A. K. Lerner, Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, 52900 Ramat Gan, Israel. e-mail: aklerner@netvision.net.il

ON THE JOHN-STRÖMBERG CHARACTERIZATION OF BMO FOR NONDOUBLING MEASURES

Abstract

A well known result proved by F. John for $0 < \lambda < 1/2$ and by J.-O. Strömberg for $\lambda = 1/2$ states that

$$\|f\|_{BMO(\omega)} \asymp \sup_{Q} \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \inf\{\alpha > 0 : \omega\{x \in Q : |f(x) - c| > \alpha\} < \lambda \omega(Q)\}$$

for any measure ω satisfying the doubling condition. In this note we extend this result to all absolutely continuous measures. In particular, we show that Strömberg's "1/2-phenomenon" still holds in the nondoubling case. An important role in our analysis is played by a weighted rearrangement inequality, relating any measurable function and its John-Strömberg maximal function. This inequality was proved earlier by the author in the doubling case; here we show that actually it holds for all weights. Also we refine a result due to B. Jawerth and A. Torchinsky, concerning pointwise estimates for the John-Strömberg maximal function.

1 Introduction

Let ω be a weight; that is, non-negative, locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^n . Given a measurable set E, let $\omega(E) = \int_E \omega(x) dx$. A weight (or measure) ω is doubling if there exists a constant c such that $\omega(2Q) \leq c\omega(Q)$ for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Throughout this work we shall only consider open cubes with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.

We say that f_{ω}^* is the weighted non-increasing rearrangement of a measurable function f with respect to ω if it is non-increasing on $(0, \omega(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and ω -equimeasurable with |f|; i.e., for all $\alpha > 0$,

 $|\{t \in (0, \omega(\mathbb{R}^n)) : f^*_{\omega}(t) > \alpha\}| = \omega\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > \alpha\}.$

Key Words: BMO, nondoubling measures, rearrangements

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 42B25, 46E30 Received by the editors February 10, 2003

We shall assume that the rearrangement is left-continuous. Then it is uniquely determined and can be defined by the equality

$$f_{\omega}^*(t) = \sup_{\omega(E)=t} \inf_{x \in E} |f(x)|.$$

A function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\omega)$ is said to belong to $BMO(\omega)$ if

$$||f||_{BMO(\omega)} = \sup_{Q} \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_{Q} |f(x) - f_{Q,\omega}|\omega(x) \, dx < \infty,$$

where $f_{Q,\omega} = (\omega(Q))^{-1} \int_{Q} f\omega$ is the mean value of f over Q.

It is well known that if a weight ω is doubling, then any $f \in BMO(\omega)$ satisfies the John-Nirenberg inequality which says that for every cube Q we have (see [4, 7]):

$$\left((f - f_{Q,\omega})\chi_Q\right)^*_{\omega}(t) \le c \|f\|_{BMO(\omega)} \log \frac{2\omega(Q)}{t} \quad (0 < t < \omega(Q)).$$
(1)

(This inequality is usually formulated in terms of the distribution function but it will be a more convenient for us to use this equivalent "rearrangement" form.)

F. John [3] and J.-O. Strömberg [11] showed that a very weak condition

$$\sup_{Q} \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \left((f - c) \chi_Q \right)_{\omega}^* \left(\lambda \omega(Q) \right) < \infty \ (0 < \lambda \le 1/2)$$
(2)

equivalent to $f \in BMO(\omega)$; so (2) implies (1). This result was obtained in the unweighted case but it can easily be extended to the case when ω is any doubling weight. In [11], the following so-called local sharp maximal function was introduced (or the John-Strömberg maximal function) which naturally connected with condition (2):

$$M_{\lambda,\omega}^{\#}f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \left((f-c)\chi_Q \right)_{\omega}^* \left(\lambda \omega(Q) \right) \quad (0 < \lambda \le 1).$$

The John-Strömberg characterization states that for $0 < \lambda \leq 1/2$,

$$\lambda \|M_{\lambda,\omega}^{\#}f\|_{\infty} \le \|f\|_{BMO(\omega)} \le c \|M_{\lambda,\omega}^{\#}f\|_{\infty}.$$
(3)

Note that the left-hand side of (3) trivially holds, by Chebyshev's inequality, for all $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. The right-hand side of (3) was proved by John [3] for $0 < \lambda < 1/2$, and a more difficult result that it also holds for $\lambda = 1/2$ was proved by Strömberg [11]. A simple argument shows (see [11]) that this

inequality fails for $\lambda > 1/2$. A key ingredient in proving the right-hand side of (3) is a somewhat stronger formulation of the John-Nirenberg inequality

$$\left(\left(f - m_{f,\omega}(Q) \right) \chi_Q \right)_{\omega}^*(t) \le c \| M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#} f \|_{\infty} \log \frac{2\omega(Q)}{t} \ (0 < t < \omega(Q)), \quad (4)$$

where $m_{f,\omega}(Q)$ is a weighted median value of f over Q; i.e., a, possibly nonunique, real number such that

$$\omega\{x \in Q : f(x) > m_{f,\omega}(Q)\} \le \omega(Q)/2$$

and

$$\omega\{x \in Q : f(x) < m_{f,\omega}(Q)\} \le \omega(Q)/2.$$

In a recent work [6], it is shown that actually the John-Nirenberg inequality (1) holds for any (not necessarily doubling) weight ω and the corresponding constant c in (1) depends only on n. A natural question arises whether the John-Strömberg characterization of BMO still holds for nondoubling measures. A closely related question is whether or not the "1/2-phenomenon" expressed in (4) holds in the general nondoubling case. It is known, for example, that for BMO defined in terms of local polynomial approximation the corresponding John-Strömberg characterization fails when $\lambda = 1/2$ (see [10]).

In this paper, using a covering argument presented in [6], we extend to nondoubling weights a weighted rearrangement inequality proved in [5] only in the doubling case. More precisely, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let ω be any weight. Then for any measurable function f and each cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$(f\chi_{Q})^{*}_{\omega}(t) \leq 2\left(\left(M^{\#}_{\lambda_{n},\omega}f\right)\chi_{Q}\right)^{*}_{\omega}(2t) + (f\chi_{Q})^{*}_{\omega}(2t) \ (0 < t \le \lambda_{n}\omega(Q)),$$
(5)

where a constant λ_n depends only on n.

It follows easily from this theorem that the nondoubling John-Strömberg characterization holds for $\lambda \leq \lambda_n$. Next, combining geometric arguments from [6] and [11], we show that $\|M_{\lambda_n,\omega}^{\#}\|_{\infty} \leq c_n \|M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#}\|_{\infty}$, which gives a positive answer to our question.

Theorem 1.2. Inequality (4) holds for any weight ω with a constant c depending only on n.

To state our next result, we recall that the weighted Hardy-Littlewood and Fefferman-Stein maximal functions are defined respectively by

$$M_{\omega}f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_{Q} |f(y)|\omega(y) \, dy$$

and

$$f_{\omega}^{\#}(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_{Q} |f(y) - f_{Q,\omega}| \omega(y) \, dy.$$

In [2], a more precise result than (3) was obtained for any doubling weight ω and $\lambda \leq \lambda(\omega, n)$; namely, for any $f \in L^1_{loc}(\omega)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$c_{\lambda,\omega}M_{\omega}(M_{\lambda,\omega}^{\#}f)(x) \le f_{\omega}^{\#}(x) \le c_{\omega}M_{\omega}(M_{\lambda,\omega}^{\#}f)(x) \ (0 < \lambda \le \lambda(\omega, n)).$$
(6)

(This was proved only in the unweighted case but the proof easily works for any doubling weight.) Clearly, (6) implies (3) for $\lambda \leq \lambda(\omega, n)$. However, the method of proof shows that $\lambda(\omega, n)$ is essentially smaller than 1/2 even in the case when ω is Lebesgue measure. We will present a different proof of (6) which yields a sharp bound for λ ; namely, (6) holds for all $\lambda \leq 1/2$.

Theorem 1.3. Let ω satisfy the doubling condition. Then for any $f \in L^1_{loc}(\omega)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$c'_{\omega}M_{\omega}(M^{\#}_{1/2,\omega}f)(x) \le f^{\#}_{\omega}(x) \le c''_{\omega}M_{\omega}(M^{\#}_{1/2,\omega}f)(x).$$

We do not know whether this theorem holds for nondoubling weights.

2 Preliminaries

We will use the following covering lemma proved in [6].

Lemma 2.1. Let E be a subset of Q, and suppose that $\omega(E) \leq \rho \omega(Q)$ for $0 < \rho < 1$. Then there exists a sequence $\{Q_i\}$ of cubes contained in Q such that:

- (i) $\omega(Q_i \cap E) = \rho \omega(Q_i);$
- (ii) $\bigcup_{i} Q_{i} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{B_{n}} \bigcup_{i \in F_{k}} Q_{i}$, where each of the family $\{Q_{i}\}_{i \in F_{k}}$ is formed by pairwise disjoint cubes and a constant B_{n} depends only on n; in other

pairwise algoint cubes and a constant B_n depends only on n; in other words, the family $\{Q_i\}$ is almost disjoint with constant B_n ;

(iii) $E' \subset \bigcup_i Q_i$, where E' is the set of ω -density points of E.

We now make some remarks about the median value $m_{f,\omega}(Q)$. It is easy to see, by the definition of the rearrangement, that $|m_{f,\omega}(Q)| \leq (f\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}(\omega(Q)/2)$. Moreover, when f is a non-negative function, one can take

$$m_{f,\omega}(Q) = (f\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}(\omega(Q)/2).$$

Next, it is clear that $m_{f,\omega}(Q) - c = m_{f-c,\omega}(Q)$ for any constant c, and thus, $|m_{f,\omega}(Q) - c| \leq ((f-c)\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}(\omega(Q)/2)$, which in turn gives

$$\left(\left(f - m_{f,\omega}(Q)\right)\chi_Q\right)^*_{\omega}\left(\lambda\omega(Q)\right) \le 2\inf_c \left((f - c)\chi_Q\right)^*_{\omega}\left(\lambda\omega(Q)\right) \tag{7}$$

for all $\lambda \leq 1/2$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $f \ge 0$ and let $\{Q_{\varepsilon}\}$ be a family of cubes, containing a cube Q, such that $Q_{\varepsilon} \subset Q_{\delta}$ when $\varepsilon < \delta$ and $Q_{\varepsilon} \to Q$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Then

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} |(f\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}(\omega(Q)/2) - (f\chi_{Q_{\varepsilon}})^*_{\omega}(\omega(Q_{\varepsilon})/2)| \le 2\inf_{x \in Q} M^{\#}_{1/2,\omega}f(x).$$

PROOF. By the above mentioned properties of the median value,

$$\begin{split} |(f\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}(\omega(Q)/2) - (f\chi_{Q_{\varepsilon}})^*_{\omega}(\omega(Q_{\varepsilon})/2)| \\ &\leq \left(\left(f - (f\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}(\omega(Q)/2)\right)\chi_{Q_{\varepsilon}}\right)^*_{\omega}\left(\omega(Q_{\varepsilon})/2\right) \\ &\leq \left(\left(f - (f\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}(\omega(Q)/2)\right)\chi_{Q_{\varepsilon}}\right)^*_{\omega}\left(\omega(Q)/2\right). \end{split}$$

Since $|f_k| \downarrow |f|$ implies $(f_k)^*_{\omega}(t) \downarrow f^*_{\omega}(t)$ (see [1, p. 41]), we get

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} &| \left(f \chi_Q \right)_{\omega}^* \left(\omega(Q)/2 \right) - \left(f \chi_{Q_{\varepsilon}} \right)_{\omega}^* \left(\omega(Q_{\varepsilon})/2 \right) |\\ &\leq \left(\left(f - \left(f \chi_Q \right)_{\omega}^* \left(\omega(Q)/2 \right) \right) \chi_Q \right)_{\omega}^* \left(\omega(Q)/2 \right). \end{split}$$

Now applying (7) completes the proof.

3 Proofs of the Main Results

3.1 A Weighted Rearrangement Inequality.

Here we prove Theorem 1.1, and its corollary, the nondoubling John-Strömberg characterization for $\lambda \leq \lambda_n$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. The proof follows the same lines as the one of [5, Theorem 3.1], although with some modifications. It is easy to see that for any constant c,

$$\begin{aligned} |c| &\leq \inf_{x \in Q} \left(|f(x) - c| + |f(x)| \right) \leq \left(\left(|f - c| + |f| \right) \chi_Q \right)_{\omega}^* (\omega(Q)) \\ &\leq \left(\left(f - c \right) \chi_Q \right)_{\omega}^* \left(\lambda \omega(Q) \right) + \left(f \chi_Q \right)_{\omega}^* \left(\left(1 - \lambda \right) \omega(Q) \right), \ (0 < \lambda < 1). \end{aligned}$$

From this we get

$$(f\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}(\lambda\omega(Q)) \leq 2\inf_c ((f-c)\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}(\lambda\omega(Q)) + (f\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}((1-\lambda)\omega(Q))$$

$$\leq 2\inf_{x\in Q} M^{\#}_{\lambda,\omega}f(x) + (f\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}((1-\lambda)\omega(Q)).$$

$$(8)$$

Set $\lambda_n = 1/5B_n$, where B_n is the constant from Lemma 2.1. Fix an arbitrary cube Q. Let E be an arbitrary set from Q with $\omega(E) = t$. Next, let $E_1 = \{x \in Q : |f(x)| > (f\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}(2t)\}$ and $\Omega = \{x \in Q : M^{\#}_{\lambda_n,\omega}f(x) > ((M^{\#}_{\lambda_n,\omega}f)\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}(2t)\}$. Observe that $\omega(\Omega) \leq 2t$ and $\omega(E_1) \leq 2t$. Applying Lemma 2.1 to the set E and λ_n , we get that there exists a sequence of almost disjoint cubes $\{Q_i\}$, covering E' and such that $\omega(Q_i \cap E) = \lambda_n \omega(Q_i)$. Therefore,

$$t \leq \sum_{k=1}^{B_n} \sum_{i \in F_k} \omega(Q_i \cap E) = \frac{1}{5B_n} \sum_{k=1}^{B_n} \sum_{i \in F_k} \omega(Q_i),$$

and thus there exists a family $\{Q_i\}_{i\in F_{k_0}}$ of pairwise disjoint cubes such that $\sum_{i\in F_{k_0}} \omega(Q_i) \ge 5t$.

From $\{Q_i\}_{i\in F_{k_0}}$ select a subfamily of cubes $\{Q_i\}_{i\in F'_{k_0}}$ each of which is not contained in Ω ; that is, $Q_i \cap \Omega^c \neq \emptyset$ for any $i \in F'_{k_0}$. Then $\sum_{i\in F'_{k_0}} \omega(Q_i) \geq 3t$, and

$$\inf_{x \in Q_i} M_{\lambda_n,\omega}^{\#} f(x) \le \left((M_{\lambda_n,\omega}^{\#} f) \chi_Q \right)_{\omega}^* (2t)$$
(9)

whenever $i \in F'_{k_0}$. We now claim that among $\{Q_i\}_{i \in F'_{k_0}}$ there is a cube Q_{i_0} such that

$$\left(f\chi_{Q_{i_0}}\right)^*_{\omega}\left((1-\lambda_n)\omega(Q_{i_0})\right) \le (f\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}(2t).$$
(10)

Suppose (10) does not hold for any $i \in F'_{k_0}$. This means that $\omega(Q_i \cap E_1) \ge (1-\lambda_n)\omega(Q_i)$, and hence $3t \le \sum_{i \in F'_{k_0}} \omega(Q_i) \le 2t/(1-\lambda_n)$, which contradicts our choice of λ_n .

Combining (8) - (10), we obtain

$$\inf_{x \in E} |f(x)| \leq \inf_{x \in E \cap Q_{i_0}} |f(x)| \leq \left(f \chi_{Q_{i_0}} \right)_{\omega}^* \left(\lambda_n \omega(Q_{i_0}) \right) \\
\leq 2 \inf_{x \in Q_{i_0}} M_{\lambda_n,\omega}^{\#} f(x) + \left(f \chi_{Q_{i_0}} \right)_{\omega}^* \left((1 - \lambda_n) \omega(Q_{i_0}) \right) \\
\leq 2 \left(\left(M_{\lambda_n,\omega}^{\#} f \right) \chi_Q \right)_{\omega}^* (2t) + \left(f \chi_Q \right)_{\omega}^* (2t).$$

Taking the upper bound over all sets $E \subset Q$ with $\omega(E) = t$ completes the proof.

Corollary 3.1. Let ω be any weight. Then for any measurable function f and each cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\left(\left(f - m_{f,\omega}(Q)\right)\chi_Q\right)_{\omega}^*(t) \le \frac{2}{\log 2} \|M_{\lambda_n,\omega}^{\#}f\|_{\infty} \log \frac{2\omega(Q)}{t}, \ (0 < t < \omega(Q)).$$

PROOF. Applying Theorem 1.1 to $f - m_{f,\omega}(Q)$, we get

$$\left((f - m_{f,\omega}(Q))\chi_Q\right)^*_{\omega}(t) \le 2\|M^{\#}_{\lambda_n,\omega}f\|_{\infty} + \left((f - m_{f,\omega}(Q))\chi_Q\right)^*_{\omega}(2t), \quad (11)$$

whenever $0 < t \leq \lambda_n \omega(Q)$. But it follows from (7) that for $t > \lambda_n \omega(Q)$,

$$\left((f - m_{f,\omega}(Q))\chi_Q\right)^*_{\omega}(t) \le \left((f - m_{f,\omega}(Q))\chi_Q\right)^*_{\omega}\left(\lambda_n\omega(Q)\right) \le 2\|M^{\#}_{\lambda_n,\omega}f\|_{\infty},$$

and so (11) holds for any t > 0.

Suppose now that $\omega(Q)/2^{k+1} < t \le \omega(Q)/2^k$ (k = 0, 1, ...). Iterating (11) k times yields

$$\left((f - m_{f,\omega}(Q))\chi_Q \right)_{\omega}^*(t) \le 2(k+1) \|M_{\lambda_n,\omega}^{\#}f\|_{\infty} \le \frac{2}{\log 2} \|M_{\lambda_n,\omega}^{\#}f\|_{\infty} \log \frac{2\omega(Q)}{t},$$

as required. In the case k = 0, (11) implies this result immediately.)

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2.

In view of Corollary 3.1, to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that

$$\|M_{\lambda_{n,\omega}}^{\#}\|_{\infty} \le c_{n} \|M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#}\|_{\infty}.$$
(12)

We will need the following construction from [6]. For each $x \in Q$ and for r > 0 satisfying $r \leq \ell_Q$, where ℓ_Q denotes the sidelength of Q, define $\widetilde{Q}(x, r)$ as a unique cube with sidelength r, containing x, contained in Q and with center y closest to x. It is clear that if dist $(x, Q^c) > r/2$, then $\widetilde{Q}(x, r)$ will be the cube centered at x.

Note that the bases $\{\widetilde{Q}(x,r)\}_{0 < r \leq \ell_Q}$ is a main tool in proving the covering Lemma 2.1. The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function with respect to this bases was considered in [8]. For our purposes it will be useful to consider the following maximal function which controls the median values of f over cubes from the bases $\{\widetilde{Q}(x,r)\}_{0 < r < \ell_Q}$.

For a measurable function f and for $x \in Q$, define the maximal function $\widetilde{m}_{\omega}f$ by

$$\widetilde{m}_{\omega}f(x) = \sup_{0 < r \le \ell_Q} \left(f\chi_{\widetilde{Q}(x,r)} \right)_{\omega}^* \left(\omega \big(\widetilde{Q}(x,r) \big) / 2 \big).$$

We mention several properties of $\widetilde{m}_{\omega}f$. First of all, for any point $x \in Q$ of approximate continuity of f (see [9, p.132]) and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ one can find a cube $\widetilde{Q}(x,r)$ and a set $E \subset \widetilde{Q}(x,r)$ such that $\omega(E) \geq \omega(\widetilde{Q}(x,r))/2$ and $|f(x)| \leq |f(y)| + \varepsilon$ for all $y \in E$. It follows from this that

$$|f(x)| \le \left(f\chi_{\widetilde{Q}(x,r)}\right)_{\omega}^* \left(\omega\left(\widetilde{Q}(x,r)\right)/2\right) + \varepsilon \le \widetilde{m}_{\omega}f(x) + \varepsilon,$$

which gives

$$|f(x)| \le \widetilde{m}_{\omega} f(x) \quad a.e. \tag{13}$$

The following lemma is a variant of Strömberg's Lemma 3.6 from [11].

Lemma 3.2. Let $f \ge 0$. For $\beta, \delta > 0$, let

$$\Omega = \{ x \in Q : M^{\#}_{1/2,\omega} f(x) > \beta \} \text{ and } E = \{ x \in Q : \widetilde{m}_{\omega} f(x) > \delta \}.$$

Suppose that $(f\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}(\omega(Q)/2) \leq \delta$ and $E \setminus \Omega \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a sequence of cubes $\{Q_i\}$ from Q, covering $E \setminus \Omega$, that are almost disjoint with constant B_n such that for any Q_i , $\delta \leq (f\chi_{Q_i})^*_{\omega}(\omega(Q_i)/2) \leq \delta + 2\beta$.

PROOF. For any $x \in E \setminus \Omega$ let

$$r_x = \sup \left\{ r \in (0, \ell_Q] : \left(f \chi_{\widetilde{Q}(x, r)} \right)_{\omega}^* \left(\omega \left(\widetilde{Q}(x, r) \right) / 2 \right) > \delta \right\}.$$

Note that the function $\varphi(r) = (f\chi_{\widetilde{Q}(x,r)})^*_{\omega}(\omega(\widetilde{Q}(x,r))/2)$ is left-continuous since the rearrangement is. Hence, $\varphi(r_x) \geq \delta$. If $r_{x_0} = \ell_Q$ for some $x_0 \in E \setminus \Omega$, then $(f\chi_Q)^*_{\omega}(\omega(Q)/2) = \delta$ and we can take $Q_j \equiv Q$. So, this case is trivial. Suppose that $r_x < \ell_Q$ for any $x \in E \setminus \Omega$. Then, using Proposition 2.2, we get

$$\delta \le \left(f\chi_{\widetilde{Q}(x,r_x)}\right)^*_{\omega}\left(\omega\left(\widetilde{Q}(x,r_x)\right)/2\right) \le \delta + 2\inf_{\xi\in\widetilde{Q}(x,r_x)}M^{\#}_{1/2,\omega}(\xi) \le \delta + 2\beta.$$

We now proceed as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 (cf. [6]). For any $\widetilde{Q}(x, r_x)$ define the rectangle $R_x \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ as the unique rectangle centered at x such that $R_x \cap Q = \widetilde{Q}(x, r_x)$. It is easy to see that the ratio of any two sidelengths of R_x is bounded by 2. Applying the Besicovitch Covering Theorem to the family $\{R_x\}_{x \in E \setminus \Omega}$ yields a countable collection of rectangles R_j , covering $E \setminus \Omega$ that are almost disjoint with constant B_n . Replacing each R_j by its corresponding cube Q_j , we get the required sequence.

Lemma 3.3. For any measurable function f and each cube Q,

$$\left(\left(f - m_{f,\omega}(Q)\right)\chi_Q\right)_{\omega}^*\left(\lambda_n\omega(Q)\right) \le c_n\left(\left(M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#}f\right)\chi_Q\right)_{\omega}^*\left(\lambda_n\omega(Q)/2\right).$$

PROOF. Let $\beta = \left((M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#} f) \chi_Q \right)_{\omega}^* (\lambda_n \omega(Q)/2)$ and $\psi(x) = |f(x) - m_{f,\omega}(Q)|$. By (13), it suffices to show that

$$\left(\widetilde{m}_{\omega}\psi\right)_{\omega}^{*}\left(\lambda_{n}\omega(Q)\right) \leq c_{n}\beta.$$
(14)

Set $\Omega = \{x \in Q : M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#}\psi(x) > \beta\}$. Observe that $M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#}\psi(x) \le M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#}f(x)$ for all x, since $M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#}|f| \le M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#}f$ and $M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#}(f-c) = M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#}f$. Thus,

$$\omega(\Omega) \le \omega\{x \in Q : M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#} f(x) > \beta\} \le \lambda_n \omega(Q)/2.$$

For $k = 1, ..., k_n$, where k_n depends only on n and will be choosen later, we consider the sets $E_k = \{x \in Q : \widetilde{m}_{\omega}\psi(x) > 7k\beta\}$. If $E_k = \emptyset$ for some k, then (14) holds trivially with $c_n = 7k_n$. If $E_k \setminus \Omega = \emptyset$ for some k, we get $\omega(E_k) \leq \omega(\Omega) \leq \lambda_n \omega(Q)/2$, and so $(\widetilde{m}_{\omega}\psi)^*_{\omega}((\lambda_n/2 + \varepsilon)\omega(Q)) \leq 7k\beta$, which also gives (14) with $c_n = 7k_n$.

Assume now that $E_k \setminus \Omega \neq \emptyset$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, k_n$. Note that, in view of (7), $(\psi \chi_Q)^*_{\omega}(\omega(Q)) \leq 2\beta$. Thus, we may apply Lemma 3.2 to get cubes Q_j^k almost disjoint with constant B_n , such that

$$7k\beta \le \left(\psi\chi_{Q_{j^k}}\right)^*_{\omega}\left(\omega(Q_j^k)/2\right) \le (7k+2)\beta.$$
(15)

Set $A_j^k = \{x \in Q_j^k : |\psi(x) - (\psi \chi_{Q_{j^k}})_{\omega}^* (\omega(Q_j^k)/2)| \le 2\beta\}$ and $A_k = \bigcup_j A_j^k$. It follows from (15) that the sets A_k are pairwise disjoint sets. Next, by (7), $\omega(A_j^k) \ge \omega(Q_j^k)/2$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \sum_j \omega(Q_j^k) \le 2 \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \sum_j \omega(A_j^k) \le 2B_n \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \omega(A_k) \le 2B_n \omega(Q).$$

Taking now $k_n = [5B_n/\lambda_n] + 1$, we get that there exists a natural $k_0 \leq k_n$ such that $\sum_i \omega(Q_i^{k_0}) \leq \frac{2\lambda_n}{5}\omega(Q)$. Thus,

$$\omega(E_{k_n}) \le \omega(E_{k_0} \setminus \Omega) + \omega(\Omega) \le \sum_j \omega(Q_j^{k_0}) + \lambda_n \omega(Q)/2 < \lambda_n \omega(Q). \qquad \Box$$

Clearly, this lemma immediately implies (12), and therefore the proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3.

We prove only that $f_{\omega}^{\#}(x) \leq c_{\omega} M_{\omega}(M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#}f)(x)$, since the converse inequality can be proved exactly as in the unweighted case (see [2]). First of all, we mention the following simple corollary of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.4. For any weight ω and any $f \in L^1_{loc}(\omega)$,

$$f_{\omega}^{\#}(x) \le 8M_{\omega}(M_{\lambda_n,\omega}^{\#}f)(x).$$
(16)

PROOF. Integrating (5) yields

$$\begin{split} \int_{Q} |f(x)|\omega(x) \, dx &= \int_{0}^{\lambda_{n}\omega(Q)} (f\chi_{Q})_{\omega}^{*}(t) \, dt + \int_{\lambda_{n}\omega(Q)}^{\omega(Q)} (f\chi_{Q})_{\omega}^{*}(t) \, dt \\ &\leq 2 \int_{0}^{2\lambda_{n}\omega(Q)} \left((M_{\lambda_{n},\omega}^{\#}f)\chi_{Q} \right)_{\omega}^{*}(t) \, dt + 2 \int_{\lambda_{n}\omega(Q)}^{\omega(Q)} (f\chi_{Q})_{\omega}^{*}(t) \, dt \\ &\leq 2 \int_{Q} M_{\lambda_{n},\omega}^{\#}f(x)\omega(x) \, dx + 2\omega(Q)(f\chi_{Q})_{\omega}^{*}(\lambda_{n}\omega(Q)). \end{split}$$

Thus, for any constant c,

$$\int_{Q} |f(x) - f_{Q,\omega}|\omega(x) \, dx \leq 2 \int_{Q} |f(x) - c|\omega(x) \, dx$$
$$\leq 4 \int_{Q} M_{\lambda_{n,\omega}}^{\#} f(x)\omega(x) \, dx$$
$$+ 4\omega(Q) \left((f - c)\chi_{Q} \right)_{\omega}^{*} (\lambda_{n}\omega(Q)).$$

Taking the infimum over all c, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{Q} |f(x) - f_{Q,\omega}|\omega(x) \, dx \\ &\leq 4 \int_{Q} M_{\lambda_{n},\omega}^{\#} f(x)\omega(x) \, dx + 4\omega(Q) \inf_{c} \left((f-c)\chi_{Q} \right)_{\omega}^{*} (\lambda_{n}\omega(Q)) \\ &\leq 4 \int_{Q} M_{\lambda_{n},\omega}^{\#} f(x)\omega(x) \, dx + 4\omega(Q) \inf_{x \in Q} M_{\lambda_{n},\omega}^{\#} f(x) \\ &\leq 8 \int_{Q} M_{\lambda_{n},\omega}^{\#} f(x)\omega(x) \, dx, \end{split}$$

which proves (16).

We now define the maximal function $m_{\lambda,\omega}f$ by

$$m_{\lambda,\omega}f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \left(f\chi_Q\right)^*_{\omega} \left(\lambda\omega(Q)\right), \ (0 < \lambda \le 1),$$

and note that Lemma 3.3 immediately implies

$$M_{\lambda_{n,\omega}}^{\#}f(x) \le c_n m_{\lambda_n/2,\omega} (M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#}f)(x).$$
(17)

Lemma 3.5. Let ω satisfy the doubling condition. Then for any $f \in L^1_{loc}(\omega)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$M_{\omega}(m_{\lambda,\omega}f)(x) \le \frac{c_{\omega}}{\lambda}M_{\omega}f(x) \quad (0 < \lambda \le 1).$$

PROOF. It follows from the definition of the rearrangement that for all $\alpha > 0$,

 $\{x: m_{\lambda,\omega}f(x) > \alpha\} \subset \{x: M_{\omega}\chi_{\{|f| > \alpha\}}(x) \ge \lambda\}.$

Hence, by the weak type (1,1) property of M_{ω} ,

$$\omega\{x: m_{\lambda,\omega}f(x) > \alpha\} \le \frac{c_{\omega}}{\lambda}\omega\{x: |f(x)| > \alpha\},\$$

and so

$$\|m_{\lambda,\omega}f\|_{1,\omega} \le \frac{c_{\omega}}{\lambda} \|f\|_{1,\omega}.$$
(18)

Let Q be any cube containing x. For all $y \in Q$ we get

$$m_{\lambda,\omega}f(y) = \max\left(\sup_{\substack{Q' \ni y, \\ Q' \subset 3Q}} \left(f\chi_{Q'}\right)^*_{\omega} \left(\lambda\omega(Q')\right), \sup_{\substack{Q' \ni y, \\ Q \subset 3Q'}} \left(f\chi_{Q'}\right)^*_{\omega} \left(\lambda\omega(Q')\right)\right)$$
$$\leq \max\left(m_{\lambda,\omega}(f\chi_{3Q})(y), m_{\lambda/c'_{\omega},\omega}f(x)\right)$$
$$\leq m_{\lambda,\omega}(f\chi_{3Q})(y) + \frac{c'_{\omega}}{\lambda}M_{\omega}f(x).$$

From this and (18) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_{Q} m_{\lambda,\omega} f(y)\omega(y) \, dy \leq \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \|m_{\lambda,\omega}(f\chi_{3Q})\|_{1,\omega} + \frac{c'_{\omega}}{\lambda} M_{\omega} f(x)$$
$$\leq \frac{c_{\omega}}{\lambda\omega(Q)} \int_{3Q} |f(y)|\omega(y) \, dy + \frac{c'_{\omega}}{\lambda} M_{\omega} f(x) \leq \frac{c_{\omega}}{\lambda} M_{\omega} f(x). \qquad \Box$$

Combining (16), (17) and the last lemma yields

$$f_{\omega}^{\#}(x) \leq 8M_{\omega}(M_{\lambda_{n},\omega}^{\#}f)(x) \\ \leq 8c_{n}M_{\omega}(m_{\lambda_{n}/2,\omega}(M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#}f))(x) \leq c_{n,\omega}M_{\omega}(M_{1/2,\omega}^{\#}f)(x),$$

and therefore the theorem is proved.

Remark 3.1. We note that our main results, namely Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 hold under a more general assumption on the measure ω . As in [6, 8], we can assume only that $\omega(L) = 0$ for every hyperplane L, orthogonal to one of the coordinate axes.

4 Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to the referee for several valuable remarks.

References

- C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [2] B. Jawerth and A. Torchinsky, *Local sharp maximal functions*, J. Approx. Theory, 43 (1985), 231–270.
- [3] F. John, Quasi-isometric mappings, Seminari 1962–1963 di Analisi, Algebra, Geometria e Topologia, Rome, 1965.
- [4] F. John and L. Nirenberg, On functions of bounded mean oscillation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 14 (1961), 415–426.
- [5] A. K. Lerner, On weighted estimates of non-increasing rearrangements, East J. Approx., 4 (1998), 277–290.
- [6] J. Mateu, P. Mattila, A. Nicolau and J. Orobitg, BMO for nondoubling measures, Duke Math. J., 102 (2000), 533–565.
- [7] B. Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden, Weighted bounded mean oscillation and the Hilbert transform, Studia Math., 55 (1976), 279–294.
- [8] J. Orobitg and C. Pérez, A_p weights for nondoubling measures in Rⁿ and applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 354 (2002), 2013–2033.
- [9] S. Saks, Theory of the integral, Hafner, 1937
- [10] Y. Sagher and P. Shvartsman, On the John-Strömberg-Torchinsky characterization of BMO, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 4 (1998), 521–548.
- [11] J.-O. Strömberg, Bounded mean oscillation with Orlicz norms and duality of Hardy spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 28 (1979), 511–544.