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0 . Introduction. We de fine for $p \geqq 1, b>0$, the space $M_{p, b}$ of function $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) z^{n}$, analytic in the unit disc $D$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{p, b} & =\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1}(1-r)^{b}\left[\int_{0}^{2 \pi}|f(z)|^{p} d \theta / 2 \pi\right]^{1 / p}<\infty \\
z & =r \exp i \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Two functions $f$ and $g$ are identified in $M_{p, b}$ whenever $\|f-g\|_{p, b}=0$. We also define for $a>0$, the space $M_{\infty, a}$ of functions $f(z)$, analytic in $D$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\infty, a}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}^{\operatorname{lop}}(1-r)^{a} \max _{|z|=r}|f(z)|<\infty
$$

two functions $f$ and $g$ in $M_{\infty, a}$ are identified whenever $\|f-g\|_{\infty, a}=0$, that is $f(r \exp i \theta)-g(r \exp i \theta)=o(1-r)^{a}$, uniformly in $\theta$.

For $b=0$ a space $M_{p, b}$ reduces to a Hardy space $H^{p}$; for a description of the Hardy space see $[\mathbf{1 , 6}]$. If $f$ is in a Hardy space $H^{p}$, then $\|f\|_{p, b}=0$ for all $b>0$.

In addition to the obvious relations $M_{p, b} \subseteq M_{q, b}$ for $p \geqq q$ we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p, a-1 / p} \subseteq M_{q, a-1 / q} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leqq p \leqq q<\infty, a>1 / p$; moreover there exist constants $C, C^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|f\|_{\infty, a} \leqq C\|f\|_{p, a-1 / p} \\
& \|f\|_{q, a-1 / q} \leqq C^{\prime}\|f\|_{p, a-1 / p} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

(cf. [1, p. 84]).
The relations (2) shows that if a function $f$ is in a space $M_{p, a-1 / p}$, $p \geqq 1, a>1 / p$, then $(1-|z|)^{a} f(z)$ must remain bounded as $z$ approaches a boundary point of $D$. In this note we will obtain restrictions on the values which $(1-|z|)^{a} f(z)$ approaches as $z$ approaches the boundary of $D$ for functions $f$ in a space $M_{p, a-1 / p}$. We will also study topological properties of the $M_{p, a}$ spaces.

1. In this section we give estimates on the coefficients of a function in $M_{p, b}$ and also on the area of the region onto which a function in an $M_{p, b}$ space maps the disc $|z| \leqq r$. The results are essentially contained in [5]; we will give numerical estimates. We conjecture that for concave functionals on an $M_{p, b}$ space the largest possible value is taken for functions whose Taylor series contain huge gaps while the smallest possible value is taken at functions of the form $C(1-z)^{-a}$ for some constant $C$. We have been able to confirm our conjecture only in a few cases.

We let $p^{\prime}$ denote the quantity $p /(p-1)$ for $1<p<\infty$; if $p=1$, we let $p^{\prime}=\infty$, while if $p=\infty$, we let $p^{\prime}=1$.

Theorem 1. If $f \in M_{p, a-1 / p}, 1 \leqq p \leqq \infty, a>1 / p$, then

$$
\lim \sup |\hat{f}(n)| /\left.n\right|^{a-1 / p} \leqq[e /(a-1 / p)]^{a-1 / p}\|f\|_{p, a-1 / p} .
$$

Proof. We deal only with the case $1<p<\infty$; the cases $p=1$ and $p=\infty$ are somewhat simpler. We have

$$
|\hat{f}(n)|=\left|\int_{C} f(\zeta) / \zeta^{n+1} d \zeta\right| / 2 \pi
$$

where $C$ is the circle $|\zeta|=n /(n+a-1 / p)$. If we use Hölder's inequality to estimate $|\hat{f}(n)|$ we obtain the result.
In the opposite direction we have the following theorem.
Theorem 2A. Iff $\in M_{\infty, a}$, then

$$
\lim \sup |\hat{f}(n)| / n^{a-1} \geqq\|f\|_{\infty, a} / \Gamma(a) ;
$$

if $f \in M_{p, a-1 / p}$ for some $p, 2 \leqq p<\infty, a \geqq 1$, then

$$
\lim \sup |\hat{f}(n)| / n^{a-1} \geqq\|f\|_{p, a-1 / p}\left[p^{\prime} /[\Gamma(a p-1) /(p-1)]\right]^{1 / p^{\prime}} .
$$

Proof. We treat only the case $2 \leqq p<\infty$. Let $\lambda=\lim \sup |\hat{f}(n)| / n^{a-1}$ where $f(z)=\sum \hat{f}(n) z^{n}$ is a function in $M_{p, a-1 / p}$. By the Hausdorff Young theorem (cf, [2: p. 145]).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{p, a-1 / p} & =\lim \sup (1-r)^{a-1 / p}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}|f(z)|^{p} d \theta / 2 \pi\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leqq \lim \sup (1-r)^{a-1 / p}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|\hat{f}(n)|^{p^{\prime} r^{n p^{\prime}}}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \\
& =\lambda \Gamma[a p-1) /(p-1)]^{1 / p^{\prime}} \mid p^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\lambda \geqq p^{\prime}\|f\|_{p, a-1 / p} / \Gamma[(a p-1) /(p-1)]^{1 / p^{\prime}} .
$$

If $1 \leqq p<2$, we use the Hardy Littlewood theorem [1, p. 95] in place of the Hausdorff Young Theorem to obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2B. If $f \in M_{p, a-1 / p}$ for some $p, 1 \leqq p<2$, and $a>1 / p$, then

$$
\lim \sup (\hat{f}(n)) / n^{a-1} \geqq\|f\|_{p, a-1 / p}(p / \Gamma(a p-1))^{1 / p}
$$

Theorem 1 is the best possible in that equality is achieved for the function $f(z)=\sum n_{k}^{a-1 / p_{2}}$, where the numbers $n_{k}$ are chosen to increase sufficiently rapidly (for examples, the numbers must be chosen in such a way that $n_{k+1} / n_{k}$ tends to infinity).

The first part of Theorem 2A is also the best possible; here equality is achieved for the function $f(z)=(1-z)^{-a}$; equality is also achieved in the second part of this theorem for these functions in the case $a=1$, $p=2$.

We let $A(r)$ denote the area of the region onto which the function $f(z)$ maps the disc $|z| \leqq r$.

Lemma 1. If $f \in M_{\infty, a}$, then $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty, a+1} \leqq(a+1)^{a+1}\|f\|_{\infty, a} / a^{a} ;$ if $f \in M_{p, b}$, $1 \leqq p<\infty, b>0$, then

## $\left\|\boldsymbol{f}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty, b+1+1 / p}$

$$
\leqq(p b+p+1)^{b+1+1 / p\left\|(1-z)^{-1}\right\|_{2 p^{\prime},(p+1) / 2 p}^{2}\|f\|_{p, b} /(p b)^{b}(p+1)^{1+1 / p} . . . ~}
$$

Duren [1, pp. 65-66] showed that $\left\|(1-z)^{-1}\right\|_{2 p^{\prime},(p+1) / 2 p}$ is finite.
Proof. By the Cauchy integral formula

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq \int_{C}\left(|f(\zeta)| /(\zeta-z)^{2}|d \zeta| / 2 \pi\right.
$$

For the first part of the theorem we take $C$ as the circle $|\zeta-z|=$ (1 - |z|)/(a+1); for the second part we take $C$ as the circle $|\zeta|=$ $|z|+(p+1)(1-|z|) /(p b+p+1)$ and apply Hölder's inequality.

We also have, following [5, p. 430], the next lemma.
Lemma 2. If $f \in M_{2, b}$, then $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{2, b+1} \leqq(b+1)^{b+1}\|f\|_{2, b} / 2 b^{b}$.
Theorem 3. Iff $\in M_{\infty, a}, a>0$, then

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1}(1-r)^{2 a+1} A(r) \leqq \pi(a+1)^{a+1}\|f\|_{\infty, a}^{2} / a^{a} ;
$$

if $f \in M_{p, b}, 1 \leqq p<\infty, b>0$, then
$\lim \sup (1-r)^{2 b+1} A(r) \leqq \pi\left[(p+1+p b)^{b+1+1 / p} /(p+1)^{1+1 / p}(p b)^{b}\right]$

$$
\times\left\|(1-z)^{-1}\right\|_{2 p^{\prime},(p+1) / 2}\|f\|_{p, b}^{2} .
$$

Proof. We consider only the second part of the theorem; the first part can be dealt with in a similar fashion. For $1 \leqq p<\infty$

```
\(\lim \sup (1-r)^{2 b+1} A(r)\)
\(=\pi \lim \sup (1-r)^{2 b+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|\hat{f}(n)|^{2} r^{2 n}\)
\(=\lim \sup (1-r)^{2 b+1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f(z) f^{\prime}(z)\right| d \theta / 2, \quad(z=r \exp i \theta)\)
\(\leqq(2 \pi)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \lim \sup (1-r)^{b+1} \max \left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \cdot \lim \sup (1-r)^{b}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}|f(z)|^{p} d \theta\right)^{1 / p} / 2\),
```

where the maximum is taken over the circle $|z|=r$. Hence
$\lim \sup (1-r)^{2 b+1} A(r)$
$\leqq \pi\|f\|_{p, b}\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty, b+1}$
$\leqq \pi\left[(p+1+p b)^{\left.b+1+1 / p /(p+1)^{1+1 / p}(p b)^{b}\right] \cdot\left\|(1-z)^{-1}\right\|_{2 p^{\prime}, p+1 / 2 p}^{2}\|f\|_{p, b}^{2} .}\right.$
We can also conclude from Lemma 2 the following theorem.
Theorem 3B. If $f \in M_{2, b}, b>0$, then

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \sup (1-r)^{2 b+1} A(r) \leqq \pi(b+1)^{b+1}\|f\|_{2, b}^{2} / 2 b^{b}
$$

In the opposite direction we have the following theorem.
Theorem 4. If $f \in M_{2, a-1 / 2}, a>1 / 2$. tjen
$\lim \sup (1-r)^{2 a} A(r) \geqq(2 a-1) \pi\|f\|_{2, a-1 / 2}^{2} / 2$.
Proof. We have

$$
A(r)=\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|\hat{f}(n)|^{2} r^{2 n}
$$

so that if $\lim \sup (1-r)^{2 a} A(r) \leqq \lambda$, then for each $\varepsilon>0$, there is a number $r_{0}$ in $(0,1)$ such that $A(r) \leqq(\lambda+\varepsilon) /(1-r)^{2 a}$ for $r \geqq r_{0}$. We consider $r_{0}$ fixed; we take $r$ in $\left(r_{0}, 1\right)$ and let $r$ tend to one. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{2, a-1 / 2}^{2} & =\lim \sup (1-r)^{2 a-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|\hat{f}(n)|^{2} r^{2 n} \\
& =2 \lim \sup (1-r)^{2 a-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|\hat{f}(n)|^{2}\left(r^{2 n+1}-r_{0}^{2 n+1}\right) /(2 n+1) \\
& =2 \lim \sup (1-r)^{2 a-1} \int_{r_{0}}^{r} A\left(r^{\prime}\right) d r^{\prime} / \pi \\
& \leqq 2(\lambda+\varepsilon) /(2 a-1) \pi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\lambda \geqq(2 a-1) \pi\|f\|_{2, a-1 / 2}^{2} / 2$. Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary the result follows.
In the case $a=1$, the theorem is the best possible; for $f(z)=(1-z)^{-1}$, $\|f\|_{2,1 / 2}=2^{-1 / 2}$ and $(1-r)^{2} A(r)$ tends to $\pi / 4$.

Corollary. Iff $\in M_{2, a-1 / 2}, a>1 / 2$, then

$$
\lim \sup (1-r)^{2 a} A(r) \geqq(2 a-1) \pi\|f\|_{\infty, a}^{2} / 2^{2 a}
$$

Proof. We first note that $\|f\|_{\infty, a}<\infty$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{\infty, a} & \leqq \lim \sup (1-r)^{a} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|\hat{f}(n)| r^{n} \\
& \leqq \lim \sup (1-r)^{a}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|\hat{f}(n)|^{2} r^{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum r^{n}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the Schwarz inequality, and the above quantity is bounded by $2^{a-1 / 2}\|f\|_{2, a-1 / 2}$. The result follows from the preceding theorem.

Again equality is achieved in the case $a=1$ for the function $(1-z)^{-1}$.
2. In this section we investigate the values which $(1-|z|)^{a} f(z)$ approaches as $z$ approaches a boundary point non-tangentially, for functions $f$ in $M_{\infty, a}$ or in some space $M_{p, a-1 / p}$. We let the symbol $C(\alpha, \eta)$ denote the curve $\theta=\alpha+\eta(1-r)+o(1-r), r \rightarrow 1-$, where $\alpha$ is in $[0,2 \pi)$ and $\eta$ is a real number, that is, $\mathrm{C}(\alpha, \eta)$ is a stolz ray terminating at $\exp i \alpha$ and making an angle arc $\sin \eta /\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ with the radius to the point $\exp i \alpha$. We let $q(\eta)$ denote the limit of $[(1-|z|) /(1-z)]^{a}$ as $z$ approaches the point 1 along $C(0, \eta)$, this quantity is also equal to the limit of $[(1-|z|) /(\exp i \alpha-z)]^{a}$ as $z$ approaches the point $\exp i \alpha$ along the Stolz ray $C(\alpha, \eta)$.

Theorem 5. If $f \in M_{\infty, a}, a>0$, and $(1-|z|)^{a} f(z)$ tends to $w$ as $z$ tends to $\exp$ io along $C(\alpha, \eta)$, then $(1-|z|)^{a} f(z)$ tends to $w q\left(\eta^{\prime}\right) / q(\eta)$ as $z$ tends to $\exp$ i $\alpha$ along $C\left(\alpha, \eta^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. The function $F(z)=(\exp i \alpha-a)^{a} f(z)$ is analytic in the domain bounded by the curves $C\left(\alpha, \pm\left(\max \left[|\eta|,\left|\eta^{\prime}\right|+1\right]\right)\right)$ and the smaller arc of the circle $|z|=1 / 2$. As $z$ tends to the point $\exp i \alpha$ along $C(\alpha, \eta), F(z)$ tends to $w / q(\eta)$. By a theorem of Lindelöf [7, p. 76] $F(z)$ tends to $w / q(\eta)$ as $z$ tends to the point $\exp$ io along $C\left(\alpha, \eta^{\prime}\right)$, that is, $(1-|z|)^{a} f(z)$ tends to $w q\left(\eta^{\prime}\right) / q(\eta)$.

Theorem 6. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ be two sequences from $D$, each approaching a point in $\partial D$ in such a way that

$$
\left|z_{n}-z_{n}^{\prime}\right| /\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right) \text { and }\left|z_{n}-z_{n}^{\prime}\right| /\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right)
$$

remain bounded by a constant $M$. If for some function fin $M_{\infty, a}, a>0$,

$$
\lim \left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{a} f\left(z_{n}\right)=w, \text { and } \lim \left(1-\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right)^{a} f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)=w^{\prime}
$$

then

$$
\left|w-w^{\prime}\right| \leqq M\left[(a+1)^{a+1} / a^{a}+a\right]\|f\|_{\infty, a}
$$

Proof. We treat only the case $a \geqq 1$; the case $a<1$ is dealt with in a similar manner. Without loss in generality, we take $\left|z_{n}\right| \geqq\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\right|$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(1-z_{n}\right)^{a} f\left(z_{n}\right)-\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right)^{a} f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leqq\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{a}\left|f\left(z_{n}\right)-f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{a}-\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right)^{a}| | f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \mid \\
& \quad \leqq\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{a}\left|z_{n}-z_{n}^{\prime}\right| \max \left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|+a\left|z_{n}-z_{n}^{\prime}\right| \max (1-|z|)^{a-1}\left|f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where the above maxima are taken over the line segment $L$ joining $z_{n}$ to $z_{n}^{\prime}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(q-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{a} f\left(z_{n}\right)-\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right)^{a} f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leqq\left. M\left(1-\mid z_{n}\right)\right|^{a+1} \max \left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|+M a\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right)^{a}\left|f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 1 for each positive $\varepsilon$

$$
\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{a+1}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq(1-|z|)^{a+1}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq\left[(a+1)^{a+1} / a^{a}\right]\left(\|f\|_{\infty, a}+\varepsilon\right)
$$

for each point $z$ on $L$ provided $z_{n}$ and $z_{n}^{\prime}$ are sufficiently close to one. (We note that the boundedness of $\left|z_{n}-z_{n}^{\prime}\right| /\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)$ and $\left|z_{n}-z_{n}^{\prime}\right| /\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right)$ insures that if $\left|z_{n}\right|$ and $\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\right|$ are close to one, then each point $z$ on $L$ is close to one.) We now have for $\left|z_{n}\right|$ and $\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\right|$ sufficiently close to one

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{a} f\left(z_{n}\right)-\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right) f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \left.\quad \leqq M[a+1)^{a+1}\left(\|f\|_{\infty, a}+\varepsilon\right) / a^{a}\right]+a M\left(\|f\|_{\infty, a}+\varepsilon\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If we let $n$ tend to infinity and thus let $\left|z_{n}\right|$ and $\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\right|$ tend to one and $\varepsilon$ tend to zero, we obtain the result.

If a function $f$ is in a space $M_{p, a-1 / p}, p \geqq 1, a>1 / p$, then $(1-|z|)^{a} f(z)$ is bounded; moreover the next theorem shows that there are restrictions on the way in which $\left(1-|z|^{a}|f(z)|\right.$ may tend to a positive limit as $z$ approaches a boundary point of the disc.

Theorem 7A. Let $\left\{z_{n}^{(i)}\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots$, be a collection of sequences of points from $D$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|z_{n}^{(1)}\right|=\left|z_{n}^{(2)}\right|=\cdots=r_{n}  \tag{3}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}=1, \text { and } \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

(5) there exists a positive constant $\zeta$ such that for $i \neq j$,

$$
\left|z_{n}^{(i)}-z_{n}^{(j)}\right| \geqq \zeta\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{(i)}\right|\right),
$$

then in order that there exist a function $f$ in some space $M_{p, a-1 / p}, p \geqq 1$, $a>1 / p$, such that

$$
\lim _{n}\left(1-r_{n}\right)^{a} f\left(z_{n}^{(i)}\right)=w_{i}
$$

$i=1,2, \ldots$, uniformly in $i$, it is necessary that the numbers $w_{i}$ satisfy the condition

$$
\sum_{i}\left|w_{i}\right|^{p+1} \leqq K\|f\|_{p, a-1 / p}^{p}
$$

for some constant $K$ depending only on $p$ and $a$.
Proof. For sufficiently large $n,\left|f\left(z_{n}^{(i)}\right)\right| \geqq\left|w_{i}\right| / 2\left(1-r_{n}\right)^{a}$ for all $i$. (We may assume that all $w_{i}$ are different from zero.) There is a constant $K_{1}$ depending only on $p$ and $a$ such that

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq K_{1}\|f\|_{p, a-1 / p} /(1-|z|)^{a+1}
$$

(cf. [5, pp. 430-431]). Let $I_{n, i}$ denote the arc with $|z|=r_{n}$ and

$$
\left|\theta-\arg z_{n}^{(i)}\right| \leqq w_{i}\left(1-r_{n}\right) \min \left(\zeta / 3,1 / 4 K_{1}\|f\|_{p, a-1 / p}\right)
$$

On $I_{n, i}$, if $r_{n}$ is sufficiently close to one,
$|f(z)|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\geqq & w_{i} / 2\left(1-r_{n}\right)^{a} \\
& -\left[w_{i}\left(1-r_{n}\right) \min \left(\zeta / 3,1 / 4 K_{1}\|f\|_{p, a-1 / p}\right)\right] \max \left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
\geqq & w_{i} / 4\left(1-r_{n}\right)^{a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the arcs $I_{n, i}$ are disjoint, if $r_{n}$ is sufficiently close to one,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{|z|=r_{n}}|f(z)|^{p} d \theta & \geqq \sum_{i} \int_{I_{n}, i}|f(z)|^{p} d \theta \\
& \geqq K_{2} \sum_{i}\left|w_{i}\right|^{p+1} /\left(1-r_{n}\right)^{p p-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{2}$ is a universal constant. The result follows.
We are actually able to prove slightly more.

$$
\text { Let } \mathscr{F}_{r}(\theta)=\max _{0 \leqq|z| \leq r} f(|z| \exp i \theta)
$$

Then there is a constant $K_{3}$ such that

$$
\int_{|z|=r}\left|\mathscr{F}_{r}(\theta)\right|^{p} d \theta \leqq K_{3} \int_{|z|=r}|f(z)|^{p} d \theta
$$

$1<p<\infty$ (cf. [4, p. 103-108]). Hence, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 7B. Let $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ be two positive constants and for each $i$, let $\left\{z_{n}^{(i)}\right.$ denote a sequence of points such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1} \leqq\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{(i)}\right|\right) /\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{(j)}\right|\right) \leqq A_{2} \\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|z_{n}^{(i)}\right|=1, i=12, \ldots, \text { and }
\end{align*}
$$

(5') there exists a positive constant $\zeta$ such that

$$
\left|z_{n}^{(i)}-z_{n}^{(j)}\right| \geqq \zeta /\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{(i)}\right|\right)
$$

for all $n, i, j$, such that $i \neq j$, then in order that there exist a function $f \in$ $M_{p, a-1 / p}$ for some $p \geqq 1, a>1 / p$, such that

$$
\lim \left(1-\left|z_{n}^{(i)}\right|\right)^{a} f\left(z_{n}^{(i)}\right)=w_{i},
$$

$i=1,2, \ldots$, it is necessary that

$$
\sum\left|w_{j}\right|^{p+1} \leqq K\|f\|_{p, a-1 / p}^{p}
$$

for some constant $K$ depending only on $p$, and $a$.
3. In this section we determine the duals of the $M_{p, b}$ spaces; we will also give some necessary conditions for weak convergence in the $M_{p, b}$ spaces.

If $X$ is a locally compact space, then $X$ can be densely imbedded in a compact space $\beta X$ in such a way that every bounded continuous complex function has a continuous extension $f^{\beta}$ to $\beta X$. The space $\beta X$ is called the Stone-Cech compactification of $X$ (for a description of the Stone-Cech compactification, cf. [3, pp. 82-93]). We will use the symbol $\beta X$ to denote the Stone-Cech compactification of $X$; if $f$ is a bounded continuous function on $X$, then $f^{\beta}$ will always denote its continuous extension to $\beta X$; if $\nu$ is a point in $\beta X$ the symbol $f_{\nu}^{\beta}$ will express the fact that the function $f^{\beta}$ has been evaluated at $\nu$.

If $f$ is a function in $M_{\infty, a}, a>0$, then the function $F(r, \theta)=$ $(1-r)^{a} f(r \exp i \theta)$ is bounded and continuous in $D$ and consequently has a continuous extension $F^{\beta}$ to $\beta D$. We now respresent $M_{\infty, a}$ as a space of continuous functions on a compact space $\Delta$ formed from $\beta D-D$ by identifying two points $\nu_{1}$ and $\nu_{2}$ in $\beta D-D$ whenever $F_{\nu_{1}}^{\beta}=F_{\nu_{2}}^{\beta}$ for all $f \in M_{\infty, a}$, that is

$$
\left[(1-r)^{a} f(z)\right]_{\nu_{1}}^{\beta}=\left[(1-r)^{a} f(z)\right]_{\nu_{2}}^{\beta}
$$

we give $\Delta$ the weakest topology which makes all functions $\left[(1-r)^{a} f(r \exp i \theta)\right]^{\beta}$ continuous. The space $\Delta$ admits the metric $d$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right) & =\operatorname{Lub}\left|F_{\nu_{1}}^{\beta}-F_{\nu_{2}}^{\beta}\right| \\
& =\operatorname{lub}\left|\left[(1-r)^{a} f(r \exp i \theta]_{\nu_{1}}^{\beta}-(1-r)^{a} f(r \exp i \theta)\right]_{\nu_{2}}^{\beta}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where the lub is taken over all functions $f$ in $M_{\infty, a}$ such that $\|f\|_{\infty, a}=1$.
It can be shown that $\Delta$ does not contain an analytic disc. To see this note that the function $(1-z)^{-a}$ is in $M_{\infty, a}$ and that the corresponding function $\left\{[(1-r) /(1-z)]^{a}\right\}_{\nu}^{\beta}$ vanishes when $\nu$ is outside the closure in $\Delta$ of each Stolz angle with vertex at $z=1$, while this function takes values on some curve in the complex plane when $\nu$ is in some Stolz angle with vertex at $z=1$.

As in [6, pp. 166-168] we may form the fiber $W_{\alpha}$ above each point exp i $\alpha$ in $\Delta ; W_{\alpha}$ consists of all limit points in $\Delta$ of all nets $\left\{z_{\mu}\right\}$ which tend to expia. No point in $\Delta$ which is in the closure of the Stolz angle with vertex at the point 1 can lie in the closure of any union of $W_{\alpha}, \alpha \neq 0$.

We denote the half-open interval $[0,1)$ by $I$.
Theorem 8. The set of linear functionals on $M_{p, b}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(f)=\left[(1-r)^{b} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(r \exp i \theta) \phi(r, \theta) d \theta\right]_{\rho}^{\beta} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f \in M_{p, b}$, where $\phi(r, \theta)$ ranges over the space $\Lambda_{p^{\prime}}$ of functions which are continuous in $r$ on $I$, and such that $\int_{0}^{2 \pi}|\phi(r, \theta)|^{p^{\prime}}$ remains bounded for $0 \leqq r<$ 1 , and $\rho$ ranges over $\beta I-I$ are woak $*$ dense in the dual of $M_{p, b}$. Conversely each functional of the form (6) represents a bounded linear functional on $M_{p, b}$ such that

$$
\left.\|L\| \leqq(2 \pi)^{1 / p} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \sup \left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}|\phi(r, \theta)|^{p^{\prime}} d \theta\right)\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}
$$

Proof. It is easily seen from Hölder's inequality that each functional of the form (6) is a bounded functional whose norm satisfies the stated inequality. To see that functionals of the form (6) are weak $*$ dense in the dual of $M_{p, b}$ we let $f$ be an element of $M_{p, b}$ such that $\| f_{p, b}>0$. We will construct a functional $L$ of the form (6) such that $L(f) \neq 0$. Let

$$
\phi(r, \theta)= \begin{cases}|f(z)|^{p-2} \bar{f}(z) & \text { if } \quad f(z) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text { if } \quad f(z)=0\end{cases}
$$

We then have, for some $\rho \in \beta I-I$,

$$
L(f)=\operatorname{lub}\left[(1-r)^{b} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|f(z)|^{p} d \theta\right]_{\rho}^{\beta}=2 \pi\|f\|_{p, b}^{p}>0 .
$$

The result follows.
With a slight modification of the above argument we have
Theorem 8B. The set of functionals on $M_{1, b}$ given by

$$
L(f)=\left[(1-r)^{b} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(z) \phi(r, \theta) d \theta\right]_{\rho}^{\beta},
$$

$f \in M_{1, b}$, where $\phi$ ranges over the space $\Lambda_{\infty}$ of functions which remain bounded in $D$ and $\rho$ ranges over $\beta I-I$ are weak $*$ dense in the dual of $M_{1, b}$. Conversely each functional $L$ of the form $\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ represents a bounded functional on $M_{1, b}$ such that

$$
\|L\| \leqq 2 \pi \underset{r \rightarrow 1}{\lim \sup }|\phi(r, \theta)| .
$$

If we let

$$
\phi(r, \theta)= \begin{cases}\bar{f}(z) & \text { when } \quad f \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text { when } \quad f=0,\end{cases}
$$

then $\phi$ need not be continuous in $r$; however, $\phi$ can be approximated by a continuous function.

Theorem 9. The set of functionals on $M_{\infty, a}$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(f)=\left[(1-r)^{a} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(z) d \mu_{r}(\theta)\right]_{\rho}^{\beta}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f \in M_{\infty, a}$, where $\mu_{r}(\theta)$ ranges over the measures defined on each circle $|z|=r$, $0 \leqq r<1$, which depend continuously on $r$ and which are such that $\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|d \mu_{r}(\theta)\right|$ is uniformly bounded, and $\rho$ ranges over $\beta I-I$ are weak $*$ dense in the dual of $M_{\infty, a}$. Conversely each functional of the form (7) is in the dual of $M_{\infty, a}$ and

$$
\|L\| \leqq \lim _{r} \sup \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|d \mu_{r}(\theta)\right| .
$$

Proof. To see that the functionals of the form (7) are weak $*$ dense in the dual of $M_{\infty, a}$ we let $f$ be an element of $M_{\infty, a}$ such that $\|f\|_{\infty, a}>0$. Then there is a sequence of points $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ approaching the boundary of $D$ such that

$$
\lim \sup \left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)^{a}\left|f\left(z_{n}\right)\right|>0
$$

For $\mu_{r}(\theta)$ we take a measure which is the Dirac delta measure concentrated at $z_{n}$ on each circle $|z|=r_{n}$ and which depends continuously on $r$. Then

$$
\lim \sup (1-r)^{a} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(z) d \mu_{r}(\theta)>0
$$

The result follows.
The $M_{p, a}$ spaces are not complete for $a>0$. However, each space $M_{p, a}$ can be imbedded in a complete space $\mathscr{M}_{p, a}$ consisting of equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ of elements from $M_{p, a}$; two Cauchy sequences in $M_{p, a}\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ are equivalent if $\left\|f_{n}-g_{n}\right\|_{p, a}$ tends to zero as $n$ tends to infinity. As usual the norm of an element of $\mathscr{M}_{p, a}$ can be defined as $\lim _{n \rightarrow 0}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{p, a}$ where $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence of elements from $M_{p, a}$ which represents $f$; clearly this limit does not depend on the choice of Cauchy sequence. It should be noted that the elements of $\mathscr{M}_{p, a-1 / p}$ are limits (in the norm topology) of Cauchy sequences in $M_{\infty, a}$ and hence each element $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathscr{M}_{p, a-1 / p}$ induces the continuous function

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[(1-r)^{a} f_{n}(r \exp i \theta)\right]_{p}^{\beta}
$$

on $\Delta$.
Theorem 10. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be an infinite sequence of points on the unit circle.

Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of functions in a space $M_{p, a-1 / p}, 1 \leqq p \leqq \infty, a>$ $1 / p$, such that for each $m \lim \sup (1-|z|)^{a}\left|f_{m}(z)\right|$ is greater than some positive constant $\zeta$ as $z$ tends to $z_{m}$ while for $n \neq m(1-|z|)^{a}\left|f_{n}(z)\right|$ tends to zero as $z$ tends to $z_{m}$. The set $\left\{f_{m}\right\}$ does not have compact closure in $\mathscr{M}_{p, a-1 / p}$.

Proof. The result is rather trivial for $p=\infty$. If $p<\infty$, there is a sequence of points $\left\{z_{n}^{(j)}\right.$ from $D$ which tends to $z_{n}$ as $j$ tends to infinite such that

$$
\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{(j)}\right|\right)^{a} f_{n}\left(z_{n}^{(j)}\right) \geqq \zeta / 2
$$

provided $j$ is sufficiently large. As in the proof of Theorem 7A we construct an arc I containing the point $z_{n}^{(j)}$ such that

$$
(1-|z|)^{a p-1} \int_{I}\left|f_{n}(z)\right|^{p} d \theta
$$

exceeds a positive constant; on the other hand if $m \neq n$,

$$
(1-|z|)^{a p-1} \int_{I}\left|f_{m}(z)\right|^{p} d \theta
$$

can be made arbitrarily small if $j$ is sufficiently large. Thus the distance between each two distinct elements of $\left\{f_{m}\right\}$ exceeds some positive constant. Thus the set $\left\{f_{m}\right\}$ cannot have compact closure.

We give some necessary conditions for weak convergence in $M_{p, b}$.
Theorem 11. If $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of functions in $M_{p, a-1 / p}, 1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$, $a>1 / p$, which is weakly convergent to zero, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \sup (1-r)^{a}\left|f_{n}(z)\right|=0
$$

Proof. This result follows immediately from the fact that for each point $\rho$ in $\beta I$ - I the functional $L$ on $M_{p, a-1 / p}$ given by

$$
L(f)=\left[(1-r)^{a} f(r \exp i \theta)\right]_{\rho}^{\beta}
$$

$f \in M_{p, a-1 / p}$, is continuous.
Theorem 12. For each $r, 0 \leqq r<1$, let $E(r)$ denote a measurable subset the circle $|z|=r$ such that the measure of $E(r),|E(r)|$, depends continuously on $r$. If $\left\{f_{m}(z)\right\}$ is a sequence from $M_{p, a-1 / p}$ for some $p>1, a>1 / p$, which converges weakly to zero, then

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \sup (1-r)^{a}\left|\int_{E(r)} f_{m}(z) d \theta\right|=0
$$

The result follows from the fact that for each point $\rho \in \beta I-I$ the functional on $M_{p, a-1 / p}$ given by

$$
L(f)=\left[(1-r)^{a} \int_{E(r)} f(r \exp i \theta) d \theta\right]_{\rho}^{\beta},
$$

$f \in M_{p, a-1 / p}$, is bounded.
Theorem 13. If $\left\{f_{m}\right\}$ is a sequence of functions in $M_{p, a-1 / p}, 1 \leqq 0 \leqq \infty$, $a>1$, which is weakly convergent to zero, then

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim \sup _{n} n^{1-a}\left|\hat{f}_{m}(n)\right|=0
$$

Let $\mathbf{N}$ denote the discrete space of natural numbers. The result follows immediately from the fact that for each $\lambda \in \beta \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{N}$ the functional on $M_{p, a-1 / p}$ given by $L(f)=\left[n^{1-a} \hat{f}(n)\right]_{\lambda}^{\beta}$ is continuous.

I am indebted to Professors Lee $A$. Rubel, Thomas Armstrong and David Storvick for many helpful suggestions.
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