

L-R-SMASH BIPRODUCTS, DOUBLE BIPRODUCTS AND A BRAIDED CATEGORY OF YETTER-DRINFELD-LONG BIMODULES

FLORIN PANAITTE AND FREDDY VAN OYSTAEYEN

ABSTRACT. Let H be a bialgebra and D an H -bimodule algebra and H -bicomodule coalgebra. We find sufficient conditions on D for the L-R-smash product algebra and coalgebra structures on $D \otimes H$ to form a bialgebra (in this case we say that (H, D) is an L-R-admissible pair), called L-R-smash biproduct. The Radford biproduct is a particular case, and so is, up to isomorphism, a double biproduct with trivial pairing. We construct a prebraided monoidal category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$, whose objects are H -bimodules H -bicomodules M endowed with left-left and right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module as well as left-right and right-left Long module structures over H , with the property that, if (H, D) is an L-R-admissible pair, then D is a bialgebra in $\mathcal{LR}(H)$.

1. Introduction. The L-R-smash product over a cocommutative Hopf algebra was introduced and studied in a series of papers [1–4], with motivation and examples coming from the theory of deformation quantization. This construction was generalized in [13] to the case of arbitrary bialgebras (even quasi-bialgebras), as follows: if H is a bialgebra and D is an H -bimodule algebra, the L-R-smash product $D \sharp H$ is an associative algebra structure defined on $D \otimes H$ by the multiplication rule

$$(d \sharp h)(d' \sharp h') = (d \cdot h'_2)(h_1 \cdot d') \sharp h_2 h'_1, \quad \text{for all } d, d' \in D, h, h' \in H.$$

It was proved in [13] that, if H is moreover a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode, then $D \sharp H$ is isomorphic to a diagonal crossed product $D \bowtie H$ as in [5, 7]; this result was used in [12] to give a very easy proof of the fact that two bialgebroids introduced independently in [6, 8] are actually isomorphic.

Research carried out while the first author was visiting the University of Antwerp, supported by a postdoctoral fellowship offered by FWO (Flemish Scientific Research Foundation). This author was also partially supported by the programme CEEEX of the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research, contract nr. 2-CEx06-11-20/2006.

Received by the editors on June 4, 2008.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2010-40-6-2013 Copyright ©2010 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

The dual construction of the L-R-smash product was introduced also in [13] under the name L-R-smash coproduct; this is a coassociative coalgebra $D \sharp H$, where D is an H -bicomodule coalgebra. A natural problem, not treated in [13], is to see under what conditions, for a given H -bimodule algebra H -bicomodule coalgebra D , the L-R-smash product and coproduct structures on $D \otimes H$ form a bialgebra. It seems to be difficult to obtain (nicely-looking) necessary and sufficient conditions on D for this to happen. The aim of the present paper is to present a list of *sufficient conditions*, looking reasonably nice and being general enough to cover some existing constructions from the literature.

More precisely, if D satisfies those conditions, we say that (H, D) is an *L-R-admissible pair* and the bialgebra $D \sharp H$ is called an *L-R-smash biproduct*. The Radford biproduct is a particular case, corresponding to the situation when the right action and coaction are trivial. We prove that a *double biproduct* $A \# H \# B$ (as in [10, 15]) with trivial pairing is isomorphic to an L-R-smash biproduct $(A \otimes B) \sharp H$. Also, we show that a construction introduced in [16] is a particular case of an L-R-smash biproduct.

It is known that the Radford biproduct has a categorical interpretation (due to Majid): (H, B) is an admissible pair (as in [14]) if and only if B is a bialgebra in the Yetter-Drinfeld category ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$. We give a similar interpretation for L-R-admissible pairs. Namely, we define a prebraided category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ (which is braided if H has a skew antipode) consisting of H -bimodules H -bicomodules M which are left-left and right-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules as well as left-right and right-left Long modules over H (this category contains ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ and \mathcal{YD}_H^H as braided subcategories). We prove that all except one of the conditions for (H, D) to be an L-R-admissible pair are equivalent to D being a bialgebra in $\mathcal{LR}(H)$. The extra condition reads

$$c^{(0)} \cdot d^{(-1)} \otimes c^{(1)} \cdot d^{(0)} = c \otimes d, \quad \text{for all } c, d \in D,$$

and unfortunately does not seem to have a categorical interpretation inside $\mathcal{LR}(H)$.

1. The L-R-smash biproduct. We work over a field k . All algebras, linear spaces etc. will be over k ; unadorned \otimes means \otimes_k . For a bialgebra H with comultiplication Δ we denote $\Delta(h) = h_1 \otimes h_2$,

for $h \in H$. For terminology concerning bialgebras, Hopf algebras and monoidal categories we refer to [9, 11].

Let H be a bialgebra and let D be a vector space satisfying the following conditions:

(i) D is an H -bimodule, with actions $h \otimes d \mapsto h \cdot d$ and $d \otimes h \mapsto d \cdot h$, for $h \in H$ and $d \in D$;

(ii) D is an algebra, with unit 1_D and multiplication $c \otimes d \mapsto cd$, for $c, d \in D$;

(iii) D is an H -bimodule algebra, that is, $h \cdot 1_D = \varepsilon(h)1_D$, $1_D \cdot h = \varepsilon(h)1_D$, $h \cdot (cd) = (h_1 \cdot c)(h_2 \cdot d)$ and $(cd) \cdot h = (c \cdot h_1)(d \cdot h_2)$, for all $h \in H$ and $c, d \in D$;

(iv) D is an H -bicomodule, with structures (for all $d \in D$):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : D &\longrightarrow D \otimes H, & \rho(d) &= d^{(0)} \otimes d^{(1)}, \\ \lambda : D &\longrightarrow H \otimes D, & \lambda(d) &= d^{(-1)} \otimes d^{(0)}; \end{aligned}$$

(v) D is a coalgebra, with comultiplication $\Delta_D : D \rightarrow D \otimes D$, $\Delta_D(d) = d_1 \otimes d_2$, and counit $\varepsilon_D : D \rightarrow k$;

(vi) D is an H -bicomodule coalgebra, that is, for all $d \in D$:

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^{(-1)} d_2^{(-1)} \otimes d_1^{(0)} \otimes d_2^{(0)} &= d^{(-1)} \otimes (d^{(0)})_1 \otimes (d^{(0)})_2, \\ d^{(-1)} \varepsilon_D(d^{(0)}) &= \varepsilon_D(d)1_H, \\ d_1^{(0)} \otimes d_2^{(0)} \otimes d_1^{(1)} d_2^{(1)} &= (d^{(0)})_1 \otimes (d^{(0)})_2 \otimes d^{(1)}, \\ \varepsilon_D(d^{(0)}) d^{(1)} &= \varepsilon_D(d)1_H. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the vector space $D \otimes H$ by $D \sharp H$ and elements $d \otimes h$ by $d \sharp h$. By [13], $D \sharp H$ becomes an algebra (called L-R-smash product) with unit $1_D \sharp 1_H$ and multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} (d \sharp h)(d' \sharp h') &= (d \cdot h'_2)(h_1 \cdot d') \sharp h_2 h'_1, \\ &\text{for all } h, h' \in H, d, d' \in D, \end{aligned}$$

and a coalgebra (called L-R-smash coproduct) with comultiplication and counit given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : D \sharp H &\longrightarrow (D \sharp H) \otimes (D \sharp H), & \varepsilon : D \sharp H &\rightarrow k, \\ \Delta(d \sharp h) &= (d_1^{(0)} \sharp d_2^{(-1)} h_1) \otimes (d_2^{(0)} \sharp h_2 d_1^{(1)}), \\ \varepsilon(d \sharp h) &= \varepsilon_D(d) \varepsilon_H(h). \end{aligned}$$

We consider now the following list of conditions, for H and D as above, corresponding to elements $h \in H$ and $c, d \in D$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.1) \quad & \varepsilon_D(1_D) = 1, \quad \varepsilon_D(cd) = \varepsilon_D(c)\varepsilon_D(d), \\
(1.2) \quad & \varepsilon_D(h \cdot d) = \varepsilon_D(d \cdot h) = \varepsilon_D(d)\varepsilon_H(h), \\
(1.3) \quad & \rho(1_D) = 1_D \otimes 1_H, \quad \lambda(1_D) = 1_H \otimes 1_D, \\
(1.4) \quad & \Delta_D(1_D) = 1_D \otimes 1_D, \\
(1.5) \quad & \rho(cd) = c^{(0)}d^{(0)} \otimes c^{(1)}d^{(1)}, \\
(1.6) \quad & \lambda(cd) = c^{(-1)}d^{(-1)} \otimes c^{(0)}d^{(0)}, \\
(1.7) \quad & \Delta_D(h \cdot d) = h_1 \cdot d_1 \otimes h_2 \cdot d_2, \\
(1.8) \quad & \Delta_D(d \cdot h) = d_1 \cdot h_1 \otimes d_2 \cdot h_2, \\
(1.9) \quad & \Delta_D(cd) = c_1(c_2^{(-1)} \cdot d_1^{(0)}) \otimes (c_2^{(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})d_2, \\
(1.10) \quad & (h_1 \cdot d)^{(-1)}h_2 \otimes (h_1 \cdot d)^{(0)} = h_1d^{(-1)} \otimes h_2 \cdot d^{(0)}, \\
(1.11) \quad & (h \cdot d)^{(0)} \otimes (h \cdot d)^{(1)} = h \cdot d^{(0)} \otimes d^{(1)}, \\
(1.12) \quad & (d \cdot h_2)^{(0)} \otimes h_1(d \cdot h_2)^{(1)} = d^{(0)} \cdot h_1 \otimes d^{(1)}h_2, \\
(1.13) \quad & (d \cdot h)^{(-1)} \otimes (d \cdot h)^{(0)} = d^{(-1)} \otimes d^{(0)} \cdot h, \\
(1.14) \quad & c^{(0)} \cdot d^{(-1)} \otimes c^{(1)} \cdot d^{(0)} = c \otimes d.
\end{aligned}$$

If all these conditions hold, for all $h \in H$ and $c, d \in D$, by analogy with [14] we will say that (H, D) is an *L-R-admissible pair*.

Theorem 1.1. *If (H, D) is an L-R-admissible pair, then $D \bowtie H$ with structures as above is a bialgebra, called the L-R-smash biproduct of D and H .*

Proof. It is very easy to see that $\varepsilon_{D \bowtie H}$ is an algebra map and $\Delta_{D \bowtie H}$ is unital, so we will only prove that $\Delta_{D \bowtie H}$ is multiplicative. We will prove first two auxiliary relations:

$$(1.15) \quad [c(h \cdot d)]_1 \otimes [c(h \cdot d)]_2 = c_1(c_2^{(-1)}h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)}) \otimes (c_2^{(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})(h_2 \cdot d_2),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.16) \quad [c(h_1 \cdot d)]_1 \otimes [c(h_1 \cdot d)]_2^{(-1)} h_2 \otimes [c(h_1 \cdot d)]_2^{(0)} \\
= c_1(c_2^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)}) \otimes c_2^{(0)(-1)} h_2 d_2^{(-1)} \\
\otimes (c_2^{(0)(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})(h_3 \cdot d_2^{(0)}),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H$, $c, d \in D$; we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
[c(h \cdot d)]_1 \otimes [c(h \cdot d)]_2 &\stackrel{(1.9)}{=} c_1(c_2^{(-1)} \cdot (h \cdot d)_1^{(0)}) \\
&\otimes (c_2^{(0)} \cdot (h \cdot d)_1^{(1)})(h \cdot d)_2 \\
&\stackrel{(1.7)}{=} c_1(c_2^{(-1)} \cdot (h_1 \cdot d_1)^{(0)}) \\
&\otimes (c_2^{(0)} \cdot (h_1 \cdot d_1)^{(1)})(h_2 \cdot d_2) \\
&\stackrel{(1.11)}{=} c_1(c_2^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)}) \\
&\otimes (c_2^{(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})(h_2 \cdot d_2),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[c(h_1 \cdot d)]_1 \otimes [c(h_1 \cdot d)]_2^{(-1)} h_2 \otimes [c(h_1 \cdot d)]_2^{(0)} \\
&\stackrel{(1.15)}{=} c_1(c_2^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)}) \otimes [(c_2^{(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})(h_2 \cdot d_2)]^{(-1)} h_3 \\
&\otimes [(c_2^{(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})(h_2 \cdot d_2)]^{(0)} \\
&\stackrel{(1.6)}{=} c_1(c_2^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)}) \otimes (c_2^{(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})^{(-1)} (h_2 \cdot d_2)^{(-1)} h_3 \\
&\otimes (c_2^{(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})^{(0)} (h_2 \cdot d_2)^{(0)} \\
&\stackrel{(1.10)}{=} c_1(c_2^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)}) \otimes (c_2^{(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})^{(-1)} h_2 d_2^{(-1)} \\
&\otimes (c_2^{(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})^{(0)} (h_3 \cdot d_2^{(0)}) \\
&\stackrel{(1.13)}{=} c_1(c_2^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)}) \otimes c_2^{(0)(-1)} h_2 d_2^{(-1)} \\
&\otimes (c_2^{(0)(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})(h_3 \cdot d_2^{(0)}), \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Let now $c, d \in D$ and $h, g \in H$; we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta((c \sharp h)(d \sharp g)) &= \Delta((c \cdot g_2)(h_1 \cdot d) \sharp h_2 g_1) \\
&= ((c \cdot g_3)(h_1 \cdot d))_1^{(0)} \otimes ((c \cdot g_3)(h_1 \cdot d))_2^{(-1)} h_2 g_1 \\
&\quad \otimes ((c \cdot g_3)(h_1 \cdot d))_2^{(0)} \otimes h_3 g_2 ((c \cdot g_3)(h_1 \cdot d))_1^{(1)} \\
&\stackrel{(1.16)}{=} [(c \cdot g_3)_1 ((c \cdot g_3)_2^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)})]^{(0)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \otimes (c \cdot g_3)_2^{(0)(-1)} h_2 d_2^{(-1)} g_1 \\
& \otimes ((c \cdot g_3)_2^{(0)(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})(h_3 \cdot d_2^{(0)}) \\
& \otimes h_4 g_2 [(c \cdot g_3)_1 ((c \cdot g_3)_2^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)})]^{(1)} \\
\stackrel{(1.8), (1.5)}{=} & (c_1 \cdot g_3)^{(0)} ((c_2 \cdot g_4)^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)})^{(0)} \\
& \otimes (c_2 \cdot g_4)^{(0)(-1)} h_2 d_2^{(-1)} g_1 \\
& \otimes ((c_2 \cdot g_4)^{(0)(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})(h_3 \cdot d_2^{(0)}) \\
& \otimes h_4 g_2 (c_1 \cdot g_3)^{(1)} ((c_2 \cdot g_4)^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)})^{(1)} \\
\stackrel{(1.11)}{=} & (c_1 \cdot g_3)^{(0)} ((c_2 \cdot g_4)^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)})^{(0)} \\
& \otimes (c_2 \cdot g_4)^{(0)(-1)} h_2 d_2^{(-1)} g_1 \\
& \otimes ((c_2 \cdot g_4)^{(0)(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})(h_3 \cdot d_2^{(0)}) \\
& \otimes h_4 g_2 (c_1 \cdot g_3)^{(1)} d_1^{(0)(1)} \\
\stackrel{(1.12)}{=} & (c_1^{(0)} \cdot g_2) ((c_2 \cdot g_4)^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)})^{(0)} \\
& \otimes (c_2 \cdot g_4)^{(0)(-1)} h_2 d_2^{(-1)} g_1 \\
& \otimes ((c_2 \cdot g_4)^{(0)(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})(h_3 \cdot d_2^{(0)}) \otimes h_4 c_1^{(1)} g_3 d_1^{(0)(1)} \\
\stackrel{(1.13)}{=} & (c_1^{(0)} \cdot g_2) (c_2^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)})^{(0)} \otimes (c_2^{(0)} \cdot g_4)^{(-1)} h_2 d_2^{(-1)} g_1 \\
& \otimes ((c_2^{(0)} \cdot g_4)^{(0)} \cdot d_1^{(1)})(h_3 \cdot d_2^{(0)}) \otimes h_4 c_1^{(1)} g_3 d_1^{(0)(1)} \\
\stackrel{(1.13)}{=} & (c_1^{(0)} \cdot g_2) (c_2^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)})^{(0)} \otimes c_2^{(0)(-1)} h_2 d_2^{(-1)} g_1 \\
& \otimes (c_2^{(0)(0)} \cdot g_4 d_1^{(1)})(h_3 \cdot d_2^{(0)}) \otimes h_4 c_1^{(1)} g_3 d_1^{(0)(1)}, \\
\Delta(c \sharp h) \Delta(d \sharp g) = & (c_1^{(0)} \sharp c_2^{(-1)} h_1) (d_1^{(0)} \sharp d_2^{(-1)} g_1) \\
& \otimes (c_2^{(0)} \sharp h_2 c_1^{(1)}) (d_2^{(0)} \sharp g_2 d_1^{(1)}) \\
= & (c_1^{(0)} \cdot (d_2^{(-1)})_2 g_2) ((c_2^{(-1)})_1 h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)}) \\
& \sharp (c_2^{(-1)})_2 h_2 (d_2^{(-1)})_1 g_1 \\
& \otimes (c_2^{(0)} \cdot g_4 (d_1^{(1)})_2) (h_3 (c_1^{(1)})_1 \cdot d_2^{(0)}) \\
& \sharp h_4 (c_1^{(1)})_2 g_3 (d_1^{(1)})_1 \\
= & (c_1^{(0)(0)} \cdot d_2^{(0)(-1)} g_2) (c_2^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)(0)}) \\
& \otimes c_2^{(0)(-1)} h_2 d_2^{(-1)} g_1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \otimes (c_2^{(0)(0)} \cdot g_4 d_1^{(1)}) (h_3 c_1^{(0)(1)} \cdot d_2^{(0)(0)}) \\
& \otimes h_4 c_1^{(1)} g_3 d_1^{(0)(1)} \\
& \stackrel{(1.14)}{=} (c_1^{(0)} \cdot g_2) (c_2^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot d_1^{(0)(0)}) \otimes c_2^{(0)(-1)} h_2 d_2^{(-1)} g_1 \\
& \otimes (c_2^{(0)(0)} \cdot g_4 d_1^{(1)}) (h_3 \cdot d_2^{(0)}) \\
& \otimes h_4 c_1^{(1)} g_3 d_1^{(0)(1)},
\end{aligned}$$

and we see that the two terms are equal. \square

Remark 1.2. Obviously, the Radford biproduct (cf. [14]) is a particular case of the L-R-smash biproduct, corresponding to the case when the right action and coaction are trivial.

We recall now from [10, 15] the construction of the so-called *double biproduct*, more precisely a particular case of it (corresponding to a trivial pairing, in the terminology of [10]). Let H be a bialgebra, A a bialgebra in the Yetter-Drinfeld category ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ and B a bialgebra in the Yetter-Drinfeld category \mathcal{YD}_H^H , with the following notation for the structure maps: counits ε_A and ε_B , comultiplications $\Delta_A(a) = a_1 \otimes a_2$ and $\Delta_B(b) = b_1 \otimes b_2$, and actions and coactions

$$\begin{aligned}
H \otimes A &\longrightarrow A, & h \otimes a &\longmapsto h \triangleright a, \\
A &\longrightarrow H \otimes A, & a &\longmapsto a^1 \otimes a^2, \\
B \otimes H &\longrightarrow B, & b \otimes h &\longmapsto b \triangleleft h, \\
B &\longrightarrow B \otimes H, & b &\longmapsto b^1 \otimes b^2,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H$, $a \in A$, $b \in B$. We denote by $A \# H \# B$ the vector space $A \otimes H \otimes B$ (the element $a \otimes h \otimes b$ is denoted by $a \# h \# b$), which becomes an algebra (called two-sided smash product) with unit $1_A \# 1_H \# 1_B$ and multiplication

$$(a \# h \# b)(a' \# h' \# b') = a(h_1 \triangleright a') \# h_2 h'_1 \# (b \triangleleft h'_2) b',$$

and a coalgebra (called two-sided smash coproduct) with counit defined by $\varepsilon(a \# h \# b) = \varepsilon_A(a) \varepsilon_H(h) \varepsilon_B(b)$ and comultiplication

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta : A \# H \# B &\longrightarrow (A \# H \# B) \otimes (A \# H \# B), \\
\Delta(a \# h \# b) &= (a_1 \# a_2^1 h_1 \# b_1^1) \otimes (a_2^2 \# h_2 b_1^2 \# b_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.3 [10, 15]. *Assume that moreover the following condition holds:*

$$(1.17) \quad b^2 \triangleright a^2 \otimes b^1 \triangleleft a^1 = a \otimes b, \quad \text{for all } a \in A, b \in B.$$

Then $A \# H \# B$ is a bialgebra, called the double biproduct.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $A \# H \# B$ be a double biproduct bialgebra. Define $D = A \otimes B$, with tensor product algebra and coalgebra structures and with two-sided actions and coactions given by*

$$\begin{aligned} H \otimes (A \otimes B) &\rightarrow A \otimes B, \quad h \otimes (a \otimes b) \mapsto h \cdot (a \otimes b) := h \triangleright a \otimes b, \\ A \otimes B &\rightarrow H \otimes (A \otimes B), \quad a \otimes b \mapsto (a \otimes b)^{(-1)} \otimes (a \otimes b)^{(0)} := a^1 \otimes (a^2 \otimes b), \\ (A \otimes B) \otimes H &\rightarrow A \otimes B, \quad (a \otimes b) \otimes h \mapsto (a \otimes b) \cdot h := a \otimes b \triangleleft h, \\ A \otimes B &\rightarrow (A \otimes B) \otimes H, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto (a \otimes b)^{(0)} \otimes (a \otimes b)^{(1)} := (a \otimes b^1) \otimes b^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then (H, D) is an L-R-admissible pair and we have a bialgebra isomorphism

$$\phi : (A \otimes B) \sharp H \simeq A \# H \# B, \quad (a \otimes b) \sharp h \mapsto a \# h \# b.$$

Proof. The fact that (H, D) is an L-R-admissible pair follows by direct computation; let us only check (1.14), for $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$:

$$\begin{aligned} (a \otimes b)^{(0)} \cdot (a' \otimes b')^{(-1)} \otimes (a \otimes b)^{(1)} \cdot (a' \otimes b')^{(0)} \\ = (a \otimes b^1) \cdot a'^1 \otimes b^2 \cdot (a'^2 \otimes b') \\ = (a \otimes b^1 \triangleleft a'^1) \otimes (b^2 \triangleright a'^2 \otimes b') \\ \stackrel{(1.17)}{=} (a \otimes b) \otimes (a' \otimes b'), \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We know from [13, Proposition 2.4], that ϕ is an algebra isomorphism, and an easy computation shows that ϕ is also a coalgebra map. \square

We recall now the following result from [16]. Let H be a bialgebra and D an H -bimodule bialgebra (i.e., D is a bialgebra which is an H -bimodule algebra and an H -bimodule coalgebra). Consider the L-R-smash product algebra $D \sharp H$, together with the tensor product

coalgebra structure on it (i.e., $\Delta(d \sharp h) = (d_1 \sharp h_1) \otimes (d_2 \sharp h_2)$ and $\varepsilon(d \sharp h) = \varepsilon_D(d) \varepsilon_H(h)$). Then $D \sharp H$ with these structures is a bialgebra if and only if the following conditions are satisfied, for all $h \in H$, $d \in D$:

$$(1.18) \quad h_1 \cdot d \otimes h_2 = h_2 \cdot d \otimes h_1,$$

$$(1.19) \quad d \cdot h_1 \otimes h_2 = d \cdot h_2 \otimes h_1.$$

This result is a particular case of Theorem 1.1. Indeed, consider on D the left and right trivial H -coactions (i.e. $d^{(-1)} \otimes d^{(0)} = 1_H \otimes d$ and $d^{(0)} \otimes d^{(1)} = d \otimes 1_H$, for $d \in D$). Then one can easily check that (H, D) is an L-R-admissible pair ((1.18) and (1.19) are precisely (1.10) and respectively (1.12)) and the L-R-smash coproduct coalgebra structure in this case coincides with the tensor product coalgebra structure.

2. A braided category related to L-R-smash biproducts. Let H be a bialgebra. We will introduce a prebraided category associated to H , denoted by $\mathcal{LR}(H)$. The objects of $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ are vector spaces M endowed with H -bimodule and H -bicomodule structures (denoted by $h \otimes m \mapsto h \cdot m$, $m \otimes h \mapsto m \cdot h$, $m \mapsto m^{(-1)} \otimes m^{(0)}$, $m \mapsto m^{(0)} \otimes m^{(1)}$, for all $h \in H$, $m \in M$), such that M is a left-left Yetter-Drinfeld module, a left-right Long module, a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module and a right-left Long module, i.e.,

$$(2.1) \quad (h_1 \cdot m)^{(-1)} h_2 \otimes (h_1 \cdot m)^{(0)} = h_1 m^{(-1)} \otimes h_2 \cdot m^{(0)},$$

$$(2.2) \quad (h \cdot m)^{(0)} \otimes (h \cdot m)^{(1)} = h \cdot m^{(0)} \otimes m^{(1)},$$

$$(2.3) \quad (m \cdot h_2)^{(0)} \otimes h_1 (m \cdot h_2)^{(1)} = m^{(0)} \cdot h_1 \otimes m^{(1)} h_2,$$

$$(2.4) \quad (m \cdot h)^{(-1)} \otimes (m \cdot h)^{(0)} = m^{(-1)} \otimes m^{(0)} \cdot h,$$

for all $h \in H$, $m \in M$. The morphisms in $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ are the H -bilinear H -bilinear maps.

One can check that $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ becomes a strict monoidal category, with unit k endowed with usual H -bimodule and H -bicomodule structures, and tensor product given as follows: if $M, N \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$ then $M \otimes N \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$ with structures (for all $m \in M$, $n \in N$, $h \in H$):

$$h \cdot (m \otimes n) = h_1 \cdot m \otimes h_2 \cdot n,$$

$$(m \otimes n) \cdot h = m \cdot h_1 \otimes n \cdot h_2,$$

$$(m \otimes n)^{(-1)} \otimes (m \otimes n)^{(0)} = m^{(-1)} n^{(-1)} \otimes (m^{(0)} \otimes n^{(0)}),$$

$$(m \otimes n)^{(0)} \otimes (m \otimes n)^{(1)} = (m^{(0)} \otimes n^{(0)}) \otimes m^{(1)} n^{(1)}.$$

Proposition 2.1. *The monoidal category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ is a prebraided category, with braiding defined, for all $M, N \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$, $m \in M$, $n \in N$, by*

$$\begin{aligned} c_{M,N} : M \otimes N &\longrightarrow N \otimes M, \\ c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) &= m^{(-1)} \cdot n^{(0)} \otimes m^{(0)} \cdot n^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

If H has a skew antipode S^{-1} , then $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ is braided, the inverse of c being given by

$$\begin{aligned} c_{M,N}^{-1} : N \otimes M &\longrightarrow M \otimes N, \\ c_{M,N}^{-1}(n \otimes m) &= m^{(0)} \cdot S^{-1}(n^{(1)}) \otimes S^{-1}(m^{(-1)}) \cdot n^{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only check that c is left H -linear, right H -colinear and satisfies one of the two hexagonal equations, and leave the rest to the reader. For $M, N, P \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$ and $h \in H$, $m \in M$, $n \in N$, $p \in P$, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{M,N}(h \cdot (m \otimes n)) &= c_{M,N}(h_1 \cdot m \otimes h_2 \cdot n) \\ &= (h_1 \cdot m)^{(-1)} \cdot (h_2 \cdot n)^{(0)} \otimes (h_1 \cdot m)^{(0)} \cdot (h_2 \cdot n)^{(1)} \\ &\stackrel{(2.2)}{=} (h_1 \cdot m)^{(-1)} h_2 \cdot n^{(0)} \otimes (h_1 \cdot m)^{(0)} \cdot n^{(1)} \\ &\stackrel{(2.1)}{=} h_1 m^{(-1)} \cdot n^{(0)} \otimes h_2 \cdot m^{(0)} \cdot n^{(1)} \\ &= h_1 \cdot (m^{(-1)} \cdot n^{(0)}) \otimes h_2 \cdot (m^{(0)} \cdot n^{(1)}) \\ &= h \cdot c_{M,N}(m \otimes n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\rho_{N \otimes M} \circ c_{M,N})(m \otimes n) \\ &= \rho_{N \otimes M}(m^{(-1)} \cdot n^{(0)} \otimes m^{(0)} \cdot n^{(1)}) \\ &= (m^{(-1)} \cdot n^{(0)})^{(0)} \otimes (m^{(0)} \cdot n^{(1)})^{(0)} \\ &\quad \otimes (m^{(-1)} \cdot n^{(0)})^{(1)} (m^{(0)} \cdot n^{(1)})^{(1)} \\ &\stackrel{(2.2)}{=} m^{(-1)} \cdot n^{(0)(0)} \otimes (m^{(0)} \cdot n^{(1)})^{(0)} \\ &\quad \otimes n^{(0)(1)} (m^{(0)} \cdot n^{(1)})^{(1)} \\ &= m^{(-1)} \cdot n^{(0)} \otimes (m^{(0)} \cdot (n^{(1)})_2)^{(0)} \otimes (n^{(1)})_1 (m^{(0)} \cdot (n^{(1)})_2)^{(1)} \\ &\stackrel{(2.3)}{=} m^{(-1)} \cdot n^{(0)} \otimes m^{(0)(0)} \cdot (n^{(1)})_1 \otimes m^{(0)(1)} (n^{(1)})_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= m^{(0)(-1)} \cdot n^{(0)(0)} \otimes m^{(0)(0)} \cdot n^{(0)(1)} \otimes m^{(1)} n^{(1)} \\
&= c_{M,N}(m^{(0)} \otimes n^{(0)}) \otimes m^{(1)} n^{(1)} \\
&= (c_{M,N} \otimes id_H) \circ \rho_{M \otimes N}(m \otimes n),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(id_N \otimes c_{M,P}) \circ (c_{M,N} \otimes id_P)(m \otimes n \otimes p) \\
&= (id_N \otimes c_{M,P})(m^{(-1)} \cdot n^{(0)} \otimes m^{(0)} \cdot n^{(1)} \otimes p) \\
&= m^{(-1)} \cdot n^{(0)} \otimes (m^{(0)} \cdot n^{(1)})^{(-1)} \cdot p^{(0)} \otimes (m^{(0)} \cdot n^{(1)})^{(0)} \cdot p^{(1)} \\
&\stackrel{(2.4)}{=} m^{(-1)} \cdot n^{(0)} \otimes m^{(0)(-1)} \cdot p^{(0)} \otimes m^{(0)(0)} \cdot n^{(1)} p^{(1)} \\
&= (m^{(-1)})_1 \cdot n^{(0)} \otimes (m^{(-1)})_2 \cdot p^{(0)} \otimes m^{(0)} \cdot n^{(1)} p^{(1)} \\
&= m^{(-1)} \cdot (n \otimes p)^{(0)} \otimes m^{(0)} \cdot (n \otimes p)^{(1)} \\
&= c_{M,N \otimes P}(m \otimes n \otimes p).
\end{aligned}$$

Also, the bijectivity of c in the presence of a skew antipode follows by a direct computation which is left to the reader. \square

Remark 2.2. We denote as usual by ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ and \mathcal{YD}_H^H the categories of left-left and respectively right-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H . One can check that, if $V \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ and $W \in \mathcal{YD}_H^H$, then $V \otimes W \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$, with structures as in Proposition 1.4. In particular, for $W = k$ and respectively $V = k$, we obtain that ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ and \mathcal{YD}_H^H are subcategories of $\mathcal{LR}(H)$, and one can see that they are actually braided subcategories, i.e., the braiding of $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ restricts to the usual braidings of ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ and \mathcal{YD}_H^H .

We can state now the categorical interpretation of L-R-admissible pairs:

Proposition 2.3. *Let H be a bialgebra and D a vector space. Then (H, D) is an L-R-admissible pair if and only if D is a bialgebra in $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ satisfying (1.14).*

Proof. A straightforward verification; we only note that (1.9) expresses the fact that the comultiplication of D is an algebra map inside the category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$. \square

REFERENCES

1. P. Bieliavsky, P. Bonneau and Y. Maeda, *Universal deformation formulae, symplectic Lie groups and symmetric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **230** (2007), 41–57.
2. ———, *Universal deformation formulae for three-dimensional solvable Lie groups*, in *Quantum field theory and noncommutative geometry*, Lecture Notes Phys. **662**, Springer, Berlin, 2005.
3. P. Bonneau, M. Gerstenhaber, A. Giaquinto and D. Sternheimer, *Quantum groups and deformation quantization: Explicit approaches and implicit aspects*, J. Math. Phys. **45** (2004), 3703–3741.
4. P. Bonneau and D. Sternheimer, *Topological Hopf algebras, quantum groups and deformation quantization*, in *Hopf algebras in noncommutative geometry and physics*, Lecture Notes Pure Appl. Math. **239**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2005.
5. D. Bulacu, F. Panaite and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Generalized diagonal crossed products and smash products for quasi-Hopf algebras. Applications*, Comm. Math. Phys. **266** (2006), 355–399.
6. A. Connes and H. Moscovici, *Rankin-Cohen brackets and the Hopf algebra of transverse geometry*, Moscow Math. J. **4** (2004), 111–130.
7. F. Hausser and F. Nill, *Diagonal crossed products by duals of quasi-quantum groups*, Rev. Math. Phys. **11** (1999), 553–629.
8. L. Kadison, *Pseudo-Galois extensions and Hopf algebroids*, arXiv:math.QA/0508411.
9. C. Kassel, *Quantum groups*, Grad. Texts Math. **155**, Springer, Berlin, 1995.
10. S. Majid, *Double bosonization of braided groups and the construction of $U_q(g)$* , Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **125** (1999), 151–192.
11. ———, *Foundations of quantum group theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
12. F. Panaite and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Some bialgebroids constructed by Kadison and Connes-Moscovici are isomorphic*, Appl. Categ. Structures **14** (2006), 627–632.
13. ———, *L - R -smash product for (quasi-) Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra **309** (2007), 168–191.
14. D.E. Radford, *The structure of Hopf algebras with a projection*, J. Algebra **92** (1985), 322–347.
15. Y. Sommerhäuser, *Deformed enveloping algebras*, New York J. Math. **2** (1996), 35–58.
16. L.-Y. Zhang, *L - R smash products for bimodule algebras*, Progr. Nat. Science **16** (2006), P580–P587.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, PO-Box 1-764, RO-014700 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

Email address: Florin.Panaite@imar.ro

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ANTWERP, MIDDELHEIMLAAN 1, B-2020 ANTWERP, BELGIUM

Email address: Francine.Schoeters@ua.ac.be