

EXTENSIONS OF UNIFORM AND PROXIMITY STRUCTURES

DON A. MATTSON

1. **Introduction.** Let (X, δ) be a proximity space, where X is dense in a completely regular space T . By $P(X)$ we denote the collection of real-valued proximity functions on X , and $P^*(X)$ is the algebra of bounded members of $P(X)$. Then $P^*(X)$ determines an admissible collection \mathcal{P}^* of totally bounded pseudometrics for X . In [12] the equivalence of the following conditions is shown:

(A) T admits a compatible proximity relation δ_1 for which (X, δ) is a p -subspace of (T, δ_1) .

(B) Every pseudometric in \mathcal{P}^* has a continuous extension to T , and the collection of all such extensions is an admissible uniform structure for T .

We define (X, T, δ) to have the *real-extension property* if (A) holds and every member of $P(X)$ can be extended to a member of $P(T)$.

In this paper we characterize when (X, T, δ) has the R.E. property by means of (generalized) uniform structures for X and in terms of maximal round filters in (X, δ) . Conditions equivalent to the property that X is C -embedded in T occur as a special case of Theorem 2.1, and Example 3.1 shows that the R.E. property is not coincidental with C -embedding.

Characterizations of when an arbitrary subset of a completely regular space is u^* -embedded [5] are also obtained by means of proximities and S.W. algebras.

2. **Characterizations of the R.E. property for dense subspaces.** Since the theory of uniform spaces may be approached by means of "entourages" or suitable collections of pseudometrics, we will distinguish between these by calling a (generalized) uniformity given by entourages a uniform structure, and its associated family of pseudometrics will be called a gage. (See [1], [9] and [15].) Every member f of $P(X)$ determines a pseudometric σ_f , compatible with δ , by $\sigma_f(x, y) = |f(x) - f(y)|$. Let \mathcal{G}_P be the gage generated by the collection $\{\sigma_f: f \in P(X)\}$, and let \mathcal{U}_P be the generalized uniform structure for X determined by \mathcal{G}_P according to Leader's Theorem of [9].

Received by the editors on December 17, 1973, and in revised form on December 6, 1974.

Appropriate definitions and results concerning round filters may be found in [1] and [15]. By $v_\delta X$ we denote the realcompletion (see [14]) of (X, δ) , and \mathcal{V}_P is the generalized uniform structure determined by $P(v_\delta X)$. Notation concerning rings of continuous functions will follow that of Gillman and Jerison [6]. Let δX be the Smirnov compactification of (X, δ) .

The proximity on the real numbers R will be that of the standard metric.

An arbitrary subset A of a completely regular space T is u -embedded (resp., u^* -embedded) in T (see [5]) if every admissible uniform structure for A generated by a subcollection of $C(A)$ (resp., $C^*(A)$) can be extended to an admissible uniform structure for T . Gantner has shown that if A is u -embedded in T , then A is C -embedded in T . A similar result holds for u^* -embedded subsets. (See Corollaries 3.12 and 3.14 of [5].) In Example 3.4 of [5], Gantner provides an example of a space X , dense and C -embedded in a compact space T , which has at least two distinct totally bounded admissible uniform structures. Thus, the implications of Corollaries 3.12 and 3.14 of [5] cannot, in general, be reversed. However, distinct totally bounded, admissible uniform structures for X are necessarily of different proximity class. This observation motivates the following definition: A proximity space (X, δ) is u_p -embedded in a completely regular space T if every (generalized) admissible uniform structure \mathcal{U}_S for X determined by a family S satisfying $P^*(X) \subseteq S \subseteq P(X)$ has an extension to an admissible uniform structure for T . We note that all such \mathcal{U}_S belong to the proximity class $\pi(\delta)$ of δ and, as O. Njåstad has shown in [14], that such uniform structures determine realcompletions of (X, δ) .

THEOREM 2.1. *Let (X, δ) be a proximity space, where X is a dense (topological) subspace of a completely regular space T . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every point x of T is a limit point of a unique real maximal round filter \mathfrak{F}^x on (X, δ) , and \mathfrak{F}^x is the unique maximal round filter on (X, δ) which clusters at x .*
- (ii) *Every pseudometric σ in \mathcal{G}_P has a unique continuous extension $\bar{\sigma}$ to T , and the collection $\mathcal{G} = \{\bar{\sigma} : \sigma \in \mathcal{G}_P\}$ is an admissible gage for T .*
- (iii) *The canonical injection of (X, δ) into $v_\delta X$ has an extension to a homeomorphism τ of T into $v_\delta X$.*
- (iv) *(X, T, δ) has the real-extension property.*
- (v) *(X, δ) is u_p -embedded in T .*

PROOF. (i) implies (ii). By Theorem 3.2 (iii) of [11] every member σ of \mathcal{G}_p has an extension to a continuous pseudometric $\bar{\sigma}$ for T . Now from the extension theorem of [12] and the fact that all extensions are continuous, it follows that \mathcal{G} is admissible.

(ii) implies (iii). Let \mathcal{U} be the generalized uniform structure associated with \mathcal{G} . Now $(v_\delta X, \mathcal{V}_p)$ is the completion of (X, \mathcal{U}_p) (see Corollary 2.5 of [11]), and the canonical injection τ_0 of X into $v_\delta X$ is a uniform isomorphism of (X, \mathcal{U}_p) into $(v_\delta X, \mathcal{V}_p)$. Thus, τ_0 has an extension to a uniformly continuous mapping τ of (T, \mathcal{U}) into $(v_\delta X, \mathcal{V}_p)$, hence into δX . By (iii) of [12], τ_0 also has an extension to a homeomorphism τ_1 of T into δX . Since such extensions must be unique, τ is a homeomorphism.

(iii) implies (iv). By (iv) of [12], T admits a compatible proximity δ_1 for which (X, δ) is a p -subspace of (T, δ_1) . Take $f \in P(X)$ and let \bar{f} be the extension of f to a member of $C(T)$ according to Theorem 3.2 (iv) of [11].

Let $A\delta_1 B$ in (T, δ_1) and suppose $\bar{f}[A]$ is remote from $\bar{f}[B]$ in R . Choose open remote sets U and V in R containing $\bar{f}[A]$ and $\bar{f}[B]$, respectively. Then $\bar{f}^{-1}(U)\delta_1\bar{f}^{-1}(V)$. If $\bar{f}^{-1}(U) \cap X$ is remote from $\bar{f}^{-1}(V) \cap X$ in (X, δ) , then $\text{Cl}_T(\bar{f}^{-1}(U) \cap X) = \text{Cl}_T\bar{f}^{-1}(U)$ is remote from $\text{Cl}_T(\bar{f}^{-1}(V) \cap X) = \text{Cl}_T\bar{f}^{-1}(V)$ in (T, δ_1) , which is a contradiction. Hence $(\bar{f}^{-1}(U) \cap X)\delta(\bar{f}^{-1}(V) \cap X)$. But f separates $\bar{f}^{-1}(U) \cap X$ and $\bar{f}^{-1}(V) \cap X$, contradicting the choice of f . Thus, $\bar{f} \in P(T)$, and since $P(T) \mid X \subseteq P(X)$ always, (X, T, δ) has the R.E. property.

(iv) implies (v). Let \mathcal{U}_S be an admissible uniform structure for X , where S satisfies $P^*(X) \subseteq S \subseteq P(X)$. Now δ has an extension to δ_1 for T , and (X, T, δ) has the R.E. property. Thus S has an extension to S_1 where $P^*(T) \subseteq S_1 \subseteq P(T)$. Let \mathcal{W} be the uniform structure for T determined by S_1 . Evidently δ_1 is the proximity associated with \mathcal{W} , hence \mathcal{W} is an admissible extension of \mathcal{U}_S to T .

(v) implies (i). Since \mathcal{U}_p is generated by $P(X)$, \mathcal{U}_p has an extension to an admissible uniform structure \mathcal{W} for T . If δ_1 is the proximity for T associated with \mathcal{W} , then (X, δ) is a dense p -subspace of (T, δ_1) . Thus, T may be regarded as satisfying $X \subseteq T \subseteq \delta X$. Now each point x of T is a limit point of a unique maximal round filter \mathfrak{F}^x in (X, δ) , which is the unique maximal round filter which clusters at x .

Since the restriction of \mathcal{W} to $X \times X$ generates \mathcal{U}_p and \mathcal{G}_p is a gage for \mathcal{U}_p , it is clear that each \mathfrak{F}^x , for $x \in T$, is a Cauchy filter relative to \mathcal{U}_p . By Theorem 2.4 of [11], \mathfrak{F}^x is real.

This completes the proof.

3. Examples and related results.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let X be the unit ball in ℓ_2 , the space of square summable real sequences, and let δ be the proximity for X induced by the standard metric. Take $T = \delta X$. Since $P(X) = P^*(X)$ here (cf. Ex. 2.6 of [11]), (X, T, δ) has the R.E. property. But X is not pseudocompact, hence X is not C -embedded in T . Thus (X, T, δ) can have the R.E. property when X is not C -embedded in T .

Let $\mathcal{C}(X)$ be the gage for X determined by $C(X)$. If we take $\delta = \beta$, the proximity for X associated with the Stone-Ćech compactification βX of X , then Theorem 2.1 yields the following characterizations of C -embedding.

COROLLARY 3.2. *If X is dense in a completely regular space T , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) X is C -embedded in T .
- (ii) $\mathcal{C}(X) = \mathcal{C}(T) \upharpoonright X \times X$.
- (iii) For every family S satisfying $C^*(X) \subseteq S \subseteq C(X)$, \mathcal{U}_S has an admissible extension to T .
- (iv) Every point x of T is a cluster point of a unique real maximal round filter \mathcal{F}^* in (X, β) .

The equivalence of (i) and (iv) supplements the results of J. W. Green [7].

R. Alò and H. Shapiro have shown in Theorem 3 of [4] that for an arbitrary subset A of a completely regular space T , A is C -embedded in T if and only if A is prerealcompact uniformly embedded in T . From Corollary 3.2 it follows that if X is dense and C -embedded in T , then those prerealcompact structures \mathcal{U}_S where S satisfies $C^*(X) \subseteq S \subseteq C(X)$ have admissible extensions to T . Similar characterizations of C - and C^* -embedding may be found in [2], [3], [4] and [5].

COROLLARY 3.3. *The Hewitt realcompactification νX of X is that unique realcompact Hausdorff space containing X densely such that every \mathcal{U}_S , where $C^*(X) \subseteq S \subseteq C(X)$, has an admissible extension to νX .*

In [4] Alò and Shapiro have characterized νX as that unique realcompact Hausdorff space which contains X densely and such that every admissible prerealcompact uniformity has a continuous extension to νX . One may readily obtain examples of spaces X which have more than one admissible prerealcompact uniform structure which therefore may be continuously extended to νX , but where not all such extensions are admissible (cf. Example 3.4 of [5]).

Properties (i)–(iv) of Theorem 2.1 are distinct from those of the extension theorems of [11] and [12]. For, if (X, δ) is any proximity space satisfying $P(X) \neq P^*(X)$ and if $T = \delta X$, then T satisfies properties (i)–(iv) of the extension theorem of [12], but clearly (X, T, δ) cannot have the R.E. property. Theorem 3.2 of [11] provides a characterization of when every member of $P(X)$ may be continuously extended over T , but Example 3.3 of [11] shows that this property may occur in cases where δ cannot be extended to a compatible proximity for T .

If X^* is the set of points in δX which are close to local clusters in (X, δ) , then $X \subseteq X^* \subseteq \nu_\delta X \subseteq \delta X$, and (X, X^*, δ) has the R.E. property. (See [10] and [11].) Moreover, if (X, T, δ) has the R.E. property then each point x in T is a cluster point of a unique cluster \mathcal{C}_x in (X, δ) which contains small sets relative to \mathcal{U}_p . In Example 3.4 we show that the converse of this is false, even in case T has the property that each point of T is a cluster point of a unique local cluster from (X, δ) .

EXAMPLE 3.4. Let X be the positive integers with the discrete topology and define $f(x) = x^{-1}$ and $g(x) = 1$, if x is even, and $g(x) = 0$, if x is odd. Then f and g determine pseudometrics σ_f and σ_g , respectively, which generate an admissible uniformity \mathcal{U} for X . Take $T = X \cup \{\alpha\}$, where $\alpha \notin X$, and such that each point of X is isolated. The basic neighborhoods of α are the sets $N_\alpha = \{\alpha\} \cup \{2n : n \geq m\} \cup \{4n + 1 : n \geq k\}$ where $m, k \in X$. Now X is dense in the completely regular space T , and if δ is the proximity relation associated with \mathcal{U} , there is no compatible proximity for T which renders (X, δ) a p -subspace. (See Ex. 2 of [12].) It is easily verified that any pseudometric compatible with δ must be totally bounded, hence (X, δ) is a precompact proximity space. By Theorem 12 of [10], every cluster from (X, δ) is local. Now each point of T is a cluster point of a unique local cluster in (X, δ) , but (X, T, δ) does not have the R.E. property.

There are exactly two free maximal round filters in (X, δ) and by Theorem 12 of [10] and Theorem 2.2 of [11], both must be real. Now one of these converges to α and the other clusters at α but does not converge. Thus, we cannot replace (i) of Theorem 2.1 by the weaker condition that each point x in T is a limit point of a unique real maximal round filter in (X, δ) .

4. u^* -embedding and p -subspaces. For completeness we include the following result of T. Gantner (Theorem 3.21 of [5]) with a new proof based on the result that each proximity class $\pi(\delta)$ contains a unique totally bounded uniform structure (cf. Theorem 21.28 of [15]).

LEMMA 4.1 (GANTNER). *Let S be a subset of a completely regular space X . If \mathcal{U} is an admissible totally bounded uniform structure for S that has an admissible extension to X , then \mathcal{U} has an admissible totally bounded extension to X .*

PROOF. Let δ be the proximity for S associated with \mathcal{U} . If \mathcal{U}_1 is an admissible extension of \mathcal{U} to X , then \mathcal{U}_1 determines a compatible proximity δ_1 for X . Let \mathcal{U}^* be the unique totally bounded uniform structure in $\pi(\delta_1)$. Since the restriction of \mathcal{U}^* to $S \times S$ is in $\pi(\delta)$ and is totally bounded, it follows that \mathcal{U}^* is an extension of \mathcal{U} . This completes the proof.

An S. W. algebra A^* on X is a uniformly closed subalgebra of $C^*(X)$ which contains the constant functions and separates the points of X . (See [8], p. 449.) A^* is compatible if the collection $Z[A^*]$ of zero-sets of members of A^* is a base for the closed sets in X . Thus, a compatible S.W. algebra is just the algebra of bounded proximity functions for some compatible proximity δ for X .

If A^* is an S.W. algebra for a subspace S of X , we say that an S.W. algebra B^* on X is an *extension* of A^* if A^* is the collection $\{f \mid S : f \in B^*\}$, i.e. $A^* = B^* \mid S$.

THEOREM 4.2. *For a subset S of a completely regular space X , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) S is u^* -embedded in X .
- (ii) For each compatible proximity δ for S , there exists a compatible proximity δ_1 for X such that (S, δ) is a p -subspace of (X, δ_1) .
- (iii) Every compatible S.W. algebra on S has an extension to a compatible S.W. algebra on X .

PROOF. (i) implies (ii). This follows from the proof of Lemma 4.1.

(ii) implies (iii). Given a compatible S.W. algebra A^* on S , there is a compatible proximity δ for X where $A^* = P^*(S)$. Let δ_1 be the extension of δ to X according to (ii). The closure of S in $\delta_1 X$ is the Smirnov compactification δS of S . Since every member of $P^*(S)$ has a continuous extension to δS and since δS has the real-extension property relative to $\delta_1 X$, it follows that $P^*(X) \mid S = P^*(S)$.

(iii) implies (i). Let \mathcal{U} be an admissible, totally bounded uniform structure for S , and let δ be the proximity relation determined by \mathcal{U} . Then the S.W. algebra $P^*(S)$ generates \mathcal{U} and has an extension to a compatible S.W. algebra A^* for X . Let \mathcal{U}_1 be the uniform structure for X generated by A^* . Since A^* is compatible, \mathcal{U}_1 is admissible and clearly \mathcal{U}_1 is totally bounded. Thus, \mathcal{U}_1 is the desired extension and the proof is complete.

We note that in case S is a closed subset of X , then from Theorem 3.16 of [5] it follows that each of the conditions of Theorem 4.2 is equivalent to the condition that S be C^* -embedded in X .

The author wishes to thank the referees of this paper for their valuable suggestions concerning the proofs and the exposition.

REFERENCES

1. E. M. Alfsen and O. Njåstad, *Proximity and generalized uniformity*, Fund. Math. **52** (1963), 235-252.
2. R. A. Alò, *Uniformities and embeddings*, Proceedings of the Kanpur Topological Conference: General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra (1968), 17-32.
3. R. A. Alò and H. L. Shapiro, *Extensions of totally bounded pseudometrics*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 877-884.
4. ———, *Continuous uniformities*, Math. Annalen **185** (1970), 322-328.
5. T. Gantner, *Extensions of uniform structures*, Fund. Math. **66** (1970), 263-281.
6. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, The University Series in Higher Math., Princeton, N.J., 1960.
7. J. W. Green, *Filter characterizations of C - and C^* -embeddings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **35** (1972), 574-580.
8. R. B. Kirk, *Algebras of bounded real-valued functions I*, Indag. Math. **34** (1972), 443-451.
9. S. Leader, *On pseudometrics for generalized uniform structures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 493-495.
10. ———, *On completion of proximity spaces by local clusters*, Fund. Math. **48** (1960), 201-216.
11. D. Mattson, *Real maximal round filters in proximity spaces*, Fund. Math. **78** (1973), 183-188.
12. ———, *Dense p -subspaces of proximity spaces*, Math. Scand. **27** (1970), 223-226.
13. S. Meyers, *Functional uniformities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 153-158.
14. O. Njåstad, *On real-valued proximity mappings*, Math. Annalen **154** (1964), 413-419.
15. W. Thron, *Topological structures*, Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, New York, 1966.

MOORHEAD STATE COLLEGE, MOORHEAD, MINNESOTA 56560

