

## THE CARTAN CRITERION FAILS FOR TRIANGULAR SUBALGEBRAS OF A FACTOR

ALAN HOPENWASSER

It is a familiar fact that if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a solvable Lie algebra of endomorphisms acting on a finite-dimensional, complex vector space  $V$  then there exists an (ordered) basis for  $V$  such that the matrix representation of each element of  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to this basis is upper triangular. Further, Cartan's Criterion states that a Lie sub-algebra,  $\mathcal{L}$ , of  $\text{End}(V)$  is solvable if and only if  $\text{tr}(A[B, C]) = 0$  for all  $A, B, C$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . See, for example, [2], I.5.4. (The Lie product is given by  $[B, C] = BC - CB$  and  $\text{tr}$  is the trace.) The notion of an algebra of upper triangular matrices has been generalized by Kadison and Singer [5] to the setting of von Neumann algebras. (A sub-algebra  $\mathcal{T}$  of a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{R}$  is said to be *triangular* if  $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{T}^*$  is a maximal abelian self-adjoint sub-algebra of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Here,  $\mathcal{T}^* = \{T^* \mid T \in \mathcal{T}\}$ .) In the case of finite von Neumann algebras a trace is available, and so we may inquire if Cartan's Criterion is valid for triangular sub-algebras. The purpose of this note is to answer the question negatively; an example is given of a triangular sub-algebra of a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor for which Cartan's Criterion does not hold.

The factor in question is the group von Neumann algebra of  $F_2$ , the free group on two generators,  $a$  and  $b$ . This factor, which we denote by  $\mathcal{R}$ , is a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor which acts on the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(F_2)$ . For each  $f \in \ell^2(F_2)$ , let  $L_f$  denote the (left) convolution operator given by  $L_f(g) = f * g$ , for all  $g \in \ell^2(F_2)$ . (Recall that convolution is defined by the formula  $f * g(x) = \sum_{y \in F_2} f(y)g(y^{-1}x)$ .) Also, note that  $(L_f)^* = L_{f^*}$ , where  $f^*$  is defined by  $f^*(x) = \overline{f(x^{-1})}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is precisely the set of all those  $L_f$  which are bounded linear operators on  $\ell^2(F_2)$ . If, for each  $x \in F_2$ , we let  $\delta(x)$  denote the characteristic function of  $\{x\}$  and set  $U_x = L_{\delta(x)}$ , then  $\mathcal{R}$  is the von Neumann algebra generated by the family of unitaries,  $\{U_x \mid x \in F_2\}$ . (The action of each unitary  $U_x$  is given by  $(U_x f)(y) = f(x^{-1}y)$ , for all  $f \in \ell^2(F_2)$  and all  $y \in F_2$ . For a full exposition of the group algebra construction of Murray and von Neumann the reader may consult [4].)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the sub-von Neumann algebra of  $\mathcal{R}$  generated by  $\{U_{a^n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{A} = \{L_f \mid L_f \in \mathcal{R} \text{ and } \text{supp}(f) \text{ is contained in}$

---

Received by the editors on May 13, 1976 and in revised form on September 1, 1977.

Copyright © 1979 Rocky Mountain Mathematical Consortium

$A$ , the subgroup of  $F_2$  generated by  $a$ ).  $\mathcal{A}$  is clearly abelian and is, in fact, a maximal abelian  $*$ -sub-algebra of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Indeed, if  $L_f \in \mathcal{R}$  and commutes with each  $U_{a^n}$ , then  $U_{a^n} L_f U_{a^{-n}} = L_f$ , whence  $\delta(a^n) * f * \delta(a^{-n}) = f$  for each integer  $n$ . This implies that  $f(x) = f(a^{-n}xa^n)$  for all  $x \in F_2$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $x \notin \{a^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  then  $\{a^{-n}xa^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is infinite; since  $f$  is square summable and constant on this set, it must vanish there. This proves that  $\text{supp}(f)$  is contained in the subgroup generated by  $a$ , i.e.,  $L_f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The unique normalized trace defined on  $\mathcal{R}$  is given by the formula  $\text{tr}(L_f) = f(e)$ , where  $e$  is the identity element in  $F_2$ . Also, observe that the mapping  $\phi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  given by  $\phi(L_f) = L_{f|A}$  preserves the trace and is a diagonal process on  $\mathcal{R}$  relative to  $\mathcal{A}$ . (That is,  $\text{tr}(S) = \text{tr}(\phi(S))$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\phi$  is linear, positive and  $\phi(DT) = D\phi(T)$ ,  $\phi(TD) = \phi(T)D$ , for all  $D \in \mathcal{A}$  and all  $T \in \mathcal{R}$ . See [1], 6.1.3, page 635.) This mapping is an analogue of the mapping on the set of  $n \times n$  matrices which carries each matrix  $B$  to that diagonal matrix with the same diagonal entries as  $B$ . Such a mapping is multiplicative on the set of upper triangular matrices. However, the diagonal process  $\phi$ , when restricted to the triangular algebra  $\mathcal{T}$  defined below, is not multiplicative.

Let  $T = U_{b^{-1}} + U_b + U_{b^2}$  and let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $T$ . An arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{T}$  is a finite sum of terms each of which has the form  $A_0TA_1T \cdots A_{k-1}TA_k$ , where  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{A}$  (and any, or all, of the  $A_i$  may equal the identity,  $I$ ). A term of the form  $A_0T \cdots TA_k$  will be said to have length  $k$ . (The possibility  $k = 0$  is not excluded.) If  $L_f = A_0T \cdots TA_k$ , then there are constraints on the support of  $f$ . Any element  $x \neq e$  in  $F_2$  can be written in a canonical form:  $x = a^{n_0}b^{m_1}a^{n_1} \cdots b^{m_k}a^{n_k}$ , where only the exponents  $n_0$  and  $n_j$  may be 0. This includes the possibility that  $j = 0$ , i.e.,  $x$  is a power of  $a$ . The sequence of exponents is determined by  $x$ , since the group is free. For each  $x \in F_2$ , let  $\sigma(x) = m_1 + \cdots + m_j =$  the sum of the exponents of  $b$  in the canonical form for  $x$ . (Of course, if  $x \in A$  then  $\sigma(x) = 0$ .) If  $x \in \text{supp}(f)$ , then  $-k \leq \sigma(x) \leq 2k$ . If it is also known that  $\sigma(x) = 2k$ , then  $x$  must have the form  $a^{n_0}b^2a^{n_1}b^2 \cdots b^2a^{n_k}$  for a unique  $(k+1)$ -tuple of integers  $(n_0, \dots, n_k)$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *The algebra  $\mathcal{T}$  is triangular with diagonal  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

**PROOF.** It is evident that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{T}^*$ ; for the reverse containment it suffices to show that if  $S = L_f$  is a self-adjoint element of  $\mathcal{T}$ , then  $S \in \mathcal{A}$ . So, assume that  $S$  is a self-adjoint element of  $\mathcal{T}$ ;  $S$  may be written as a finite sum of terms as above. Let  $k$  be the greatest length of any of the terms in  $S$ . We shall show that if  $k > 0$  then  $S$  may be expressed as a sum of terms all of length less than  $k$ . This proves the

proposition, for repeated application of the argument shows that  $S$  may be written as a sum of terms of length 0, i.e.,  $S$  lies in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

We may write

$$S = L_f = A_0^1 T A_1^1 T \cdots T A_k^1 + \cdots + A_0^p T A_1^p T \cdots T A_k^p \\ + \text{a finite sum of terms of length less than } k.$$

First observe that if  $x \in \text{supp}(f)$ , then  $-k \leq \sigma(x) \leq k$ . Indeed, it is immediate from the form of  $L_f$  and the remarks above that  $-k \leq \sigma(x) \leq 2k$ . But since  $L_f$  is self-adjoint,  $f(x) = \overline{f(x^{-1})}$ , whence  $x^{-1} \in \text{supp}(f)$ . Thus  $-k \leq \sigma(x^{-1}) \leq 2k$ ; the fact that  $\sigma(x^{-1}) = -\sigma(x)$  now implies that  $-2k \leq \sigma(x) \leq k$ .

For each  $A_i^j$ , let  $f^{(i,j)}$  be the function in  $\ell^2(F_2)$  (with support in  $A = \{a^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ ) such that  $A_i^j = L_{f^{(i,j)}}$ . Consider the function

$$h = \sum_{j=1}^p f^{(0,j)} * \delta(b^2) * f^{(1,j)} * \delta(b^2) * \cdots * \delta(b^2) * f^{(k,j)}.$$

The support of  $h$  consists entirely of points of the form  $x = a^{n_0} b^2 a^{n_1} b^2 \cdots b^2 a^{n_k}$ , and at such points  $h$  takes the same values as  $f$ . But if  $x \in \text{supp}(h)$ ,  $\sigma(x) = 2k$ ; hence  $0 = f(x) = h(x)$ . Thus

$$0 = h = \sum_{j=1}^p f^{(0,j)} * \delta(b^2) * \cdots * \delta(b^2) * f^{(k,j)} \\ = \sum_{n_0, \dots, n_k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^p f^{(0,j)}(a^{n_0}) f^{(1,j)}(a^{n_1}) \cdots f^{(k,j)}(a^{n_k}) \right) \delta(a^{n_0} b^2 \cdots b^2 a^{n_k}).$$

Now the  $a^{n_0} b^2 \cdots b^2 a^{n_k}$  are distinct for distinct  $(k+1)$ -tuples of integers  $(n_0, \dots, n_k)$ , hence each coefficient must be zero. From this it follows that, for any  $k$ -tuple  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$  of non-zero integers,

$$\sum_{j=1}^p f^{(0,j)} * \delta(b^{\alpha_1}) * \cdots * f^{(k,j)} * \delta(b^{\alpha_k}) \\ = \sum_{n_0, \dots, n_k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^p f^{(0,j)}(a^{n_0}) \cdots f^{(k,j)}(a^{n_k}) \delta(a^{n_0} b^{\alpha_1} \cdots b^{\alpha_k} a^{n_k}) \right) \\ = \sum_{n_0, \dots, n_k} 0 \cdot \delta(a^{n_0} b^{\alpha_1} \cdots b^{\alpha_k} a^{n_k}) = 0.$$

In particular,

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^p f^{(0,j)*}(\delta(b^{-1}) + \delta(b) + \delta(b^2))^* \cdots *(\delta(b^{-1}) + \delta(b) + \delta(b^2))^* f^{(k,j)}.$$

But the function on the right hand side is just that element of  $\ell^2(F_2)$  which is mapped by the left regular representation onto the operator,  $A_0^{-1}T \cdots TA_k^{-1} + \cdots + A_0^pT \cdots TA_k^p$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Hence this operator is zero and  $S$  can be written as a sum of terms of length less than  $k$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *The trace-preserving diagonal process  $\phi$  is not multiplicative when restricted to  $\mathcal{T}$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $T = U_{b^{-1}} + U_b + U_{b^2}$ ,  $\phi(T) = 0$ . But  $T^2 = U_{b^{-2}} + 2U_e + 2U_b + U_{b^2} + 2U_{b^3} + U_{b^4}$ , hence  $\phi(T^2) = 2U_e = 2I$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.** *The Cartan Criterion does not hold for  $\mathcal{T}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $A = U_{a^{-1}}$ ,  $B = U_aT$ , and  $C = T$ . Observe that  $\text{tr}(ABC) = \text{tr}(U_{a^{-1}}U_aTT) = \text{tr}(T^2) = 2$ . If  $L_g = ACB = U_{a^{-1}}TU_aT$ , then any element  $x$  in  $\text{supp}(g)$  has the form  $x = a^{-1}b^nab^m$ , where  $n, m \in \{-1, 1, 2\}$ . In particular,  $\text{tr}(ACB) = 0$ . Thus  $\text{tr}(A[B, C]) = \text{tr}(ABC - ACB) = 2 \neq 0$ , and Cartan's Criterion fails.

**REMARK.** An operator which is not in the kernel of the diagonal process  $\phi$  may be thought of as having a nonzero diagonal part. If we take  $B = U_aT$  and  $C = T$  as above, then  $\phi(BC) = \phi(U_aT^2) = U_a\phi(T^2) = 2U_a$  and  $\phi(CB) = \phi(TU_aT) = 0$  (as can be seen from considering the support of the appropriate function). Therefore,  $\phi([B, C]) = 2U_a \neq 0$  and we see that there exist commutators with non-zero diagonal part. Since  $\phi(B) = \phi(C) = 0$ , we see also that  $\ker \phi$  is not even a Lie algebra. (Compare [3]).

We conclude with one final proposition about the algebra  $\mathcal{T}$ :

**PROPOSITION 4.**  *$\mathcal{T}$  is irreducible (in  $\mathcal{R}$ ).*

**PROOF.** We must show that if  $E = L_f$  is a non-zero projection in  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $ESE = SE$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{T}$ , then  $E = I$ . Note first that since each element of  $\mathcal{A}$  leaves  $E$  invariant,  $E$  commutes with  $\mathcal{A}$  and hence,  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore,  $f$  has support contained in  $A$ ; since  $f \neq 0$ , there is an integer  $n$  such that  $f(a^n) \neq 0$ . Let  $g = \delta(b^{-1}) + \delta(b) + \delta(b^2)$ . Then  $ETE = TE$  implies that  $f * g * f = g * f$ . Let  $m$  be a non-zero integer and evaluate both  $f * g * f$  and  $g * f$  at  $a^mba^n$ . For  $g * f$  we obtain:  $g * f(a^mba^n) = \sum_x g(x)f(x^{-1}a^mba^n) = f(ba^mba^n) + f(b^{-1}a^mba^n) + f(b^{-2}a^mba^n) = 0$ , since  $m \neq 0$  and  $f$  has support in  $A$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
f * g * f(a^m b a^n) &= \sum_{x, y} f(x) g(x^{-1} y) f(y^{-1} a^m b a^n) \\
&= \sum_{p, q} f(a^p) g(a^{-p} a^m b a^q) f(a^{n-q}) \\
&= f(a^m) f(a^n).
\end{aligned}$$

The equalities above utilize the facts that  $f(x) = 0$  unless  $x = a^p$ , for some  $p$ ;  $f(y^{-1} a^m b a^n) = 0$  unless  $y = a^m b a^q$ , for some  $q$ ; and  $g(a^{-p} a^m b a^q) = 0$  unless  $m = p$  and  $q = 0$ . Since we know that  $f * g * f = g * f$  and that  $f(a^n) \neq 0$ , we conclude that  $f(a^m) = 0$  for all  $m \neq 0$ . Thus,  $f = f(e)\delta(e)$  and  $E = f(e)I$ . Since  $E$  is a projection, we must have  $f(e) = 1$  and the proposition is proven.

REMARK. Proposition 4 implies that the only elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  which commute with  $\mathcal{T}$  are the scalar operators.

#### REFERENCES

1. W. Arveson, *Analyticity in Operator Algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **89** (1967), 578–642.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*, Part I, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1975.
3. J. A. Erdos, *Some Questions Concerning Triangular Operator Algebras*, preprint.
4. R. V. Kadison, *Theory of Operators*, Part II. *Operator Algebras*, Bull. A.M.S. **64** (1958), 61–85.
5. R. V. Kadison and I. M. Singer, *Triangular Operator Algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **82** (1960), 227–259.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, UNIVERSITY, ALABAMA 35486

