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For $z=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ and $w=\left(w_{1}, \cdots, \quad w_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{n}$, let $\langle z, w\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} \bar{w}_{j}$, and let $|z|=\langle z, z\rangle^{1 / 2}$. Let $B_{n}=\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}^{n}| | z \mid<1\right\}$ denote the unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$, and let $\partial B_{n}=\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}^{n}| | z \mid=1\right\}$ denote its boundary. If $F(z)$ is holomorphic on $B_{n}$, we say that $F$ belongs to $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right), 0<p<\infty$, if

$$
\sup _{r<1} \int_{\partial B_{n}}|F(r \zeta)|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta)<\infty
$$

where $d \sigma$ is rotation invariant Lebesgue measure on $\partial B_{n}$. We say that $F \in H^{\infty}\left(B_{n}\right)$ if $\sup _{z \in B_{n}}|F(z)|<\infty$. If $F \in H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for $0<p \leqq \infty$, then $F$ has radial limits $F^{*}(\zeta)$ almost everywhere on $\partial B_{n}$ with respect to $d \sigma$. Moreover, if $1 \leqq p<\infty, F(r \zeta)$ converges in $L^{p}$ to $F^{*}(\zeta)$. (For a discussion of $H^{p}$ theory in $B_{n}$, see for example Stein [6] or Stout [7].)

Let $d \mu$ be a finite Borel measure on $\partial B_{n}$. We shall denote by $C(\mu)$ the Cauchy transform of $d \mu$ which is given by

$$
C(\mu)(z)=\int_{\partial B_{n}}[1-\langle z, \zeta\rangle]^{-n} d \mu(\zeta)
$$

$C(\mu)(z)$ is holomorphic on $B_{n}$, but in general it need not belong to $H^{1}\left(B_{n}\right)$, for example if $d \mu$ is a point mass.

The object of this paper is twofold. First we study $C(\mu)$ when $d \mu$ is "Lebesgue measure" on a smooth curve $\gamma \subset \partial B_{n}$. We show that if the tangent to the curve $\gamma$ does not lie in the maximal complex subspace of the real tangent space to $\partial B_{n}$ at each point, then $C(\mu)(z)$ does belong to $H^{1}\left(B_{n}\right)$, and in fact has better behavior depending on the smoothness of $\gamma$. (Note that when $n>1$, it follows that $C(\mu)$ may belong to $H^{1}\left(B_{n}\right)$ even if $d \mu$ is singular with respect to the surface measure $d \sigma$ on $\partial B_{n}$.) Precise statements are given in Theorem 1.

A second object of this paper is to apply Theorem 1 to obtain a necessary condition for a compact set $K \subset \partial B_{n}$ to be a peak interpolation set for the ball algebra $A\left(B_{n}\right)$ of functions continuous on $\bar{B}_{n}$ and holomorphic on $B_{n}$. (For the definition of peak interpolation set, see section

[^0]2). This condition is simply that the intersection of $K$ with every curve $\gamma$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1 must have zero Lebesgue measure on $\gamma$ (see Theorem 2). In particular, this, together with the results of [5], leads to a complete characterization of smooth real submanifolds $M \subset \partial B_{n}$ such that every compact set $K \subset M$ is a peak interpolation set for $A\left(B_{n}\right)$. (See Theorem 3).

Theorem 3 has been announced by Henkin and Tumanov for the more general case of strictly pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ (see [3], Chapter VI, § 2, Theorem 15) but no proofs were given there. In a recent Russian preprint [4], Henkin and Tumanov give proofs of generalizations of Theorems 2 and 3. However, their methods are different from those in this paper, and they do not obtain Theorem 1.

1. Cauchy Transforms of Measures. Let $\phi:[0,1] \rightarrow \partial B_{n}$ be a $C^{k}$ curve, $k=2, \cdots, \infty$. Suppose that there exists $\delta>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle\phi(t), \phi^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle\right| \geqq \delta, \quad t \in[0,1] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This analytic condition on $\phi$ is equivalent to a geometric condition, which we now describe. If $\zeta \in \partial B_{n}$, let $T_{\zeta}$ be a real tangent space to $\partial B_{n}$ at $\zeta$, and let $P_{\zeta}=T_{\zeta} \cap i T_{\zeta}$ be the maximal complex subspace of $T_{\zeta}$. If $L_{\zeta}$ denotes the one-dimensional real subspace of $T_{\zeta}$ generated by $i \zeta$, then

$$
T_{\zeta}=P_{\zeta} \oplus L_{\zeta}
$$

and this decomposition is orthogonal relative to the usual real inner product on $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ given by $(z, w)=\operatorname{Re}\langle z, w\rangle$. It is now clear that the tangent to the curve $\phi(t)$ lies in $P_{\phi(t)}$ if and only if $\left\langle\phi(t) \phi^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle=0$. Hence (by continuity) condition (1) is equivalent to

$$
\phi^{\prime}(t) \notin P_{\phi(t)} \quad \text { for all } t \in[0,1] .
$$

Next, let $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}[0,1]$, the space of real valued infinitely differentiable functions with compact support on ( 0,1 ), and define a measure $d \mu$ on $\partial B_{n}$ by the equation:

$$
\int f d \mu=\int_{0}^{1} f(\phi(t)) \psi(t) d t \quad \text { for } f \in C(\partial B) .
$$

Then $d \mu$ is a finite Borel measure on $\partial B_{n}$ and its Cauchy transform is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\mu)(z)=\int_{0}^{1}[1-\langle z, \phi(t)\rangle]^{-n} \psi(t) d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $\phi:[0,1] \rightarrow \partial B_{n}$ be a curve of class $C^{k}(k \geqq 2)$ satisfying (1). Let $C(\mu)$ be defined by (2). Let $D^{\alpha}$ be any derivative in $z_{1}$, $\cdots, z_{n}$ of total order $|\alpha|$, with $|\alpha|<k-1$. Then
(a) if $|\alpha|+1<k<|\alpha|+1+n$, then
$D^{\alpha} C(\mu) \in H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for

$$
p<\frac{n}{n-k+|\alpha|+1}
$$

(b) if $k=|\alpha|+1+n$, there exists $K>0$ so that

$$
\left|D^{\alpha} C(\mu)(z)\right| \leqq K[|\log \operatorname{dist}(z, \phi[0,1])|+1]
$$

(c) if $k>|\alpha|+1+n$, the $D^{\alpha} C(\mu) \in H^{\infty}\left(B_{n}\right)$.

Proof. For each $t \in[0,1]$ there are neighborhoods $U_{t}$ of $t$ in $[0,1]$ and $V_{t}$ of $\phi(t)$ in $C^{n}$ so that if $s \in U_{t}$ and $z \in \bar{B}_{n} \cap V_{t}$ then $\phi(s) \in V_{t}$ and $\left|\left\langle z, \phi^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle\right| \geqq \delta / 2$. Let $U_{1}, \cdots, U_{p}$ be a finite subcover of $\left\{U_{t}\right\}$, let $V_{1}, \cdots, V_{p}$ be the corresponding open sets in $\mathrm{C}^{n}$, and let $\left\{\theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{p}\right\}$ be a $C^{\infty}$ partition of unity subordinate to $\left\{U_{1}, \cdots, U_{p}\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(\mu)(z) & =\sum_{j=1}^{p} \int_{0}^{1}[1-\langle z, \phi(t)\rangle]^{-n} \theta_{j}(t) \psi(t) d t \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{p} C_{j}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Each $C_{j}$ is holomorphic on $\bar{B}_{n} \backslash V_{j}$, and hence it suffices to show that each $C_{j}$ has the required properties in $V_{j}$.

If $D^{\alpha}$ is any derivative in $z$ of total order $|\alpha|$, then we have

$$
D^{\alpha} C_{j}(z)=\int_{0}^{1}[1-\langle z, \phi(t)\rangle]^{-n-|\alpha|} \Psi_{\alpha}(z, t) \theta_{j}(t) \psi(t) d t
$$

where $\Psi_{\alpha}: \mathbf{C}^{n} \times[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is holomorphic in $z$ and is of class $C^{k}$ in $t$. We wish to integrate by parts to reduce the negative exponent of $[1-\langle z, \phi(t)\rangle]$.

In general, if $\Phi(z, t)$ is holomorphic in $z$, and is of class $C^{\ell}$ with compact support in $U_{j}$ in $t$, then for $z \in V_{j}$, we have $\left\langle z, \phi^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \neq 0$ and so if $m>1$

$$
\int_{0}^{1}[1-\langle z, \phi(t)\rangle]^{-m} \Phi(z, t) d t
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{d t}\left[[1-\langle z, \phi(t)\rangle]^{-m+1}\right] \\
& \quad(m-1)^{-1}\left\langle z, \phi^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle^{-1} \Phi(z, t) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}[1-\langle z, \phi(t)\rangle]^{-m+1} \tilde{\Phi}(z, t) d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}(z, t)$ is holomorphic in $z$ and of class $C^{\prime \prime}$ with compact support in $U_{j}$ in $t$, where

$$
\ell^{\prime} \geqq \inf (\ell-1, k-2)
$$

Hence for $z \in V_{j}$ we have for $r \leqq k-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\alpha} C_{j}(z)=\int_{0}^{1}[1-\langle z, \phi(t)\rangle]^{-n-|\alpha|+r} \Phi_{\alpha, r}(z, t) d t \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{\alpha, r}(z, t)$ is holomorphic in $z$ and is of class $C^{k-r-1}$ in $t$ with compact support in $U_{j}$.

For each fixed $t$ and $m>0$, the function $z \rightarrow[1-\langle z, \phi(t)\rangle]^{-m}$ belongs to $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ if and only if $p<n / m$. Hence by Stout [7], Corollary III.3, equation (3) shows that $D^{\alpha} C_{j}$ belongs to $H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ if $-n-|\alpha|+$ $r<0, \quad r-|\alpha|>0$, and $p<n /(n+|\alpha|-r)$. In particular, if $|\alpha|+1<k<n+|\alpha|+1$, we can choose $r=k-1$ and we obtain $C_{j}(z) \in H^{p}\left(B_{n}\right)$ if $p<n /(n-k+|\alpha|+1)$. This proves part (a) of Theorem 1.

If $k \geqq n+|\alpha|+1$, we use (3) to write

$$
D^{\alpha} C_{j}(z)=\int_{0}^{1}[1-\langle z, \phi(t)\rangle]^{-1} \psi_{\alpha, n+|\alpha|-1}(z, t) d t
$$

where $\psi_{\alpha, n+|\alpha|-1}(z, t)$ is of class $C^{k-n-|\alpha|}$ in $t$. Integrating by parts once again we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\alpha} C_{j}(z)=\int_{0}^{1} \log [1-\langle z, \phi(t)\rangle] \psi_{\alpha, n+|\alpha|}(z, t) d t \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $\left|D^{\alpha} C_{j}(z)\right| \leqq C_{1}+C_{2}|\log \operatorname{dist}(z, \phi[0,1])|$. This gives part (b).
Finally, if $k>n+|\alpha|+1$ we can integrate by parts again in (4) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{\alpha} C_{j}(z)= & \int_{0}^{1}[[1-\langle z, \phi(t)\rangle] \log (1-\langle z, \phi(t)\rangle) \\
& -[1-\langle z, \phi(t)\rangle]] \psi_{\alpha, n+|\alpha|+1}(z, t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi_{\alpha, n+|\alpha|+1}(z, t)$ is continuous in $t$. This shows that $D^{\alpha} C_{j}(z)$ is uniformly bounded, and gives part (c) of Theorem 1, and completes the proof.

We isolate certain consequences for special notice:
Corollary 1. If $k \geqq 2, C(\mu) \in H^{1}\left(B_{n}\right)$.
Corollary 2. If $k=\infty, C(\mu) \in A^{\infty}\left(B_{n}\right)$, the algebra of functions which are $C^{\infty}$ on $\bar{B}$ and holomorphic on $B_{n}$.
2. Peak Interpolation Sets. Let $K \subset \subset \partial B_{n}$ be a compact set. Then the following conditions are known to be equivalent:
(a) $|\mu|(K)=0$ for all $\mu \in A^{\perp}\left(B_{n}\right)$, the space of Borel measures on $B_{n}$ which annihilate $A\left(B_{n}\right)$.
(b) If $f \in C(K)$, there exists $F \in A\left(B_{n}\right)$ with $F(z)=f(z)$ for $z \in K$, and $|F(z)|<\|f\|_{K}$ for $z \in \bar{B}_{n} \backslash K$.
(c) There exists $F \in A\left(B_{n}\right)$ with $F(z)=1$ for $z \in K$ and $|F(z)|<1$ for $z \in \bar{B}_{n} \backslash K$.
(d) There exists $F \in A\left(B_{n}\right)$ with $F(z)=0$ for $z \in K$ and $|F(t)| \neq 0$ for $z \in \bar{B}_{n} \backslash K$.

The equivalence of (a) and (b) is a theorem of Bishop [1]. (b) clearly implies (c), and (c) clearly implies (d). That (d) implies (a) is a special case of a theorem of Val'skii [8].

Theorem 2. Let $K \subset \partial B_{n}$ be compact. In order for $K$ to satisfy conditions (a)-(d) it is necessary that for every $C^{2}$ curve $\phi:[0,1] \rightarrow \partial B_{n}$ satisfying (1) or $\left(1^{\prime}\right), \phi^{-1}(K)$ have Lebesgue measure zero in $[0,1]$.

Proof. Let $\phi:[0,1] \rightarrow \partial B_{n}$ satisfy (1), let $\psi \in C_{0} \infty[0,1]$, and define a measure $d \mu$ on $\partial B_{n}$ by

$$
\int f d \mu=\int_{0}^{1} f(\phi(t)) \psi(t) d t
$$

By Corollary 1, $C(\mu) \in H^{1}\left(B_{n}\right)$ where $C(\mu)$ is the Cauchy transform of $d \mu$ as defined by (2). Let $F \in A\left(B_{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int F d \mu & =\int_{0}^{1} F(\phi(t)) \psi(t) d t \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \int_{0}^{1} F(r \phi(t)) \psi(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $r \phi(t) \in B_{n}$, we have by the Cauchy integral formula for $B_{n}$

$$
F(r \phi(t))=\int_{\partial B_{n}} F(\zeta)[1-\langle r \phi(t), \zeta\rangle]^{-n} d \sigma(\zeta) .
$$

Thus using Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int F d \mu & =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \int_{\partial B_{n}} F(\zeta)\left[\int_{0}^{1}[1-\langle r \phi(t), \zeta\rangle]^{-n} \psi(t) d t\right] d \sigma(\zeta) \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \int_{\partial B_{n}} F(\zeta) \overline{C(\mu)(r \zeta)} d \sigma(\zeta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left.C(\mu) \in H^{1} B_{n}\right)$ we can put the limit under the integral sign and obtain

$$
\int F d \mu-\int F(\zeta) \overline{C(\mu)^{*}(\zeta)} d \sigma(\zeta)=0
$$

It follows that if we let $d \nu=d \mu-\overline{C(\mu)^{*}} d \sigma$ then $d \nu \in A\left(B_{n}\right)^{\perp}$.
Now if $K$ is a set satisfying (a)-(d), so is $K \cap \phi[0,1]$. But $K \cap \phi[0,1]$ has zero measure with respect to $d \sigma$. Since we must have $|\nu|(K \cap \phi[0,1])=0$, it follows that measure $\left(\phi^{-1}(K)\right)=0$.

Recall that a measure $d \mu$ on $\partial B_{n}$ is called an $A$-measure if for every uniformly bounded sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ in $A\left(B_{n}\right)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n}(z)=0$ for all $z \in B_{n}$, it follows that $\int F_{n} d \mu \rightarrow 0$. (see Henkin [2]).

Corollary 3. If $\phi:[0,1] \rightarrow \partial B_{n}$ is a curve satisfying (1), if $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}[0,1]$, and if $d \mu$ is defined by $\int f d \mu=\int_{0}^{1} f(\phi(t)) \psi(t) d t$, then $d \mu$ is an $A$-measure.

Proof. By Theorem 2, $\quad \int f d \mu=\int f(\zeta) \overline{C(\mu)^{*}(\zeta)} d \sigma(\zeta)+\int f d \nu$ where $d \nu \in A\left(B_{n}\right)^{\perp}$. $d \nu$ is clearly an $A$-measure, and it follows from Henkin [2], that so is $C(\mu)(\zeta) d \sigma(\zeta)$.

Now let $M \subset \partial B_{n}$ be a not necessarily closed real submanifold of class $C^{3}$. For $\rho \in M$ we let $T_{\rho} M$ be the real tangent space to $M$ at $\rho$.

Theorem 3. Let $M$ be a real submanifold at $\partial B_{n}$ of class $C^{3}$. Then every compact set $K \subset M$ satisfies $(\mathrm{a})-(\mathrm{d})$ if and only if $T_{\rho} M \subset P_{\rho}$ for all $\rho \in M$. (Recall that $P_{\rho}$ is the maximal complex subspace of $T_{\rho}\left(\partial B_{n}\right)$.)

Proof. A proof of the sufficiency appears in [5]. As indicated in the introduction, this was also stated by Henkin and Tumanov in [3] and a proof appears in [4]. The necessity follows from Theorem 2, since if $T_{\rho} M \nleftarrow P_{\rho}$ for some $\rho$, we can clearly find a curve $\phi:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ which satisfies (1). Hence $\phi[0,1]$ does not satisfy (a)-(d), and hence neither does $M$.
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