y]$ , we have

$$(2.2) \quad (0 \leq) \Psi_f(x, z; t) + \Psi_f(z, y; t) \leq \Psi_f(x, y; t)$$

for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , i.e., the functional  $\Psi_f(\cdot, \cdot; t)$  is superadditive as a function of interval.

If  $[z, u] \subset [x, y]$ , then

$$(2.3) \quad (0 \leq) \Psi_f(z, u; t) \leq \Psi_f(x, y; t)$$

for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , i.e., the functional  $\Psi_f(\cdot, \cdot; t)$  is nondecreasing as a function of the interval.

*Proof.* Let  $z = (1 - s)x + sy$  with  $s \in (0, 1)$ . For  $t \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_f(z, y; t) &= (1 - t)f((1 - s)x + sy) \\ &\quad + tf(y) - f((1 - t)[(1 - s)x + sy] + ty) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_f(x, z; t) &= (1 - t)f(x) + tf((1 - s)x + sy) \\ &\quad - f((1 - t)x + t[(1 - s)x + sy]) \end{aligned}$$

giving that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad &\Psi_f(x, z; t) + \Psi_f(z, y; t) - \Psi_f(x, y; t) \\ &= f((1 - s)x + sy) + f((1 - t)x + ty) \\ &\quad - f((1 - t)(1 - s)x + [(1 - t)s + t]y) - f((1 - ts)x + tsy). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for a convex function  $\varphi : I \subset \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , where  $I$  is an interval, and any real numbers  $t_1, t_2, s_1$  and  $s_2$  from  $I$  and with the properties that  $t_1 \leq s_1$  and  $t_2 \leq s_2$  we have that

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\varphi(t_1) - \varphi(t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} \leq \frac{\varphi(s_1) - \varphi(s_2)}{s_1 - s_2}.$$

Indeed, since  $\varphi$  is convex on  $I$  then for any  $a \in I$  the function  $\psi : I \setminus \{a\} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$

$$\psi(t) := \frac{\varphi(t) - \varphi(a)}{t - a}$$

is monotonic nondecreasing on  $t \setminus \{a\}$ . Utilizing this property repeatedly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varphi(t_1) - \varphi(t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} &\leq \frac{\varphi(s_1) - \varphi(t_2)}{s_1 - t_2} = \frac{\varphi(t_2) - \varphi(s_1)}{t_2 - s_1} \\ &\leq \frac{\varphi(s_2) - \varphi(s_1)}{s_2 - s_1} = \frac{\varphi(s_1) - \varphi(s_2)}{s_1 - s_2}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves inequality (2.5).

Consider the function  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  given by  $\varphi(t) := f((1-t)x + ty)$ . Since  $f$  is convex on  $C$ , it follows that  $\varphi$  is convex on  $[0, 1]$ . Now, if we consider for a given  $t, s \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$t_1 := ts < s =: s_1 \quad \text{and} \quad t_2 := t < t + (1-t)s =: s_2,$$

then we have

$$\varphi(t_1) = f((1-ts)x + tsy), \quad \varphi(t_2) = f((1-t)x + ty)$$

giving that

$$\frac{\varphi(t_1) - \varphi(t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} = \frac{f((1-ts)x + tsy) - f((1-t)x + ty)}{t(s-1)}.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(s_1) &= f((1-s)x + sy), \\ \varphi(s_2) &= f((1-t)(1-s)x + [(1-t)s + t]y), \end{aligned}$$

giving that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varphi(s_1) - \varphi(s_2)}{s_1 - s_2} &= \frac{f((1-s)x + sy) - f((1-t)(1-s)x + [(1-t)s + t]y)}{t(s-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Utilizing inequality (2.5) and multiplying with  $t(s-1) < 0$ , we deduce the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad &f((1-ts)x + tsy) - f((1-t)x + ty) \\ &\geq f((1-s)x + sy) - f((1-t)(1-s)x + [(1-t)s + t]y). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (2.4) and (2.6), we get the desired result (2.2).

Applying repeatedly the superadditivity property, we have for  $[z, u] \subset [x, y]$  that

$$\Psi_f(x, z; t) + \Psi_f(z, u; t) + \Psi_f(u, y; t) \leq \Psi_f(x, y; t)$$

giving that

$$0 \leq \Psi_f(x, z; t) + \Psi_f(u, y; t) \leq \Psi_f(x, y; t) - \Psi_f(z, u; t)$$

which proves (2.3).  $\square$

For  $t = 1/2$ , we consider the functional

$$\Psi_f(x, y) := \Psi_f\left(x, y; \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right),$$

which obviously inherits the superadditivity and monotonicity properties of the functional  $\Psi_f(\cdot, \cdot; t)$ . We are then able to state the following.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $f : C \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a convex function on the convex set  $C$  and  $x, y \in C$ . Then we have the bounds*

$$(2.7) \quad \inf_{z \in [x, y]} \left[ f\left(\frac{x+z}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{z+y}{2}\right) - f(z) \right] = f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{z, u \in [x, y]} & \left[ \frac{f(z) + f(u)}{2} - f\left(\frac{z+u}{2}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By the superadditivity of the functional  $\Psi_f(\cdot, \cdot)$ , we have for each  $z \in [x, y]$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) &\geq \frac{f(x) + f(z)}{2} - f\left(\frac{x+z}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{f(z) + f(y)}{2} - f\left(\frac{z+y}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2.9) \quad f\left(\frac{x+z}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{z+y}{2}\right) - f(z) \geq f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right).$$

Since the equality case in (2.9) is realized for either  $z = x$  or  $z = y$ , we get the desired bound (2.7).

The bound (2.8) is obvious by the monotonicity of the functional  $\Psi_f(\cdot, \cdot)$  as a function of the interval.  $\square$

Now consider the following functional

$$\Gamma_f(x, y; t) := f(x) + f(y) - f((1-t)x + ty) - f((1-t)y + tx),$$

where, as above,  $f : C \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a convex function on the convex set  $C$  and  $x, y \in C$  while  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

We notice that

$$\Gamma_f(x, y; t) = \Gamma_f(y, x; t) = \Gamma_f(x, y; 1-t)$$

and

$$\Gamma_f(x, y; t) = \Psi_f(x, y; t) + \Psi_f(x, y; 1-t) \geq 0$$

for any  $x, y \in C$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Therefore, we can state the following result as well:

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $f : C \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a convex function on the convex set  $C$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . The functional  $\Gamma_f(\cdot, \cdot; t)$  is superadditive and monotonic nondecreasing as a function of the interval.*

In particular, if  $z \in [x, y]$ , then we have the inequality

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} [f((1-t)x + ty) + f((1-t)y + tx)] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} [f((1-t)x + tz) + f((1-t)z + tx)] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} [f((1-t)z + ty) + f((1-t)y + tz)] - f(z). \end{aligned}$$

Also, if  $z, u \in [x, y]$ , then we have the inequality

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x) + f(y) - f((1-t)x + ty) - f((1-t)y + tx) \\ \geq f(z) + f(u) - f((1-t)z + tu) \\ - f((1-t)u + tz) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Perhaps the most interesting functional we can consider from the above is the following one:

$$(2.12) \quad \Theta_f(x, y) := \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \geq 0,$$

which is related to the second Hermite-Hadamard inequality.

We observe that

$$(2.13) \quad \Theta_f(x, y) = \int_0^1 \Psi_f(x, y; t) dt = \int_0^1 \Psi_f(x, y; 1-t) dt.$$

Utilizing this representation, we can state the following result as well:

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $f : C \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a convex function on the convex set  $C$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . The functional  $\Theta_f(\cdot, \cdot)$  is superadditive and monotonically nondecreasing as a function of the interval. Moreover, we have the bounds*

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \inf_{z \in [x, y]} \left[ \int_0^1 [f((1-t)x + tz) + f((1-t)z + ty)] dt - f(z) \right] \\ = \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{z, u \in [x, y]} \left[ \frac{f(z) + f(u)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t)z + tu) dt \right] \\ = \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt. \end{aligned}$$

For other functionals associated with the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for functions of a real variable, see the paper [4].

**3. Applications for norms.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space and  $x, y$  two distinct vectors in  $X$ . Then, for any  $p \geq 1$ , the function  $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $f(x) = \|x\|^p$  is convex and, utilizing the results from the above section, we can state the following norm inequalities:

$$(3.1) \quad \inf_{z \in [x,y]} \left[ \left\| \frac{x+z}{2} \right\|^p + \left\| \frac{z+y}{2} \right\|^p - \|z\|^p \right] = \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\|^p,$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \sup_{z,u \in [x,y]} \left[ \frac{\|z\|^p + \|u\|^p}{2} - \left\| \frac{z+u}{2} \right\|^p \right] = \frac{\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p}{2} - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\|^p.$$

Moreover, we can state the following results as well:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} [\|(1-t)x + ty\|^p + \|(1-t)y + tx\|^p] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} [\|(1-t)x + tz\|^p + \|(1-t)z + tx\|^p] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} [\|(1-t)z + ty\|^p + \|(1-t)y + tz\|^p] - \|z\|^p \end{aligned}$$

for any  $z \in [x,y]$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p - \|(1-t)x + ty\|^p - \|(1-t)y + tx\|^p \geq \|z\|^p + \|u\|^p \\ & \quad - \|(1-t)z + tu\|^p - \|(1-t)z + tu\|^p \end{aligned}$$

for any  $z, u \in [x,y]$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

In [5] Kikianty and Dragomir introduced the concept of the  $p$ -HH-norm as  $\|\cdot\|_{p-\text{HH}} : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with

$$\|(x, y)\|_{p-\text{HH}} := \left( \int_0^1 \|(1-t)x + ty\|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \quad p \geq 1$$

and studied its various properties.

From the integral inequalities established in the above section, we can deduce the following results for the  $p$ -HH-norm of two distinct vectors  $x, y$  in the normed linear space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ :

$$(3.5) \quad \inf_{z \in [x, y]} \left[ \| (x, z) \|_{p-\text{HH}}^p + \| (z, y) \|_{p-\text{HH}}^p - \| (z, z) \|_{p-\text{HH}}^p \right] = \| (x, y) \|_{p-\text{HH}}^p$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{z, u \in [x, y]} \left[ \frac{\| (z, z) \|_{p-\text{HH}}^p + \| (u, u) \|_{p-\text{HH}}^p}{2} - \| (z, u) \|_{p-\text{HH}}^p \right] \\ &= \frac{\| (x, x) \|_{p-\text{HH}}^p + \| (y, y) \|_{p-\text{HH}}^p}{2} - \| (x, y) \|_{p-\text{HH}}^p. \end{aligned}$$

**4. Applications for convex functions of a real variable.** Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a convex function on the interval  $I \subset \mathbf{R}$  and  $x, y \in I$  with  $x < y$ . Due to the obvious fact that

$$\int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt = \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(s) ds,$$

the functional

$$\Theta_f(x, y) := \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(s) ds$$

is *superadditive* and *monotonic nondecreasing* as a function of interval. We also have the inequalities

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \inf_{z \in [x, y]} \left[ \frac{1}{z-x} \int_x^z f(s) ds + \frac{1}{y-z} \int_z^y f(s) ds - f(z) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{z, u \in [x, y]} \left[ \frac{f(z) + f(u)}{2} - \frac{1}{z-u} \int_u^z f(s) ds \right] \\ &= \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The above inequalities may be used to obtain some interesting results for means.

For  $0 < x \leq y < \infty$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ , consider the weighted arithmetic, geometric and harmonic means defined by

$$A_t(x, y) := (1 - t)x + ty, \quad G_t(x, y) := x^{1-t}y^t$$

and

$$H_t(x, y) := \frac{1}{(1 - t)/x + t/y}.$$

For  $t = 1/2$ , we simply write  $A(x, y)$ ,  $G(x, y)$  and  $H(x, y)$ .

It is well known that the following inequality holds:

$$A_t(x, y) \geq G_t(x, y) \geq H_t(x, y).$$

**1.** Consider the convex function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $f(s) = s^{-1}$ . Then for  $0 < x \leq y < \infty$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{(\cdot)^{-1}}(x, y; t) &= (1 - t)x^{-1} + ty^{-1} - [(1 - t)x + ty]^{-1} \\ (4.3) \quad &= H_t^{-1}(x, y) - A_t^{-1}(x, y) \\ &= \frac{A_t(x, y) - H_t(x, y)}{A_t(x, y)H_t(x, y)}. \end{aligned}$$

Making use of Theorem 1, we have for  $0 < x \leq z \leq y < \infty$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad (0 \leq) \frac{A_t(x, z) - H_t(x, z)}{A_t(x, z)H_t(x, z)} + \frac{A_t(z, y) - H_t(z, y)}{A_t(z, y)H_t(z, y)} \\ \leq \frac{A_t(x, y) - H_t(x, y)}{A_t(x, y)H_t(x, y)} \end{aligned}$$

and, in particular,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.5) \quad (0 \leq) \frac{A(x, z) - H(x, z)}{A(x, z)H(x, z)} + \frac{A(z, y) - H(z, y)}{A(z, y)H(z, y)} \\ \leq \frac{A(x, y) - H(x, y)}{A(x, y)H(x, y)}, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $0 < x \leq z \leq u \leq y < \infty$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ , that

$$(4.6) \quad (0 \leq) \frac{A_t(z, u) - H_t(z, u)}{A_t(z, u) H_t(z, u)} \leq \frac{A_t(x, y) - H_t(x, y)}{A_t(x, y) H_t(x, y)}$$

and, in particular,

$$(4.7) \quad (0 \leq) \frac{A(z, u) - H(z, u)}{A(z, u) H(z, u)} \leq \frac{A(x, y) - H(x, y)}{A(x, y) H(x, y)}.$$

Now, if we consider the *logarithmic mean* of two positive numbers  $x, y$  defined as

$$L(x, y) := \begin{cases} (y - x)/(\ln y - \ln x) & \text{if } x \neq y \\ x & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} (4.8) \quad \Theta_{(\cdot)^{-1}}(x, y) &:= \frac{x^{-1} + y^{-1}}{2} - \frac{1}{y - x} \int_x^y s^{-1} ds \\ &= H^{-1}(x, y) - L^{-1}(x, y) \\ &= \frac{L(x, y) - H(x, y)}{L(x, y) H(x, y)}. \end{aligned}$$

Making use of Corollary 3, we have for  $0 < x \leq z \leq y < \infty$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.9) \quad (0 \leq) \frac{L(x, z) - H(x, z)}{L(x, z) H(x, z)} + \frac{L(z, y) - H(z, y)}{L(z, y) H(z, y)} \\ \leq \frac{L(x, y) - H(x, y)}{L(x, y) H(x, y)}, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $0 < x \leq z \leq u \leq y < \infty$ , that

$$(4.10) \quad (0 \leq) \frac{L(z, u) - H(z, u)}{L(z, u) H(z, u)} \leq \frac{L(x, y) - H(x, y)}{L(x, y) H(x, y)}.$$

**2.** Consider the convex function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $f(s) = -\ln s$ . Then, for  $0 < x \leq y < \infty$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{-\ln}(x, y; t) &= \ln[(1-t)x + ty] - (1-t)\ln x - t\ln y \\ &= \ln \left[ \frac{A_t(x, y)}{G_t(x, y)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Making use of Theorem 1, we have for  $0 < x \leq z \leq y < \infty$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  that

$$(4.11) \quad (1 \leq) \frac{A_t(x, z)}{G_t(x, z)} \cdot \frac{A_t(z, y)}{G_t(z, y)} \leq \frac{A_t(x, y)}{G_t(x, y)}$$

and, in particular,

$$(4.12) \quad (1 \leq) \frac{A(x, z)}{G(x, z)} \cdot \frac{A(z, y)}{G(z, y)} \leq \frac{A(x, y)}{G(x, y)},$$

and for  $0 < x \leq z \leq u \leq y < \infty$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  that

$$(4.13) \quad (1 \leq) \frac{A_t(z, u)}{G_t(z, u)} \leq \frac{A_t(x, y)}{G_t(x, y)}$$

and, in particular,

$$(4.14) \quad (1 \leq) \frac{A_t(z, u)}{G_t(z, u)} \leq \frac{A_t(x, y)}{G_t(x, y)}.$$

Now, if we consider the *identric mean* of two positive numbers  $x, y$  defined as

$$I(x, y) := \begin{cases} (1/e) \cdot (y^y/x^x)^{1/(y-x)} & \text{if } x \neq y, \\ x & \text{if } x = y, \end{cases}$$

then

$$\Theta_{-\ln}(x, y) := \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y \ln s \, ds - \frac{\ln x + \ln y}{2} = \ln \left[ \frac{I(x, y)}{G(x, y)} \right].$$

Making use of Corollary 3, we have for  $0 < x \leq z \leq y < \infty$ , that

$$(4.15) \quad (1 \leq) \frac{I(x, z)}{G(x, z)} \cdot \frac{I(z, y)}{G(z, y)} \leq \frac{I(x, y)}{G(x, y)},$$

and for  $0 < x \leq z \leq u \leq y < \infty$ , that

$$(4.16) \quad (1 \leq) \frac{I(z, u)}{G(z, u)} \leq \frac{I(x, y)}{G(x, y)}.$$

## REFERENCES

1. E.F. Beckenbach, *Convex functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1948), 439–460.
2. S.S. Dragomir, *An inequality improving the first Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions defined on linear spaces and applications for semi-inner products*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **3** (2002), Article 31.
3. ———, *An inequality improving the second Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions defined on linear spaces and applications for semi-inner products*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **3** (2002), Article 35.
4. S.S. Dragomir and C.E.M. Pearce, *Quasilinearity & Hadamard's inequality*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **5** (2002), 463–471.
5. E. Kikianty and S.S. Dragomir, *Hermite-Hadamard's inequality and the  $p$ -HH-norm on the Cartesian product of two copies of a normed space*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **13** (2010), 1–32.
6. D.S. Mitrinović and I.B. Lacković, *Hermite and convexity*, Aequat. Math. **28** (1985), 229–232.
7. J.E. Pečarić and S.S. Dragomir, *A generalization of Hadamard's inequality for isotonic linear functionals*, Radovi Mat. **7** (1991), 103–107.
8. J.E. Pečarić, F. Proschan and Y.L. Tong, *Convex functions, partial orderings, and statistical applications*, Academic Press Inc., San Diego, 1992.

MATHEMATICS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY,  
P.O. Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA AND SCHOOL OF  
COMPUTATIONAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATER-  
SRAND, JOHANNESBURG, PRIVATE BAG 3, WITS, 2050, SOUTH AFRICA  
**Email address:** sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au