

RANDOMLY ORTHOGONAL FACTORIZATIONS OF BIPARTITE GRAPHS

SIZHONG ZHOU AND JIANCHENG WU

ABSTRACT. Let $G = (X, Y, E(G))$ be a bipartite graph with vertex set $V(G) = X \cup Y$ and edge set $E(G)$, and let g, f be two nonnegative integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$. A (g, f) -factor of G is a spanning subgraph F of G such that $g(x) \leq d_F(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$; a (g, f) -factorization of G is a partition of $E(G)$ into edge-disjoint (g, f) -factors. Let $F = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m\}$ be a factorization of G , and let H be a subgraph of G with mr edges. If F_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, has exactly r edges in common with H , we say that F is r -orthogonal to H . In this paper it is proved that every bipartite $(0, mf - m + 1)$ -graph has $(0, f)$ -factorizations randomly r -orthogonal to any given subgraph with mr edges if $f(x) \geq 3r - 2$ for any $x \in V(G)$.

1. Introduction. Orthogonal factorizations in graphs are very useful in combinatorial design, network design, circuit layout and so on [2]. Graphs considered in this paper will be finite undirected simple graphs. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. The degree of a vertex x is denoted by $d_G(x)$. Let g and f be two nonnegative integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$. Then a (g, f) -factor of G is a spanning subgraph F of G with $g(x) \leq d_F(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$. In particular, G is called a (g, f) -graph if G itself is a (g, f) -factor. A subgraph H of G is called an m -subgraph if H has m edges in total. A (g, f) -factorization $F = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m\}$ of G is a partition of $E(G)$ into edge-disjoint (g, f) -factors F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m . If $g(x) = a$ and $f(x) = b$ for each $x \in V(G)$, where a and b are two nonnegative integers, then a (g, f) -factorization of G is called an $[a, b]$ -factorization

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 05C70.

Keywords and phrases. Bipartite graph, subgraph, $(0, f)$ -factor, orthogonal factorization.

This research was supported by Natural Science Foundation of the Higher Education Institutions of Jiangsu Province (10KJB110003) and Jiangsu University of Science and Technology (2010SL101J, 2009SL148J, 2009SL154J), and was sponsored by Qing Lan Project of Jiangsu Province.

Received by the editors on February 1, 2008.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2011-41-1-337 Copyright ©2011 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

of G . Let H be an mr -subgraph of a graph G . A (g, f) -factorization $F = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m\}$ is r -orthogonal to H if $|E(H) \cap E(F_i)| = r$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. If for any partition $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$ of $E(H)$ with $|A_i| = r$ there is a (g, f) -factorization $F = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m\}$ of G such that $A_i \subseteq E(F_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, then we say that G has (g, f) -factorizations randomly r -orthogonal to H . Other definitions and terminology can be found in [4].

A graph denoted by $G = (X, Y, E(G))$ is a bipartite graph with vertex bipartition (X, Y) and edge set $E(G)$. Alspach et al. [2] posed the following problem: given a subgraph H , does there exist a factorization F of G with some fixed type orthogonal to H ? Li and Liu [8] gave a sufficient condition for a graph to have a (g, f) -factorization orthogonal to any given m -subgraph. Lam et al. [6] studied orthogonal factorizations of graphs. Anstee and Caccetta [3] discussed orthogonal matchings. Feng [5] proved that every $(0, mf - m + 1)$ -graph has a $(0, f)$ -factorization orthogonal to any given m -subgraph. Liu and Zhu [9] proved that every bipartite $(mg + m - 1, mf - m + 1)$ -graph has randomly k -orthogonal (g, f) -factorizations. Now we consider the r -orthogonal factorizations of graphs. The purpose of this paper is to solve some problems on orthogonal factorizations for bipartite $(0, mf - m + 1)$ -graphs. It is shown that a bipartite $(0, mf - m + 1)$ -graph G has $(0, f)$ -factorizations randomly r -orthogonal to any given mr -subgraph if $f(x) \geq 3r - 2$ for any $x \in V(G)$.

2. Preliminary results. Let G be a graph, and let S and T be two disjoint subsets of $V(G)$. We denote by $E_G(S, T)$ the set of edges with one end in S and the other in T , and by $e_G(S, T)$ the cardinality of $E_G(S, T)$. For $S \subset V(G)$ and $A \subset E(G)$, $G - S$ is the subgraph obtained from G by deleting the vertices in S together with the edges to which the vertices in S are incident, and $G - A$ is the subgraph obtained from G by deleting the edges in A , and $G[S]$ (respectively $G[A]$) is the subgraph of G induced by S (respectively A). For a subset X of $V(G)$, we write $f(X) = \sum_{x \in X} f(x)$ for any function f defined on $V(G)$, and define $f(\emptyset) = 0$. Specially, $d_G(X) = \sum_{x \in X} d_G(x)$.

Folkman and Fulkerson obtained the following necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a (g, f) -factor in a bipartite graph, see [1, Theorem 6.8].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $G = (X, Y, E(G))$ be a bipartite graph, and let g and f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$. Then G has a (g, f) -factor if and only if for all $S \subseteq X$ and $T \subseteq Y$,*

$$\gamma_{1G}(S, T, g, f) = f(S) - g(T) + d_{G-S}(T) \geq 0$$

and

$$\gamma_{2G}(S, T, g, f) = f(T) - g(S) + d_{G-T}(S) \geq 0.$$

Note that $d_{G-S}(T) = e_G(T, X \setminus S)$ and $d_{G-T}(S) = e_G(S, Y \setminus T)$. Let E_1 and E_2 be two disjoint subsets of $E(G)$ and let $S \subseteq X$, $T \subseteq Y$. Set

$$E_{iS} = E_i \cap E_G(S, Y \setminus T), \quad E_{iT} = E_i \cap E_G(T, X \setminus S) \text{ for } i = 1, 2,$$

and set

$$\alpha_S = |E_{1S}|, \quad \alpha_T = |E_{1T}|, \quad \beta_S = |E_{2S}|, \quad \beta_T = |E_{2T}|.$$

It is easily seen that $\alpha_S \leq d_{G-T}(S)$, $\alpha_T \leq d_{G-S}(T)$, $\beta_S \leq d_{G-T}(S)$ and $\beta_T \leq d_{G-S}(T)$.

Liu and Zhu [9] gave the following necessary and sufficient condition for a bipartite graph to admit a (g, f) -factor containing E_1 and excluding E_2 .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $G = (X, Y, E(G))$ be a bipartite graph, let g and f be two nonnegative integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$, and let E_1 and E_2 be two disjoint subsets of $E(G)$. Then G has a (g, f) -factor F such that $E_1 \subseteq E(F)$ and $E_2 \cap E(F) = \emptyset$ if and only if for all $S \subseteq X$, $T \subseteq Y$,*

$$\gamma_{1G}(S, T, g, f) \geq \alpha_S + \beta_T$$

and

$$\gamma_{2G}(S, T, g, f) \geq \alpha_T + \beta_S.$$

In the following, we always assume that G is a bipartite $(0, mf - m + 1)$ -graph, where $m \geq 1$ is an integer. Define

$$g(x) = \max\{0, d_G(x) - ((m-1)f(x) - (m-1) + 1)\},$$

$$\Delta_1(x) = \frac{1}{m}d_G(x) - g(x)$$

and

$$\Delta_2(x) = f(x) - \frac{1}{m}d_G(x).$$

By the definitions of $g(x)$, $\Delta_1(x)$ and $\Delta_2(x)$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *For all $x \in V(G)$, the following inequalities hold:*

- (1) *If $m \geq 2$, then $0 \leq g(x) < f(x)$.*
- (2) *If $g(x) = d_G(x) - ((m-1)f(x) - (m-1) + 1)$, then $\Delta_1(x) \geq 1/m$.*
- (3) *$\Delta_2(x) \geq (m-1)/m$.*

Proof. (1) Note that G is a bipartite $(0, mf - m + 1)$ -graph, where $m \geq 2$ is an integer. Then $0 \leq mf(x) - m + 1$ implies that $f(x) \geq (m-1)/m$. Note that $f(x)$ is nonnegative integer-valued function. Then $f(x) \geq 1$.

If $g(x) = 0$, then $0 \leq g(x) < f(x)$.

If $g(x) = d_G(x) - ((m-1)f(x) - (m-1) + 1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - g(x) &= f(x) - d_G(x) + (m-1)f(x) - (m-1) + 1 \\ &= mf(x) - m + 2 - d_G(x) \\ &\geq mf(x) - m + 2 - (mf(x) - m + 1) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get that

$$0 \leq g(x) < f(x).$$

(2) If $g(x) = d_G(x) - ((m-1)f(x) - (m-1) + 1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_1(x) &= \frac{1}{m}d_G(x) - g(x) \\
 &= \frac{1}{m}d_G(x) - [d_G(x) - ((m-1)f(x) - (m-1) + 1)] \\
 &= \frac{1-m}{m}d_G(x) + (m-1)f(x) - (m-1) + 1 \\
 &\geq \frac{1-m}{m}(mf(x) - m + 1) \\
 &\quad + (m-1)f(x) - (m-1) + 1 \\
 &= (1-m)f(x) + (m-1) \\
 &\quad - \frac{m-1}{m} + (m-1)f(x) - (m-1) + 1 \\
 &= \frac{1}{m}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(3) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_2(x) &= f(x) - \frac{1}{m}d_G(x) \\
 &\geq f(x) - \frac{1}{m}(mf(x) - m + 1) \\
 &= f(x) - f(x) + \frac{m-1}{m} = \frac{m-1}{m}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.4. *For any $S \subseteq X$ and $T \subseteq Y$, the following equalities hold:*

$$\gamma_{1G}(S, T, g, f) = \Delta_1(T) + \Delta_2(S) + \frac{m-1}{m}d_{G-S}(T) + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T}(S)$$

and

$$\gamma_{2G}(S, T, g, f) = \Delta_1(S) + \Delta_2(T) + \frac{m-1}{m}d_{G-T}(S) + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-S}(T).$$

Proof. We prove only the first equality. The second can be verified similarly. According to the definition of γ_{1G} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_{1G}(S, T, g, f) &= f(S) - g(T) + d_{G-S}(T) \\
 &= d_G(T) - e_G(S, T) - g(T) + f(S) \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{m} d_G(T) - g(T) \right) + \left(f(S) - \frac{1}{m} d_G(S) \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{m-1}{m} d_{G-S}(T) + \frac{1}{m} d_{G-T}(S) \\
 &= \triangle_1(T) + \triangle_2(S) + \frac{m-1}{m} d_{G-S}(T) + \frac{1}{m} d_{G-T}(S).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Let $S \subseteq X$ and $T \subseteq Y$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_0 &= \{x | x \in S, f(x) = 1\}, & S_1 &= S \setminus S_0, \\
 T_0 &= \{x | x \in T, g(x) = 0\}, & T_1 &= T \setminus T_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &= S_0 \cup S_1, & S_0 \cap S_1 &= \emptyset, \\
 T &= T_0 \cup T_1, & T_0 \cap T_1 &= \emptyset, \\
 \alpha_S &= \alpha_{S_0} + \alpha_{S_1}, & \alpha_T &= \alpha_{T_0} + \alpha_{T_1}, \\
 \beta_T &= \beta_{T_0} + \beta_{T_1}, & \beta_S &= \beta_{S_0} + \beta_{S_1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two disjoint subsets of $E(G)$.*

(1) *If*

$$\gamma_{1G}(S_1, T_1, g, f) = f(S_1) - g(T_1) + d_{G-S_1}(T_1) \geq \alpha_{S_1} + \beta_{T_1},$$

then

$$\gamma_{1G}(S, T, g, f) = f(S) - g(T) + d_{G-S}(T) \geq \alpha_S + \beta_T.$$

(2) *If*

$$\gamma_{2G}(S_1, T_1, g, f) = f(T_1) - g(S_1) + d_{G-T_1}(S_1) \geq \alpha_{T_1} + \beta_{S_1},$$

then

$$\gamma_{2G}(S, T, g, f) = f(T) - g(S) + d_{G-T}(S) \geq \alpha_T + \beta_S.$$

Proof. We prove only the first result. The second can be verified similarly.

Note that $d_{G-S}(T_0) - g(T_0) = d_{G-S}(T_0) \geq \alpha_{T_0}$, and $0 \leq d_G(x) \leq mf(x) - m + 1$, so that for all $x \in S_0$, $d_G(x) = 0$ or $d_G(x) = 1$. This implies

$$|S_0| \geq d_G(S_0) = d_{G-T}(S_0) + e_G(S_0, T) \geq \alpha_{S_0} + e_G(S_0, T_1).$$

If $\gamma_{1G}(S_1, T_1, g, f) \geq \alpha_{S_1} + \beta_{T_1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{1G}(S, T, g, f) &= f(S) + d_{G-S}(T) - g(T) \\ &= f(S_1) + |S_0| + d_{G-S}(T_1) + d_{G-S}(T_0) - g(T_1) \\ &\geq f(S_1) + \alpha_{S_0} + e_G(S_0, T_1) + d_{G-S}(T_1) + \beta_{T_0} - g(T_1) \\ &\geq f(S_1) + \alpha_{S_0} + d_{G-S_1}(T_1) + \beta_{T_0} - g(T_1) \\ &= \gamma_{1G}(S_1, T_1, g, f) + \alpha_{S_0} + \beta_{T_0} \\ &\geq \alpha_{S_1} + \beta_{T_1} + \alpha_{S_0} + \beta_{T_0} \\ &= \alpha_S + \beta_T. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.6 [5]. *Let G be a $(0, mf - m + 1)$ -graph. Let f be an integer-valued function defined on $V(G)$ such that $f(x) \geq 0$, and let H be an m -subgraph of G . Then G has a $(0, f)$ -factorization orthogonal to H .*

3. Main result and proof. In this section, we are going to state our main theorem and present a proof of it.

Let G be a bipartite graph, let H be an mr -subgraph of G , and let E_1 be an arbitrary subset of $E(H)$ with $|E_1| = r$. Put $E_2 = E(H) \setminus E_1$. Then $|E_2| = (m-1)r$. For any two subsets $S \subseteq X$ and $T \subseteq Y$, let E_{iS} , E_{iT} for $i = 1, 2$, α_S , α_T , β_S and β_T be defined as in Section 2. It follows instantly from the definitions that

$$\alpha_S \leq r, \quad \alpha_T \leq r, \quad \beta_S \leq (m-1)r \text{ and } \beta_T \leq (m-1)r.$$

Define $g(x)$ as before. The proof of theorem relies heavily on the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $G = (X, Y, E(G))$ be a bipartite $(0, mf - m + 1)$ -graph with $m \geq 2$ and $f(x) \geq 3r - 2$ with $r \geq 2$. Then G admits a (g, f) -factor F_1 such that $E_1 \subseteq E(F_1)$ and $E_2 \cap E(F_1) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, it suffices to show that, for any two subsets $S \subseteq X$ and $T \subseteq Y$, we have

$$\gamma_{1G}(S, T, g, f) \geq \alpha_S + \beta_T$$

and

$$\gamma_{2G}(S, T, g, f) \geq \alpha_T + \beta_S.$$

Alternately, by Lemma 2.5, it suffices to show that for S_1 and T_1 (define S_1 and T_1 as before), we have

$$\gamma_{1G}(S_1, T_1, g, f) \geq \alpha_{S_1} + \beta_{T_1}$$

and

$$\gamma_{2G}(S_1, T_1, g, f) \geq \alpha_{T_1} + \beta_{S_1}.$$

We prove only the first inequality. The second can be justified similarly. Now let us distinguish among four cases.

Case 1. If $S_1 = \emptyset$, $T_1 = \emptyset$, then $\alpha_{S_1} = 0$ and $\beta_{T_1} = 0$.

According to Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{1G}(S_1, T_1, g, f) &= \Delta_1(T_1) + \Delta_2(S_1) \\ &\quad + \frac{m-1}{m}d_{G-S_1}(T_1) + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S_1) = 0 = \alpha_{S_1} + \beta_{T_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. If $S_1 = \emptyset$, $T_1 \neq \emptyset$, then $\alpha_{S_1} = 0$.

In view of the definition of T_1 , it is easy to see that $g(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in T_1$. Note that $g(x) = \max\{0, d_G(x) - ((m-1)f(x) - (m-1) + 1)\}$. For all $x \in T_1$, we have

$$g(x) = d_G(x) - ((m-1)f(x) - (m-1) + 1) \geq 1.$$

Thus, we get

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} d_G(x) &\geq (m-1)f(x) - (m-1) + 2 \\ &\geq (m-1)(3r-2) - m + 3 = 3mr - 3r - 3m + 5 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in T_1$.

From Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.4 and (1), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{1G}(S_1, T_1, g, f) &\geq \frac{m-1}{m} d_G(T_1) \geq \frac{m-1}{m} d_G(x), \quad x \in T_1 \\ &\geq \frac{m-1}{m} (3mr - 3m - 3r + 5) \\ &= (m-1)r + \frac{m-1}{m} (2mr - 3m - 3r + 5) \\ &= (m-1)r + \frac{m-1}{m} ((2m-3)r - 3m + 5) \\ &\geq (m-1)r + \frac{m-1}{m} (4m - 6 - 3m + 5) \\ &= (m-1)r + \frac{(m-1)^2}{m} \\ &\geq (m-1)r \geq \beta_{T_1} = \alpha_{S_1} + \beta_{T_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. If $S_1 \neq \emptyset$, $T_1 = \emptyset$, then $\beta_{T_1} = 0$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{1G}(S_1, T_1, g, f) &= d_{G-S_1}(T_1) - g(T_1) + f(S_1) \\ &= f(S_1) \geq (3r-2)|S_1| \\ &\geq 3r-2 \geq r \geq \alpha_{S_1} = \alpha_{S_1} + \beta_{T_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 4. $S_1 \neq \emptyset$, $T_1 \neq \emptyset$. Note that $d_{G-T_1}(S_1) \geq \alpha_{S_1}$. By Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.4 and (1), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{1G}(S_1, T_1, g, f) &\geq \Delta_1(T_1) + \Delta_2(S_1) + \frac{m-1}{m}d_{G-S_1}(T_1) + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S_1) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{m}|T_1| + \frac{m-1}{m}|S_1| + \frac{m-1}{m}d_{G-S_1}(T_1) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S_1) \\
&= \frac{1}{m}|T_1| + \frac{m-1}{m}(d_{G-S_1}(T_1) + |S_1|) + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S_1) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{m}|T_1| + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S_1) + \frac{m-1}{m}d_G(x), \quad x \in T_1 \\
&\geq \frac{m-1}{m}(3mr - 3r - 3m + 5) + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S_1) + \frac{1}{m} \\
&= (m-1)r + \frac{(m-1)r}{m} + \frac{1}{m}d_{G-T_1}(S_1) \\
&\quad + \frac{(m-1)(2mr - 3m - 4r + 5) + 1}{m} \\
&\geq \beta_{T_1} + \frac{m-1}{m}\alpha_{S_1} + \frac{1}{m}\alpha_{S_1} \\
&\quad + \frac{(m-1)(2(2m-4) - 3m + 5) + 1}{m} \\
&= \alpha_{S_1} + \beta_{T_1} + \frac{(m-1)(m-3) + 1}{m} \\
&\geq \alpha_{S_1} + \beta_{T_1} \quad (\text{since } m \geq 2 \text{ is an integer}).
\end{aligned}$$

For S_1 and T_1 , we always have

$$\gamma_{1G}(S_1, T_1, g, f) \geq \alpha_{S_1} + \beta_{T_1},$$

and this completes the proof. \square

Now we are ready to prove the theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a bipartite $(0, mf - m + 1)$ -graph, let f be an integer-valued function defined on $V(G)$ such that $f(x) \geq 3r - 2$ for all $x \in V(G)$, and let H be an mr -subgraph of G . Then G has $(0, f)$ -factorizations randomly r -orthogonal to H .*

Proof. According to Lemma 2.6, the theorem is trivial for $r = 1$. In the following, we consider $r \geq 2$. Let $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$ be any partition of $E(H)$ with $|A_i| = r$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. We prove that there is a $(0, f)$ -factorization $F = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m\}$ of G such that $A_i \subseteq E(F_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. We apply induction on m . The assertion is trivial for $m = 1$. Supposing the statement holds for $m - 1$, let us proceed to the induction step.

Let $E_2 = E(H) \setminus A_1$. By Lemma 3.1, G has a (g, f) -factor F_1 such that $A_1 \subseteq E(F_1)$ and $E_2 \cap E(F_1) = \emptyset$. Clearly, F_1 is also a $(0, f)$ -factor of G . Set $G' = G - E(F_1)$. It follows from the definition of $g(x)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq d_{G'}(x) &= d_G(x) - d_{F_1}(x) \leq d_G(x) - g(x) \\ &\leq d_G(x) - [d_G(x) - ((m-1)f(x) - (m-1) + 1)] \\ &= (m-1)f(x) - (m-1) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, G' is a bipartite $(0, (m-1)f - (m-1) + 1)$ -graph. Let $H' = G[E_2]$. Then the induction hypothesis guarantees the existence of a $(0, f)$ -factorization $F' = \{F_2, \dots, F_m\}$ in G' which satisfies $A_i \subseteq E(F_i)$, $2 \leq i \leq m$. Hence, G has $(0, f)$ -factorizations which are randomly r -orthogonal to H . This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.1. Obviously, the lower bound 0 in Theorem 1 is sharp in any sense. The upper bound $mf - m + 1$ is necessary in the proof of Lemma 3.1. In this sense, the result of Theorem 1 is best possible. In the proof of Theorem 1, it is required that $f(x) \geq 3r - 2$ for all $x \in V(G)$. We do not know whether the condition $f(x) \geq 3r - 2$ can be improved.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. J. Akiyama and M. Kano, *Factors and factorizations of graphs—A survey*, J. Graph Theory **9** (1985), 1–42.
2. B. Alspach, K. Heinrich and G. Liu, *Orthogonal factorizations of graphs*, in *Contemporary design theory: A collection of surveys*, J.H. Diectz and D.R. Stinson, eds., Wiley, New York, 1992.
3. R.P. Anstee and L. Caccetta, *Orthogonal matchings*, Discrete Math. **179** (1998), 37–47.

4. J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph with applications*, MacMillan, London, 1976.
5. H. Feng, *On orthogonal $(0, f)$ -factorizations*, Acta Math. Sci. **19** (1999), 332–336.
6. P.C.B. Lam, G. Liu, G. Li and W. Shiu, *Orthogonal (g, f) -factorizations in networks*, Networks **35** (2000), 274–278.
7. G. Li, C. Chen and G. Yu, *Orthogonal factorizations of graphs*, Discrete Math. **245** (2002), 173–194.
8. G. Li and G. Liu, *(g, f) -factorizations orthogonal to a subgraph in graphs*, Sci. China **49** (1998), 267–272.
9. G. Liu and B. Zhu, *Some problems on factorizations with constraints in bipartite graphs*, Discrete Math. **28** (2003), 421–434.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, JIANGSU UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, MENGXI ROAD 2, ZHENJIANG, JIANGSU 212003, P.R. CHINA
Email address: zsz_cumt@163.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, JIANGSU UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, MENGXI ROAD 2, ZHENJIANG, JIANGSU 212003, P.R. CHINA
Email address: wjch78@sina.com