BOCKY MOUNTAIN JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Volume 36, Number 4, 2006

OSCILLATION TESTS FOR CERTAIN SYSTEMS OF PARABOLIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH NEUTRAL TYPE

WEI NIAN LI AND MAOAN HAN

ABSTRACT. Sufficient conditions are established for the forced oscillation of a class of systems of neutral parabolic differential equations with deviating arguments. The main results are illustrated by some examples.

1. Introduction. In the past decades, the fundamental theory of partial functional differential equation(PFDE) has been investigated We refer the reader to the monograph by Wu [12]. extensively. Simultaneously, let us note that the oscillation theory for PFDE is an object of long standing interest.

In 1970, Domšlovk [2] introduced the concept of *H*-oscillation to study the oscillation of solutions of vector differential equations, where H is a unit vector in \mathbb{R}^n . But there are only a few papers [9–11] dealing with *H*-oscillation of vector partial differential equations. On the other hand, in recent years, some results on the oscillation theory for systems of PFDE were established in [3–8]. However, using the approach in these papers, it is impossible to obtain the forced oscillation of systems of PFDE. In this paper, we use a new technique to study the forced oscillation of systems of neutral parabolic differential equations with deviating arguments of the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big(\delta_i(t) u_i(x,t) + \sum_{r=1}^s \lambda_{ir}(t) u_i(x,\rho_{ir}(t)) \Big) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^m a_{ik}(t) \Delta u_k(x,t) + \sum_{k=1}^m b_{ik}(t) \Delta u_k(x,\tau_{ik}(t))$$

Received by the editors on April 17, 2003.

Copyright ©2006 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

²⁰⁰⁰ AMS Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35B05, 35R10. Key words and phrases. Oscillation, system, parabolic differential equation, deviating argument, neutral type. This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province

⁽Y2005A05) and the Project of Science and Technology of the Education Department of Shandong Province .

$$-c_{i}(x,t,(u_{k}(x,t))_{k=1}^{m},(u_{k}(x,\sigma_{ik}(t)))_{k=1}^{m}) -\sum_{h=1}^{l} \int_{a}^{b} q_{ih}(x,t,\xi)u_{i}(x,g_{ih}(t,\xi)) \, d\sigma(\xi) + f_{i}(x,t), (x,t) \in \Omega \times [0,\infty) \equiv G, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with a piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\Delta u_i(x,t) = \sum_{r=1}^n (\partial^2 u_i(x,t)/\partial x_r^2)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, and the integral in (1) is the Stieltjes integral.

We assume throughout this paper that

(H1) $\delta_i, \lambda_{ir} \in C^1([0,\infty); [0,\infty)), a_{ik}, b_{ik} \in C([0,\infty); R), a_{ii}(t) > 0,$ and $b_{ii}(t) > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m; k = 1, 2, \dots, m; r = 1, 2, \dots, s;$

(H2) $\rho_{ir}, \tau_{ik}, \sigma_{ik} \in C([0,\infty); R), \ \rho_{ir}(t) \leq t, \ \tau_{ik}(t) \leq t, \ \sigma_{ik}(t) \leq t \text{ and}$ $\lim_{t \to \infty} \rho_{ir}(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \tau_{ik}(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \sigma_{ik}(t) = \infty, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, m;$ (H3) $c_i \in C(\overline{G} \times R^{2m}; R)$, and

(H3)
$$c_i \in C(G \times \mathbb{R}^{2m}; \mathbb{R})$$
, and

$$c_i(x, t, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_i, \dots, \xi_m, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_i, \dots, \eta_m)$$

$$\begin{cases} \geq 0 & \text{if } \xi_i \text{ and } \eta_i \in (0, \infty), \\ \leq 0 & \text{if } \xi_i \text{ and } \eta_i \in (-\infty, 0), \\ & i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \end{cases}$$

(H4) $q_{ih} \in C(\overline{G} \times [a,b]; [0,\infty)), \ q_{ih}(t,\xi) = \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} q_{ih}(x,t,\xi), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \ h = 1, 2, \dots, l;$

(H5) $g_{ih} \in C([0,\infty) \times [a,b]; R), g_{ih}(t,\xi) \leq t, \xi \in [a,b] \text{ and } g_{ih}(t,\xi)$ are nondecreasing functions with respect to t and ξ , respectively,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \min_{\xi \in [a,b]} \{ g_{ih}(t,\xi) \} = \infty, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \quad h = 1, 2, \dots, l;$$

(H6) $\sigma \in ([a, b]; R)$ and $\sigma(\xi)$ are nondecreasing in ξ ;

(H7)
$$f_i \in C(G; R), i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

Consider the following two kinds of boundary conditions:

(2)
$$\frac{\partial u_i(x,t)}{\partial N} = \psi_i(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0,\infty), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where N is the unit exterior normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ and $\psi_i(x,t)$ is a continuous function on $\partial\Omega \times [0,\infty)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, and

(3)
$$u_i(x,t) = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0,\infty), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Definition 1.1. The vector function $u(x,t) = \{u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t), \dots, u_m(x,t)\}^T$ is said to be a solution of the problem (1), (2) (or (1), (3)) if it satisfies (1) in $G = \Omega \times [0, \infty)$ and boundary condition (2) (or (3)).

Definition 1.2. The vector solution $u(x,t) = \{u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t), \dots, u_m(x,t)\}^T$ of the problem (1), (2) (or (1), (3)) is said to oscillate in the domain $G = \Omega \times [0, \infty)$ if at least one of its nontrivial component oscillates in G. Otherwise, the vector solution u(x,t) is said to be nonoscillatory.

Definition 1.3. The vector solution $u(x,t) = \{u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t), \ldots, u_m(x,t)\}^T$ of the problem (1), (2) (or (1), (3)) is said to oscillate strongly in the domain $G = \Omega \times [0, \infty)$ if each of its nontrivial component oscillates in G.

2. Main results. Firstly, we introduce the following fact [1]:

The smallest eigenvalue α_0 of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \omega(x) + \alpha \omega(x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega\\ \omega(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

is positive and the corresponding eigenfunction $\varphi(x)$ is positive in Ω .

For convenience, we will use the following notations:

$$U_i(t) = \int_{\Omega} u_i(x,t) \, dx, \quad \Psi_i(t) = \int_{\partial \Omega} \psi_i(x,t) \, dS, \quad F_i(t) = \int_{\Omega} f_i(x,t) \, dx,$$
$$H_i(t) = F_i(t) + \sum_{k=1}^m a_{ik}(t) \Psi_k(t) + \sum_{k=1}^m b_{ik}(t) \Psi_k(\tau_{ik}(t)),$$
$$\widetilde{U}_i(t) = \int_{\Omega} u_i(x,t) \varphi(x) \, dx, \quad E_i(t) = \int_{\Omega} f_i(x,t) \varphi(x) \, dx,$$
$$t \ge 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where dS is the surface element on $\partial\Omega$.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $u(x,t) = \{u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t), \ldots, u_m(x,t)\}^T$ is a solution of the problem (1), (2) in *G*. If there exists some $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ such that $u_{i_0}(x,t) > 0$, $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$, then $U_{i_0}(t)$ satisfies the neutral differential inequality

(4)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_{i_0}(t)V(t) + \sum_{r=1}^{s} \lambda_{i_0r}(t)V(\rho_{i_0r}(t)) \end{pmatrix}' \\
+ \sum_{h=1}^{l} \int_{a}^{b} q_{i_0h}(t,\xi)V(g_{i_0h}(t,\xi)) \, d\sigma(\xi) \leq H_{i_0}(t).$$

Proof. From the conditions (H2) and (H5), we easily obtain that there exists a number $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $u_{i_0}(x,t) > 0$, $u_{i_0}(x,\rho_{i_0r}(t)) > 0$, $u_{i_0}(x,\sigma_{i_0k}(t)) > 0$, $u_{i_0}(x,\sigma_{i_0k}(t)) > 0$ and $u_{i_0}(x,g_{i_0h}(t,\xi)) > 0$ in $\Omega \times [t_1,\infty), \ k = 1,2,\ldots,m; \ r = 1,2,\ldots,s, \ h = 1,2,\ldots,l.$

Consider the following equation

(5)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big(\delta_{i_0}(t) u_{i_0}(x,t) + \sum_{r=1}^s \lambda_{i_0 r}(t) u_{i_0}(x,\rho_{i_0 r}(t)) \Big) \\
= \sum_{k=1}^m a_{i_0 k}(t) \Delta u_k(x,t) + \sum_{k=1}^m b_{i_0 k}(t) \Delta u_k(x,\tau_{i_0 k}(t)) - c_{i_0} \Big(x,t,(u_k(x,t))_{k=1}^m, u_k(x,\sigma_{i_0 k}(t)))_{k=1}^m \Big) - \sum_{h=1}^l \int_a^b q_{i_0 h}(x,t,\xi) u_{i_0}(x,g_{i_0 h}(t,\xi)) \, d\sigma(\xi) \\
+ f_{i_0}(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in \Omega \times [0,\infty) \equiv G.$$

Integrating (5) with respect to x over the domain Ω , we have

(6)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\delta_{i_0}(t) \int_{\Omega} u_{i_0}(x,t) \, dx + \sum_{r=1}^{s} \lambda_{i_0 r}(t) \int_{\Omega} u_{i_0}(x,\rho_{i_0 r}(t)) \, dx \right) \\
= \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{i_0 k}(t) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_k(x,t) \, dx + \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{i_0 k}(t) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_k(x,\tau_{i_0 k}(t)) \, dx$$

$$-\int_{\Omega} c_{i_0} (x, t, (u_k(x, t))_{k=1}^m, (u_k(x, \sigma_{i_0 k}(t)))_{k=1}^m) dx$$

$$-\sum_{h=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \int_a^b q_{i_0 h}(x, t, \xi) u_{i_0}(x, g_{i_0 h}(t, \xi)) d\sigma(\xi) dx + \int_{\Omega} f_{i_0}(x, t) dx,$$

$$t \ge t_1.$$

Green's formula and (2) yield

$$\begin{array}{l} (7) \quad \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_k(x,t) \, dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u_k(x,t)}{\partial N} \, dS = \int_{\partial \Omega} \psi_k(x,t) \, dS = \Psi_k(t), \\ \text{and} \\ (8) \quad \\ \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_k(x,\tau_{i_0k}(t)) \, dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u_k(x,\tau_{i_0k}(t))}{\partial N} \, dS = \int_{\partial \Omega} \psi_k(x,\tau_{i_0k}(t)) \, dS \\ = \Psi_k(\tau_{i_0k}(t)), \quad t \ge t_1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{array}$$

Noting that

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{a}^{b} q_{i_{0}h}(x, t, \xi) u_{i_{0}}(x, g_{i_{0}h}(t, \xi)) \, d\sigma(\xi) \, dx$$

= $\int_{a}^{b} \int_{\Omega} q_{i_{0}h}(x, t, \xi) u_{i_{0}}(x, g_{i_{0}h}(t, \xi)) \, dx \, d\sigma(\xi),$
 $t \ge t_{1}, \quad h = 1, 2, \dots, l,$

then from condition (H4), we have

(9)
$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{a}^{b} q_{i_0h}(x,t,\xi) u_{i_0}(x,g_{i_0h}(t,\xi)) \, d\sigma(\xi) \, dx$$
$$\geq \int_{a}^{b} q_{i_0h}(t,\xi) \int_{\Omega} u_{i_0}(x,g_{i_0h}(t,\xi)) \, dx \, d\sigma(\xi), \quad h = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$

Using the condition (H3), we have $c_{i_0}(x,t,(u_k(x,t))_{k=1}^m,(u_k(x,t))_{k=1}^m) > 0$, then combining (6)–(9), we have

$$\begin{split} \left(\delta_{i_0}(t) U_{i_0}(t) + \sum_{r=1}^s \lambda_{i_0 r}(t) U_{i_0}(\rho_{i_0 r}(t)) \right)' \\ &+ \sum_{h=1}^l \int_a^b q_{i_0 h}(t,\xi) U_{i_0}(g_{i_0 h}(t,\xi)) \, d\sigma(\xi) \\ &\leq F_{i_0}(t) + \sum_{k=1}^m a_{i_0 k}(t) \Psi_k(t) + \sum_{k=1}^m b_{i_0 k}(t) \Psi_k(\tau_{i_0 k}(t)), \quad t \ge t_1, \end{split}$$

which shows that $U_{i_0}(t) > 0$ is a positive solution of the inequality (4). The proof is complete. \Box

Using a similar way, we easily obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $u(x,t) = \{u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t), \ldots, u_m(x,t)\}^T$ is a solution of the problem (1), (2) in G. If there exists some $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ such that $u_{i_0}(x,t) < 0$, $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$, then $U_{i_0}(t)$ satisfies the neutral differential inequality

(10)
$$\left(\delta_{i_0}(t)V(t) + \sum_{r=1}^{s} \lambda_{i_0r}(t)V(\rho_{i_0r}(t)) \right)'$$
$$+ \sum_{h=1}^{l} \int_{a}^{b} q_{i_0h}(t,\xi)V(g_{i_0h}(t,\xi)) \, d\sigma(\xi) \ge H_{i_0}(t).$$

Theorem 2.1. If there exists some $i_0 \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ such that the inequality (4) has no eventually positive solutions and the inequality (10) has no eventually negative solutions, then every solution of the problem (1), (2) is oscillatory in G.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is a nonoscillatory solution $u(x,t) = \{u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t), \ldots, u_m(x,t)\}^T$ of the problem (1), (2). It is obvious that $|u_i(x,t)| > 0$ for $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$; then $u_{i_0}(x,t) > 0$ or $u_{i_0}(x,t) < 0$, $t \ge t_0$.

If $u_{i_0}(x,t) > 0$, $t \ge t_0$, using Lemma 2.1 we obtain that $U_{i_0}(t) > 0$ is a solution of inequality (4), which is a contradiction.

If $u_{i_0}(x,t) < 0$, $t \ge t_0$, using Lemma 2.2 we obtain that $U_{i_0}(t) < 0$ is a solution of inequality (10), which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. \Box

Theorem 2.2. If there exists some $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ such that

(11)
$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t H_{i_0}(s) \, ds = -\infty, \quad t_1 \ge t_0,$$

and

(12)
$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t H_{i_0}(s) \, ds = \infty, \quad t_1 \ge t_0$$

hold. Then every solution of the problem (1), (2) is oscillatory in G.

Proof. We prove that the inequality (4) has no eventually positive solutions and the inequality (10) has no eventually negative solutions.

Assume to the contrary that (4) has a positive solution $U_{i_0}(t)$; then there exists $t_0 \geq 0$ such that $U_{i_0}(t) > 0$, $U_{i_0}(\rho_{i_0r}(t)) > 0$, $U_{i_0}(g_{i_0h}(t,\xi)) > 0$, $t \geq t_0$, $h = 1, 2, \ldots, l$, $r = 1, 2, \ldots, s$. Then from (4) we have

(13)
$$\left(\delta_{i_0}(t) U_{i_0}(t) + \sum_{r=1}^s \lambda_{i_0 r}(t) U_{i_0}(\rho_{i_0 r}(t)) \right)' \le H_{i_0}(t).$$

Integrating (13) over the interval $[t_1, t], t_1 \ge t_0$, we have

(14)
$$\delta_{i_0}(t)U_{i_0}(t) + \sum_{r=1}^s \lambda_{i_0r}(t)U_{i_0}(\rho_{i_0r}(t)) \le C + \int_{t_1}^t H_{i_0}(s) \, ds,$$

where C is a constant. Taking $t \to \infty$, from (14) we have

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \left[\delta_{i_0}(t) U_{i_0}(t) + \sum_{r=1}^s \lambda_{i_0 r}(t) U_{i_0}(\rho_{i_0 r}(t)) \right] = -\infty,$$

which contradicts the assumption that $U_{i_0}(t) > 0$.

Assume that (10) has a negative solution $\overline{U}_{i_0}(t)$. Noting that condition (12) and using the above mentioned method, we easily obtain a contradiction. The proof is complete. \Box

Using the above oscillation results, it is not difficult to derive the following strong oscillation conclusions.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$,

(15)
$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_i(t)V(t) + \sum_{r=1}^{s} la_{ir}(t)V(\rho_{ir}(t)) \end{pmatrix}' \\ + \sum_{h=1}^{l} \int_{a}^{b} q_{ih}(t,\xi)V(g_{ih}(t,\xi)) \, d\sigma(\xi) \leq H_i(t)$$

has no eventually positive solutions and

(16)
$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_i(t)V(t) + \sum_{r=1}^s \lambda_{ir}(t)V(\rho_{ir}(t)) \end{pmatrix}' + \sum_{h=1}^l \int_a^b q_{ih}(t,\xi)V(g_{ih}(t,\xi)) \, d\sigma(\xi) \ge H_i(t)$$

has no eventually negative solutions.

Then every solution of the problem (1), (2) oscillates strongly in G.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$,

(17)
$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t H_i(s) \, ds = -\infty, \quad t_1 \ge t_0,$$

and

(18)
$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t H_i(s) \, ds = \infty, \quad t_1 \ge t_0,$$

hold. Then every solution of the problem (1), (2) oscillates strongly in G.

Next, we study the oscillation of the problem (1), (3).

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $u(x,t) = \{u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t), \ldots, u_m(x,t)\}^T$ is a solution of the problem (1), (3) in G, and the following hypothesis (H8) is satisfied:

(H8)
$$a_{ik}(t) = b_{ik}(t) = 0, \ i \neq k, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \ k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

If there exists some $i_0 \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ such that $u_{i_0}(x, t) > 0$, $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$, then $\widetilde{U}_{i_0}(t)$ satisfies the neutral differential inequality

(19)
$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_{i_0}(t)V(t) + \sum_{r=1}^{s} \lambda_{i_0r}(t)V(\rho_{i_0r}(t)) \end{pmatrix}' + \alpha_0 a_{i_0i_0}(t)V(t) + \alpha_0 b_{i_0i_0}(t)V(\tau_{i_0i_0}(t)) \\ + \sum_{h=1}^{l} \int_{a}^{b} q_{i_0h}(t,\xi)V(g_{i_0h}(t,\xi)) \, d\sigma(\xi) \leq E_{i_0}(t).$$

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, consider equation (5). Multiplying both sides of (5) by $\varphi(x)$ and integrating with respect to x over the domain Ω , and noting the hypothesis (H8), we have (20)

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \Big(\delta_{i_0}(t) \int_{\Omega} u_{i_0}(x,t) \varphi(x) \, dx + \sum_{r=1}^{s} \lambda_{i_0r}(t) \int_{\Omega} u_{i_0}(x,\rho_{i_0r}(t)) \varphi(x) \, dx \Big) \\ &= a_{i_0i_0}(t) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_{i_0}(x,t) \varphi(x) \, dx + b_{i_0i_0}(t) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_{i_0}(x,\tau_{i_0i_0}(t)) \varphi(x) \, dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega} c_{i_0}(x,t,(u_k(x,t))_{k=1}^m,(u_k(x,\sigma_{i_0k}(t)))_{k=1}^m) \varphi(x) \, dx \\ &- \sum_{h=1}^{l} \int_{\Omega} \int_{a}^{b} q_{i_0h}(x,t,\xi) u_{i_0}(x,g_{i_0h}(t,\xi)) \, d\sigma(xi) \varphi(x) \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} f_{i_0}(x,t) \varphi(x) \, dx, \quad t \ge t_1. \end{split}$$

Using Green's formula and the boundary condition (3), we obtain (21)

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u_{i_0}(x,t)\varphi(x) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} u_{i_0}(x,t)\Delta\varphi(x) \, dx$$
$$= -\alpha_0 \int_{\Omega} u_{i_0}(x,t)\varphi(x) \, dx,$$

and
$$(22)$$

$$\int_{\Omega}^{22} \Delta u_{i_0}(x, \tau_{i_0 i_0}(t))\varphi(x) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} u_{i_0}(x, \tau_{i_0 i_0}(t))\Delta\varphi(x) \, dx$$
$$= -\alpha_0 \int_{\Omega} u_{i_0}(x, \tau_{i_0 i_0}(t))\varphi(x) \, dx, \quad t \ge t_1.$$

It is easy to see that

(23)

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{a}^{b} q_{i_{0}h}(x,t,\xi) u_{i_{0}}(x,g_{i_{0}h}(t,\xi)) \varphi(x) \, d\sigma(\xi) \, dx$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} \int_{\Omega} q_{i_{0}h}(x,t,\xi) u_{i_{0}}(x,g_{i_{0}h}(t,\xi)) \varphi(x) \, dx \, d\sigma(\xi)$$

$$\geq \int_{a}^{b} q_{i_{0}h}(t,\xi) \int_{\Omega} u_{i_{0}}(x,g_{i_{0}h}(t,\xi)) \varphi(x) \, dx \, d\sigma(\xi), \quad h = 1, 2, \dots, l,$$

and

(24)
$$c_{i_0}\left(x, t, (u_k(x, t))_{k=1}^m, (u_k(x, \sigma_{i_0k}(t)))_{k=1}^m\right)\varphi(x) > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \left(\delta_{i_0}(t) \widetilde{U}_{i_0}(t) + \sum_{r=1}^{s} \lambda_{i_0 r}(t) \widetilde{U}_{i_0}(\rho_{i_0 r}(t)) \right)' \\ &+ \alpha_0 a_{i_0 i_0}(t) \widetilde{U}_{i_0}(t) + \alpha_0 b_{i_0 i_0}(t) \widetilde{U}_{i_0}(\tau_{i_0 i_0}(t)) \\ &+ \sum_{h=1}^{l} \int_{a}^{b} q_{i_0 h}(t,\xi) \widetilde{U}_{i_0}(g_{i_0 h}(t,\xi)) \, d\sigma(\xi) \leq E_{i_0}(t), \end{split}$$

which shows that $\widetilde{U}_{i_0}(t) > 0$ is a positive solution of the inequality (19). This completes the proof. \Box

Similarly, we also have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. Assume that $u(x,t) = \{u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t), \ldots, u_m(x,t)\}^T$ is a solution of the problem (1), (3) in *G*, and the hypothesis (H8) holds. If there exists some $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ such that $u_{i_0}(x,t) < 0$, $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$, then $\widetilde{U}_{i_0}(t)$ satisfies the neutral differential inequality

(25)
$$\left(\delta_{i_0}(t)V(t) + \sum_{r=1}^{s} \lambda_{i_0r}(t)V(\rho_{i_0r}(t)) \right)' + \alpha_0 a_{i_0i_0}(t)V(t) + \alpha_0 b_{i_0i_0}(t)V(\tau_{i_0i_0}(t)) + \sum_{h=1}^{l} \int_{a}^{b} q_{i_0h}(t,\xi)V(g_{i_0h}(t,\xi)) \, d\sigma(\xi) \ge E_{i_0}(t).$$

Using Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we easily establish the following results.

Theorem 2.5. Assume that the hypothesis (H8) holds. If there exists some $i_0 \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ such that the inequality (19) has no eventually

positive solutions and the inequality (25) has no eventually negative solutions, then every solution of the problem (1), (3) is oscillatory in G.

Theorem 2.6. Assume that the hypothesis (H8) holds. If there exists some $i_0 \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ such that

(26)
$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t E_{i_0}(s) \, ds = -\infty, \quad t_1 \ge t_0,$$

and

(27)
$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t E_{i_0}(s) \, ds = \infty, \quad t_1 \ge t_0,$$

hold. Then every solution of the problem (1), (3) is oscillatory in G.

Theorem 2.7. Assume that the hypothesis (H8) holds. If for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$,

(28)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_i(t)V(t) + \sum_{r=1}^s \lambda_{ir}(t)V(\rho_{ir}(t)) \end{pmatrix}' + \alpha_0 a_{ii}(t)V(t) + \alpha_0 b_{ii}(t)V(\tau_{ii}(t)) \\ + \sum_{h=1}^l \int_a^b q_{ih}(t,\xi)V(g_{ih}(t,\xi)) \, d\sigma(\xi) \le E_i(t)$$

has no eventually positive solutions and

(29)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_i(t)V(t) + \sum_{r=1}^s \lambda_{ir}(t)V(\rho_{ir}(t)) \end{pmatrix}' \\
+ \alpha_0 a_{ii}(t)V(t) + \alpha_0 b_{ii}(t)V(\tau_{ii}(t)) \\
+ \sum_{h=1}^l \int_a^b q_{ih}(t,\xi)V(g_{ih}(t,\xi)) \, d\sigma(\xi) \ge E_i(t)$$

has no eventually negative solutions.

Then every solution of the problem (1), (3) oscillates strongly in G.

Theorem 2.8. Suppose that the hypothesis (H8) holds, and for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$

(30)
$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t E_i(s) \, ds = -\infty, \quad t_1 \ge t_0,$$

and

(31)
$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t E_i(s) \, ds = \infty, \quad t_1 \ge t_0,$$

hold. Then every solution of the problem (1), (3) oscillates strongly in G.

3. Examples. In this section, we give some illustrative examples.

Example 3.1. Consider the system of neutral parabolic differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} (32) \\ \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[u_1(x,t) + u_1(x,t-\pi) \right] &= \Delta u_1(x,t) + \frac{1}{3} \Delta u_1(x,t-\pi) + e^t \Delta u_2(x,t) \\ &+ \frac{2}{3} \Delta u_2 \left(x, t - \left(3 \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right) \\ &- (u_1(x,t) + u_1(x,t-\pi)) \exp\{ u_2(x,t) + u_2(x,t-\pi) \} \\ &- \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi/2} e^t u_1(x,t+\xi) \, d\xi - e^t \sin t \cos x - e^t (\sin t + \cos t), \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[u_2(x,t) + u_2(x,t-\pi) \right] &= 2\Delta u_1(x,t) + \frac{5}{3} \Delta u_1(x,t-\pi) + e^t \Delta u_2(x,t) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} \Delta u_2 \left(x, t - \left(3 \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right) \\ &- (u_2(x,t) + u_2(x,t-\pi)) \exp\{ u_1(x,t) + u_1(x,t-\pi) \} \\ &- \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi/2} e^t u_2(x,t+\xi) \, d\xi + e^t \sin t \cos x + e^t (\sin t - \cos t), \\ &(x,t) \in (0,\pi) \times [0,\infty), \end{aligned}$$

with boundary condition

(33)
$$\frac{\partial u_i(0,t)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u_i(\pi,t)}{\partial x} = 0, \quad t \ge 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Here $\Omega = (0, \pi)$, n = 1, m = 2, s = 1, l = 1, $\delta_1(t) = \delta_2(t) = 1$, $\lambda_{11}(t) = \lambda_{21}(t) = 1$, $\rho_{11}(t) = \rho_{21}(t) = t - \pi$, $a_{11}(t) = 1$, $a_{12}(t) = e^t$, $a_{21}(t) = 2$, $a_{22}(t) = e^t$, $b_{11}(t) = 1/3$, $b_{12}(t) = 2/3$, $b_{21}(t) = 5/3$, $b_{22}(t) = 1/3$, $\tau_{11}(t) = \tau_{21}(t) = t - \pi$, $\tau_{12}(t) = \tau_{22}(t) = t - (3\pi/2)$,

$$c_1(x, t, u_1(x, t), u_2(x, t), u_1(x, \sigma_{11}(t)), u_2(x, \sigma_{12}(t))) = (u_1(x, t) + u_1(x, \sigma_{11}(t))) \exp\{u_2(x, t) + u_{12}(x, \sigma_{12}(t))\},\$$

$$c_2(x,t,u_1(x,t),u_2(x,t),u_1(x,\sigma_{21}(t)),u_2(x,\sigma_{22}(t)))) = (u_2(x,t)+u_2(x,\sigma_{22}(t))) \exp\{u_1(x,t)+u_1(x,\sigma_{21}(t))\},$$

 $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{11}(t) &= \sigma_{12}(t) = \sigma_{21}(t) = \sigma_{22}(t) = t - \pi, \ q_{11}(x, t, \xi) = q_{21}(x, t, \xi) = e^t, \\ a &= -\pi, \ b = -\pi/2, \ g_{11}(t, \xi) = g_{21}(t, \xi) = t + \xi, \ \psi_1(x, t) = \psi_2(x, t) = 0, \\ f_1(x, t) &= -e^t \sin t \cos x - e^t (\sin t + \cos t), \ f_2(x, t) = e^t \sin t \cos x + e^t (\sin t - \cos t). \end{aligned}$

It is obvious that $\Psi_1(t) = \Psi_2(t) = 0$, $\Psi_1(\tau_{11}(t)) = \Psi_1(\tau_{21}(t)) = 0$, $\Psi_2(\tau_{12}(t)) = \Psi_2(\tau_{22}(t)) = 0$, $\Phi_1(t) = \Phi_2(t) = 0$, $\Phi_1(\tau_{11}(t)) = \Phi_1(\tau_{21}(t)) = 0$, $\Phi_2(\tau_{12}(t)) = \Phi_2(\tau_{22}(t)) = 0$, then

$$H_1(t) = F_1(t) = \int_{\Omega} f_1(x,t) \, dx = \int_0^{\pi} f_1(x,t) \, dx = -\pi e^t(\sin t + \cos t),$$

$$H_2(t) = F_2(t) = \int_{\Omega} f_2(x,t) \, dx = \int_0^{\pi} f_2(x,t) \, dx = \pi e^t(\sin t - \cos t).$$

Hence

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t H_1(s) \, ds = -\infty, \quad \limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t H_1(s) \, ds = \infty,$$

and

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t H_2(s) \, ds = -\infty, \quad \limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t H_2(s) \, ds = \infty,$$

which shows that all the conditions of Theorem 2.4 are fulfilled. Then every solution of the problem (32), (33) oscillates strongly in $(0, \pi) \times$ $[0, \infty)$. In fact, $u_1(x, t) = (1 + \cos x) \sin t$, $u_2(x, t) = (1 + \cos x) \cos t$ is such a solution.

Example 3.2. Consider the system of neutral parabolic differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[u_1(x,t) + u_1(x,t-\pi) \right] = (e^t + 1) \Delta u_1(x,t) + \Delta u_1(x,t-\pi) \\ + \Delta u_2(x,t) + (-1) \Delta u_2 \left(x, t - \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right) - u_1(x,t) - u_1(x,t-\pi) \\ - \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi/2} e^t u_1(x,t+\xi) \, d\xi + \left(\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) - e^t \cos t \right) \cos x - e^t (\sin t + \cos t), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[t u_2(x,t) + 2 u_2 \left(x, t - \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \right) \right] = \Delta u_1(x,t) + \Delta u_1(x,t-\pi) \\ + \Delta u_2(x,t) + 3\Delta u_2 \left(x, t - \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \right) - u_2(x,t) - u_2 \left(x, t - \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \right) \\ - \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi/2} \frac{8}{\pi} u_2(x,t+\xi) \, d\xi + \left(12t - \left(13\frac{\pi}{3}\right) + 3 \right) \cos x, \\ (x,t) \in (0,\pi) \times [0,\infty), \end{cases}$$

with the boundary condition (33).

It is easy to see that $H_1(t) = -\pi e^t (\sin t + \cos t), H_2(t) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t H_1(s) \, ds = -\infty,$$
$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t H_1(s) \, ds = \infty.$$

Then, using Theorem 2.2, we obtain that every solution of the problem (34), (33) oscillates in $(0, \pi) \times [0, \infty)$. In fact, $u_1(x, t) = (1 + \cos x) \sin t$, $u_2(x, t) = t \cos x$ is such a solution.

Example 3.3. Consider the system of neutral parabolic differential equations

(35)

$$\begin{split} & \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big[2u_1(x,t) + \frac{1}{3} \, u_1(x,t-\pi) \Big] = e^t \Delta u_1(x,t) + \frac{5}{3} \, \Delta u_1 \Big(x, t - \Big(\frac{\pi}{2} \Big) \Big) \right] \\ & - (u_1(x,t) + u_1(x,t-\pi)) \exp \Big\{ u_2(x,t) + u_2 \Big(x, t - \Big(\frac{\pi}{2} \Big) \Big) \Big\} \\ & - \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi/2} e^t u_1(x,t+\xi) \, d\xi + e^t \sin t \sin x, \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big[\frac{1}{3} \, u_2(x,t) + \Big(7 \, \frac{\pi}{4} \Big) u_2 \Big(x, t - \Big(\frac{\pi}{3} \Big) \Big) \Big] \\ & = 2 \Delta u_2(x,t) + 4 \Delta u_2 \Big(x, t - \Big(\frac{\pi}{4} \Big) \Big) \\ & - \Big(u_2(x,t) + u_2 \Big(x, t - \frac{1}{3} \Big) \Big) \exp \{ u_1(x,t) + u_1(x,t-\pi) \} \\ & - \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi/2} \frac{2}{\pi} \, u_2(x,t+\xi) \, d\xi + 9t \sin x, \\ & \vdots (x,t) \in (0,\pi) \times [0,1), \end{split}$$

with the boundary condition

(36)
$$u_i(0,t) = u_i(\pi,t) = 0, \quad t \ge 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Here $f_1(x,t) = e^t \sin t \sin x$, $f_2(x,t) = 9t \sin x$. We easily see that $\alpha_0 = 1$, $\varphi(x) = \sin x$. Let $i_0 = 1$, then

$$E_{i_0}(t) = E_1(t) = \int_{\Omega} f_1(x, t)\varphi(x) \, dx = \int_0^{\pi} e^t \sin t \sin^2 x \, dx = \frac{\pi}{2} e^t \sin t.$$

Hence,

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t E_1(s) \, ds = -1, \quad \limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^t E_1(s) \, ds = \infty,$$

then using Theorem 2.6, we obtain that every solution of the problem (35), (36) oscillates in $(0, \pi) \times [0, \infty)$. In fact, $u_1(x, t) = \cos t \sin x$, $u_2(x, t) = t \sin x$ is such a solution.

Acknowledgment. The authors are very grateful to the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of mathematical physics*, Vol. I, Interscience, New York, 1966.

2. Ju.I. Domšlovk, On the oscillation of solutions of vector differential equations, Soviet Math. Dokl. **11** (1970), 839–841.

3. Y.K. Li, Oscillation of systems of hyperbolic differential equations with deviating arguments, Acta Math. Sinica **40** (1997), 100–105 (in Chinese).

4. W.N. Li, Oscillation properties for systems of hyperbolic differential equations of neutral type, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **248** (2000), 369–384.

5. W.N. Li and B.T. Cui, Oscillation for systems of parabolic equations of neutral type, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 23 (1999), 447–456.

6. ———, Oscillation for systems of neutral delay hyperbolic differential equations, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (2000), 933–948.

7. W.N. Li and L. Debnath, Oscillation of a system of delay hyperbolic differential equations, Int. J. Appl. Math. **2** (2000), 417–431.

8. W.N. Li and F.W. Meng, Oscillation for systems of neutral partial differential equations with continuous distributed deviating arguments, Demonstratio Math. **34** (2001), 619–633.

9. E. Minchev and N. Yoshida, Oscillation of solutions of vector differential equations of parabolic type with functional arguments, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **151** (2003), 107–117.

10. E.S. Noussair and C.A. Swanson, Oscillation theorems for vector differential equations, Util. Math. **1** (1972), 97–109.

11.——, Oscillation of nonlinear vector differential equations, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **109** (1976), 305–315.

12. J. Wu, Theory and applications of partial functional differential equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

Department of Mathematics, Binzhou University, Shandong 256603, China

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{wnli@263.net}$

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, China