BOCKY MOUNTAIN JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Volume 36, Number 1, 2006

ON THE GOLDBACH CONJECTURE IN ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS

CLAUS BAUER AND WANG YONGHUI

ABSTRACT. It is proved that for a given integer N and for all but $\ll (\log N)^B$ prime numbers $k \leq N^{5/48-\varepsilon}$ the following is true: For any positive integers $b_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, (b_i, k) = \tilde{1}$ that satisfy $N \equiv b_1 + b_2 + b_3 \pmod{k}$, N can be written as $N = p_1 + p_2 + p_3$, where the p_i , $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ are prime numbers that satisfy $p_i \equiv b_i \pmod{k}$.

1. Introduction. Vinogradov [17] has proved that every sufficiently large odd positive integer can be written as the sum of three primes. This theorem has been generalized in many ways. In 1953, Ayoub [1] proved the following result: If k is a fixed positive integer, b_i , i = 1, 2, 3, are integers with $(b_i, k) = 1$ and $J(N; k, b_1, b_2, b_3)$ is the number of solutions of the equation

$$\begin{cases} N = p_1 + p_2 + p_3, \\ p_j \equiv b_j \pmod{k}, \end{cases}$$

then

$$J(N;k,b_1,b_2,b_3) = (N;k) \frac{N^2}{2\log^3 N} (1+o(1)),$$

where for odd integer $N \equiv b_1 + b_2 + b_3 \pmod{k}$,

$$\begin{split} \sigma(N,k) &= \frac{C(k)}{k^2} \prod_{p|k} \frac{p^3}{(p-1)^3 + 1} \prod_{\substack{p|N \\ p \nmid k}} \frac{(p-1)((p-1)^2 - 1)}{(p-1)^3 + 1} \\ &\times \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)^3} \right), \end{split}$$

Copyright ©2006 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

²⁰⁰⁰ AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11P32, 11L07. The work of the second author was supported partly by Chinese NSF Tianyuan Youth Foundation (10126001) and was done while he was a visiting scholar at the Morningside Center. Received by the editors on January 6, 2003, and in revised form on July 22,

^{2004.}

where all p > 2, C(k) = 2 for odd k and C(k) = 8 for even k.

Using Ayoub's method, one can prove this result for all $k \leq \log^A N$ for an arbitrary A > 0 for all sufficiently large odd integers N. Liu and Zhan [11] as well as the first author [2] improved upon Ayoub's result by proving the following theorem:

For $N \equiv b_1 + b_2 + b_3 \pmod{k}$ and an odd N sufficiently large, there holds

(1.1)
$$J(N;k,b_1,b_2,b_3) > 0$$

for all $k \leq N^{\delta}$, where δ is a very small, positive constant.

In [10], it was shown that (1.1) holds for all $k \leq R = N^{(1/8)-\varepsilon}$ with at most $\ll R(\log N)^{-A}$ exceptions for any A > 0. Liu proved in [7] that if k is restricted to be a prime number, R can be chosen as large as $N^{3/20}(\log N)^{-A}$ for any A > 0. Here we give a result that improves on the result in [7] by obtaining a significantly smaller set of exceptional modules k at the cost of a smaller upper bound R:

Theorem 1. Let $R = N^{5/48-\varepsilon}$. Then the inequality (1.1) holds for all prime numbers $k \leq R$ with at most $O((\log N)^B)$ exceptions for a certain B > 0.

The improvement in this paper compared to previous work is due to two innovations. First, we apply a technique previously used in [9] to our problem. Second, as a main contribution of our paper, we exactly calculate the contribution of *N*-exceptional zeros that we define in the following. We set

$$L = \log N$$
, $L_2 = \log \log N$, $L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}$,

where χ is a Dirichlet character. For a prime number $k, k \leq N$, and a fixed positive integer V, we define

$$P_k = \{ m \in \mathbf{N} : m \equiv 0 \pmod{k} \}, \quad I_V = \left[k, kL^V \right] \bigcup \left[k^2, k^2 L^V \right],$$
$$A_k = P_k \cap I_V.$$

We call a Dirichlet character χ to a module $q, q \leq N$, an *N*-exceptional character if there exists at least one complex number $s = \sigma + it$ such that

(1.2)
$$\sigma > 1 - \frac{EL_2}{L}, \quad |t| \le N, \quad L(s, \chi) = 0,$$

where E is a fixed, positive number to be defined later. We call s an N-exceptional zero and we call an integer q an N-exceptional integer if there exists an N-exceptional character χ modulo q.

We note that the concept of N-exceptional zeros has earlier been applied to other problems in additive prime number theory in [18] and [3]. However, the exact definitions of the N-exceptional zeros in both papers differ from the definition given here and, indeed, the sets of N-exceptional zeros defined here and in [18] and [3] have no common elements.

Theorem 1 is a direct consequence of Theorems 2 and 3.

Theorem 2. For a given prime number $k \leq N^{5/48-\varepsilon}$, if none of the integers $q \in A_k$ is N-exceptional, then (1.1) is true for this k.

Theorem 3. There are at most $O((\log N)^B)$ prime numbers k, $1 \leq k \leq N$, such that at least one of the integers $q \in A_k$ is N-exceptional. Here, B is a fixed positive constant.

2. Outline of the proof of Theorem 2 and treatment of the minor arcs. In the sequel, $[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ denotes the least common multiple of the integers a_1, \ldots, a_n . c is an effective positive constant and ε will denote an arbitrarily small positive number; both of them may take different values at different occasions. For example, we may write

$$L^c L^c \ll L^c, \quad N^{\varepsilon} L^c \ll N^{\varepsilon}.$$

We use the familiar notations

$$r \sim R \iff R < r \le 2R,$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q}} {}^* := \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi \text{ primitive}}}, \qquad \sum_{\substack{1 \le a \le q \\ 1 \le a \le q}} {}^* := \sum_{\substack{1 \le a \le q \\ (a,q) = 1}}$$

We know from [1] that Theorem 2 holds true for $k \leq L^H$ for any H > 0. Therefore, we assume throughout the document that

$$(2.1) k > L^H$$

for a fixed H > 0 to be determined later. χ_q denotes a character modulo q and $\chi_{q,0}$ is the principal character modulo q. We write $e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$ and the variables p and k always denote prime numbers. We keep k fixed throughout this paper. If $p^m | q$, but $p^{m+1} \nmid q$, we write $p^m | q$. We define for any three positive integers r_i , $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ that satisfy $k^3 \nmid r_i$,

(2.2)
$$s_{i} = \begin{cases} r_{i} & \text{if } k \nmid r_{i}, \\ r_{i}/k & \text{if } k || r_{i}, \\ r_{i}/k^{2} & \text{if } k^{2} || r_{i}. \end{cases}$$

Setting $[r_1, r_2, r_3] = r$ and $[s_1, s_2, s_3] = s$, this implies for $k^m || r, m \le 2$:

$$(2.3) r = sk^m.$$

For a positive integer q and a character χ modulo q, let

$$k_q = (k,q), \quad R(N) = \sum_{\substack{N/4 \le n_i < N \\ n \equiv b_i \pmod{k} \\ n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = N}} \Lambda(n_1)\Lambda(n_2)\Lambda(n_3),$$

$$\begin{split} C(\chi,q,h,b,a) &= \sum_{\substack{m=1\\m\equiv b \pmod{h}}}^{q} \chi(m) \, e\left(\frac{ma}{q}\right),\\ Z(N,q,k_q,\chi_1,\chi_2,\chi_3) &:= \frac{1}{\phi^3(q)} \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} C(\chi_1,q,k_q,b_1,a) \, C(\chi_2,q,k_q,b_2,a) \\ &\times C(\chi_3,q,k_q,b_3,a) e\left(\frac{-aN}{q}\right), \end{split}$$

$$A(N,q,k_q) = Z\left(N,q,k_q,\chi_{(q/k_q),0},\chi_{(q/k_q),0},\chi_{(q/k_q),0}\right),$$
$$T(\lambda) = \sum_{N/4 < n \le N} e(\lambda n).$$

As we always argue for fixed variables N and k, denote by (2.4)

$$\begin{split} S(\lambda, b_i) &= \sum_{\substack{N/4 < n \le N \\ n \equiv b_i \pmod{k}}} \Lambda(n) e(n\lambda), \quad S(\lambda, \chi) = \sum_{\substack{N/4 < n \le N}} \Lambda(n) e(n\lambda) \chi(n), \\ W(\lambda, \chi) &= S(\lambda, \chi) - E_0(\chi) T(\lambda), \quad E_0(\chi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi = \chi_0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \\ P_1 &= k^{4/3} L^{3G}, \qquad P_2 = k^2 L^{3G}, \qquad Q = N k^{-2} L^{-4G}, \end{split}$$

where the constant $G \geq 8$ will be specified later. Using the circle method, we define the major arcs $M = E_1(k) \cup E_2(k)$ as in [7]:

$$E_{1}(k) = \bigcup_{\substack{q \le P_{1} \\ k \nmid q}} \bigcup_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^{q} \left[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qQ}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qQ} \right],$$
$$E_{2}(k) = \bigcup_{\substack{q \le P_{2} \\ k \mid q}} \bigcup_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^{q} \left[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qQ}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qQ} \right],$$

We define the minor arcs m as $m = [(1/Q), 1 + (1/Q)] \setminus M$. Writing $\alpha = (a/q) + \lambda$, we use Dirichlet's theorem on rational approximation and find that $m \subset E_3(k) \cup E_4(k)$, where

$$E_3(k) = \left\{ \alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \lambda : P_1 < q < Q, \ k \nmid q, \ |\lambda| \le \frac{1}{qQ} \right\},$$
$$E_4(k) = \left\{ \alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \lambda : P_2 < q < Q, \ k|q, \ |\lambda| \le \frac{1}{qQ} \right\}.$$

We see

(2.5)

$$R(N) = \int_{1/Q}^{1+(1/Q)} e(-N\alpha) \prod_{i=1}^{3} S(\alpha, b_i) d\alpha$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{E_i(k)} e(-N\alpha) \prod_{i=1}^{3} S(\alpha, b_i) d\alpha$$

$$+ O\left(\sum_{i=3}^{4} \int_{E_i(k)} \left| \prod_{i=1}^{3} S(\alpha, b_i) \right| d\alpha \right)$$

$$=: R_1(N) + R_2(N) + O(R_3(N) + R_4(N)).$$

To estimate the contribution of the integral over m, we quote the following lemma from [7]:

Lemma 2.1. Let A > 0 be arbitrary and $\alpha \in E_3(k) \cup E_4(k)$. If in (2.4) G = G(A) is chosen sufficiently large, then

$$S(\alpha, b) \ll \frac{N}{kL^{A+1}}.$$

We derive from Lemma 2.1 and Dirichlet's lemma on rational approximation the following estimate:

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{E_{3}(k)\cup E_{k}(4)} |S(\alpha,b_{1})S(\alpha,b_{2})S(\alpha,b_{3})| \ d\alpha \\ \ll \max_{\alpha\in E_{3}(k)\cup E_{4}(k)} |S(\alpha,b_{1})| \left(\int_{0}^{1} |S(\alpha,b_{2})|^{2} \ d\alpha\right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left(\int_{0}^{1} |S(\alpha,b_{3})|^{2} \ d\alpha\right)^{1/2} \\ \ll \frac{N^{2}}{k^{2}L^{A}}.$$

In the following sections, we shall show that, under the condition of Theorem 2,

(2.7)
$$R_1(N) + R_2(N) = \sigma(N,k) \frac{N^2}{32} + O\left(N^2 k^{-2} L^{-A}\right),$$

for any A > 0 and where $\sigma(N, k)$ is defined as in (1.2). Using

$$\frac{k}{\phi^3(k)} \gg \sigma(N,k) \gg \frac{k}{\phi^3(k)},$$

Theorem 2 follows from (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7).

3. Preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let f(x), g(x) and f'(x) be three real differentiable and monotonic functions in the interval [a, b] and $|g(x)| \ll M$.

(i) If $|f'(x)| \gg m > 0$, then

$$\int_a^b g(x) \, e\left(f(x)\right) dx \ll M/m$$

(ii) If $|f''(x)| \gg r > 0$, then

$$\int_a^b g(x)\,e\left(f(x)\right)dx \ll M/r^{1/2}$$

Proof. See [13, Chapter 21].

Lemma 3.2. For any natural number $q = q_1q_2$, $(q_1, q_2) = 1$ and characters $\chi_a \pmod{q} = \chi_{a_1} \pmod{q_1}$, $\chi_{a_2} \pmod{q_2}$, $\chi_b \pmod{q} = \chi_{b_1} \pmod{q_1}\chi_{b_2} \pmod{q_2}$, $\chi_c \pmod{q} = \chi_{c_1} \pmod{q_1}$, $\chi_{c_2} \pmod{q_2}$ and $f = f_1q_2 + f_2q_1$, there is:

a) $C(\chi_a, q, k_q, b, f) = C(\chi_{a_1}, q_1, k_{q_1}, b, f_1) C(\chi_{a_2}, q_2, k_{q_2}, b, f_2),$

b) $Z(N, q, k_q, \chi_a, \chi_b, \chi_c) = Z(N, q_1, k_{q_1}, \chi_{a_1}, \chi_{b_1}, \chi_{c_1}) Z(N, q_2, k_{q_2}, \chi_{a_2}, \chi_{b_2}, \chi_{c_2}).$

c) If χ modulo p^{β} is a both nonprimitive and nonprincipal character, i.e., χ is induced by χ^* modulo p^{α} , $1 \leq \alpha < \beta$, then for (b, p) = 1, (a, p) = 1 and $0 \leq \gamma < \beta$, we have

$$C\left(\chi, p^{\beta}, p^{\gamma}, b, a\right) = 0.$$

Proof. Parts a) and b) are shown in the same way as Lemma 4.4 a and b in [2]. Part c) is Lemma 4.3 in [2].

Lemma 3.3. Set (a,q) = 1 and (b,q) = 1 throughout the lemmata a) and b).

a) Let χ be a character modulo q. Then

$$C(\chi, q, 1, b, a) \ll q^{1/2}.$$

C. BAUER AND WANG Y.

b)

$$C(\chi_{q,0}, q, k_q, b, a) = \begin{cases} \mu(q/k_q)e(tba/k_q) & \text{if } (q/k_q, k_q) = 1, tq/k_q \equiv 1 \pmod{k_q}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

c) Let there be given any three characters χ_1, χ_2, χ_3 , modulo k^2 . Then

$$Z(N, k^2, k, \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3$$

are primitive characters modulo k^2 .

d) For any three primitive characters χ_i modulo r_i , $1 \le i \le 3$ with $k^2 || r$ where $[r_1, r_2, r_3] = r$, r | q, and the principal character χ_0 modulo q we have:

$$Z(N, q, k, \chi_1\chi_0, \chi_2\chi_0, \chi_3\chi_0) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow k^2 || r_i, \quad 1 \le i \le 3.$$

e) For any χ_1, χ_2, χ_3 modulo k^2

$$Z(N, k^2, k, \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3) \ll k^{-2}.$$

Proof. Part a) is contained in Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 in [12]. Part b) is shown in [16].

c) If any $\chi_i = \chi_0 \pmod{k^2}$, $1 \le i \le 3$, then the lemma follows from Lemma 3.3 b). If any of χ_i is a nonprimitive character modulo k^2 that is induced by a primitive character modulo k, then the lemma follows from Lemma 3.2 c).

d) Applying Lemma 3.2 b), we can write Z(N, q, k, ...) = Z(N, r', k, ...)A(N, l, 1), where (r', l) = 1, r|r', and every prime factor that divides r' also divides r. From Lemma 3.2 c), we see that Z(N, r', k, ...) = 0, if $r' \neq r$. Using the notation introduced in (2.3) and again Lemma 3.2 b), we find $Z(N, r, k, ...) = Z(N, s, 1, ...)Z(N, k^2, k, ...)$. Thus, the proof can focus on terms Z(N, q, ...) that can be written as $Z(N, q, k, ...) = Z(N, s, 1, ...)Z(N, k^2, k, ...)$. Where (r, l) = 1 and (s, k) = 1. Now the statement of this lemma follows from Lemma 3.3 c).

e) We know from Lemma 3.3 c) that we only have to consider characters χ_i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$, that are primitive modulo k^2 . We know from [**3**, Lemma 5.1 c], that for a primitive character χ_i modulo k^2 , we have $\chi_i(1 + \bar{b}sk) = e(c_i \bar{b}s/k)$, where $(k, c_i) = 1$ and $\bar{b}b \equiv 1 \pmod{k^2}$. By definition,

Inserting (3.1) in the definition of $Z(\ldots)$, we find

$$(3.2) \quad Z\left(N,k^{2},k,\chi_{1},\chi_{2},\chi_{3}\right) \\ = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{3}\chi_{i}(b_{i})}{\phi^{3}(k^{2})} \sum_{a=1}^{k^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \left(\sum_{s_{i}=1}^{k} e\left(\frac{s_{i}c_{i}\bar{b}_{i}}{k^{2}}\right) e\left(\frac{ab_{i}+aks_{i}}{k^{2}}\right)\right) e\left(\frac{-aN}{k^{2}}\right) \\ = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{3}\chi_{i}(b_{i})}{\phi^{3}(k^{2})} \sum_{s_{1}}^{k} \sum_{s_{2}}^{k} \sum_{s_{3}}^{k} e\left(\frac{s_{1}c_{1}\bar{b}_{1}+s_{2}c_{2}\bar{b}_{2}+s_{3}c_{3}\bar{b}_{3}}{k^{2}}\right) \\ \times \sum_{a=1}^{k^{2}} e\left(\frac{a(b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}-N+s_{1}k+s_{2}k+s_{3}k)}{k^{2}}\right).$$

Using that $b_1 + b_2 + b_3 - N = Mk$, $M \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can write the inner sum in (3.2) as:

$$\sum_{a=1}^{k^2} e^{k^2} \left(\frac{ak(M+s_1+s_2+s_3)}{k^2}\right)$$
$$= k \sum_{a=1}^{k-1} e^{k^2} \left(\frac{a(M+s_1+s_2+s_3)}{k}\right)$$
$$= \begin{cases} k(k-1) & \text{if } M+s_1+s_2+s_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{k}, \\ -k & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

C. BAUER AND WANG Y.

Obviously,

(3.3)

$$\sharp \{s_1, s_2, s_3 : 1 \le s_1, s_2, s_3 \le k, M + s_1 + s_2 + s_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{k} \} = k^2.$$

Thus, noting that $k/\phi(k) \leq 2$, we obtain from (3.2) and (3.3):

$$Z(N, k^2, k, \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3) \ll k^{-6}k^4 = k^{-2}.$$

Lemma 3.4. Let there be given primitive characters $\chi_i \mod r_i$, i = 1, 2, 3, the principal character $\chi_0 \mod q$ and $r = [r_1, r_2, r_3]$.

a) If (r,k) = 1, then

$$\sum_{\substack{q \le P \\ r \mid q}} |Z(N, q, k_q, \chi_1 \chi_0, \chi_2 \chi_0, \chi_3 \chi_0)| \ll r^{-1/2} L.$$

b) If $k^m || r, m \in \{1, 2\}$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{q \le P \\ r \mid q}} |Z(N, q, k_q, \chi_1 \chi_0, \chi_2 \chi_0, \chi_3 \chi_0)| \ll s^{-1/2} k^{-2} L.$$

c) If
$$(r,k) = 1$$
, then

$$\sum_{\substack{q \leq P \\ kr|q}} |Z(N,q,k_q,\chi_1\chi_0,\chi_2\chi_0,\chi_3\chi_0)| \ll r^{-1/2}k^{-2}L.$$

Proof. a) Let J denote the left-hand side in Lemma 3.4 a). Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.3 d), we see that we can focus on terms Z(N, q, ...) which can be written as follows

$$Z(N,q,k_q,\ldots) = Z(N,r,1,\ldots) A(N,l,k_l),$$

where (l, r) = 1. Thus

(3.4)
$$J \ll |Z(N, r, 1, ...)| \sum_{l \le P/r} |A(N, l, k_l)|.$$

From Lemma 3.3 a), we derive

(3.5)
$$|Z(N,r,1,\ldots)| \ll r^{-3}rr^{3/2}L_2^3 = r^{-1/2}L_2^3.$$

Lemma 3.3 b) implies that $|A(N, l, k_l)| \leq l\phi^{-3}(l)$. Thus

(3.6)
$$\sum_{l \le P/r} A\left(N, l, k_l\right) \ll 1.$$

Part a) follows from (3.4)–(3.6). For the proof of part b), we use the definition (2.3) and Lemma 3.2 b) to write

$$(3.7) Z(N,r,k,\ldots) = Z(N,s,1,\ldots)Z(N,k^m,k,\ldots).$$

As in (3.5), we use Lemma 3.3 a) to estimate Z(N, s, 1, ...). In order to estimate $Z(N, k^m, k, ...)$, for m = 1, we use the fact that by definition $|C(\chi, k, k, b, a)| \leq 1$ whereas for m = 2 we use Lemma 3.3 e). Thus,

(3.8)
$$Z(N, s, 1, ...)Z(N, k^m, k, ...) \ll s^{-1/2}k^{-2}L_2^3.$$

The lemma then follows from (3.4), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8). For the proof of part c), we argue as in (3.4):

(3.9)
$$J \ll |Z(N, r, 1, \dots)| \sum_{\substack{l \le P/r \\ k|l}} |A(N, l, k)|.$$

We see from Lemma 3.3 b) that

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{\substack{l \le P/r \\ k|l}} |A(N,l,k)| \le \sum_{\substack{l \le P/r \\ k|l}} \frac{l}{l^3} L_2^3 \le k^{-2} L_2^3 \sum_{\substack{l \le P/rk \\ l \le P/rk}} l^{-2} \ll k^{-2} L_2^3.$$

Part c) now follows from (3.5), (3.9) and (3.10).

Lemma 3.5. There exists a positive number J such that: a)

$$\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\phi \left(k/k_q \right)^3} A\left(N, q, k_q \right) = \sigma(N, k).$$

C. BAUER AND WANG Y.

b)

$$\sum_{q \ge P} \frac{1}{\phi (k/k_q)^3} |A(N, q, k_q)| \ll (Pk)^{-1} L^J.$$

Proof. The proof is nearly identical to the proof of Lemma 4.6 in [2]. Whereas in [2] the estimate $k/\phi(k) \ll k^{\varepsilon}$ is used, here the estimate $k/\phi(k) \ll \log \log k$ is applied.

4. Treatment of the major arcs. We first consider the set $E_1(k)$. If $k \nmid q$, we find

$$S\left(\frac{a}{q} + \lambda, b_i\right) = \sum_{g=1}^{q} e^*\left(\frac{ga}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{N/4 < n \le N \\ n \equiv b_i \pmod{k} \\ n \equiv g \pmod{q}}} \Lambda(n) e(n\lambda) + O(L^2).$$

We shall introduce the Dirichlet characters $\xi \mbox{ mod } k$ and $\chi \mbox{ mod } q$ and obtain

$$\begin{split} S\left(\frac{a}{q}+\lambda,b_i\right) &= \frac{1}{\phi(k)\phi(q)} \; C\left(\chi_0,q,1,b_i,a\right) T(\lambda) + \frac{1}{\phi(k)\phi(q)} \\ &+ \sum_{\xi \bmod k} \bar{\xi}(b_i) \sum_{\chi \bmod q} C\left(\overline{\chi},q,1,b_i,a\right) W(\lambda,\xi\chi) \; + \; O(L^2). \end{split}$$

In the sequel, we will neglect the error term $O(L^2)$. We will see that its contribution will be dominated by other, larger error terms. We obtain from (2.5):

(4.1)
$$R_1(N) = R_1^m(N) + R_1^e(N),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^m(N) &= \sum_{\substack{q \le P_1 \\ k \nmid q}} \frac{1}{\phi^3(k)\phi^3(q)} \sum_{a=1}^{q} \prod_{i=1}^{*} C\left(\chi_0, q, 1, b_i, a\right) e\left(-\frac{a}{q}N\right) \\ &\times \int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} T^3(\lambda) e\left(-N\lambda\right) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(4.2)\\ R_1^e(N) = \sum_{\substack{q \leq P_1 \\ k \nmid q}} \frac{1}{\phi^3(k)\phi^3(q)} \sum_{a=1}^q e\left(-\frac{a}{q}N\right) \\ &\times \int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} \prod_{i=1}^3 \left(\sum_{\xi \text{mod}k} \bar{\xi}(b_i) \sum_{\chi \text{mod}q} C\left(\overline{\chi}, q, 1, b_i, a\right) W(\lambda, \xi \chi)\right) \\ &\times e\left(-\lambda N\right) d\lambda \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{\substack{q \leq P_1 \\ k \nmid q}} \frac{1}{\phi^3(k)\phi^3(q)} \sum_{a=1}^q e\left(-\frac{a}{q}N\right) \\ &\times \int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^3 \left(\sum_{\xi \text{mod}k} \bar{\xi}(b_j) \sum_{\chi \text{mod}q} C\left(\overline{\chi}, q, 1, b_j, a\right) W(\lambda, \xi \chi)\right) \\ &\times C\left(\chi_0, q, 1, b_i, a\right) T(\lambda) e\left(-\lambda N\right) d\lambda \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{\substack{q \leq P_1 \\ k \nmid q}} \frac{1}{\phi^3(k)\phi^3(q)} \sum_{a=1}^q e\left(-\frac{a}{q}N\right) \\ &\times \int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} \left(\sum_{\xi \text{mod}k} \bar{\xi}(b_i) \sum_{\chi \text{mod}q} C\left(\overline{\chi}, q, 1, b_i, a\right) W(\lambda, \xi \chi)\right) \\ &\times \int_{i=1}^{1/qQ} \left(\sum_{k \text{mod}k} \bar{\xi}(b_i) \sum_{\chi \text{mod}q} C\left(\overline{\chi}, q, 1, b_i, a\right) W(\lambda, \xi \chi)\right) \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ k \nmid q}}^3 C\left(\chi_0, q, 1, b_j, a\right) T^2(\lambda) e\left(-\lambda N\right) d\lambda \\ &=: \sum_1 + \sum_2 + \sum_3. \end{aligned}$$

We first evaluate the main term $R_1^m(N)$ using (3.6) with r = 1,

$$\begin{split} R_{1}^{m}(N) &= \frac{1}{\phi^{3}(k)} \sum_{\substack{q \leq P_{1} \\ k \nmid q}} A\left(N, q, 1\right) \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} T(\lambda)^{3} e\left(-N\lambda\right) d\lambda \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{\phi^{3}(k)} \sum_{\substack{q \leq P_{1} \\ k \nmid q}} |A\left(N, q, 1\right)| \int_{1/qQ}^{1/2} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{3}} d\lambda\right) \end{split}$$

(4.3)
$$= \frac{1}{\phi^3(k)} \sum_{\substack{q \le P_1 \\ k \nmid q}} A(N,q,1) \frac{N^2}{32} + O\left(\frac{(P_1Q)^2}{\phi^3(k)}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\phi^3(k)} \sum_{\substack{q \le P_1 \\ k \nmid q}} A(N,q,1) \frac{N^2}{32} + O\left(N^2 k^{-4} L^{-A}\right),$$

where we have used $T(\lambda) \ll 1/|\lambda|$ and

(4.4)
$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} T(\lambda)^3 e(-N\lambda) \, d\lambda = \sum_{N/4 < n_1 < N/2} \sum_{N/4 < n_2 < 3N/4 - n_1} 1 = \frac{N^2}{32} + O(N).$$

In the sequel we will without further mention use the fact that, for any character χ induced by a primitive character χ^* , we have $W(\chi, \chi\xi) = W(\lambda, \chi^*\xi) + O(L^2)$. Using Lemma 3.4 a), we estimate \sum_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\sum_{1}\right| &\leq \frac{1}{\phi^{3}(k)} \sum_{\substack{q \leq P_{1} \\ k \nmid q}} \sum_{\chi_{1} \bmod q} \sum_{\chi_{2} \bmod q} \sum_{\chi_{3} \bmod q} \sum_{\chi_{3} \bmod k} \sum_{\xi_{2} \bmod k} \sum_{\xi_{3} \bmod k} \sum_{\chi_{3} \bmod k} X_{\xi_{3} \bmod k} \right| \\ &\times |Z(N,q,1,\chi_{1},\chi_{2},\chi_{3})| \int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} \prod_{j=1}^{3} |W(\lambda,\chi_{j}\xi_{j})| \, d\lambda \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\phi^{3}(k)} \sum_{\substack{r_{1} \leq P_{1} \\ k \nmid r_{1}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{2} \leq P_{1} \\ k \nmid r_{2}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1} \\ k \nmid r_{3}}} \sum_{\chi_{1} \bmod k} \sum_{\chi_{2} \bmod r_{2}} \sum_{\chi_{3} \bmod r_{3}}^{*} \\ &\times \sum_{\xi_{1} \bmod k} \sum_{\xi_{2} \bmod k} \sum_{\xi_{3} \bmod k} \\ &\times \int_{-1/[r_{1},r_{2},r_{3}]Q}^{1/[r_{1},r_{2},r_{3}]Q}} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \left(|W(\lambda,\chi_{j}\xi_{j})| + L^{2} \right) d\lambda \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{q \leq P_{1} \\ [r_{1},r_{2},r_{3}]|q}} |Z(N,q,1,\chi_{1}\chi_{0},\chi_{2}\chi_{0},\chi_{3}\chi_{0})| \\ &\ll \frac{L}{\phi^{3}(k)} \sum_{\substack{r_{1} \leq P_{1} \\ k \nmid r_{1}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{2} \leq P_{1} \\ k \nmid r_{2}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1} \\ k \nmid r_{3}}} [r_{1},r_{2},r_{3}]^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \sum_{\chi_1 \mod r_1} * \sum_{\chi_2 \mod r_2} * \sum_{\chi_3 \mod r_3} * \sum_{\xi_1 \mod k} \sum_{\xi_2 \mod k} \sum_{\xi_3 \mod k} \sum_{\chi_3 \mod k} \sum_{\chi_3 \mod k} \sum_{j=1}^{1/[r_1, r_2, r_3]Q} \prod_{j=1}^3 \left(|W(\lambda, \chi_j \xi_j)| + L^2 \right) d\lambda,$$

In the following, we will neglect the error terms L^2 in the last integral in (4.5) as their contribution will be dominated by other terms. As a character ξ modulo k is either primitive or the principal character modulo k, the following relation holds for all characters χ_i and ξ_i , $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, over which is summed in (4.5):

(4.6)
$$(\chi\xi)^* = \begin{cases} \chi^* & \text{if } \xi = \xi_0, \\ \chi^*\xi & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus we see from (4.5) and (4.6) and by the notation for s_i introduced in (2.2),

$$(4.7)$$

$$\sum_{1} \ll k^{-3}L^{2} \left(\sum_{\substack{r_{1} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{2} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{2} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{2} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{2} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{2} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{2} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{2} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{2} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{2} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k \mid r_{3} \leq P_{1}k }} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P$$

where each $\sum_{1,i}$ stands for one of the multiple sums in (4.7). Using

 $[s_1, s_2, s_3]^{1/2} \ge s_2^{1/4} s_3^{1/4}$, we obtain

(4.8)

$$\sum_{1,1} \ll k^{-3}L^{2} \sum_{\substack{r_{1} \leq P_{1}k \\ k|r_{1}}} \sum_{\chi_{1} \mod r_{1}} \sum_{|\lambda| \leq 1/s_{1}Q} \max_{|W(\lambda, \chi_{1})|} W(\lambda, \chi_{1})|$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{r_{2} \leq P_{1}k \\ k|r_{2}}} s_{2}^{-1/4} \sum_{\chi_{2} \mod r_{2}} \left(\int_{-1/s_{2}Q}^{1/s_{2}Q} |W(\lambda, \chi_{2})|^{2} d\lambda \right)^{1/2}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{1}k \\ k|r_{3}}} s_{3}^{-1/4} \sum_{\chi_{3} \mod r_{3}} \left(\int_{-1/s_{3}Q}^{1/s_{3}Q} |W(\lambda, \chi_{3})|^{2} d\lambda \right)^{1/2}$$

$$=: k^{-2}L^{2}I_{A}W_{A}^{2},$$

where

$$I_{A} = k^{-1/3} \sum_{\substack{r \le P_{1}k \ \chi \pmod{r}}} \sum_{\substack{|\lambda| \le k/rQ \\ |\lambda| \le k/rQ}} \frac{|W(\lambda, \chi)|,$$
$$W_{A} = k^{-1/3} \sum_{\substack{r \le P_{1}k \\ k|r}} \left(\frac{r}{k}\right)^{-1/4} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{r}}} {}^{*} \left(\int_{-k/rQ}^{k/rQ} |W(\lambda, \chi)|^{2} d\lambda\right)^{1/2}.$$

Arguing similarly, we obtain

(4.9)
$$\sum_{i=2}^{4} \sum_{1,i} \ll k^{-2} L^2 \left(I_A W_A W_B + I_A W_B^2 + I_B W_B^2 \right),$$

where

$$I_B = k^{-1/3} \sum_{\substack{r \le P_1 \\ k \nmid r}} \sum_{\substack{(\text{mod } r)}} \sum_{\substack{|\lambda| \le 1/rQ}} |W(\lambda, \chi)|,$$
$$W_B = k^{-1/3} \sum_{\substack{r \le P_1 \\ k \nmid r}} r^{-1/4} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{r}}} \sum_{\substack{(\text{mod } r)}} \left(\int_{-1/rQ}^{1/rQ} |W(\lambda, \chi)|^2 \, dl \right)^{1/2}.$$

In the same way we find (4.10)

$$\sum_{2}^{N-1} + \sum_{3}^{N-2} \ll k^{-2} L^{2} \max_{|\lambda| \le 1/Q} |T(\lambda)| \left(W_{B}^{2} + W_{B} W_{A} + W_{A}^{2} \right)$$
$$+ k^{-2} L^{2} \max_{|\lambda| \le 1/Q} |T(\lambda)| \left(\int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} |T(\lambda)|^{2} dl \right)^{1/2} (W_{B} + W_{A}) .$$

We have

(4.11)
$$\max_{|\lambda| \le 1/Q} |T(\lambda)| \ll N$$

Using $T(\lambda) \leq \min(N, (1/\lambda))$, we see that

(4.12)
$$\left(\int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} |T(\lambda)|^2 dl\right)^{1/2} \ll N^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, we see from (4.2) and (4.7)–(4.12):

(4.13)
$$R_1^e(N) \ll k^{-2} L^2 \left(N \left(W_B^2 + W_B W_A + W_A^2 \right) + N^{3/2} (W_B + W_A) + I_A W_A^2 + I_A W_A W_B + I_A W_B^2 + I_B W_B^2 \right).$$

For $q \in E_2(k)$, we see

$$\begin{split} S\left(\frac{a}{q} + \lambda, b_i\right) &= \sum_{\substack{g \equiv 1 \\ g \equiv b_i \pmod{k}}}^{q} * e\left(\frac{ga}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{N/4 < n \leq N \\ n \equiv b_i \pmod{k}}} \Lambda(n) e\left(n\lambda\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{g \equiv 1 \\ g \equiv b_i \pmod{k}}}^{q} * e\left(\frac{ga}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{N/4 < n \leq N \\ n \equiv g \pmod{q}}} \Lambda(n) e\left(n\lambda\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} C\left(\chi_0, q, k, b_i, a\right) T(\lambda) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \mod q} C\left(\overline{\chi}, q, k, b_i, a\right) W(\lambda, \chi). \end{split}$$

Arguing as in (4.1)–(4.3), we obtain by applying (3.6) in the same way as in (4.3) and using (4.4):

(4.14)
$$R_2(N) = R_2^m(N) + R_2^e(N),$$

where

(4.15)
$$R_2^m(N) = \sum_{\substack{q \le P_2 \\ k \mid q}} A(N, q, k) \frac{N^2}{32} + O\left(N^2 k^{-3} L^{-A}\right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_2^e(N) &= \sum_{\substack{q \leq P_2 \\ k|q}} \frac{1}{\phi^3(q)} \sum_{a=1}^{q} \int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^3 \left(\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q}} C\left(\overline{\chi}, q, k, b_i, a\right) W(\lambda, \chi) \right) e\left(-\frac{a}{q} N - \lambda N \right) d\lambda \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{\substack{q \leq P_2 \\ k|q}} \frac{1}{\phi^3(q)} \sum_{a=1}^{q} \int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^3 \left(\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q}} C\left(\overline{\chi}, q, k, b_j, a\right) W(\lambda, \chi) \right) \\ &\times C\left(\chi_0, q, k, b_i, a\right) T(\lambda) e\left(-\frac{a}{q} N - \lambda N \right) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.16) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{\substack{q \leq P_2 \\ k|q}} \frac{1}{\phi^3(q)} \sum_{a=1}^{q} \int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q}} C\left(\overline{\chi}, q, k, b_i, a\right) W(\lambda, \chi) \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ j \neq i}}^3 C\left(\chi_0, q, k, b_j, a\right) T^2(\lambda) e\left(-\frac{a}{q} N - \lambda N \right) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$=: \sum_4 + \sum_5 + \sum_6. \end{aligned}$$

Arguing similarly as in (4.5) and using Lemma 3.3 d), we see

$$\begin{split} \sum_{4} &= \sum_{q \leq P_{2}} \sum_{\chi_{1} \mod q} \sum_{\chi_{2} \mod q} \sum_{\chi_{3} \mod q} |Z(N,q,k,\chi_{1},\chi_{2},\chi_{3})| \\ &\times \int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} \prod_{j=1}^{3} |W(\lambda,\chi_{j})| \, d\lambda \end{split}$$
(4.17)
$$&\ll \left(\sum_{\substack{r_{1} \leq P_{2} \\ k \mid r_{1}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{2} \leq P_{2} \\ k \mid r_{2}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{2} \\ k \mid r_{3}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{1} \leq P_{2} \\ k \mid r_{2}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{2} \\ k \mid r_{3}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{1} \leq P_{2} \\ k \mid r_{2}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{2} / k}} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{2} / k}} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{2} / k}} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{2} / k} \\ k \mid r_{2} \\ k \mid r_{1}} \sum_{\substack{r_{1} \leq P_{2} \\ k \mid r_{2}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{2} \leq P_{2} / k}} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{2} / k}} \sum_{\substack{r_{1} \leq P_{2} / k}} \sum_{\substack{r_{2} \leq P_{2} / k}} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{2} / k}} \sum_{\substack{r_{1} \leq P_{2} / k} \\ k \mid r_{2} \\ k \mid r_{3}} \sum_{\substack{r_{1} \leq P_{2} \\ k \mid r_{2}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{2} \leq P_{2} \\ k \mid r_{3}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{2} \\ k \mid r_{3}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{1} \mod r_{1}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{2} \mod r_{2}} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \leq P_{2} / k} \\ k \mid r_{3}} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \mod r_{3}}} \sum_{\substack{r_{3} \mod r_{3}}} (|W(\lambda,\chi_{j})| + L^{2}) \, d\lambda \\ \times \sum_{\substack{q \leq P_{2} \\ [r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}] \mid q} \\ k \mid q} |Z(N,q,k_{q},\chi_{1}\chi_{0},\chi_{2}\chi_{0},\chi_{3}\chi_{0})| \\ =: \sum_{i=1}^{5} \sum_{\substack{q,i}} \sum_{\substack{r_{4} \neq i}} \sum_{\substack{r_{4} \neq i} \sum_{\substack{r_{4} \neq i}} \sum_{\substack{r_{4} \neq i}} \sum_{\substack{r_{4} \neq i}} \sum_$$

where each $\sum_{4,i}$ stands for one of the multiple sums in (4.17). The condition k|q in the index of the sum $\sum_{\substack{q \leq P_2 \\ [r_1,r_2,r_3]|q}} |Z(N,q,k_q,\chi_1\chi_0,\chi_2\chi_0,\chi_3\chi_0)$ is only necessary for the sum $\sum_{4,4}$. In the other cases, $k|[r_1,r_2,r_3]$ which implies k|q. Thus, we will only make use of the condition when we estimate the sum $\sum_{4,4}$. Again, we neglect the error target L^2 in the last expression as the condition in the sum L^2 . terms L^2 in the last expression as they will be dominated in the sequel by other error terms. In order to estimate the $\sum_{4,1}$, we use the fact that for all q considered in (4.17), there holds $k^3 \nmid q$ because of $q \leq P_2$.

This allows us to apply Lemma 3.4 b). Using (2.2), Lemma 3.4 b) and the relation $[s_1, s_2, s_3]^{1/2} \ge s_1^{1/6} s_2^{1/6} s_3^{1/6}$, we argue as in (4.8):

$$(4.19)$$

$$\sum_{4,1} \ll k^{-2}L \sum_{\substack{r_1 \leq P_2 \\ k \mid |r_1}} \sum_{\substack{r_2 \leq P_2 \\ k \mid |r_2}} \sum_{\substack{r_3 \leq P_2 \\ k \mid |r_3}} [s_1, s_2, s_3]^{-1/2}$$

$$+ \sum_{\chi_1 \mod r_1} * \sum_{\chi_2 \mod r_2} * \sum_{\chi_3 \mod r_3} * \int_{-1/[r_1, r_2, r_3]Q}^{1/[r_1, r_2, r_3]Q} \prod_{j=1}^3 |W(\lambda, \chi_j)| \ d\lambda$$

$$\ll k^{-2}LI_C W_C^2,$$

where

$$I_{C} = \sum_{\substack{r \leq P_{2} \\ k \mid r}} \left(\frac{r}{k}\right)^{-1/6} \sum_{\chi \pmod{r}} \max_{|\lambda| \leq 1/rQ} |W(\lambda, \chi)|,$$
$$W_{C} = \sum_{\substack{r \leq P_{2} \\ k \mid r}} \left(\frac{r}{k}\right)^{-1/6} \sum_{\chi \pmod{r}} \left(\int_{-1/rQ}^{1/rQ} |W(\lambda, \chi)|^{2} d\lambda\right)^{1/2}.$$

Arguing as in (4.10), using Lemma 3.4 b) and the relation $[s_1, s_2, s_3]^{1/2} \ge s_1^{1/6} s_2^{1/6} s_3^{1/6}$, we obtain

(4.20)
$$\sum_{4,2} + \sum_{4,3} \le k^{-2} L \left(I_D W_C^2 + I_D W_D W_C \right),$$

where

$$\begin{split} I_D &= \sum_{\substack{r \leq P_2/k \ \chi \pmod{r}}} \sum_{\substack{(\text{mod } r) \\ k \nmid r}} \max_{\substack{|\lambda| \leq 1/rQ}} |W(\lambda, \chi)|, \\ W_D &= \sum_{\substack{r \leq P_2/k \\ k \nmid r}} r^{-1/4} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{r}}} \left(\int_{-1/rQ}^{1/rQ} |W(\lambda, \chi)|^2 \, d\, \lambda \right)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

For the estimate of $\sum_{4,4}$, we argue as in (4.19) and apply Lemma 3.4 c): (4.21)

$$\begin{split} \sum_{4,4} &\ll k^{-2}L \sum_{\substack{r_1 \leq P_2/k \\ k \nmid r_1}} \sum_{\substack{r_2 \leq P_2/k \\ k \nmid r_2}} \sum_{\substack{r_3 \leq P_2/k \\ k \nmid r_3}} [r_1, r_2, r_3]^{-1/2} \\ &+ \sum_{\chi_1 \mod r_1} \sum_{\chi_2 \mod r_2} \sum_{\substack{r_3 \leq P_2/k \\ k \nmid r_3}} \int_{-1/[r_1, r_2, r_3]Q}^{1/[r_1, r_2, r_3]Q} \prod_{j=1}^3 |W(\lambda, \chi_j)| \ d\,\lambda \end{split}$$

$$\leq k^{-2} L I_D W_D^2.$$

As $k^3 \nmid q$ for all considered q, we use Lemma 3.4 b) to estimate the sum $\sum_{4,5}:$

(4.22)

$$\begin{split} \sum_{4,5} &\ll k^{-2}L \sum_{\substack{r_1 \leq P_2 \\ k^2 || r_1 \\ k^2 || r_2 }} \sum_{\substack{r_3 \leq P_2 \\ k^2 || r_3 }} [s_1, s_2, s_3]^{-1/2} \\ &+ \sum_{\chi_1 \bmod r_1} * \sum_{\chi_2 \bmod r_2} * \sum_{\chi_3 \bmod r_3} * \int_{-1/[r_1, r_2, r_3]Q}^{1/[r_1, r_2, r_3]Q} \prod_{j=1}^3 |W(\lambda, \chi_j)| \ d\lambda \\ &\leq k^{-2} L I_E W_E^2, \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} I_E &= \sum_{\substack{r \leq P_2 \\ k^2 \mid r}} \left(\frac{r}{k^2}\right)^{-1/6} \sum_{\chi \pmod{r}} * \max_{|\lambda| \leq 1/rQ} |W(\lambda, \chi)|, \\ W_E &= \sum_{\substack{r \leq P_2 \\ k^2 \mid r}} \left(\frac{r}{k^2}\right)^{-1/6} \sum_{\chi \pmod{r}} * \left(\int_{-1/rQ}^{1/rQ} |W(\lambda, \chi)|^2 \, d\, \lambda\right)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

Arguing as in (4.9), we obtain (4.23)

$$\sum_{5} + \sum_{6} \ll k^{-2} L \bigg(\max_{|l| \le 1/Q} |T(\lambda)| \left(W_{C}^{2} + W_{C} W_{D} + W_{D}^{2} + W_{E}^{2} \right) \\ + \max_{|\lambda| \le 1/Q} |T(\lambda)| \left(\int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} |T(\lambda)|^{2} dl \right)^{1/2} (W_{C} + W_{D} + W_{E}) \bigg).$$

Therefore, we see from (4.11), (4.12), and (4.16)-(4.23):

(4.24)
$$R_{2}^{e}(N) \ll k^{-2}L\left(N\left(W_{C}^{2}+W_{D}W_{C}+W_{D}^{2}+W_{E}^{2}\right) + N^{3/2}(W_{C}+W_{D}+W_{E}) + I_{C}W_{C}^{2}+I_{D}W_{C}^{2}+I_{D}W_{D}W_{C}+I_{D}W_{D}^{2}+I_{E}W_{E}^{2}\right).$$

Using Lemma 3.5, we see from (4.3) and (4.15) that for a sufficiently large G = G(A)

(4.25)
$$R_1^m(N) + R_2^m(N) = \sigma(N,k) \frac{N^2}{32} + O\left(N^2 k^{-2} L^{-A}\right).$$

Thus we see from (4.1), (4.13), (4.14), (4.24) and (4.25) that the proof of (2.7) reduces to the proof of the following two lemmas:

Lemma 4.1. If $k \leq N^{(2/15)-\varepsilon}$, then for $F \in \{A, B, D\}$

$$W_F \ll N^{1/2} L^{-A}$$

for any A > 0.

For $k \leq N^{(5/48)-\varepsilon}$ and if none of the integers $q \in A_k$ is N-exceptional, then for $F \in \{C, E\}$

$$W_F \ll N^{1/2} L^{-A}$$

for any A > 0.

Lemma 4.2. If $k \leq N^{(2/15)-\varepsilon}$, then for $F \in \{A, B, C, D, E\}$

$$I_F \ll NL^M$$

for a certain M > 0.

In the sequel, we will also use the following lemma, which is the estimate (1.1) in [6]:

Lemma 4.3. Let $N^*(\alpha, T, q)$ denote the number of zeros $\sigma + it$ of all L-functions to primitive characters modulo q within the region $\sigma \ge \alpha$, $|t| \le T$. Then, for a positive integer m,

$$\sum_{\substack{q \le P \\ m \mid q}} N^*(\alpha, T, q) \ll \left(\frac{P^2 T}{m}\right)^{((12/5)+\varepsilon)(1-\alpha)}.$$

5. Proof of Lemma 4.1 for W_A . In order to prove the lemma it is enough to show that

(5.1)
$$W_{A,R} \ll N^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{k}\right)^{1/4} k^{1/3} L^{-A},$$

where

$$W_{A,R} = \sum_{\substack{r \sim R \\ k \mid r}} \sum_{\chi \pmod{r}} {}^* \left(\int_{-k/rQ}^{k/rQ} |W(\lambda,\chi)|^2 \, d\,\lambda \right)^{1/2}$$

for $R \leq P_1 k/2$. Applying Lemma 1, [4], we see

(5.2)
$$\int_{-k/rQ}^{k/rQ} |W(\lambda,\chi)|^2 d\lambda \\ \ll (QR/k)^{-2} \int_{N/8}^N \left| \sum_{\substack{t < m \le t + Qr/k \\ N/4 < m \le N}} \Lambda(m) \chi(m) - E_0(\chi) \sum_{\substack{t < m \le t + Qr/k \\ N/4 < m \le N}} 1 \right|^2 dt.$$

We note that $E_0(\chi) = 0$ because of $R \ge k$ and the primitivity of the characters. We set $X = \max(N/4, t)$ and $X + Y = \min(N, t + Qr/k)$. We apply a slight modification of Heath-Brown's identity [5]

$$-\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \binom{K}{j} (-1)^{j-1} \zeta'(s) \zeta^{j-1}(s) M^{j}(s) - \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \left(1 - \zeta(s) M(s)\right)^{K},$$

with K = 5 and

$$M(s) = \sum_{n \le N^{1/5}} \mu(n) n^{-s}$$

to the sum

$$\sum_{X < m \le X + Y} \Lambda(m) \, \chi(m).$$

Arguing exactly as in part III, [19] we find by applying Heath-Brown's identity and Perron's summation formula that the inner sum of (5.2) is a linear combination of $O(L^c)$ terms of the form

$$\begin{split} S_{I_{a_1},\dots,I_{a_{10}}} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \, \int_{-T}^{T} F\left(\frac{1}{2} + iu, \chi\right) \frac{(X+Y)^{((1/2)+iu)} - X^{((1/2)+iu)}}{(1/2) + iu} \, du \\ &+ O(T^{-1}NL^2), \end{split}$$

where $2 \leq T \leq N$,

$$F(s,\chi) = \prod_{j=1}^{10} f_j(s,\chi), \qquad f_j(s,\chi) = \sum_{n \in I_j} a_j(n) \,\chi(n) n^{-s},$$
$$a_j(n) = \begin{cases} \log n \text{ or } 1 & j = 1, \\ 1 & 1 < j \le 5, \\ \mu(n) & 6 \le j \le 10. \end{cases}$$

(5.3)
$$N \ll \prod_{j=1}^{10} N_j \ll N, \quad N_j \le N^{1/5}, \quad 6 \le j \le 10.$$

Since

$$\frac{(X+Y)^{((1/2)+iu)} - X^{((1/2)+iu)}}{(1/2)+iu} \ll \min\left(QRk^{-1}N^{-1/2}, N^{1/2}\left(|u|+1\right)^{-1}\right)$$

by taking T = N and $T_0 = N(QR/k)^{-1}$, we conclude that, for a sufficiently large $G = G(M), S_{I_{a_1},...,I_{a_{10}}}$ is bounded by

$$\ll QRk^{-1}N^{-1/2} \int_{-T_0}^{T_0} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + iu, \chi\right) \right| du + N^{1/2} \int_{T_0 \le |u| \le T} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + iu, \chi\right) \right| \frac{du}{|u|} + L^2,$$

Thus we derive from (5.2) that, in order to prove (5.1), it is enough to show that, for $R \leq P_1 k/2$,

(5.4)
$$\sum_{\substack{r \sim R \\ k \mid r}} \sum_{\chi} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{1/2} R^{1/4} k^{1/12} L^{-A},$$

(5.5)

$$\sum_{\substack{r \sim R \\ k|r}} \sum_{\chi} \int_{T_1}^{2T_1} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{-1/2} Q R^{5/4} k^{-1/12} T_1 L^{-A},$$
$$T_0 < |T_1| \le T.$$

The inequalities (5.4) and (5.5) are both derived from the following lemma which is shown for m = 1 in Lemma 5.2, [10] and for the general case $m \ge 1$ in Lemma 2.1 in [8].

Lemma 5.1. Let $F(s, \chi)$ be defined as above. Then, for any $R \ge 1$ and $T_2 > 0$,

(5.6)
$$\sum_{\substack{r \sim R \\ m|r}} \sum_{\chi} \int_{T_2}^{*} \int_{T_2}^{2T_2} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \\ \ll \left(\frac{R^2}{m} T_2 + \frac{R}{m^{1/2}} T_2^{1/2} N^{3/10} + N^{1/2}\right) L^c.$$

Using (2.1) and (2.4), the estimates (5.4) and (5.5) follow from Lemma 5.1 by setting $T_2 = T_0$ and $T_2 = T_1$, respectively, provided that $k \leq N^{2/15-\varepsilon}$ and H is chosen sufficiently large in (2.1).

6. Proof of Lemma 4.2 for I_A . To prove the lemma it is enough to show that

$$\max_{R \le P_1 k/2} \sum_{\substack{r \sim R \\ k \mid r}} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{r}}} \sum_{|\lambda| \le k/rQ} |W(\lambda, \chi)| \ll Nk^{1/3} L^c.$$

C. BAUER AND WANG Y.

Arguing as in the section before (we do not have to apply Gallagher's lemma here) we find

Here, we have set T = N and used that $|\lambda| \leq k/Q$. Estimating the inner integral by Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$\left| \int_{N/4}^{N} u^{-1/2} e\left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \log u + lu\right) du \right|$$
$$\ll N^{-1/2} \min\left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{|t|+1}}, \frac{N}{\min_{N/2 < u \le N} |t+2\pi\lambda u|}\right).$$

Taking $T_0 = 4\pi N (QR/k)^{-1}$ we conclude that in order to prove the lemma it is enough to prove that

$$\sum_{\substack{r \sim R \\ k \mid r}} \sum_{\chi} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{1/2} T_{0}^{1/2} k^{1/3} L^{c},$$

$$\sum_{\substack{r \sim R \\ k \mid r}} \sum_{\chi} \int_{T_{1}}^{2T_{1}} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{1/2} k^{1/3} T_{1} L^{c}, \quad T_{0} < |T_{1}| \leq T.$$

These estimates follow from Lemma 5.1 for $k \leq N^{2/15-\varepsilon}$.

7. Proof of Lemma 4.1 for \mathbf{W}_B , \mathbf{W}_C , \mathbf{W}_D and \mathbf{W}_E . Arguing analogously to Section 5, we find that the proof of Lemma 4.1 for F = B reduces to the proof of the following two estimates: For T = N, $T_0 = N(QR)^{-1}$, $R \leq P_1/2$ and $k \leq N^{3/16-\varepsilon}$, there must hold

(7.1)
$$\sum_{r \sim R} \sum_{\chi} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{1/2} R^{1/4} k^{1/3} L^{-A},$$
(7.2)
$$\sum_{r \sim R} \sum_{\chi} \int_{T_{1}}^{2T_{1}} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{-1/2} Q R^{5/4} k^{1/3} T_{1} L^{-A},$$

$$T_{0} < |T_{1}| \leq T.$$

The estimates (7.1) and (7.2) follow from (2.1) and Lemma 5.1. For the case F = C, we treat separately the cases $R/k \leq L^V$ and $R/k \geq L^V$ for a sufficiently large V to be determined later. In the second case, it is enough to show, using Lemma 5.1, that for T = N, $T_0 = N(QR)^{-1}$, $R \leq P_2/2$, and $k \leq N^{3/20-\varepsilon}$, we have

(7.3)
$$\sum_{\substack{r \in R \\ k \mid r}} \sum_{\chi} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{k}\right)^{1/6} L^{-A},$$
(7.4)
$$\sum_{\substack{r \in R \\ k \mid r}} \sum_{\chi} \int_{T_{1}}^{2T_{1}} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{-1/2} Q R^{7/6} k^{-1/6} T_{1} L^{-A},$$

$$T_{0} < |T_{1}| \leq T.$$

In the case $R/k \leq L^V$, we can estimate the sum on the righthand side of (5.2) by using the zero expansion of the von Mangoldt-function:

(7.5)

$$\sum_{\substack{t < m \le t + Qr \\ N/4 < m \le N}} \Lambda(m) \chi(m) - E_0(\chi) \sum_{\substack{t < m \le t + Qr \\ N/4 < m \le N}} 1 \\
= \sum_{X < m \le X + Y} \Lambda(m) \chi(m) - E_0(\chi) \sum_{X < m \le X + Y} 1 \\
\ll \sum_{|\mathrm{Im} \rho| \le T_3} \left| \frac{(X+Y)^{\rho}}{\rho} - \frac{X^{\rho}}{\rho} \right| + O\left(\frac{N}{T_3} L^2\right) \\
\ll QR \sum_{|\mathrm{Im} \rho| \le T_3} N^{\beta - 1} + O\left(\frac{N}{T_3} L^2\right),$$

where ρ runs over the nontrivial zeros of the *L*-function corresponding to $\chi \mod r$ with $|\text{Im }\rho| \leq T_3$ and $\beta = \text{Re }\rho$. Arguing as in (5.2), we see from (7.5) for $T_3 = k^2 L^{2V}$ that

$$\begin{split} \int_{1/rQ}^{1/rQ} |W(\lambda,\chi)|^2 \, d\lambda \\ \ll N \bigg(\sum_{|\mathrm{Im}\,\rho| \le k^2 L^{2V}} N^{\beta-1} \bigg)^2 + O\left((Qr)^{-2} N^3 k^{-4} L^{4-4V} \right) \, . \end{split}$$

C. BAUER AND WANG Y.

Using (1.2) and defining $W_{C,R}$ analogously to (5.1), we use the assumptions of Theorem 2 and Lemma 4.3 and obtain for $k \leq N^{5/36-\varepsilon}$ (7.6)

$$\begin{split} W_{C,R} \ll N^{1/2} \sum_{\substack{r \leq kL^{V} \\ k|r}} \sum_{\chi \bmod r} \sum_{|\operatorname{Im} \rho| \leq k^{2}L^{2V}} N^{\beta-1} + N^{1/2}L^{-A} \\ \ll N^{1/2}L^{C} \max_{1/2 \leq \beta \leq 1-EL_{2}/L} \left(N^{((5/12)-2\varepsilon)((12/5)+\varepsilon)(1-\beta)}N^{\beta-1} \right) \\ + N^{1/2}L^{-A} \\ \ll N^{1/2}L^{-A}. \end{split}$$

for a sufficiently large $E = E(A, \varepsilon)$. In the case F = D, we distinguish between the cases $R > L^W$ for a sufficiently large W to be determined later and $R \leq L^W$. In the first case, we argue as in Section 4 and see that it is enough to show, using Lemma 5.1, the following. If T = Nand $T_0 = N(QR)^{-1}$, $r \leq P_2/2k$ and $k \leq N^{4/25-\varepsilon}$, then:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{r \sim R} \sum_{\chi} * \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| \, dt \ll N^{1/2} R^{1/4} L^{-A}, \\ \sum_{r \sim R} \sum_{\chi} * \int_{T_{1}}^{2T_{1}} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| \, dt \ll N^{-1/2} Q R^{5/4} T_{1} L^{-A}, \\ T_{0} < |T_{1}| \leq T. \end{split}$$

If $R \leq L^W$, we apply Lemma 4.3 and the fact that $L(\sigma + it, \chi)$ with $\chi \mod r$ and $r \leq L^D$ has no zeros in the region, see [15, VIII Satz 6.2)

$$\sigma \ge 1 - \delta(T) := 1 - \frac{c_0}{\log r + (\log(T+2))^{4/5}}, \quad |t| \le T,$$

where c_0 is an absolute constant. Taking $T = N^{1/3}$ and $k \leq N^{3/20-\varepsilon}$, we obtain from Lemma 4.3 from (7.5)

$$\begin{split} &\int_{-1/Qr}^{1/Qr} |W(\lambda,\chi)|^2 \, d\lambda \ll N \bigg(\sum_{|\mathrm{Im}\,\rho| \le N^{1/3}} N^{\beta-1} \bigg)^2 + (Qr)^{-2} N^{1+(4/3)} L^4 \\ &\ll N L^c \bigg(\max_{(1/2) \le \beta \le 1-\delta(T)} N^{((4/5)+\varepsilon)(1-\beta)} N^{(\beta-1)} \bigg)^2 + N^{1/3} k^4 L^{2W+4} \\ &\ll N \exp(-c L^{1/5}). \end{split}$$

This proves the lemma for $R \leq L^W$. For the case F = E, we treat separately the cases $R/k^2 \leq L^V$ and $R/k^2 \geq L^V$ for a sufficiently large V to be determined later. In the second case, it is enough to show, using Lemma 5.1, that for T = N, $T_0 = N(QR)^{-1}$, $R \leq P_2/2$ and $k \leq N^{5/48-\varepsilon}$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{r \sim R \\ k^2 | r}} \sum_{\chi} \int_{0}^{T_0} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{k^2}\right)^{1/6} L^{-A},$$

$$\sum_{\substack{r \sim R \\ k^2 | r}} \sum_{\chi} \int_{T_1}^{2T_1} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{-1/2} Q R^{7/6} k^{-1/3} T_1 L^{-A},$$

$$T_0 < |T_1| \le T.$$

For $R/k^2 \leq L^V$, we argue as in (7.6).

8. Proof of Lemma 4.2 for \mathbf{I}_B , \mathbf{I}_C , \mathbf{I}_D , and \mathbf{I}_E . Throughout this section we set T = N and $T_0 = N(QR)^{-1}$. Arguing as in Section 6, we see that to estimate I_B it is enough to show that for $k \leq N^{3/16-\varepsilon}$ and $R \leq P_1/2$, we have

$$\sum_{r \sim R} \sum_{\chi} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{1/2} (T_{0} + 1)^{1/2} k^{1/3} L^{c},$$

$$\sum_{r \sim R} \sum_{\chi} \int_{T_{1}}^{2T_{1}} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{1/2} k^{1/3} T_{1} L^{c},$$

$$T_{0} < |T_{1}| \leq T.$$

For the estimate of I_C it is enough to show that, for $k \leq N^{3/20-\varepsilon}$ and $R \leq P_2/2$, we have:

$$\sum_{\substack{r \sim R \\ k \mid r}} \sum_{\chi} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{1/2} (T_{0} + 1)^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{k}\right)^{1/6} L^{c},$$

$$\sum_{\substack{r \sim R \\ k \mid r}} \sum_{\chi} \int_{T_{1}}^{2T_{1}} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{k}\right)^{1/6} T_{1} L^{c},$$

$$T_{0} < |T_{1}| \leq T.$$

These estimates follow from Lemma 5.1.

For the estimate of I_D it is enough to show that if $k \leq N^{1/5-\varepsilon}$ and $R \leq P_2/2k$, then

$$\sum_{r \sim R} \sum_{\chi} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{1/2} (T_{0} + 1)^{1/2} L^{c},$$

$$\sum_{r \sim R} \sum_{\chi} \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{0}} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| dt \ll N^{1/2} T_{1} L^{c},$$

$$T_{0} < |T_{1}| \leq T.$$

These estimates follow from Lemma 5.1.

Likewise, for the proof of the estimate for I_E , we use Lemma 5.1 to show that for the estimate of I_C it is enough to show that for $k \leq N^{3/20-\varepsilon}$ and $R \leq P_2/2$, we have:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{r \sim R \\ k^2 | r}} \sum_{\chi} \int_{0}^{T_0} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| \, dt \ll N^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{k^2}\right)^{1/6} (T_0 + 1)^{1/2} L^c, \\ \sum_{\substack{r \sim R \\ k^2 | r}} \sum_{\chi} \int_{T_1}^{T_1} \left| F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| \, dt \ll N^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{k^2}\right)^{1/6} T_1 L^c, \\ T_0 < |T_1| \le T. \end{split}$$

9. Proof of Theorem 3. Using (1.2) and Lemma 4.3, we derive an estimate for the number of the *N*-exceptional zeros. We find

$$\sum_{q \le N} N^* \left(1 - \frac{EL_2}{L}, q \right) \ll N^{((36/5) + \varepsilon)(EL_2/L)} \ll L^{36E/5 + \varepsilon}$$

Thus, there do not exist more than $\ll L^{36E/5+\varepsilon} N$ -exceptional integers. Each integer $\leq N$ has at most $O(\log N)$ different prime factors. Thus, each *N*-exceptional integer does belong to at most $O(\log N)$ different sets A_k . Therefore, there are no more than $O(L^{36E/5+1+\varepsilon})$ prime numbers $k, 1 \leq k \leq N$, such that at least one of the integers $q \in A_k$ is *N*-exceptional.

REFERENCES

1. R. Ayoub, On Rademacher's extension of the Goldbach-Vinogradov theorem, Canad. J. Math. (1953), 482–491.

2. C. Bauer, On Goldbach's conjecture in arithmetic progressions, Stud. Sci. Math. Hungar. **37** (2001), 1–20.

3. _____, On the exceptional set for the sum of a prime and the k-th power of a prime, Stud. Sci. Math. **35** (1999), 291–330.

4. P.X. Gallagher, A large sieve density estimate near $\sigma = 1$, Invent. Math. **11** (1970), 329–339.

5. D.R. Heath-Brown, Prime numbers in short intervals and a generalized Vaughan's identity, Canad. J. Math. 34 (1982), 1365–1377.

 ${\bf 6.}$ N.M. Huxley, Large values of Dirichlet polynomials III, Acta Arith. ${\bf 26}$ (1975), 435–444.

7. Jianya Liu, The Goldbach-Vinogradov theorem with three primes in a thin subset, Chinese Annals Math. **19** (1998), 479–488.

8. J.Y. Liu, On Lagrange's theorem with prime variables, Quart. J. Math. 54 December (2003), 453–462.

9. J.Y. Liu and T. Zhan, The ternary Goldbach problem in arithmetic progressions, Acta Arith. 82 (1997), 197–227.

10. J.Y. Liu and M.C. Liu, *The exceptional set in the four prime squares problem*, Illinois J. Math. **44** (2000), 272–293.

11. M.C. Liu and T. Zhan, *The Goldbach problem with primes in arithmetic progressions*, in *Analytic number theory* (Yoichi Motohashi, ed.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 247, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997, pp. 227–251.

12. H.L. Montgomery and R.C. Vaughan, On the exceptional set in Goldbach's problem, Acta Arith. 27 (1975), 353–370.

13. Chengdong Pan and Chengbiao Pan, *Analytic number theory*, Science Press, Beijing, 1992 (in Chinese).

14. — , Goldbach conjecture, Science Press, Beijing, 1991 (in English).

15. K. Prachar, Primzahlverteilung, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1978.

16. H.A. Rademacher, Ueber eine Erweiterung des Goldbachschen problems, Math. Z. 25 (1926).

17. I.M. Vinogradov, Representation of an odd number as the sum of three primes, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 15 (1937), 291–294.

18. A. Zaccagnini, The exceptional set for the sum of a prime and a k-th power, Mathematika 39 (1992), 400–421

19. T. Zhan, On the representation of a large odd integer as a sum of three almost equal primes, Acta Math. Sinica **7** (1991), 259–272.

C. BAUER AND WANG Y.

Dolby Laboratories, 100 Potrero Avenue, San Francisco, 94103 CA, USA E-mail address: cb@dolby.com

The Department of Mathematics, The Capital Normal University, Beijing 100037, P.R.China $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ yhwang@mail.cnu.edu.cn$