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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following result. Let $m, n \geq 1$ be some fixed integers with $m \neq n$, and let $R$ be a prime ring with $(m+n)^{2}<\operatorname{char}(R)$. Suppose a nonzero additive mapping $D: R \rightarrow R$ exists satisfying the relation $(m+n)^{2} D\left(x^{3}\right)=m(3 m+n) D(x) x^{2}+$ $4 m n x D(x) x+n(3 n+m) x^{2} D(x)$ for all $x \in R$. In this case $D$ is a derivation and $R$ is commutative.


1. Introduction. Throughout, $R$ will represent an associative ring with center $Z(R)$. Given an integer $n \geq 2$, a ring $R$ is said to be $n$-torsion free, if, for $x \in R, n x=0$ implies $x=0$. As usual, the commutator $x y-y x$ will be denoted by $[x, y]$. Recall that a ring $R$ is prime if, for $a, b \in R, a R b=(0)$ implies that either $a=0$ or $b=0$ and is semiprime in the case where $a R a=(0)$ implies $a=0$. An additive mapping $D: R \rightarrow R$, where $R$ is an arbitrary ring, is called a derivation if $D(x y)=D(x) y+x D(y)$ holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$, and is called a Jordan derivation in the case where $D\left(x^{2}\right)=D(x) x+x D(x)$ is fulfilled for all $x \in R$. Obviously, any derivation is a Jordan derivation. The converse in general is not true. Herstein [14] has proved that any Jordan derivation on a prime ring with $\operatorname{char}(R) \neq 2$ is a derivation. A brief proof of Herstein's result can be found in [9]. Cusack [11] has proved Herstein's theorem for 2 -torsion free semiprime rings (see [5] for an alternative proof). It should be mentioned that Herstein's theorem has been fairly generalized by Beidar, Brešar, Chebotar and Martindale in [1]. An additive mapping $D: R \rightarrow R$ is called a left derivation if $D(x y)=y D(x)+x D(y)$ holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$ and is called a left Jordan derivation (or Jordan left derivation) in the case where $D\left(x^{2}\right)=2 x D(x)$ is fulfilled for all $x \in R$. The concepts of left derivation and left Jordan derivation were introduced by Brešar and
[^0]Vukman in $[\mathbf{1 0}]$. One can easily prove (see [10]) that the existence of a nonzero left derivation on a prime ring forces the ring to be commutative. Moreover, we have the following result.

Let $R$ be a prime ring, and let $D: R \rightarrow R$ be a nonzero left Jordan derivation. If $\operatorname{char}(R) \neq 2$, then $D$ is a derivation and $R$ is commutative.

The result we have just mentioned was first proved by Brešar and Vukman [10] under the additional assumption that char $(R) \neq 3$. Later on, Deng [12] proved that the assumption char $(R) \neq 3$ is superfluous.

Vukman [17] has proved that in the case where a left Jordan derivation $D: R \rightarrow R$ exists, where $R$ is a 2 -torsion free semiprime ring, then $D$ is a derivation which maps $R$ into $Z(R)$.

The concept of left Jordan derivation and related results are connected with the theory of commuting and centralizing mappings. A mapping $F$, which maps a ring $R$ into itself, is called centralizing on $R$ in the case where $[F(x), x] \in Z(R)$ holds for all $x \in R$. In a special case where $[F(x), x]=0$ is fulfilled for all $x \in R, F$ is called commuting on R. A classical result of Posner (Posner's second theorem) [15] states that the existence of a nonzero centralizing derivation $D: R \rightarrow R$, where $R$ is a prime ring, forces the ring to be commutative.
Let $m \geq 0, n \geq 0$ with $m+n \neq 0$ some fixed integers. An additive mapping $D: R \rightarrow R$, where $R$ is an arbitrary ring, is called an $(m, n)$ Jordan derivation in the case where

$$
(m+n) D\left(x^{2}\right)=2 m D(x) x+2 n x D(x)
$$

holds for all $x \in R$.
2. Results. The concept of the $(m, n)$-Jordan derivation was introduced by Vukman in [18]. This concept covers the concept of left Jordan derivation as well as the concept of Jordan derivation. More precisely, $(0,1)$-Jordan derivation is a left Jordan derivation and $(1,1)$ Jordan derivation on a 2 -torsion free ring is a Jordan derivation.

Vukman $[\mathbf{1 8}]$ has recently proved the following result.

Theorem 1. Let $m \geq 1, n \geq 1$ be some fixed integers with $m \neq n$, and let $R$ be a prime ring with char $(R) \neq 2 m n(m+n)(m-n)$. Suppose
$D: R \rightarrow R$ is a nonzero ( $m, n$ )-Jordan derivation. If char $(R)=0$ or $\operatorname{char}(R)>3$, then $D$ is a derivation and $R$ is commutative.

One can prove (see [18] for the details) that any ( $m, n$ )-Jordan derivation on arbitrary 2 -torsion free ring $R$ satisfies the following relation

$$
\begin{align*}
(m+n)^{2} D\left(x^{3}\right)= & m(3 m+n) D(x) x^{2}  \tag{1}\\
& +4 m n x D(x) x+n(3 n+m) x^{2} D(x), \quad x \in R
\end{align*}
$$

In the case $m=n \neq 0, R$ is 2 and $m$-torsion the torsion free ring, the above relation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(x^{3}\right)=D(x) x^{2}+x D(x) x+x^{2} D(x), \quad x \in R \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Beidar, Brešar, Chebotar and Martindale [1, Theorem 4.4] have proved that in the case where there exists an additive mapping $D: R \rightarrow$ $R$, where $R$ is a prime ring with char $(R) \neq 2$ satisfying relation (2) for all $x \in R$, then $D$ is a derivation (actually they proved a much more general result). In this paper we consider the functional equation (1) in case $m \neq n$. More precisely, it is our aim in this paper to prove the following result.

Theorem 2. Let $m \geq 1, n \geq 1$ be some fixed integers with $m \neq n$, and let $R$ be a prime ring with $(m+n)^{2}<\operatorname{char}(R)$. Suppose that $D: R \rightarrow R$ is a nonzero additive mapping satisfying the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
(m+n)^{2} D\left(x^{3}\right)= & m(3 m+n) D(x) x^{2} \\
& +4 m n x D(x) x+n(3 n+m) x^{2} D(x) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in R$. In this case $D$ is a derivation and $R$ is commutative.

For the proof of Theorem 2 we need Theorem 3 below, which is of independent interest. Our result is obtained as an application of the theory of functional identities (Brešar-Beidar-Chebotar theory). We refer the reader to [7] for an introductory account on functional identities and to $[\mathbf{8}]$ for full treatment of this theory.

Let $R$ be a ring, and let $X$ be a subset of $R$. By $C(X)$ we denote the set $\{r \in R \mid[r, X]=0\}$. Let $m \in \mathbf{N}$, and let $E: X^{m-1} \rightarrow R$, $p: X^{m-2} \rightarrow R$ be arbitrary mappings. In the case where $m=1$, this should be understood as that $E$ is an element in $R$ and $p=0$. Let $1 \leq i<j \leq m$, and define $E^{i}, p^{i j}, p^{j i}: X^{m} \rightarrow R$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{i}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right) & =E\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \\
p^{i j}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)=p^{j i}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right) & =\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{x}_{m}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in X^{m}$.
Let $I, J \subseteq\{1, \ldots, m\}$, and, for each $i \in I, j \in J$ let $E_{i}, F_{j}: X^{m-1} \rightarrow$ $R$ be arbitrary mappings. Consider the functional identities

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{i \in I} E_{i}^{i}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right) x_{i}+\sum_{j \in J} x_{j} F_{j}^{j}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)=0, & \left(\bar{x}_{m} \in X^{m}\right) \\
\sum_{i \in I} E_{i}^{i}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right) x_{i}+\sum_{j \in J} x_{j} F_{j}^{j}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right) \in C(X), & \left(\bar{x}_{m} \in X^{m}\right) \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

A natural possibility when (4) and (5) are fulfilled is when there exist mappings $p_{i j}: X^{m-2} \rightarrow R, i \in I, j \in J, i \neq j$, and $\lambda_{k}: X^{m-1} \rightarrow C(X), k \in I \cup J$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{i}^{i}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)=\sum_{j \in J, j \neq i} x_{j} p_{i j}^{i j}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)+\lambda_{i}^{i}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right), \\
& F_{j}^{j}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)=-\sum_{i \in I, j \neq i} p_{i j}^{i j}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right) x_{i}-\lambda_{j}^{j}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right),  \tag{6}\\
& \lambda_{k}=0 \quad \text { if } \quad k \notin I \cap J
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\bar{x}_{m} \in X^{m}, i \in I, j \in J$. We shall say that every solution of form (6) is a standard solution of (4) and (5).

The case where one of the sets $I$ or $J$ is empty is not excluded. The sum over the empty set of indices should be simply read as zero. So,
when $J=0$ (respectively $I=0$ ) (4) and (5) reduce to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i \in I} E_{i}^{i}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right) x_{i}=0  \tag{7}\\
\left(\text { respectively } \sum_{j \in J} x_{j} F_{j}^{j}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)=0\right), \quad\left(\bar{x}_{m} \in X^{m}\right), \\
\sum_{i \in I} E_{i}^{i}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right) x_{i} \in C(X)  \tag{8}\\
\left(\text { respectively } \sum_{j \in J} x_{j} F_{j}^{j}\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right) \in C(X)\right), \quad\left(\bar{x}_{m} \in X^{m}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

In that case the (only) standard solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}=0, \quad i \in I \quad\left(\text { respectively } F_{j}=0, \quad j \in J\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $d$-freeness of $X$ will play an important role in this paper. For a definition of $d$-freeness, we refer the reader to [4]. Let us mention that a prime ring $R$ is a $d$-free subset of its maximal right ring of quotients, unless $R$ satisfies the standard polynomial identity of degree less than $2 d$ (see [2, Theorem 2.4]).

Let $R$ be a ring, and let

$$
p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)}
$$

be a fixed multilinear polynomial in noncommutative indeterminates $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$. Further, let $L$ be a subset of $R$ closed under $p$, i.e., $p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right) \in L$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in L$, where $\bar{x}_{3}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$. We shall consider a mapping $D: L \rightarrow R$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
(m+n)^{2} D\left(p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right)\right)= & m(3 m+n) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} D\left(x_{\pi(1)}\right) x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)} \\
& +4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} D\left(x_{\pi(2)}\right) x_{\pi(3)}  \tag{10}\\
& +n(3 n+m) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)} D\left(x_{\pi(3)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in L$. Let us mention that the idea of considering the expression $\left[p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right), p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right]$ in its proof is taken from $[\mathbf{3}]$ and was used in [13] as well.

Theorem 3. Let $L$ be a 6 -free Lie subring of $R$ closed under $p$. If $D: L \rightarrow R$ is an additive mapping satisfying (10), then $p \in C(L)$ and $\lambda: L \rightarrow C(L)$ exist such that $(m+n)^{2} n(3 n+m) D(x)=p x+\lambda(x)$ for all $x \in L$.

Proof. Note that, for any $a \in L$ and $\bar{x}_{3} \in L^{3}$, we have

$$
\left[p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right), a\right]=p\left(\left[x_{1}, a\right], x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+p\left(x_{1},\left[x_{2}, a\right], x_{3}\right)+p\left(x_{1}, x_{2},\left[x_{3}, a\right]\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(m+n)^{2} D\left[p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right), a\right]= & (m+n)^{2} D\left(p\left(\left[x_{1}, a\right], x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right) \\
& +(m+n)^{2} D\left(p\left(x_{1},\left[x_{2}, a\right], x_{3}\right)\right) \\
& +(m+n)^{2} D\left(p\left(x_{1}, x_{2},\left[x_{3}, a\right]\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (10), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(m+n)^{2} D\left[p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right), a\right]= & m(3 m+n) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} D\left[x_{\pi(1)}, a\right] x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)} \\
& +4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}}\left[x_{\pi(1)}, a\right] D\left(x_{\pi(2)}\right) x_{\pi(3)} \\
& +n(3 n+m) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}}\left[x_{\pi(1)}, a\right] x_{\pi(2)} D\left(x_{\pi(3)}\right) \\
& +m(3 m+n) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} D\left(x_{\pi(1)}\right)\left[x_{\pi(2)}, a\right] x_{\pi(3)} \\
& +4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} D\left[x_{\pi(2)}, a\right] x_{\pi(3)} \\
& +n(3 n+m) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)}\left[x_{\pi(2)}, a\right] D\left(x_{\pi(3)}\right) \\
& +m(3 m+n) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} D\left(x_{\pi(1)}\right) x_{\pi(2)}\left[x_{\pi(3)}, a\right] \\
& +4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} D\left(x_{\pi(2)}\right)\left[x_{\pi(3)}, a\right] \\
& +n(3 n+m) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)} D\left[x_{\pi(3)}, a\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
(m+n)^{2} D\left[p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right), a\right]= & m(3 m+n) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} D\left[x_{\pi(1)}, a\right] x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)} \\
& +4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}}\left[x_{\pi(1)}, a\right] D\left(x_{\pi(2)}\right) x_{\pi(3)} \\
& +n(3 n+m) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}}\left[x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)}, a\right] D\left(x_{\pi(3)}\right) \\
& +m(3 m+n) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} D\left(x_{\pi(1)}\right)\left[x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)}, a\right]  \tag{11}\\
& +4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} D\left[x_{\pi(2)}, a\right] x_{\pi(3)} \\
& +4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} D\left(x_{\pi(2)}\right)\left[x_{\pi(3)}, a\right] \\
& +n(3 n+m) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)} D\left[x_{\pi(3)}, a\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

In particular,
(12) $(m+n)^{2} D\left[p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right), p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & m(3 m+n) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} D\left[x_{\pi(1)}, p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right] x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)} \\
& +4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}}\left[x_{\pi(1)}, p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right] D\left(x_{\pi(2)}\right) x_{\pi(3)} \\
& +n(3 n+m) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}}\left[x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)}, p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right] D\left(x_{\pi(3)}\right) \\
& +m(3 m+n) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} D\left(x_{\pi(1)}\right)\left[x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)}, p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right] \\
& +4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} D\left[x_{\pi(2)}, p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right] x_{\pi(3)} \\
& +4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} D\left(x_{\pi(2)}\right)\left[x_{\pi(3)}, p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right] \\
& +n(3 n+m) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)} D\left[x_{\pi(3)}, p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\bar{x}_{3}, \bar{y}_{3} \in L^{3}$. For $i=1,2,3$ we also have (by (11))

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(x_{\pi(i)}\right)= & (m+n)^{2} D\left[x_{\pi(i)}, p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right] \\
= & -(m+n)^{2} D\left[p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right), x_{\pi(i)}\right] \\
= & m(3 m+n) \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}} D\left[x_{\pi(i)}, y_{\sigma(1)}\right] y_{\sigma(2)} y_{\sigma(3)} \\
& +4 m n \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}}\left[x_{\pi(i)}, y_{\sigma(1)}\right] D\left(y_{\sigma(2)}\right) y_{\sigma(3)} \\
& +n(3 n+m) \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}}\left[x_{\pi(i)}, y_{\sigma(1)} y_{\sigma(2)}\right] D\left(y_{\sigma(3)}\right) \\
& +m(3 m+n) \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}} D\left(y_{\sigma(1)}\right)\left[x_{\pi(i)}, y_{\sigma(2)} y_{\sigma(3)}\right] \\
& +4 m n \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}} y_{\sigma(1)} D\left[x_{\pi(i)}, y_{\sigma(2)}\right] y_{\sigma(3)} \\
+ & 4 m n \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}} y_{\sigma(1)} D\left(y_{\sigma(2)}\right)\left[x_{\pi(i)}, y_{\sigma(3)}\right] \\
+ & n(3 n+m) \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}} y_{\sigma(1)} y_{\sigma(2)} D\left[x_{\pi(i)}, y_{\sigma(3)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\bar{y}_{3} \in L^{3}$. Therefore, (12) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
&(m+n)^{4} D\left[p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right), p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right]  \tag{13}\\
&= m(3 m+n) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} \varphi\left(x_{\pi(1)}\right) x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)} \\
&+(m+n)^{2} 4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}}\left[x_{\pi(1)}, p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right] D\left(x_{\pi(2)}\right) x_{\pi(3)} \\
&+(m+n)^{2} n(3 n+m) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}}\left[x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)}, p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right] D\left(x_{\pi(3)}\right) \\
&+(m+n)^{2} m(3 m+n) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} D\left(x_{\pi(1)}\right)\left[x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)}, p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right] \\
&+4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} \varphi\left(x_{\pi(2)}\right) x_{\pi(3)}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +(m+n)^{2} 4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} D\left(x_{\pi(2)}\right)\left[x_{\pi(3)}, p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right] \\
& +n(3 n+m) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)} \varphi\left(x_{\pi(3)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\bar{x}_{3}, \bar{y}_{3} \in L^{3}$. On the other hand, using $\left[p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right), p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right]=$ $-\left[p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right), p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right)\right]$, we get from the above identity

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
(m+n)^{4} & D\left[p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right), p\left(\bar{y}_{3}\right)\right]  \tag{14}\\
= & m(3 m+n) \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}} \varphi^{\prime}\left(y_{\sigma(1)}\right) y_{\sigma(2)} y_{\sigma(3)} \\
& +(m+n)^{2} 4 m n \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}}\left[p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right), y_{\sigma(1)}\right] D\left(y_{\sigma(2)}\right) y_{\sigma(3)} \\
& +(m+n)^{2} n(3 n+m) \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}}\left[p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right), y_{\sigma(1)} y_{\sigma(2)}\right] D\left(y_{\sigma(3)}\right) \\
& +(m+n)^{2} m(3 m+n) \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}} D\left(y_{\sigma(1)}\right)\left[p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right), y_{\sigma(2)} y_{\sigma(3)}\right] \\
& +4 m n \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}} y_{\sigma(1)} \varphi^{\prime}\left(y_{\sigma(2)}\right) y_{\sigma(3)} \\
& +(m+n)^{2} 4 m n \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}} y_{\sigma(1)} D\left(y_{\sigma(2)}\right)\left[p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right), y_{\sigma(3)}\right] \\
& +n(3 n+m) \sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}} y_{\sigma(1)} y_{\sigma(2)} \varphi^{\prime}\left(y_{\sigma(3)}\right)
\end{array}
$$

for all $\bar{x}_{3}, \bar{y}_{3} \in L^{3}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(y_{\sigma(i)}\right)^{\prime}= & m(3 m+n) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} D\left[x_{\pi(1)}, y_{\sigma(i)}\right] x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)} \\
& +4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}}\left[x_{\pi(1)}, y_{\sigma(i)}\right] D\left(x_{\pi(2)}\right) x_{\pi(3)} \\
& +n(3 n+m) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}}\left[x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)}, y_{\sigma(i)}\right] D\left(x_{\pi(3)}\right) \\
& +m(3 m+n) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} D\left(x_{\pi(1)}\right)\left[x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)}, y_{\sigma(i)}\right] \\
& +4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} D\left[x_{\pi(2)}, y_{\sigma(i)}\right] x_{\pi(3)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +4 m n \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} D\left(x_{\pi(2)}\right)\left[x_{\pi(3)}, y_{\sigma(i)}\right] \\
& +n(3 n+m) \sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)} D\left[x_{\pi(3)}, y_{\sigma(i)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\bar{x}_{3} \in L^{3}$. Let $s: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be a mapping defined by $s(i)=i-3$. For each $\sigma \in S_{3}$ the mapping $s^{-1} \sigma s:\{4,5,6\} \rightarrow\{4,5,6\}$ is denoted by $\bar{\sigma}$. Comparing identities (13) and (14) and writing $x_{3+i}$ instead of $y_{i}, i=1,2,3$, we can express the so-obtained relation as

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{6} E_{i}^{i}\left(\bar{x}_{6}\right) x_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{6} x_{j} F_{j}^{j}\left(\bar{x}_{6}\right)=0
$$

for all $\bar{x}_{6}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right) \in L^{6}$. We can prove that $p \in L$ and a mapping $\lambda: L \rightarrow C(L)$ exist such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m+n)^{2} m(3 m+n) D(x)=x p+\lambda(x) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in L$. Similarly, we can show that $q \in L$ and a mapping $\mu: L \rightarrow C(L)$ exist such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m+n)^{2} n(3 n+m) D(x)=q x+\mu(x) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in L$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
n(3 n+m)(m+n)^{2} m(3 m+n) & D(x) \\
& =n(3 n+m) x p+n(3 n+m) \lambda(x) \\
m(3 m+n)(m+n)^{2} n(3 n+m) & D(x) \\
& =m(3 m+n) q x+m(3 m+n) \mu(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in L$. Comparing these two identities, we arrive at

$$
n(3 n+m) x p-m(3 m+n) q x \in C(L)
$$

for all $x \in L$. It follows that $n(3 n+m) p=m(3 m+n) q \in C(L)$, which yields $p, q \in C(L)$. Thereby, the proof is completed.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.

Proof. The complete linearization of (3) gives us (10). First suppose that $R$ is not a PI ring (satisfying the standard polynomial identity of degree less than 6). According to Theorem 3, $p \in C$ and $\lambda: R \rightarrow C$ exist such that

$$
(m+n)^{2} m(3 m+n) D(x)=p x+\lambda(x)
$$

for all $x \in R$. Thus,

$$
x^{2}\left(2(m+n)^{2} p x+3(m+n)^{2} \lambda(x)\right)=(m+n)^{2} \lambda\left(x^{3}\right),
$$

which yields

$$
x^{2}(2 p x+3 \lambda(x))=\lambda\left(x^{3}\right)
$$

for all $x \in R$. A complete linearization of this identity leads to

$$
\sum_{\pi \in S_{3}} x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)}\left(2 p x_{\pi(3)}+3 \lambda\left(x_{\pi(3)}\right)\right)=\lambda\left(p\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right)\right)
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in R$. Since $R$ is not a PI ring, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 p x+3 \lambda(x)=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in R$. Thus, $[2 p x, y]=0$ for all $x, y \in R$, which in turn implies $[x, y] z p=0$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. By the primeness of $R$, it follows that $R$ is commutative or $p=0$. The second relation gives us $\lambda(x)=0$ for all $x \in R$ by (17). Thus, $D=0$. Suppose now that $[x, y]=0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Using (17) it follows that $\lambda(x) y-\lambda(y) x=0$ for all $x, y \in R$, which implies $\lambda=0$. Consequently, $D=0$.

Assume now that $R$ is a PI ring. It is well known, that in this case, $R$ has a nonzero center (see [16]). Let $c$ be a nonzero central element. Pick any $x \in R$, and set $x_{1}=x_{2}=c x$ and $x_{3}=x$ in (10). We arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
(m+n)^{2} D\left(6 c^{2} x^{3}\right)= & m(3 m+n) c\left(4 D(c x) x^{2}+2 c D(x) x^{2}\right) \\
& +4 m n c(4 x D(c x) x+2 c x D(x) x) \\
& +n(3 n+m) c\left(4 x^{2} D(c x)+2 c x^{2} D(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, setting $x_{1}=x_{2}=c$ and $x_{3}=x^{3}$ in (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(m+n)^{2} D\left(6 c^{2} x^{3}\right)= & m(3 m+n) c\left(4 D(c) x^{3}+2 c D\left(x^{3}\right)\right) \\
& +4 m n c\left(2 D(c) x^{3}+2 c D\left(x^{3}\right)+2 x^{3} D(c)\right) \\
& +n(3 n+m) c\left(2 c D\left(x^{3}\right)+4 x^{3} D(c)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the so-obtained relations, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & m(3 m+n)\left(D(c x) x^{2}-c D(x) x^{2}-D(c) x^{3}\right) \\
& +2 m n\left(2 x D(c x) x-2 c x D(x) x-D(c) x^{3}-x^{3} D(c)\right)  \tag{18}\\
& +n(3 n+m)\left(x^{2} D(c x)-c x^{2} D(x)-x^{3} D(c)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in R$. In the case where $x=c$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(c^{2}\right)=2 c D(c) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The complete linearization of (18) and setting $x_{1}=x$ and $x_{2}=x_{3}=c$ in the so-obtained identity yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
6(m+n)^{2} D(c x)= & (2 m(3 m+n)+4 m n) D(c) x \\
& +(2 n(3 n+m)+4 m n) x D(c) \\
& +6(m+n)^{2} c D(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in R$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m+n)(D(c x)-c D(x))=m D(c) x+n x D(c) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in R$.
Putting $c x$ instead of $x$ in (3), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
(m+n)^{2} D\left(c^{3} x^{3}\right)= & m(3 m+n) c^{2} D(c x) x^{2} \\
& +4 m n c^{2} x D(c x) x  \tag{21}\\
& +n(3 n+m) c^{2} x^{2} D(c x)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in R$. On the other hand, setting $x_{1}=x_{2}=c$ and $x_{3}=c x^{3}$ in (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
(m+n)^{2} D\left(c^{3} x^{3}\right)= & (m+n)^{2} c^{2} D\left(c x^{3}\right) \\
& +2 m(m+n) c^{2} D(c) x^{3}  \tag{22}\\
& +2 n(m+n) c^{2} x^{3} D(c)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in R$. Note that, by (20),

$$
\begin{aligned}
(m+n)^{2} D\left(c x^{3}\right)= & (m+n)\left((m+n) c D\left(x^{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+m D(c) x^{3}+n x^{3} D(c)\right) \\
= & m(3 m+n) c D(x) x^{2} \\
& +4 m n c x D(x) x+n(3 n+m) c x^{2} D(x) \\
& +m(m+n) D(c) x^{3}+n(m+n) x^{3} D(c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing identities (21) and (22), we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
m(3 m+n)(D(c x)-c & D(x)) x^{2}  \tag{23}\\
& +4 m n x(D(c x)-c D(x)) x \\
& +n(3 n+m) x^{2}(D(c x)-c D(x)) \\
= & 3 m(m+n) D(c) x^{3}+3 n(m+n) x^{3} D(c)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in R$. Multiplying this relation by $(m+n)$ and using (20), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(3 m+n)(m D(c) x+ & n x D(c)) x^{2} \\
& +4 m n x(m D(c) x+n x D(c)) x \\
& +n(3 n+m) x^{2}(m D(c) x+n x D(c)) \\
= & 3 m(m+n)^{2} D(c) x^{3}+3 n(m+n)^{2} x^{3} D(c)
\end{aligned}
$$

which in turn implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
(5 m+3 n) D(c) x^{3}+(3 m & +5 n) x^{3} D(c) \\
& =(m+7 n) x^{2} D(c) x+(7 m+n) x D(c) x^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in R$. After a complete linearization and putting $x_{1}=x_{2}=x$ and $x_{3}=c$ in this new identity, we obtain $[[x, D(c)], x]=0$ for all $x \in R$. Using Posner's second theorem, it follows that $[x, D(c)]=0$ for all $x \in R$. From (20), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(c x)=D(c) x+c D(x) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in R$. Pick any $x \in R$, and set $x_{1}=c$ and $x_{2}=x_{3}=x$ in (10). We arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
6(m+n)^{2} D\left(c x^{2}\right)= & m(3 m+n)\left(4 D(x) x c+2 D(c) x^{2}\right) \\
& +4 m n(2 c D(x) x+2 x D(x) c+2 x D(c) x) \\
& +n(3 n+m)\left(4 c x D(x)+2 x^{2} D(c)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in R$. By (24), we have $6(m+n)^{2} D\left(c x^{2}\right)=6(m+n)^{2}\left(D(c) x^{2}+\right.$ $\left.c D\left(x^{2}\right)\right)$ for all $x \in R$. Comparing the so-obtained identities, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m+n) D\left(x^{2}\right)=2 m D(x) x+2 n x D(x) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in R$.
The linearization of relation (25) gives us

$$
\begin{align*}
(m+n) D(x y+y x)= & 2 m D(x) y \\
& +2 m D(y) x+2 n x D(y)+2 n y D(x) \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Now, putting $(m+n)^{2} x^{3}$ for $y$ in relation (26) and applying (3), we obtain after some calculations

$$
\begin{align*}
(m+n)^{3} D\left(x^{4}\right)= & \left(4 m^{3}+3 m^{2} n+m n^{2}\right) D(x) x^{3}  \tag{27}\\
& +\left(7 m^{2} n+m n^{2}\right) x D(x) x^{2}+\left(7 m n^{2}+m^{2} n\right) x^{2} D(x) x \\
& +\left(4 n^{3}+3 m n^{2}+m^{2} n\right) x^{3} D(x)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in R$. On the other hand, putting $(m+n) x^{2}$ for $x$ in (21), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
(m+n)^{3} D\left(x^{4}\right)= & 4 m^{2}(m+n) D(x) x^{3}+4 m n(m+n) x D(x) x^{2} \\
& +4 m n(m+n) x^{2} D(x) x+4 n^{2}(m+n) x^{3} D(x) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in R$. By comparing (23) and (24), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& m n(n-m) D(x) x^{3}+3 m n(m-n) x D(x) x^{2}+  \tag{29}\\
& \quad 3 m n(n-m) x^{2} D(x) x+m n(m-n) x^{3} D(x)=0
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in R$. Whence, it follows that

$$
D(x) x^{3}-3 x D(x) x^{2}+3 x^{2} D(x) x-x^{3} D(x)=0
$$

for all $x \in R$, which can be written in the form

$$
[[[D(x), x], x], x]=0
$$

for all $x \in R$. By the result of Brešar [6], it follows that $[D(x), x]=0$ holds for all $x \in R$, which makes it possible to replace $D(x) x$ in (25)
with $x D(x)$. We therefore have $(m+n) D\left(x^{2}\right)=2(m+n) x D(x)$ for all $x \in R$, which reduces to $D\left(x^{2}\right)=2 x D(x), x \in R$. Again applying the fact that $D$ is commuting on $R$, we arrive at $D\left(x^{2}\right)=D(x) x+x D(x)$ for all $x \in R$. In other words, $D$ is a Jordan derivation, whence it follows that $D$ is a derivation by Herstein's theorem. Thus, $D$ is a nonzero commuting derivation. By Posner's second theorem, $R$ is commutative. Thereby the proof of the theorem is complete.
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