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#### Abstract

Eigenvalues of the linearized part of $2 m$ th order elliptic partial differential equations are shown to be bifurcation points.


Introduction. C. V. Coffman [5] and E. T. Dean and P. L. Chambré [6] among others (see for example [9]) investigated the bifurcation problem for elliptic partial differential equations of the form $A u=$ $\lambda P(x) u+G(\lambda, u, x)$, restricting themselves to second order equations. Coffman showed that if $G(\lambda, u, x)=\lambda G(u, x)$ and either $G_{u}$ is bounded or $G$ is odd in $u$ then every eigenvalue of the linearized part is a bifurcation point. Apparently his methods cannot be extended to the instance where $G$ depends nonlinearly on $\lambda$. Under less severe conditions Dean and Chambre proved that the principle eigenvalue is a bifurcation point. In this paper we consider the equation where $A$ is a linear partial differential operator of order $2 m, G$ is a nonlinear function of $\lambda$, and trade off Coffman's unduly restrictive assumptions for a greater degree of differentiability of the terms to show that bifurcation occurs at every eigenvalue.

Main Results. Let A be a formally selfadjoint elliptic [1, pp. 95-96, 45] linear partial differential operator of order $2 m$ defined on a bounded domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with sufficiently smooth boundary. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A u=\lambda P(x) u+G(\lambda, u, x) & x \in \Omega \\
D^{\alpha} u=0 \quad x \in \partial \Omega & |\alpha| \leqq m-1 \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ and $P(x)$ and $G(\lambda, t, x)$ are real valued continuous functions on $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times \bar{\Omega}$. We are interested in the existence of solutions ( $\lambda, u$ ) satisfying (1) for $u$ small and $\lambda$ near the eigenvalues of the linearized equation
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$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A u=\lambda P(x) u, & x \in \Omega,  \tag{2}\\
D^{\alpha} u=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, & |\alpha| \leqq m-1 .
\end{array}
$$

To be more precise we assume $A$ is uniformly elliptic [1, p. 71] and can be expressed in divergence form

$$
A u=\sum_{|\alpha|,|\beta| \leqq m}(-1)^{|\alpha|} D^{\alpha}\left(a_{\alpha \beta}(x) D^{\beta} u\right)
$$

where $\alpha$ is the $n$-tuple of nonnegative integers: $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right), D^{\alpha}=$ $\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\partial / \partial x_{j}\right)^{\alpha j}$ with the order of $D^{\alpha}$ defined by $|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$, $a_{\alpha \beta}(x)=a_{\beta \alpha}(x) \in C^{2 m}(\bar{\Omega})\left(C^{k}(R)\right.$ the space of bounded $k$ times continuously differentiable functions defined on $R$ ). We further assume that $P(x) \in C^{3 m}(\bar{\Omega}), \quad|P(x)| \neq 0$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}, \quad G \in C^{2}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times \bar{\Omega})$ and $G(\lambda, t, x)=o(t)$ uniformly for $\lambda$ near eigenvalues of (2) and all $x$. An eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}$ of (2) is said to be a bifurcation point if every neighborhood of ( $\lambda_{0}, 0$ ) (in the $R \times C(\Omega)$ topology) contains a nontrivial solution, that is a solution $(\lambda, u) \neq(\lambda, 0)$, of (1). With our assumptions we can prove

Theorem. Every eigenvalue of (2) is a bifurcation point of (1).
Proof. Let $\lambda_{0}$ be an eigenvalue of (2). To complete the proof we will show that $A$ is a closed linear operator in a suitable Banach space and reduce the problem to one of finite dimension and apply M. S. Berger's bifurcation theorem [3] which we state in our context as follows. In a real Hilbert space $H$, let $L$ be a compact selfadjoint map of $H \rightarrow H$ and let $T \in C^{2}$ be a gradient operator [10, p. 54] (for fixed $\lambda$ ) mapping a neighborhood of $\left(\lambda_{0}, 0\right) \in R \times H$ into $H$ such that $T(\lambda, 0) \equiv 0$ and $T_{x}(\lambda, 0) \equiv 0$, and suppose $\lambda_{0}$ is an eigenvalue of $L$. Then $\lambda_{0}$ is a bifurcation point of the equation $L x=\lambda x+T(\lambda, x)$.

As our first simplification we set $|P(x)|^{-1 / 2} v=u$. Then (1) is equivalent to the problem

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{A} v=\lambda \mu v+\tilde{G}(\lambda, v, x), & x \in \Omega, \\
D^{\alpha} v=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, & |\alpha| \leqq m-1 \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

where $\quad \tilde{A}=|P|^{-1 / 2} A|P|^{-1 / 2}, \quad \tilde{G}=|P(x)|^{-1 / 2} G\left(\lambda,|P(x)|^{-1 / 2} v, x\right) \quad$ and $\mu=P(x) /|P(x)|$ (that is $\pm 1)$. It is readily verified that

$$
\tilde{A} v=\sum_{|\alpha|,|\beta| \leqq m}(-1)^{|\alpha|} D^{\alpha}\left(\tilde{a}_{\alpha \beta}(x) D^{\beta} v\right)
$$

is a selfadjoint uniformly elliptic linear operator, $\tilde{a}_{\alpha \beta}(x) \in C^{2 m}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\lambda_{0}$ is an eigenvalue of the corresponding linearized part.

To find a suitable Banach space and domain for $\tilde{A}$ we let $C_{0}{ }^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be
the space of infinitely continuously differentiable functions with compact support in $\Omega$ and let $\mathscr{W}_{2}{ }^{m}(\Omega)$ be the closure of $C_{0}{ }^{\boldsymbol{o}}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|v\|_{2, m}^{2}=\sum_{|\alpha| \leqq m}\left\|D^{a} v\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} .
$$

By Gårding's inequality there exist constants $\gamma, \delta>0$ such that for $v \in W_{2}{ }^{m}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|\alpha|,|\beta| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{a}_{\alpha \beta}(x) D^{\alpha} v \cdot D^{\beta} v d x \geqq \gamma\|v\|_{2, m}-\delta\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[1, p. 78]. Thus for $f \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ there eixsts a unique generalized solution $v \in \dot{W}_{2}{ }^{m}(\Omega)$ such that $(\tilde{A}+\delta I) v=f[4, \mathrm{p} .199],[1, \mathrm{p} .102]$. Moreover, by regularity (see [ 2 , section $V$ ] and the references cited therein) we also have $v \in C^{2 m-1}(\Omega) \cap C^{m-1}(\bar{\Omega}), D^{q} v=0$ for $x \in \partial \Omega$ and $|\alpha| \leqq m-1$ and for $|\alpha|=2 m, D^{\alpha} v \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. If $f$ is also Hölder continuous [2] then $v \in C^{2 m}(\Omega)$. We therefore let $C$ be the space of continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega}$ vanishing on the boundary, with the supremum norm and define the domain of $\tilde{A}, D(\tilde{A})=(\tilde{A}+\delta I)^{-1}(C)$ and let $\tilde{A}$ be defined by $\tilde{A} u=f-\delta u$ for $u \in D(\tilde{A})$ where $u=(\tilde{A}+\delta I)^{-1}$. Now $D(\tilde{A})$ is dense in $C$. Indeed, if $v \in C$, for any subdomain $\Omega^{\prime}$ of $\Omega$ with closure contained in $\Omega$ we can define $v^{\prime}=v$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}=0$ otherwise. Let $J_{\epsilon}$ be a mollifier as defined in [1, p. 5]. Then $J_{\Omega^{\prime}} v^{\prime} \in C_{0}{ }^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $J_{e} v^{\prime} \rightarrow v^{\prime}$ uniformly in $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$, with $\bar{\Omega}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq \Omega^{\prime}\left[1\right.$, p. 5]. Thus $C_{0}{ }^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is dense in $C$ and as $(\bar{A}+\delta I)\left(C_{0}{ }^{\infty}(\Omega)\right)$ $\subset C$ it follows $C_{0}{ }^{\infty}(\Omega) \subset D(\AA)$ and so $D(\tilde{A})$ is dense in $C$.

Now we show that $\tilde{A}$ is closed on $D(\tilde{A})$ in $C$. As $\tilde{A}$ defined on $D(\tilde{A})$ is symmetric in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ a Hilbert space, $\AA$ has a minimal closed extension in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, also denoted $\tilde{A}$, with domain $D_{L}(\tilde{A})$ [8, p. 56]. Thus since $k\|v\|_{C} \geqq\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$ it follows that $\tilde{A}$ has a minimal closed extension in $C$ with domain $D_{C}(\tilde{A})$. However $D(\tilde{A})=D_{C}(\tilde{A})$. Indeed, suppose $v \in$ $D_{C}(\tilde{A})$ and let $f=\tilde{A} v$. Then as $D_{C}(\tilde{A}) \subset D_{L}(\tilde{A})$ there exists a $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ $\subset D(\tilde{A})$ such that $v_{i} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{A} v_{i} \rightarrow \tilde{A} v$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. By (4) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma\left\|v_{i}-v_{j}\right\|_{2, m} \leqq & \sum_{|\alpha|,|\beta| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{a}_{\alpha \beta}(x) D^{\alpha}\left(v_{i}-v_{j}\right) \\
& \cdot D^{\beta}\left(v_{i}-v_{j}\right) d x+\delta\left\|v_{i}-v_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \\
\leqq & \int_{\Omega}(\tilde{A}+\delta I)\left(v_{i}-v_{j}\right) \cdot\left(v_{i}-v_{j}\right) d x \\
& \leqq\left\|(\AA+\delta I)\left(v_{i}-v_{j}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\left\|v_{i}-v_{j}\right\|_{\left.L^{2} \Omega\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ converges in $\mathscr{W}_{2}^{m}(\Omega)$ and $v \in \mathscr{W}_{2}^{m}(\Omega)$. As the bilinear form associated with $\tilde{A}$ is bounded in $\mathscr{W}_{2}{ }^{m}(\Omega)$ and $f+\delta v \in C$ it follows that $v$ is a generalized solution of $(\tilde{A}+\delta I) v=g=f+\delta v$. But then $v \in D(\tilde{A})$. Therefore $\tilde{A}$ is closed on $D(\tilde{A})$ in $C$.

By the "Fredholm alternative" for uniformly elliptic operators [4, p. 199], [1, p. 102] it follows the null space of $\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I, N(\tilde{A}-$ $\left.\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)$, is finite dimensional and by regularity contained in $C$. In addition $\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right) \phi=f$ for $f \in C$ if and only if $\int_{\Omega} f \cdot \phi d x=0$ for all $\phi \in N\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)$. Thus as $C=N\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right) \oplus \tilde{N}\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)^{\perp}($ where

$$
\left.N\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)^{\perp}=\left\{\psi \in C \mid \int_{\Omega} \psi \cdot \phi d x=0 \text { for } \phi \in N\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)\right\}\right)
$$

it follows that $C=N\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right) \oplus R\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)(R(\cdot)$ denotes the range of $\left.\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)$.

Therefore $v \in C$ is uniquely of the form $v=\phi+\psi, \phi \in N(\tilde{A}-$ $\left.\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)$ and $\psi \in R\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)$ and $\tilde{G}=G_{N}(\lambda, \phi+\psi, x)+G_{R}(\lambda, \phi+\psi, x)$ where $\quad G_{N} \in N\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)$ and $G_{R} \in R\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)$. Clearly $\tilde{A}-$ $\lambda \mu I: N\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right) \rightarrow N\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)$ for all $\lambda$ and as the resolvent of a closed map is open, $\tilde{A}-\lambda \mu I$ is a one-one map, with uniformly bounded inverse, of $D(\tilde{A}) \cap R\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)$ onto $R\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)$ for all $\lambda$ near $\lambda_{0}$. Thus finding solutions of (3) is equivalent to solving in $\mathbf{R} \times N\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right) \times\left(D(\tilde{A}) \cap R\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)\right)$ the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi & =(\tilde{A}-\lambda \mu I)^{-1} G_{R}(\lambda, \phi+\psi, x) \\
\tilde{A} \phi & =\lambda \mu \phi+G_{N}(\lambda, \phi+\psi, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

By an application of the implicit function theorem [7, p. 265] there exists a unique twice continuously differentiable function $\psi=\psi(\lambda, \phi)$ such that

$$
\psi(\lambda, \phi) \equiv(\tilde{A}-\lambda \mu I)^{-1} G_{R}(\lambda, \phi+\psi(\lambda, \phi), x)
$$

for $(\lambda, \phi)$ near $\left(\lambda_{0}, 0\right)$.
Moreover, by regularity for each fixed $\lambda$ and $\phi, \psi \in C^{2 m}(\Omega) \cap$ $C^{m-1}(\bar{\Omega})$ in $x$ and $D^{\alpha} \psi=0$ on $\partial \Omega$. Thus our problem is reduced to solving the finite dimensional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A} \phi-\lambda \mu \phi-G_{N}(\lambda, \phi+\psi(\lambda, \phi), x)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

An argument similar to that of [11, Theorem 3] will show that (5) is a gradient operator equation (for fixed $\lambda$ ) with potential

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\lambda, \phi)= & (1 / 2) \sum_{|\alpha|,|\beta| \leqq m} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{a}_{\alpha \beta}(x) D^{\alpha}(\phi+\psi(\lambda, \phi)) \\
& \cdot D^{\beta}(\phi+\psi(\lambda, \phi)) d x-(1 / 2) \lambda \mu \int_{\Omega}(\phi+\psi(\lambda, \phi))^{2} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} G(\lambda, \phi+\psi(\lambda, \phi), x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G(\lambda, t, x)=\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{G}(\lambda, s, x) d s$.
Indeed a simple computation and integration by parts yields for $\phi, f \in N\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} & t^{-1}(P(\lambda, \phi+t f)-P(\lambda, \phi)) \\
= & \int_{\Omega}[(\tilde{A}-\lambda \mu I)(\phi+\psi(\lambda, \phi))-\tilde{G}(\lambda, \phi \\
& \quad+\psi(\lambda, \phi))]\left(f+\psi_{\phi}(\lambda, \phi)(f)\right) d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega}[(\tilde{A}-\lambda \mu I)(\phi+\psi(\lambda, \phi))-\tilde{G}(\lambda, \phi \\
& \quad+\psi(\lambda, \phi))] f d x \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega}[(\tilde{A}-\lambda \mu I)(\phi+\psi(\lambda, \phi))-\tilde{G}(\lambda, \phi \\
& \quad+\psi(\lambda, \phi))] \psi_{\phi}(\lambda, \phi)(f) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the second term in the last expression is zero. Clearly $\psi_{\phi}(\lambda, \phi)(f)$ $\in R\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)$ since $\psi(\lambda, \phi) \in R\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)$ for all $\phi \in N\left(\tilde{A}-\lambda_{0} \mu I\right)$. Thus this integral reduces to

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left[(\tilde{A}-\lambda \mu I)(\psi \lambda, \phi)-G_{R}(\lambda, \phi+\psi(\lambda, \phi))\right] \psi_{\phi}(\lambda, \phi)(f) d x
$$

But this must be zero by the definition of $\psi(\lambda, \phi)$. Thus by orthogonality the last expression reduces to

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left[(\tilde{A}-\lambda \mu I) \phi-G_{N}(\lambda, \phi+\psi(\lambda, \phi))\right] f d x
$$

Consequently (5) is a gradient operator equation and the theorem follows from Berger's bifurcation theorem.

Remark. In the proof of our theorem we never used the fact that $G$ is continuous for all $(\lambda, t, x)$. Thus it would have sufficed to assume that $G$ is twice continuously differentiable for $\lambda$ near $\lambda_{0}$ and $t$ near 0 for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$.
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