

## ON DUALITY IN RATIONAL APPROXIMATION

GERHARD GIERZ AND BORIS SHEKHTMAN

**1. Introduction.** Let  $K$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $C(K)$  be the space of real-valued continuous functions on  $K$ . For a given pair of subspaces  $G, H \subset C(K)$ , let  $R(G, H) = \{g/h : g \in G, h \in H \text{ and } h(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in K\}$ . In [3] we gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a function  $f \in C(K)$  to belong to  $R(G, G)$ . The characterization was given in terms of the measures orthogonal to  $G$ .

In this paper we generalize this result in three different ways:

- 1) We consider the case when  $G \neq H$ .
- 2) We give a formula for the distance between a function  $f \in C(K)$  and  $R(G, H)$ .
- 3) For a given sequence of continuous functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  we study the existence of functions  $r_i \in R(G_i, H)$  such that  $r_i = g_i/h$  with  $g_i \in G_i$ ,  $h \in H$  and  $\|f_i - r_i\| < \varepsilon$ .

The last problem can be called a simultaneous rational approximation with common denominator. This problem turns out to be relevant to multi-variable rational approximation.

The second part of this paper is dedicated to various applications to cases when the spaces  $G_i$  and  $H$  are spanned by algebraic or trigonometric polynomials with gaps. In particular, some generalizations of the results of J. Bak and D.J. Newman [1] and G. Somorjai [5] are given.

**2. The main theorem.** Let  $G_1, \dots, G_n, H \subset C(K)$  be subspaces of  $C(K)$ . Let  $\bar{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of functions from  $C(K)$ . Consider the set

$$R(G_1, \dots, G_n; H) = \left\{ \left( \frac{g_1}{h}, \dots, \frac{g_n}{h} \right) : g_i \in G_i, h \in H, h(t) > 0 \forall t \in K \right\}.$$

Clearly  $R(G_1, \dots, G_n; H) \subset \times_{i=1}^n C(K)$ ;  $R(G_i, H) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $H$  does not contain strictly positive functions. For  $\bar{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  we

Copyright ©1989 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

introduce a notion of distances to  $R(G_i, H)$  by

$$d(\bar{f}, R(G_1, \dots, G_n; H)) = \inf \left\{ \max \left\{ \left\| f_i - \frac{g_i}{h} \right\| : i = 1, \dots, n \right\} : \left( \frac{g_1}{h}, \dots, \frac{g_n}{h} \right) \in R(G_1, \dots, G_n; H) \right\}.$$

We also use the standard identification of the dual space to  $C(K)$  with the space  $\mathcal{M}(K)$  of regular Borel measures on  $K$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\bar{f}, R(G_i, H)$  be as before and  $R(G_i, H) \neq \emptyset$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & d(\bar{f}, R(G_1, \dots, G_n; H)) \\ &= \sup \{ d(\bar{f}, R(G'_1, \dots, G'_n; H')) : G'_i, H' \supset H \end{aligned}$$

and  $\dim(C(K)/G'_i)$

$$= \dim(C(K)/H') = 1 \}$$

$$= \sup \left\{ \varepsilon : \sum \tilde{f}_i \mu_i + \nu \geq 0 \right.$$

for  $\mu_i \perp G_i, \nu \perp H, \sum \|\mu_i\| + \|\nu\| \neq 0,$

$$\left. \|\tilde{f}_i - f_i\| < \varepsilon \right\}$$

$$= \sup \left\{ \varepsilon : \sum f_i \mu_i + \nu \geq \varepsilon \sum |\mu_i|,$$

$$\mu_i \perp G_i, \nu \perp H, \sum \|\mu_i\| + \|\nu\| \neq 0 \right\}.$$

**PROOF.** It is convenient to denote the above quantities as  $d_1, d_2, d_3,$  and  $d_4$  respectively. Clearly  $d_1 \geq d_2$ .

We next show that  $d_3 \geq d_1$ . Indeed choose  $d < d_1$ . Consider the set

$$\begin{aligned} W(\bar{f}, \varepsilon) &= \left\{ (g_1, \dots, g_n, h) \in \times_{i=1}^{n+1} C(K), h(t) > 0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \forall t \in K : \left\| f_i - \frac{g_i}{h} \right\| < \varepsilon \right\} \subset \times_{i=1}^{n+1} C(K). \end{aligned}$$

Then our assumption is equivalent to

$$W(f, d) \cap G_1 \times G_2 \times \dots \times G_n \times H = \emptyset.$$

It is easy to observe that  $W$  is an open convex set in  $\times_{i=1}^{n+1}C(K)$ , hence, by the Hahn-Banach theorem there exists a functional  $\varphi$  on  $[\times_{i=1}^{n+1}C(K)]$  such that

$$(1) \quad \varphi \perp G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n \times H \text{ and } \varphi(g_1, \dots, g_n, h) > 0$$

for every  $(g_1, \dots, g_n, h) \in W(\bar{f}, d)$ . Since every  $\varphi$  is of the form  $\varphi = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, \nu) \in \times_{i=1}^{n+1}\mathcal{M}(K)$ , it follows from (1) that there exist  $\mu_i \perp G_i, \nu \perp H$  so that  $\sum \mu_i(g_i) + \nu(h) \geq 0$  as soon as  $\|f_i - \frac{g_i}{h}\| < d$ . Let  $\|\tilde{f}_i - f_i\| < d$ . Then, for any strictly positive  $h$ , choose  $g_i = \tilde{f}_i h$ . We have, for any positive  $h$ ,

$$\sum \mu_i(\tilde{f}_i h) + \nu(h) \geq 0$$

or equivalently  $(\sum \tilde{f}_i \mu + \nu) \geq 0$ . To summarize, we have shown that, for every  $d < d_1, d_3 \geq d$ . Hence  $d_3 \geq d_1$ .

Next we show  $d_4 \geq d_3$ . Suppose that  $\sum \tilde{f}_i \mu_i + \nu \geq 0$ . Then, for any sequence of functions  $F_i \in C(K)$  with  $\|F_i\| \leq 1$ , we have

$$0 \leq \sum \tilde{f}_i \mu_i + \nu = \nu + \sum (f_i - \varepsilon F_i) \mu_i = \nu + \sum f_i \mu_i - \varepsilon \sum F_i \mu_i.$$

Hence  $\nu + \sum f_i \mu_i \geq \varepsilon \sum F_i \mu_i$ . Taking the supremum over all choices of  $F_i$ , we have  $\nu + \sum f_i \mu_i \geq \varepsilon \sum |\mu_i|$ .

Finally  $d_4 \leq d_2$ . Let  $d < d_4$  and let  $\mu_i \perp G_i, \nu \perp H$  so that  $\sum f_i \mu_i + \nu > d \sum |\mu_i|$ . Then, reversing the previous step, we see that  $\sum \tilde{f}_i \mu + \nu \geq d \sum F_i \mu_i$  for any sequence of  $F_i$  with  $\|F_i\| \leq 1$ . Hence

$$(2) \quad \sum \tilde{f}_i \mu_i + \nu > 0 \text{ for all } \tilde{f}_i \|f_i - \tilde{f}_i\| < d.$$

Choose  $G'_i = \ker \mu_i, H' = \ker \nu$ . We want to show that there is no  $g'_i \in G'_i, h \in H'$  so that  $h > 0$  and  $\|f_i - \frac{g'_i}{h}\| < d$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Indeed if there were, we would have  $g'_i = \tilde{f}_i h$  and  $(\sum \tilde{f}_i \mu_i + \nu)(h) = 0$ . That contradicts (2).  $\square$

**3. Examples and applications.** We start with some applications of the technique described above to the density of rational functions with respect to Müntz polynomials.

Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_j)$  be an ordered infinite sequence of positive real numbers. Let

$$E = \text{span} \{1, t^\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subset C_{[0,1]}.$$

It was proved (cf. [1, 3-5]) that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $f \in C_{[0,1]}$ , there exist  $q, h \in E, h > 0$  so that  $\|f - \frac{q}{h}\| < \varepsilon$ . Here we consider the problem of simultaneous approximation with a common denominator. We will need the result proved in [3].

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $E = \text{span} \{1, t^\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ . Let  $\mu \perp E, \mu \neq 0$ . Then*

- a) *if  $\lambda_j \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\text{supp } \mu^+ \cap \text{supp } \mu^-$ ;*
- b) *if  $\lambda_j \rightarrow 0$ , then  $0 \in \text{supp } \mu^+ \cap \text{supp } \mu^-$ .*

THEOREM 2. *Given  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C([0,1])$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $g_1, \dots, g_n, h \in E$  with  $h(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in [0,1]$  so that*

$$\left\| f_i - \frac{g_i}{h} \right\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

PROOF. By Theorem 1 it is enough to show that, for any set of measures  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, \nu \perp E$  and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find  $\tilde{f}_i$  with  $\|\tilde{f}_i - f_i\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\sum \tilde{f}_i \mu_i + \nu$  is not a positive measure. We first consider the case when  $\lambda_j \rightarrow 0$ . Then there exist  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\tilde{f}_i \in C_{[0,1]}$  so that  $\|\tilde{f}_i - f_i\| < \varepsilon$  and

$$\tilde{f}_i|_{[0,\alpha]} = \beta_i = \text{constant}.$$

Then  $(\sum \tilde{f}_i \mu_i + \nu)|_{[0,\alpha]} = (\sum \beta_i \mu_i + \nu)|_{[0,\alpha]}$ . Since  $(\sum \beta_i \mu_i + \nu) \perp E$  we have from Proposition 1 that  $(\sum \beta_i \mu_i + \nu)|_{[0,\alpha]}$  is neither a positive nor negative measure. Hence  $(\sum \tilde{f}_i \mu_i + \nu)$  cannot be positive.

Similarly, if  $\lambda_j \rightarrow \infty$ , we choose  $\tilde{f}_i$  so that  $\|\tilde{f}_i - f_i\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\tilde{f}_i$  is a constant near the right hand side end point of the  $\text{supp } \mu_i$ . Then, again near the  $\text{sup}(\text{supp } (\sum \tilde{f}_i \mu_i + \nu))$ , the measure  $\sum \tilde{f}_i \mu_i + \nu$  coincides with the linear combination of measures  $\mu_i \nu$  and thus by Proposition 1, the measure  $\sum \tilde{f}_i \mu_i + \nu$  cannot be a positive measure.  $\square$

COROLLARY. *Given an infinite sequence  $\lambda$  of positive real numbers, let*

$$E = \text{span} \{1, t^{\lambda_1}, t^{\lambda_2}, \dots, t^{\lambda_n}\}_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \times_{i=1}^n \Lambda} \subset C([0,1]^n).$$

Then, for every  $f \in C([0, 1]^n)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $g, h \in E$  with  $h(t_1, \dots, t_n) > 0$  for all  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, 1]^n$  such that  $\|f - \frac{g}{h}\| < \varepsilon$ .

PROOF. We first approximate  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  by the tensor product of functions of one variable

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^n f_{ij}(t_i).$$

We next approximate the functions  $\{f_j(t_i)\}$  by the expressions of the form  $g_j(t_i)$  over  $h(t)$  where  $g_i(t_i), h(t_i) \in \text{span}\{1, t_i^\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ . Since we can accomplish this process with the fixed denominator for all  $j$ , we then can add the rational approximation to  $\prod f_{ij}(t_i)$  together and still remain in the class  $E$ , since only the numerators were added and the denominator remains the same.

That Corollary leads to the following interesting problem. Let  $E \subset C(K)$  be a subspace. Let

$$R(E) = \left\{ \frac{g}{h} : g, h \in E, h(t) > 0 \forall t \in K \right\}.$$

PROBLEM 1. Suppose that  $R(E)$  is dense in  $C(K)$ . Does it imply that  $R(E \otimes E)$  is dense in  $C(K \times K)$ ?

While we do not know the answer to this problem (we suspect it is negative), we can construct a subspace  $E \subset C(K)$  so that  $R(E)$  is dense in  $C(K)$ , yet there are functions  $f_1, f_2 \in C(K)$  that do not have a simultaneous approximation with a common denominator from  $R(E)$ : Let  $K = [0, 2\pi]$ ; let  $E \subset C(K)$  given by

$$E = \left\{ f \in C(K) : \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \sin x dx = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \cos x dx = 0 \right\}.$$

Then it was shown in [3] that  $R(E)$  is dense in  $C(K)$ . However if we choose  $f_1 = \sin x, f_2 = \cos x, \mu_1 = \sin x dx, \mu_2 = \cos x dx$  and  $\nu = 0$ , we have

$$f_1 \mu_1 + f_2 \mu_2 + \nu = (\sin^2 x + \cos^2 x) dx = dx \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\sin x + \cos x| dx.$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, if we choose  $\bar{f} = (f_1, f_2)$ ,  $G_1 = G_2 = H = E$  we have

$$d(\bar{f}, G_i, H) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

The next problem deals with the approximation by means of rationals of the form  $\frac{g}{h}$  where  $g$  and  $h$  are chosen from different subspaces. Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_j)$  and  $\Lambda' = (\lambda'_j)$  be two different sequences of positive real numbers; define

$$G = \text{span} \{1, t^\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}, \quad H = \text{span} \{1, t^\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda'} \subset C_{[0,1]}.$$

PROBLEM 2. Are functions of the form  $\frac{g}{h}$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $h \in H$  dense in  $C_{[0,1]}$ ?

We will give two results in this direction here that can be viewed as an extension of the theorems proved in [2] and [3].

THEOREM 3. *Let  $G, H$  be a pair of subspaces of  $C(K)$  such that  $R(G, H)$  is dense in  $C(K)$ . Then every measure  $\mu \perp G$  has the property that*

$$\text{supp } \mu^+ \cap \text{supp } \mu^- \neq \emptyset.$$

PROOF. Suppose that  $\text{supp } \mu^+ \cap \text{supp } \mu^- = \emptyset$ . Then there exists a function  $f \in C(K)$  such that

$$f|_{\text{supp } \mu^+} \equiv 1; \quad f|_{\text{supp } \mu^-} \equiv -1.$$

Choose  $\nu \perp H$  to be identically zero. Then  $f\mu + \nu = f\mu = |\mu|$  which by Theorem 1 implies that  $f \notin \overline{R(G, H)}$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 4. *Let  $(\lambda_i)$  be an infinite sequence of positive reals with  $\lim \lambda_j = 0$ . Let  $H = \text{span} \{1, t^{\lambda_j}\} \subset C_{[0,1]}$ . Let  $G$  be a subspace of  $C_{[0,1]}$  such that  $\mu \perp G$  implies  $\text{supp } \mu^+ \cap \text{supp } \mu^- \neq \emptyset$ . Then every  $f \in C_{[0,1]}$  with the property  $f(0) = 0$  can be uniformly approximated by elements of  $R(G, H)$ .*

PROOF. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $f \in C_{[0,1]}$  with  $f(0) = 0$ , choose  $\alpha > 0$  so that there exists  $\tilde{f} \in C_{[0,1]}$  with  $\|f - \tilde{f}\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\tilde{f}|_{[0,\alpha]} \equiv 0$ . Choose

$\mu \perp G$  and  $\nu \perp H$ . If  $\nu \neq 0$  we have  $\tilde{f}\mu + \nu|_{[0,\alpha]}$ . By Proposition 1 we know that  $\nu|_{[0,\alpha]}$  cannot be a nonnegative measure.

Suppose now that  $\nu = 0$ . Then we have to prove that the inequality  $f\mu \geq \varepsilon|\mu|$  cannot hold for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If it does, then  $(\frac{f}{\varepsilon})\mu \geq |\mu|$  and hence the functional  $\mu$  attains its norm on the function  $(-1) \vee (\frac{f}{\varepsilon} \wedge 1)$  which implies that  $\text{supp } \mu^+ \cap \text{supp } \mu^- = \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

Examples of subspaces  $G$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4 are given in [2] and [3].

### REFERENCES

1. J Bak and D.J. Newman, *Rational Combinations of  $x^{\lambda_k}$ ,  $\lambda_k \geq 0$  are always dense in  $C([0, 1])$* , J. of Approx. Theory **32** (1978), 155-157.
2. G Gierz and B. Shekhtman, *On approximation by rationals from a hyperplane*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1986), 452-454.
3. ———, *Duality principle for rational approximation*, Pacific J. of Math, to appear.
4. D.J. Newman, *Approximation with rational functions*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. no 41, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.
5. G. Somorjai, *A Müntz-type problem for rational approximation*, Acta Math. Acad. Hungar. **27** (1976), 167-199.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE, CA 92521

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA, TAMPA, FL 33620

