

F. S. Cater, Department of Mathematics, Portland State University,
Portland, Oregon 97207, USA

NOTE ON THE OUTER MEASURES OF IMAGES OF SETS

Abstract

Let f be a real function on \mathbb{R} , let $\{I_v\}$ be a family of intervals covering a set E such that $m(E \cap I_v) \geq m(f(E \cap I_v))$ for each I_v . We prove that $m(f(E)) \leq 2 \cdot m(E)$. No coefficient smaller than 2 will suffice here in general.

This note concerns the following well-known [HS] result.

Proposition. *Let f be a real valued function on the line \mathbb{R} , differentiable at each point of a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that $|f'(x)| \leq 1$ for each $x \in E$. Then $m(f(E)) \leq m(E)$, where m denotes Lebesgue outer measure.*

The usual proofs [HS] employ appropriate Vitali coverings of E or of $f(E)$. We wonder if the conclusion holds when we dispense with the derivative and just let E be covered by a family of intervals $\{I\}$ such that $m(E \cap I) \geq m(f(E \cap I))$ for each I in the family. That $m(E) \geq m(f(E))$ need not hold is shown in Proposition 1. Indeed $m(f(E))$ could be almost twice $m(E)$.

Theorem 1. *Let f be a real valued function on \mathbb{R} , let E be a subset of \mathbb{R} and let $\{I_v\}$ be a family of intervals covering E . Let $m(I_v) \geq m(f(E \cap I_v))$ for each I_v . Then $m(f(E)) \leq 2m(\cup_v I_v)$. If moreover $m(E \cap I_v) \geq m(f(E \cap I_v))$ for each I_v , then $m(f(E)) \leq 2 \cdot m(E)$.*

PROOF. Let $U = \cup_v (\text{interior } I_v)$. Every point in $E \setminus U$ must be an endpoint of a component of U . Thus $E \setminus U$ is at most a countable set. Without loss of generality we can (and do) assume that $E \subset U$ and each I_v is an open interval. By the Lindelöf Theorem [C], there are countably many intervals I_1, I_2, I_3, \dots such that $U = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$.

Let k be a real number such that $k < m(f(E))$. Choose an index N such that

$$m(f(E \cap \cup_{j=1}^N I_j)) \geq k. \quad (1)$$

Key Words: coverings, Lebesgue outer measure
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 28A12
Received by the editors October 31, 2000

We consider each subfamily of $X = \{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_N\}$ for which the union of its intervals equals $I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_N$. Let $\{K_1, K_2, \dots, K_t\}$ be such a subfamily with a minimum number of intervals. Then no K_i can be a subset of $\cup_{j \neq i} K_j$; otherwise we could delete K_i . Thus no two K_i can have the same left endpoint. Say the K_i are $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_t, b_t)$, where $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_t$. Now (a_1, b_1) cannot meet (a_j, b_j) for any $j > 2$; for if it did, either $(a_j, b_j) \subset (a_2, b_2)$ where $b_j \leq b_2$, or $(a_2, b_2) \subset (a_1, b_1) \cup (a_j, b_j)$ where $b_j > b_2$. It follows likewise that the intervals $(a_1, b_1), (a_3, b_3), (a_5, b_5), \dots$ are mutually disjoint, and the intervals $(a_2, b_2), (a_4, b_4), (a_6, b_6), \dots$ are mutually disjoint. But

$$\begin{aligned} m(\cup_v I_v) &\geq m((a_1, b_1)) + m((a_3, b_3)) + m((a_5, b_5)) + \dots \\ &\geq m(f(E \cap (a_1, b_1))) + m(f(E \cap (a_3, b_3))) \\ &\quad + m(f(E \cap (a_5, b_5))) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} m(\cup_v I_v) &\geq m((a_2, b_2)) + m((a_4, b_4)) + m((a_6, b_6)) + \dots \\ &\geq m(f(E \cap (a_2, b_2))) + m(f(E \cap (a_4, b_4))) \\ &\quad + m(f(E \cap (a_6, b_6))) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We add (2) and (3) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \cdot m(\cup_v I_v) &\geq m(f(E \cap (a_1, b_1))) + m(f(E \cap (a_2, b_2))) \\ &\quad + \dots + m(f(E \cap (a_t, b_t))) \\ &\geq m(f(E \cap \cup_{j=1}^t (a_j, b_j))). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

But because $\cup_{j=1}^t (a_j, b_j) = \cup_{i=1}^N I_i$

$$m(f(E \cap \cup_{j=1}^t (a_j, b_j))) = m(f(E \cap \cup_{i=1}^N I_i)). \quad (5)$$

From (1), (4) and (5) we obtain $2 \cdot m(\cup_v I_v) \geq k$. Because k was arbitrary, we have $2 \cdot m(\cup_v I_v) \geq m(f(E))$.

For the second conclusion in Theorem 1, replace (a_i, b_i) with $E \cap (a_i, b_i)$ in (2), (3) and (4). \square

We now show that 2 is the smallest coefficient we can use in Theorem 1.

Proposition 1. *For each number $d < 2$, there is a continuous piecewise linear function F_d on \mathbb{R} and intervals J_{d1}, J_{d2} in \mathbb{R} for which*

$$m(J_{d1}) = m(J_{d2}) = m(F_d(J_{d1})) = m(F_d(J_{d2})),$$

and

$$m(F_d(J_{d1} \cup J_{d2})) > d \cdot m(J_{d1} \cup J_{d2}).$$

PROOF. Fix a real number p for which $0 < p < \frac{1}{4}$. In the plane \mathbb{R}^2 , draw the segments from $(-\infty, 0)$ to $(0, 0)$, from $(0, 0)$ to $(p, 1)$, from $(p, 1)$ to $(1-p, 1)$, from $(1-p, 1)$ to $(1, 2)$, and from $(1, 2)$ to $(\infty, 2)$. Let f_p be the real function on \mathbb{R} whose graph is the broken line just constructed. From this graph we infer that $f_p\left(\frac{p^2}{1-p}\right) = \frac{p}{1-p}$, $f_p\left(\frac{1-p-p^2}{1-p}\right) = \frac{2-3p}{1-p}$ and $f_p(p) = f_p(1-p) = 1$. Let I_1 be the interval on the x -axis with endpoints $x = \frac{p^2}{1-p}$ and $x = 1-p$. Let I_2 be the interval on the x -axis with endpoints $x = p$ and $\frac{1-p-p^2}{1-p}$. It follows that the interval $f_p(I_1)$ on the y -axis has endpoints $y = \frac{p}{1-p}$ and $y = 1$; the interval $f_p(I_2)$ on the y -axis has endpoints $y = 1$ and $y = \frac{2-3p}{1-p}$. Direct computations give

$$m(I_1) = m(I_2) = m(f_p(I_1)) = m(f_p(I_2)) = \frac{1-2p}{1-p},$$

$$m(I_1 \cup I_2) = \frac{1-p-2p^2}{1-p} \quad \text{and} \quad m(f_p(I_1 \cup I_2)) = \frac{2-4p}{1-p}.$$

It follows that $\frac{m(f_p(I_1 \cup I_2))}{m(I_1 \cup I_2)} = \frac{2-4p}{1-p-2p^2}$. Clearly, for any positive number $d < 2$, there is a positive number $p < \frac{1}{4}$ (depending on d), for which

$$m(f_p(I_1 \cup I_2)) > d \cdot m(I_1 \cup I_2).$$

We infer from this the conclusion of Proposition 1. □

We now list some consequences of our Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *Let $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $\{I_v\}$ be a family of intervals such that $(\text{diameter } f(I_v)) \leq (\text{diameter } I_v)$ for each I_v . Then $m(f(\cup_v I_v)) \leq 2 \cdot m(\cup_v I_v)$.*

PROOF. Note that $m(f(I_v)) \leq (\text{diameter } f(I_v))$. Put $E = \cup_v I_v$ in Theorem 1. □

Corollary 2. *Let f be absolutely continuous on \mathbb{R} and let $\{I_v\}$ be a family of intervals such that $\cup_v I_v \subset [0, 1]$, $m(\cup_v I_v) = 1$ and $m(f(I_v)) \leq m(I_v)$ for each I_v . Then $\max f[0, 1] - \min f[0, 1] \leq 2$.*

PROOF. Now $\cup_v I_v$ is evidently measurable and $m([0, 1] \setminus (\cup_v I_v)) = 0$. Because f is absolutely continuous we have $m(f([0, 1] \setminus (\cup_v I_v))) = 0$. Apply Theorem 1 with $E = \cup_v I_v$. \square

Corollary 3. *Let f be continuous on \mathbb{R} and let $\{I_v\}$ be a family of intervals such that $\cup_v I_v \subset [0, 1]$, the set $[0, 1] \setminus (\cup_v I_v)$ is a countable set, and $m(f(I_v)) \leq m(I_v)$ for each I_v . Then $\max f[0, 1] - \min f[0, 1] \leq 2$.*

PROOF. Use a scheme much like the proof of Corollary 2. \square

Corollary 4. *Let f be nondecreasing and let $\{(a_v, b_v)\}$ be a family of intervals such that $f(b_v) - f(a_v) \leq b_v - a_v$ for each interval (a_v, b_v) . Then*

$$m(f(\cup_v (a_v, b_v))) \leq 2 \cdot m(\cup_v (a_v, b_v)).$$

PROOF. Corollary 1. \square

Corollary 5. *Let f be a continuous strictly increasing function on \mathbb{R} and let $\{(a_v, b_v)\}$ be a family of intervals such that $f(b_v) - f(a_v) \geq b_v - a_v$ for each (a_v, b_v) . Then $m(f(\cup_v (a_v, b_v))) \geq \frac{m(\cup_v (a_v, b_v))}{2}$.*

PROOF. Let g be the inverse function of f on $f(\mathbb{R})$. Apply Corollary 4 to g and the family of intervals $\{f(a_v), f(b_v)\}$. \square

In the proof of Theorem 1 we should not expect that there necessarily exists a subfamily of mutually nonoverlapping intervals I_1, I_2, I_3, \dots with $\sum_j m(I_j) \geq \frac{m(\cup_v I_v)}{2}$. Let, for example, $J_n = (-5^{-n}, \sum_{i=1}^n 2^{-i})$ for each positive integer n , and $E = (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n) \cup (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (-J_n))$. Then each subfamily of mutually nonoverlapping intervals is a singleton family, but the length of no interval here is as large as $\frac{m(E)}{2}$.

References

- [C] H. Cullen, *Introduction to General Topology*, D. C. Heath, Boston, 1968 (Theorem 18.15).
- [HS] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1965 (Exercises (17.25), (17.26), (17.27)).