

Ewa Strońska, Institute of Mathematics, Kazimierz Wielki University, Plac  
Weyssenhoffa 11, 85-072 Bydgoszcz, Poland. email: [stronska@neostrada.pl](mailto:stronska@neostrada.pl)

## ON THE MAXIMAL FAMILIES FOR SOME CLASSES OF STRONGLY QUASICONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS ON $\mathbb{R}^m$

### Abstract

Some generalizations of the notions of approximate quasicontinuity on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and the maximal families (additive, multiplicative, lattice and with respect to the composition) for these classes of functions are investigated.

### 1 Preliminaries.

Let  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  denote, respectively, the set of all real numbers, of all rationals, of all integers and of all positive integers.

Throughout the present paper we shall use the following differentiation basis  $\mathcal{P}$  in the product space  $\mathbb{R}^m$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each system of integers  $k_1, \dots, k_m$  we define the  $m$ -dimensional cube

$$P_{k_1, \dots, k_m}^n = \left[ \frac{k_1 - 1}{2^n}, \frac{k_1}{2^n} \right) \times \left[ \frac{k_2 - 1}{2^n}, \frac{k_2}{2^n} \right) \times \dots \times \left[ \frac{k_m - 1}{2^n}, \frac{k_m}{2^n} \right).$$

Moreover, let

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \{P_{k_1, \dots, k_m}^n; k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n.$$

Observe that:

- (1) if  $(k_1, \dots, k_m) \neq (l_1, \dots, l_m)$ , then  $P_{k_1, \dots, k_m}^n \cap P_{l_1, \dots, l_m}^n = \emptyset$ ,

---

Key Words: density topology, strong quasicontinuity, maximal families, additive, multiplicative, lattice.

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 26A05, 26A15

Received by the editors September 3, 2004

Communicated by: B. S. Thomson

- (2)  $\mathbb{R}^m = \bigcup_{k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}} P_{k_1, \dots, k_m}^n$ ,
- (3) if  $n_1 > n_2$ , then for each system  $(k_1, \dots, k_m)$  there is a unique system  $(l_1, \dots, l_m)$  such that  $P_{k_1, \dots, k_m}^{n_1} \subset P_{l_1, \dots, l_m}^{n_2}$ ,
- (4) for each point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a unique system  $(k_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, k_m(\mathbf{x}))$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \in P_{k_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, k_m(\mathbf{x})}^n = P^n(\mathbf{x})$ .

Evidently, for each index  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and each point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we have

$$P^{k+1}(\mathbf{x}) \subset P^k(\mathbf{x}), \{\mathbf{x}\} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} P^k(\mathbf{x}) \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(P^k(\mathbf{x})) = 0,$$

where  $\text{diam}(P)$  denotes the diameter of the cube  $P$ .

Let  $\lambda_m^*$ ,  $(\lambda_m)$  denote outer Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , (Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  respectively), let  $\mathcal{L}_m$  denote the family of all  $\lambda_m$ -measurable sets (i.e., the sets measurable in the Lebesgue sense) in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be an arbitrary set.

For  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  we define *the upper outer density (the lower density)* of the set  $A$  at the point  $\mathbf{x}$  by

$$d_u(A, \mathbf{x}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_m^*(A \cap P^n(\mathbf{x}))}{\lambda_m(P^n(\mathbf{x}))}, \left( d_l(A, \mathbf{x}) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_m^*(A \cap P^n(\mathbf{x}))}{\lambda_m(P^n(\mathbf{x}))} \right).$$

A point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is called *an outer density point* (with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{P}$ ) of the set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  iff  $d_l(A, \mathbf{x}) = 1$ . A point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is called *a density point* (with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{P}$ ) of the set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  iff there exists a  $\lambda_m$ -measurable set  $B \subset A$  such that  $d_l(B, \mathbf{x}) = 1$ . Let

$$\phi(A) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m; \mathbf{x} \text{ is a density point of } A \text{ with respect to } \mathcal{P}\}$$

and put

$$\mathcal{T}_d = \{A \in \mathcal{L}_m; A \subset \phi(A)\}.$$

The family  $\mathcal{T}_d$  is a topology called *the density topology* ([1], [2] and [15]). Denote by  $\mathcal{T}_e$  the Euclidean topology in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{T}_e \subset \mathcal{T}_d$  and  $\mathcal{T}_e \neq \mathcal{T}_d$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{T}_e$ , then we will say that  $A$  is an open set.

If  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is a continuity point of the mapping  $f : (\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{T}_e) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_e)$ , then we say simply that  $\mathbf{x}$  is continuity point of the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

A point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is called *an approximate continuity point* of the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  if  $\mathbf{x}$  is a continuity point of the mapping  $f : (\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{T}_d) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_e)$ .

We will denote by  $C(f)$  (by  $A(f)$ ) the set of all continuity points (approximate continuity points respectively) of the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The set

$D(f) = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus C(f)$  denotes the set of all discontinuity points of the function  $f$ .

Moreover, denote by  $\mathcal{C}$ , (by  $\mathcal{A}$ ), [by  $\mathcal{C}_{ae}$ ] the class of all continuous functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (approximately continuous functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ), [the class of all functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are  $\lambda_m$ -almost everywhere continuous ; i.e., for which  $\lambda_m(D(f)) = 0$ , respectively ].

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be any topology of subsets of the space  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be a point.

**Definition 1.** The function  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -quasicontinuous at the point  $\mathbf{x}$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for every set  $U \in \mathcal{T}$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$  there is a nonempty set  $V \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $V \subset U$  and  $f(V) \subset (f(\mathbf{x}) - \varepsilon, f(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon)$ .

If  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_e$ , then we say simply that  $f$  is quasicontinuous at  $\mathbf{x}$  ([10], [11]). If  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_d$ , then  $f$  is called *approximately quasicontinuous* (with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$ ) at the point  $\mathbf{x}$  and we write  $f \in Q_{ap}(\mathbf{x})$ . If for every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $f \in Q_{ap}(\mathbf{x})$ , then we say that  $f$  is *approximately quasicontinuous* (with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$ ). The class of all approximately quasicontinuous functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we denote by  $Q_{ap}$  ([4], [5]).

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an arbitrary set. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we define the lower bilateral density of the set  $A$  at  $x$  by

$$D_l(A, x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda_1([x-h, x+h] \cap A)}{2h}.$$

A point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is called a bilateral density point of the set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  iff there is a  $\lambda_1$ -measurable set  $B \subset A$  such that  $D_l(B, x) = 1$ . Let

$$\Phi(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \text{ is a bilateral density point of } A\}.$$

The family  $\tau_d = \{A \in \mathcal{L}_1; A \subset \Phi(A)\}$  is a topology called the density topology ([1], [15]).

Similarly as above, a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is called an approximate continuity point of the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  if  $x$  is a continuity point of the mapping  $f : (\mathbb{R}, \tau_d) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_e)$ . If  $\mathcal{T} = \tau_d$ , then a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is  $\tau_d$ -quasicontinuous is called approximately quasicontinuous ([4], [5]).

**Definition 2.** [(Grande [7])]. A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be strongly  $\tau_d$ -quasicontinuous at a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  if for every  $\eta > 0$  and for every set  $U \in \tau_d$  containing  $x$  there is an open interval  $I$  such that  $U \cap I \neq \emptyset$  and  $|f(t) - f(x)| < \eta$  for every  $t \in I \cap U$ .

Denote by  $\text{int}(A)$  the interior (Euclidean) of the set  $A$ . The family

$$\mathcal{T}_{ae} = \{A \in \mathcal{T}_d; \lambda_m(A \setminus \text{int}(A)) = 0\}$$

is also a topology ([12]). If a point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is a continuity point of the mapping  $f : (\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{T}_{ae}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_e)$ , then we say that the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{T}_{ae}$ -continuous at a point  $\mathbf{x}$ . A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{T}_{ae}$ -continuous (everywhere) iff  $f \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}_{ae}$  ([12], [3]). The class of all  $\mathcal{T}_{ae}$ -continuous functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we denote by  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae})$ .

## 2 New Definitions and Notions.

Now we define some classes of strongly quasicontinuous functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which we will investigate in this paper. By analogy, classes of such functions for the case  $m = 1$  were introduced by Z. Grande ([9]) with respect to the bilateral density.

**Definition 3.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function and let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be a point. Then

- $f \in Q_s(\mathbf{x})$ ; i.e.,  $f$  is called *strongly quasicontinuous at a point  $\mathbf{x}$*  if for every real  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each set  $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$ , there is a nonempty open set  $O$  such that  $A \cap O \neq \emptyset$  and  $f(O \cap A) \subset (f(\mathbf{x}) - \varepsilon, f(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon)$ .

If for every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $f \in Q_s(\mathbf{x})$ , then we say that  $f$  is *strongly quasicontinuous*. Denote by  $Q_s$  the class of all strongly quasicontinuous functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

- $f \in Q_{s_1}(\mathbf{x})$  ( $f \in Q_{s_2}(\mathbf{x})$ ); i.e.,  $f$  is called  *$s_1$ -strongly quasicontinuous* ( $f$  is called  *$s_2$ -strongly quasicontinuous* respectively) at a point  $\mathbf{x}$  if for each real  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each set  $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$  there exists a nonempty open set  $O$  such that  $O \cap A \neq \emptyset$ ,  $O \cap A \subset C(f)$  ( $O \cap A \subset A(f)$  respectively) and  $f(O \cap A) \subset (f(\mathbf{x}) - \varepsilon, f(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon)$ .

If for each  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $f \in Q_{s_1}(\mathbf{x})$  ( $f \in Q_{s_2}(\mathbf{x})$ ), then we say that  $f$  is  *$s_1$ -strongly quasicontinuous* ( $f$  is  *$s_2$ -strongly quasicontinuous* respectively). Denote by  $Q_{s_1}$ , by  $Q_{s_2}$  the class of all functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are  $s_1$ -strongly quasicontinuous ( $s_2$ -strongly quasicontinuous respectively).

The notion of strong quasicontinuity (for the bilateral density topology in  $\mathbb{R}$ ) introduced by Z. Grande in [7] is more general than that above (for  $m = 1$ ). For example, if  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } x > 0, \end{cases}$$

then the function  $f$  is strongly quasicontinuous at 0 in the sense of Grande, but  $f \notin Q_s(0)$ . If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is strongly quasicontinuous at  $x$  in the above

sense ( $f \in Q_s(x)$ ), then  $f$  is strongly quasicontinuous at  $x$  in the sense of Grande.

From the definitions above it follows that  $Q_{s_1} \subset Q_{s_2} \subset Q_s \subset Q_{ap}$ . The inclusions above are proper ([13]); moreover,  $Q_s \subset \mathcal{C}_{ae}$ , ([6]).

Let  $\xi(\mathbf{x})$  be a property of a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at a point  $\mathbf{x}$  (we will write  $f \in \xi(\mathbf{x})$ ) such that the following are true.

- If  $f$  is continuous at  $\mathbf{x}$ , then  $f \in \xi(\mathbf{x})$ ;
- if  $f \in \xi(\mathbf{x})$ , then  $-f \in \xi(\mathbf{x})$ ;
- if  $f \in \xi(\mathbf{x})$  and the restricted function  $g|_O = f|_O$  for some open set  $O$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$ , then  $g \in \xi(\mathbf{x})$ .

Denote by  $S$  the family of all functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for every real  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for every point  $\mathbf{x}$  and for every set  $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$  there is a nonempty open set  $O$  such that  $O \cap A \neq \emptyset$ ,  $f(O \cap A) \subset (f(\mathbf{x}) - \varepsilon, f(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon)$  and  $f \in \xi(\mathbf{t})$  for every  $\mathbf{t} \in O \cap A$ .

For a set  $H \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  and for a real  $\eta > 0$ , let

$$\mathcal{O}(H, \eta) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{x} \in H} K(\mathbf{x}, \eta), \text{ where } K(\mathbf{x}, \eta) = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^m; |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}| < \eta\}.$$

The following lemma will be used in the proofs of the next results.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and let  $H \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be a nonempty set such that the upper density  $d_u(\text{int}(H), \mathbf{x}) = c > 0$ . Then, there exists a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets  $H_n \subset \text{int}(H)$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that*

- (1) *each set  $H_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , is the union of a finite family of cubes from  $\mathcal{P}$  whose closures are pairwise disjoint;*
- (2)  *$\mathbf{x} \notin H_n$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ;*
- (3) *the family  $(H_n)_n$  converges to the point  $\mathbf{x}$  in the sense of the Hausdorff metric;*
- (4) *the upper density  $d_u(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{int}(H_n), \mathbf{x}) = c$ .*

PROOF. Let  $U = \mathcal{O}(H, 1)$ . There is the first positive integer  $n(1)$  such that the cube  $P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{P}_{n(1)}$  is contained in  $U$  and

$$\frac{\lambda_m((\text{int}(H)) \cap P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x}))}{\lambda_m(P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x}))} > \frac{1}{2} \cdot c.$$

There is also a finite family of cubes

$$Q_{1,n(1)}, Q_{2,n(1)}, \dots, Q_{i(n(1)),n(1)} \in \mathcal{P}$$

whose closures are pairwise disjoint and contained in  $\text{int}(P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x}) \cap H) \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$  and

$$\frac{\lambda_m \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{i(n(1))} Q_{i,n(1)} \right)}{\lambda_m(P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x}))} \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot c.$$

Let  $H_1 = \bigcup_{i \leq i(n(1))} Q_{i,n(1)}$  and observe that  $\text{cl}(H_1) = \bigcup_{i \leq i(n(1))} \text{cl}(Q_{i,n(1)})$ .

In general, for  $j > 1$  we find the first positive integer  $n(j)$  such that the cube  $P^{n(j)}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{P}_{n(j)}$ ,  $P^{n(j)}(\mathbf{x}) \subset P^{n(j-1)}(\mathbf{x}) \setminus \text{cl}(H_{j-1})$  with  $\text{diam}(P^{n(j)}(\mathbf{x})) < \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{diam}(P^{n(j-1)}(\mathbf{x}))$  and

$$\frac{\lambda_m(\text{int}(H) \cap P^{n(j)}(\mathbf{x}))}{\lambda(P^{n(j)}(\mathbf{x}))} > \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j}\right) \cdot c.$$

For such an integer  $n(j)$  there is a finite family of cubes

$$Q_{1,n(j)}, Q_{2,n(j)}, \dots, Q_{i(n(j)),n(j)} \in \mathcal{P}$$

whose closures are pairwise disjoint and contained in the set  $\text{int}(P^{n(j)}(\mathbf{x}) \cap H) \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$  and such that

$$\frac{\lambda_m \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{i(n(j))} Q_{i,n(j)} \right)}{\lambda_m(P^{n(j)}(\mathbf{x}))} \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j}\right) \cdot c.$$

Let  $H_j = \bigcup_{i \leq i(n(j))} Q_{i,n(j)}$  and observe that

$$\text{cl}(H_j) = \bigcup_{i \leq i(n(j))} \text{cl}(Q_{i,n(j)}).$$

The sequence  $(H_j)_j$  satisfies the conditions (1)–(4) of our lemma.  $\square$

### 3 The Maximal Families.

In this paper the main results are the  $m$ -dimensional analogs of the results from [8, 14]. Now, let

- $Max_{add}(S) = \{f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; f + g \in S \text{ for every } g \in S\};$
- $Max_{mult}(S) = \{f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; f \cdot g \in S \text{ for every } g \in S\};$

- $Max_{max}(S) = \{f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \max(f, g) \in S \text{ for every } g \in S\};$
- $Max_{min}(S) = \{f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \min(f, g) \in S \text{ for every } g \in S\};$
- $Max_{comp}(S) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; f \circ g \in S \text{ for every } g \in S\}.$

**Remark 1.** Evidently,  $\mathcal{C} \subset S \cup \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae}) \subset Q_s$ . So, every function  $f \in S$  is  $\lambda_m$ -almost everywhere continuous ( $f \in \mathcal{C}_{ae}$ ) ([6],[7]).

**Remark 2.** The inclusion

$$Max_{add}(S) \cup Max_{mult}(S) \cup Max_{max}(S) \cup Max_{min}(S) \subset S$$

is true.

PROOF. Since the constant functions  $g_1 = 0$  and  $g_2 = 1$  belong to  $S$ , for all functions  $f_1 \in Max_{add}(S)$ ,  $f_2 \in Max_{mult}(S)$  we obtain that  $f_1 = f_1 + g_1 \in S$  and  $f_2 = f_2 \cdot g_2 \in S$ . So,  $Max_{add}(S) \cup Max_{mult}(S) \subset S$ .

If  $f \notin S$ , then there are a real  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a point  $\mathbf{x}$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$  such that for every nonempty open set  $O$  with  $O \cap A \neq \emptyset$  there is a point  $\mathbf{t} \in O \cap A$  such that  $|f(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{x})| \geq \varepsilon$  or  $f \notin \xi(\mathbf{t})$ . Then the functions  $\max(f, f(\mathbf{x}) - \varepsilon)$  and  $\min(f, f(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon)$  are not in  $\xi(\mathbf{x})$ . So,  $f \notin Max_{max}(S) \cup Max_{min}(S)$ , and the proof is completed.  $\square$

### 3.1 The Family $Max_{add}(S)$ .

In this part we suppose that the property  $\xi(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , is such that if  $f, g \in \xi(\mathbf{x})$ , then  $f + g \in \xi(\mathbf{x})$ ; i.e., that  $\xi(\cdot)$  has the additive property.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that  $\xi(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , has the additive property. Then  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae}) \cap S = Max_{add}(S)$ .

PROOF. Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae}) \cap S$  and  $g \in S$ . Fix a real  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$ . Since  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae})$ , the point  $\mathbf{x}$  is a density point of the set

$$B = \text{int} \left( \left\{ \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; |f(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{x})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right).$$

Consequently,  $\mathbf{x}$  is a density point of the set  $B \cap A$ . Since  $g \in S$ , there is a nonempty open set  $O \subset B$  such that  $O \cap A \neq \emptyset$ ,  $|g(\mathbf{t}) - g(\mathbf{x})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  and  $g \in \xi(\mathbf{t})$  for every  $\mathbf{t} \in O \cap A$ . From the relation  $f \in S$  it follows that there is a nonempty open set  $O' \subset O$  such that  $O' \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $f \in \xi(\mathbf{t})$  for each point  $\mathbf{t} \in O' \cap A$ . Consequently,  $O' \cap A \neq \emptyset$ ,  $f + g \in \xi(\mathbf{t})$  and

$$|(f(\mathbf{t}) + g(\mathbf{t})) - (f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x}))| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

for each point  $\mathbf{t} \in O \cap A$ . So,  $f \in Max_{add}(S)$  and the inclusion  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae}) \cap S \subset Max_{add}(S)$  is proved.

For the proof of the inclusion  $Max_{add}(S) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae}) \cap S$ , fix a function  $f \in Max_{add}(S)$ . By Remark 2, the function  $f \in S$ . If  $f \notin \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae})$ , there are a point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and a real  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the set  $\text{cl}(\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; |f(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{x})| > \varepsilon\})$  has a positive upper density at a point  $\mathbf{x}$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$d_u(\text{cl}(\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) > f(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon\}), \mathbf{x}) > 0.$$

Since  $f \in S \subset Q_s$  is  $\lambda_m$ -almost everywhere continuous ([6]), we obtain

$$\lambda_m(\text{cl}(\{\mathbf{t}; f(\mathbf{t}) > f(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon\}) \setminus \{\mathbf{t}; f(\mathbf{t}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon\}) = 0,$$

and consequently,

$$d_u\left(\text{int}\left(\left\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) > f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}\right), \mathbf{x}\right) > 0.$$

For  $H = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) > f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}$ , there exists a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets  $H_n \subset \text{int}(H)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  which satisfies conditions (1)–(4) of Lemma 1.

Now, put

$$g(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{cases} -f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} & \text{if } (\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{x}) \vee (\mathbf{t} \in H_n, n = 1, 2, \dots) \\ -f(\mathbf{t}) & \text{otherwise on } \mathbb{R}^m. \end{cases}$$

The function  $g \in S$ . Indeed, fix a real  $\eta > 0$ , a point  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$  containing  $\mathbf{u}$ . If  $\mathbf{u} \in H_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there is a nonempty open set  $O \subset H_n$  with  $O \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $g(O \cap A) \subset (g(\mathbf{u}) - \eta, g(\mathbf{u}) + \eta)$ . Moreover,  $g \in \xi(\mathbf{u})$  for each point  $\mathbf{u} \in O \cap A$  (in this case the function  $g|_O$  is constant and equals  $-f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  on the set  $O$ ). Note, if  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x}$ , then by (4) of Lemma 1 there is an index  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $A \cap \text{int}(H_n) \neq \emptyset$ . So, it is enough to suppose that  $O = \text{int}(H_n)$  in this case. If  $\mathbf{u} \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n \cup \{\mathbf{x}\}$ , then there is an open set  $O$  such that  $O \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n \cup \{\mathbf{x}\}) = \emptyset$  and  $O \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $g|_O = -f|_O$ ,  $f(O \cap A) \subset (f(\mathbf{u}) - \eta, f(\mathbf{u}) + \eta)$  and  $f \in \xi(\mathbf{u})$  for every  $\mathbf{u} \in O \cap A$ , we obtain

$$g(O \cap A) = -f(O \cap A) \subset (-f(\mathbf{u}) - \eta, -f(\mathbf{u}) + \eta) = (g(\mathbf{u}) - \eta, g(\mathbf{u}) + \eta)$$

and  $g \in \xi(\mathbf{u})$  for each point  $\mathbf{u} \in O \cap A$ .

But, observe that  $f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ ,  $f(\mathbf{t}) + g(\mathbf{t}) > \varepsilon$  for  $\mathbf{t} \in H_n$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $f(\mathbf{t}) + g(\mathbf{t}) = 0$  otherwise on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . So,  $f + g \notin S$  and consequently  $f \notin Max_{add}(S)$ . This contradiction finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *If the property  $\xi(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , denotes that*

- $f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , then  $S = Q_s$  and  $Max_{add}(Q_s) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae}) \cap Q_s$ ;
- $\mathbf{x} \in C(f)$ , then  $S = Q_{s_1}$  and  $Max_{add}(Q_{s_1}) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae}) \cap Q_{s_1}$ ;
- $\mathbf{x} \in A(f)$ , then  $S = Q_{s_2}$  and  $Max_{add}(Q_{s_2}) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae}) \cap Q_{s_2}$ .

### 3.2 The Families $Max_{max}(S)$ and $Max_{min}(S)$ .

In this part we suppose that if  $f, g \in \xi(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , then  $\max(f, g)$ ,  $\min(f, g) \in \xi(\mathbf{x})$ . Then, we say that  $\xi(\cdot)$  has the lattice property.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\xi(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , has the lattice property. Then,*

$$Max_{max}(S) = Max_{min}(S) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae}) \cap S.$$

PROOF. For the proof of the inclusion

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae}) \cap S \subset Max_{max}(S) \cap Max_{min}(S),$$

we take a function  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae}) \cap S$  and a function  $g \in S$ . Fix a real  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$ . Let  $h = \max(f, g)$ . Consider the following cases.

(1)  $f(\mathbf{x}) > g(\mathbf{x})$ . Let  $a = f(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{x})$  and let  $b = \min(\frac{a}{2}, \varepsilon)$ . Since  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae})$ ,  $\mathbf{x}$  is a density point of the set  $B = \text{int}(\{\mathbf{t}; |f(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{x})| < b\})$ . By the relation  $g \in S$  being applied to the point  $\mathbf{x}$  and the set  $B \cap A \in \mathcal{T}_d$ , it follows that there is an open set  $O$  such that  $O \cap (A \cap B) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $g \in \xi(\mathbf{t})$  and  $|g(\mathbf{t}) - g(\mathbf{x})| < b$  for each point  $\mathbf{t} \in O \cap (A \cap B)$ .

Since  $f \in S$ , there is an open set  $O' \subset O \cap B$  with  $O' \cap (A \cap B) \neq \emptyset$  and  $f \in \xi(\mathbf{t})$  for each point  $\mathbf{t} \in O' \cap (A \cap B)$ . Observe that for  $\mathbf{u} \in O' \cap (A \cap B)$ , we have

$$f(\mathbf{u}) > f(\mathbf{x}) - b \geq g(\mathbf{x}) + 2b - b = g(\mathbf{x}) + b > g(\mathbf{u}),$$

so  $h(\mathbf{u}) = f(\mathbf{u})$ . Moreover,  $h(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})$ , and for each point  $\mathbf{u} \in O \cap (A \cap B)$  we have  $h \in \xi(\mathbf{u})$  and  $|h(\mathbf{u}) - h(\mathbf{x})| = |f(\mathbf{u}) - f(\mathbf{x})| < b \leq \varepsilon$ .

(2)  $f(\mathbf{x}) < g(\mathbf{x})$ . In this case the proof is analogous as above.

(3)  $f(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x})$ . Let  $b = \varepsilon$  and choose an open set  $O'$  as above in case (1). Then,  $O' \cap (A \cap B) \neq \emptyset$  and for  $\mathbf{u} \in O' \cap (A \cap B)$  we obtain  $h \in \xi(\mathbf{u})$  and

$$|h(\mathbf{u}) - h(\mathbf{x})| \leq \max(|f(\mathbf{u}) - f(\mathbf{x})|, |g(\mathbf{u}) - g(\mathbf{x})|) < b = \varepsilon.$$

So,  $h = \max(f, g) \in S$ . The proof  $\min(f, g) \in S$  is analogous.

Finally, since by Remark 2 the inclusion  $Max_{max}(S) \cup Max_{min}(S) \subset S$  is true, we shall show the inclusion

$$Max_{max}(S) \cup Max_{min}(S) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae}).$$

Let  $f \in Max_{max}(S)$  be a function. By Remark 2,  $f \in S$ . If  $f \notin \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae})$ , then there are a point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and a real  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$d_u(\text{cl}(\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; |f(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{x})| > \varepsilon\}), \mathbf{x}) > 0.$$

If  $d_u(\text{cl}(\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) > f(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon\}), \mathbf{x}) > 0$ , then, as before in the proof of Theorem 1, for  $H = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) > f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}$ , there exists a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets  $H_n \subset \text{int}(H)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that conditions (1)–(4) of Lemma 1 are satisfied. Let the function  $g_1 : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$g_1(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{cases} f(\mathbf{x}) - \varepsilon & \text{if } (\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{x}) \vee (\mathbf{t} \in H_n, n = 1, 2, \dots) \\ f(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon & \text{otherwise on } \mathbb{R}^m. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $g_1 \in S$ . Moreover,  $\max(f(\mathbf{x}), g_1(\mathbf{x})) = f(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\max(f(\mathbf{t}), g_1(\mathbf{t})) > f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for  $\mathbf{t} \neq \mathbf{x}$ . So,  $\max(f, g_1) \notin S$  and consequently  $f \notin Max_{max}(S)$ , yielding a contradiction.

Now, consider the case  $d_u(\text{cl}(\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) < f(\mathbf{x}) - \varepsilon\}), \mathbf{x}) > 0$ . Then, as before in this proof, there are disjoint sets

$$K_n \subset \text{int}\left(\left\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) < f(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}\right), n = 1, 2, \dots$$

which satisfy conditions (1)–(4) of Lemma 1. Let the function  $g_2 : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as  $g_1$  before, but for the sets  $K_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then,  $g_2 \in S$  and  $\max(f(\mathbf{x}), g_2(\mathbf{x})) = f(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\max(f(\mathbf{t}), g_2(\mathbf{t})) < f(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for  $\mathbf{t} \in K_n$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $\max(f(\mathbf{t}), g_2(\mathbf{t})) \geq f(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon$  otherwise on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . So, in this case also,  $\max(f, g_2) \notin S$  and consequently  $f \notin Max_{max}(S)$ , yielding a contradiction.

We can prove the inclusion  $Max_{min}(S) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae})$  analogously.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *If the property  $\xi(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , denotes that*

- $f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , then  $S = Q_s$  and  $Max_{max}(Q_s) = Max_{min}(Q_s) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae}) \cap Q_s$ ;
- $\mathbf{x} \in C(f)$ , then  $S = Q_{s_1}$  and  $Max_{max}(Q_{s_1}) = Max_{min}(Q_{s_1}) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae}) \cap Q_{s_1}$ ;
- $\mathbf{x} \in A(f)$ , then  $S = Q_{s_2}$  and  $Max_{max}(Q_{s_2}) = Max_{min}(Q_{s_2}) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{ae}) \cap Q_{s_2}$ .

### 3.3 The Family $Max_{comp}(S)$ .

Suppose that for every functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  belonging to  $\mathcal{C}$  and for every function  $g \in \xi(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we have  $f \circ g \in \xi(\mathbf{x})$ , i.e.,  $\xi(\cdot)$  is invariant with respect to the composition with the continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Assume that  $\xi(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , is invariant with respect to the composition with the continuous functions from  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then,  $Max_{comp}(S) = \mathcal{C}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function and let  $g \in S$  be a function. Fix a real  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a point  $\mathbf{x}$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$ . Since  $f$  is continuous at  $g(\mathbf{x})$ , there is a real  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $|\mathbf{u} - g(\mathbf{x})| < \delta$ , then  $|f(\mathbf{u}) - f(g(\mathbf{x}))| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $g \in S$ , there is a nonempty open set  $O$  such that  $O \cap A \neq \emptyset$ ,  $g \in \xi(\mathbf{t})$  and  $|g(\mathbf{t}) - g(\mathbf{x})| < \delta$  for each point  $\mathbf{t} \in O \cap A$ . Observe that for every point  $\mathbf{t} \in O \cap A$  we obtain  $f \circ g \in \xi(\mathbf{t})$  and  $|f(g(\mathbf{t})) - f(g(\mathbf{x}))| < \varepsilon$ . So,  $f \circ g \in S$ , and consequently  $\mathcal{C} \subset Max_{comp}(S)$ .

Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not continuous at a point  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there is a sequence of points  $y_n \neq y, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(y_n) \neq f(y)$ . Let  $P^1(\mathbf{0}) \in \mathcal{P}_1$  be a cube containing a point  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . For  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  and  $H = P^1(\mathbf{0})$  there exists a family of sets  $H_j \subset \text{int}(P^1(\mathbf{0})), j = 1, 2, \dots$  which satisfies conditions (1)–(4) of Lemma 1. Put

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} y_n & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in H_n, n = 1, 2, \dots \\ y & \text{if } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \\ y_1 & \text{otherwise on } \mathbb{R}^m. \end{cases}$$

The function  $g \in S$ . Indeed, fix a real  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$ . If  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then there exists a cube  $P(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{P}$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$  such that the restricted function  $g|_{\text{cl}(P(\mathbf{x}))}$  is constant and there exists an open set  $O \subset P(\mathbf{x})$  such that  $O \cap A \neq \emptyset, g(O \cap A) \subset (g(\mathbf{x}) - \varepsilon, g(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon)$  and  $g \in \xi(\mathbf{u})$  for each point  $\mathbf{u} \in O \cap A$ . If  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , then there exists an index  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|y_n - y| < \varepsilon$  and there is a nonempty open set  $O \subset H_n$  such that  $O \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Obviously,  $g|_{O \cap A}$  is constant. So,  $g \in \xi(\mathbf{u})$  for each  $\mathbf{u} \in O \cap A$  and since  $|g(\mathbf{u}) - g(\mathbf{0})| = |y_n - y|$  for each  $\mathbf{u} \in O$ , we obtain  $g(O \cap A) \subset (g(\mathbf{0}) - \varepsilon, g(\mathbf{0}) + \varepsilon)$ . But observe,  $f \circ g \notin Q_s(\mathbf{0})$  and thus  $f \circ g \notin S$ . This contradiction shows that for every function  $g \in S$  if  $f \circ g \in S$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  and the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *If the property  $\xi(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , denotes that*

- $f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , then  $S = Q_s$  and  $Max_{comp}(Q_s) = \mathcal{C}$ ;
- $\mathbf{x} \in C(f)$ , then  $S = Q_{s_1}$  and  $Max_{comp}(Q_{s_1}) = \mathcal{C}$ ;

- $\mathbf{x} \in A(f)$ , then  $S = Q_{s_2}$  and  $Max_{comp}(Q_{s_2}) = \mathcal{C}$ .

### 3.4 The Family $Max_{mult}(S)$ .

Suppose that the property  $\xi(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , is such that

- if  $f, g \in \xi(\mathbf{x})$ , then  $f \cdot g \in \xi(\mathbf{x})$ ;
- if  $f \in \xi(\mathbf{x})$  and there is an open set  $O$  such that  $d_u(O, \mathbf{x}) = 1$  and  $f(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0 \notin f(O)$ , then every extension of the function  $h(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{f(\mathbf{t})}$  for  $\mathbf{t} \in O \cup \{\mathbf{x}\}$  belongs to  $\xi(\mathbf{x})$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If a function  $f \in S$  is not  $\mathcal{T}_{ae}$ -continuous at a point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  at which  $f(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ , then there is a function  $g \in S$  such that the product  $f \cdot g \notin S$ .*

PROOF. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can show that there is a real  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a family of sets  $H_n \subset \text{int}(\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) > f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\})$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  which satisfy conditions (1)–(4) of Lemma 1.

Put

$$g(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{x}) \vee (\mathbf{t} \in H_n, n = 1, 2, \dots), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise on } \mathbb{R}^m, \end{cases}$$

and observe that  $g \in S$ . But  $f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot g(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$  and for every point  $\mathbf{t} \neq \mathbf{x}$  we have  $f(\mathbf{t}) \cdot g(\mathbf{t}) = 0$  or  $|f(\mathbf{t}) \cdot g(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot g(\mathbf{x})| = |f(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{x})| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . So,  $f \cdot g \notin Q_s(\mathbf{x})$ , and thus  $f \cdot g \notin S$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f \in S$  be a function and let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be a point such that  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ . If  $d_u(\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) = 0\}, \mathbf{x}) > 0$ , then for every function  $g \in S$ , for every real  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for every set  $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$  there is an open set  $O$  such that  $O \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , the product  $f \cdot g \in \xi(\mathbf{t})$  and  $|f(\mathbf{t}) \cdot g(\mathbf{t})| < \varepsilon$  for each point  $\mathbf{t} \in O \cap A$ .*

PROOF. Fix a function  $g \in S$ , a real  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$ . Since  $f, g \in S$ , they are  $\lambda_m$ -almost everywhere continuous. Observe that the set

$$B = \{\mathbf{t} \in A; f(\mathbf{t}) = 0 \text{ and } f \text{ is continuous at } \mathbf{t}\}$$

is of positive  $\lambda_m$ -measure. Find a point  $\mathbf{u} \in B$  such that  $f(\mathbf{u}) = 0$  and the function  $g$  is continuous at  $\mathbf{u}$ . Let  $O$  be an open set containing  $\mathbf{u}$  such that there is a real  $r > 0$  with  $|g(\mathbf{t})| < r$  for each point  $\mathbf{t} \in O$ . Observe that  $\mathbf{u} \in O \cap A \in \mathcal{T}_d$ . Since  $f \in S$  and  $f(\mathbf{u}) = 0$ , there is an open set  $O' \subset O$  such that  $O' \cap A \neq \emptyset$ ,  $f \in \xi(\mathbf{t})$  and  $|f(\mathbf{t})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{r}$  for each point  $\mathbf{t} \in O' \cap A$ . But  $g \in S$  and  $\emptyset \neq O' \cap A \in \mathcal{T}_d$ , so there is an open set  $O'' \subset O'$  such that  $O'' \cap A \neq \emptyset$

and  $g \in \xi(\mathbf{t})$  for each point  $\mathbf{t} \in O'' \cap A$ . Finally, observe that for  $\mathbf{t} \in O'' \cap A$ , we have

$$f \cdot g \in \xi(\mathbf{t}) \text{ and } |f(\mathbf{t}) \cdot g(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot g(\mathbf{x})| = |f(\mathbf{t}) \cdot g(\mathbf{t})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{r} \cdot r = \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose that the function  $f \in S$  is not  $\mathcal{T}_{ae}$ -continuous at a point  $\mathbf{x}$  at which  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ . If*

$$d_u(\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) = 0\}, \mathbf{x}) = 0,$$

*there is a function  $g \in S$  such that  $f \cdot g \notin S$ .*

PROOF. Since  $f$  is  $\lambda_m$ -almost everywhere continuous, we obtain

$$\lambda_m(\text{cl}(\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) = 0\}) \setminus \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) = 0\}) = 0$$

$$\text{and } d_u(\text{cl}(\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) = 0\}), \mathbf{x}) = 0.$$

Since  $f$  is not  $\mathcal{T}_{ae}$ -continuous at  $\mathbf{x}$ , there is a real  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the set  $\text{cl}(\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; |f(\mathbf{t})| > \varepsilon\})$  has positive upper density at a point  $\mathbf{x}$ . Moreover, since  $\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; |f(\mathbf{t})| > \varepsilon\} = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) > \varepsilon\} \cup \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) < -\varepsilon\}$ , we obtain

$$d_u(\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) > \varepsilon\}, \mathbf{x}) > 0 \text{ or } d_u(\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) < -\varepsilon\}, \mathbf{x}) > 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that the first of the inequalities (3.1) is true. Since  $f$  is  $\lambda_m$ -almost everywhere continuous, we have  $d_u(\text{int}(H), \mathbf{x}) > 0$  for  $H = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\} \cap P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x})$ , where  $n(1)$  is the first positive integer such that  $P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{P}_{n(1)}$  and  $P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x}) \subset \mathcal{O}(\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}, 1)$ . By Lemma 1 applied to the set  $H$  and the point  $\mathbf{x}$ , there exists a sequence  $(H_n)_n$  of subsets of  $\text{int}(H)$  such that conditions (1)–(4) of Lemma 1 are satisfied. Let  $K = \{\mathbf{t} \in P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x}); f(\mathbf{t}) = 0\}$ . The upper density  $d_u(\text{cl}(K), \mathbf{x}) = 0$ . We will prove that there is an open (in  $P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x})$ ) set  $V \supset \text{cl}(K) \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$  contained in  $P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x}) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$  such that

$$d_u(V, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ and } \lambda_m(\text{cl}(V) \setminus V) = 0.$$

Let  $(s_n)_n$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n}{\lambda_m(P^{n+2}(\mathbf{x}))} = 0.$$

Since the set

$$T = \text{cl}(P^n(\mathbf{x}) \setminus P^{n+1}(\mathbf{x})) \cap \text{cl}(K)$$

is compact for each  $n \geq n(1)$ , there exists a finite family of open balls

$$B_1^n, B_2^n, \dots, B_{i(n)}^n \subset P^n(\mathbf{x}) \setminus \text{cl}(P^{n+2}(\mathbf{x})) \setminus \text{cl}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n\right)$$

such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{i(n)} B_i^n \supset T \text{ and } \lambda_m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{i(n)} B_i^n \setminus T\right) < \frac{s_n}{4^n}.$$

Observe that the set  $V = \bigcup_{n \geq n(1)} \bigcup_{i=1}^{i(n)} B_i^n$  is open and satisfies all requirements. Let

$$B = P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x}) \setminus \left(V \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n \cup \{\mathbf{x}\}\right)$$

and put

$$g(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon & \text{if } (\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{x}) \vee (\mathbf{t} \in H_n, n = 1, 2, \dots), \\ 0 & \text{if } (\mathbf{t} \in V) \vee (\mathbf{t} \in B \text{ and } d_u(V, \mathbf{t}) > 0), \\ \frac{1}{f(\mathbf{t})} & \text{if } \mathbf{t} \in B \text{ and } d_u(V, \mathbf{t}) = 0, \\ f(\mathbf{t}) & \text{if } \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x}). \end{cases}$$

We can prove that  $g \in S$  by methods used above. But the product  $f \cdot g \notin Q_s(\mathbf{x})$ . Indeed, observe that on  $P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x})$  we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot g(\mathbf{x}) = 0,$$

$$f(\mathbf{t}) \cdot g(\mathbf{t}) > \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \text{ int for } \mathbf{t} \in H_n, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$f(\mathbf{t}) \cdot g(\mathbf{t}) = 0 \text{ if } \mathbf{t} \in P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x}) \setminus (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n \cup \{\mathbf{x}\}) \text{ and } d_u(V, \mathbf{t}) > 0,$$

$$f(\mathbf{t}) \cdot g(\mathbf{t}) = 1 \text{ if } \mathbf{t} \in B \text{ and } d_u(V, \mathbf{t}) = 0,$$

and for each  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus P^{n(1)}(\mathbf{x})$  we have  $g(\mathbf{t}) \cdot f(\mathbf{t}) = (f(\mathbf{t}))^2$ . If  $A$  is the set of all density points of the set  $B \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$  and  $\eta = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \min\left\{1, \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\right\}$ , then  $\mathbf{x} \in A$  and for each open set  $O$  with  $O \cap A \neq \emptyset$  the image  $f(O \cap A)$  is not contained in  $(f(\mathbf{x}) - \eta, f(\mathbf{x}) + \eta) = (-\eta, \eta)$ . So,  $f \cdot g \notin S$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *If a function  $f \in S$  is  $\mathcal{T}_{ae}$ -continuous at a point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , then for every function  $g \in S$ , for every set  $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$  and for every real  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a nonempty open set  $O$  such that  $O \cap A \neq \emptyset$ ,  $f \cdot g \in \xi(\mathbf{t})$  and  $|f(\mathbf{t}) \cdot g(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot g(\mathbf{x})| < \varepsilon$  for each point  $\mathbf{t} \in O \cap A$ .*

PROOF. Fix a real  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a set  $A \in \mathcal{T}_d$  containing  $\mathbf{x}$ . Since  $f$  is  $\mathcal{T}_{ae}$ -continuous at  $\mathbf{x}$ , the point  $\mathbf{x}$  is a density point of the set

$$B = \text{int} \left\{ \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; |f(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{x})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \cdot \max(|g(\mathbf{x})|, 1)} \right\},$$

Consequently,  $\mathbf{x}$  is a density point of the set  $B \cap A$ . Since  $f \in S$ , there is a nonempty open set  $O \subset B$  such that  $O \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $f \in \xi(\mathbf{t})$  for each point  $\mathbf{t} \in O \cap A$ . Since  $g \in S$ , there is a nonempty open set  $O' \subset O$  such that  $O' \cap A \neq \emptyset$ ,

$$|g(\mathbf{t}) - g(\mathbf{x})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \cdot \max(\sup_{\mathbf{t} \in O' \cap A} |f(\mathbf{t})|, 1)}$$

and  $g \in \xi(\mathbf{t})$  for each  $\mathbf{t} \in O' \cap A$ . Consequently, we obtain that  $f \cdot g \in S(\mathbf{t})$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\mathbf{t}) \cdot g(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot g(\mathbf{x})| &\leq |f(\mathbf{t})| \cdot |g(\mathbf{t}) - g(\mathbf{x})| + |g(\mathbf{x})| \cdot |f(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{x})| < \\ &\sup_{\mathbf{t} \in O' \cap A} |f(\mathbf{t})| \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \cdot \max(\sup_{\mathbf{t} \in O' \cap A} |f(\mathbf{t})|, 1)} + |g(\mathbf{x})| \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \cdot \max(|g(\mathbf{x})|, 1)} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $f \cdot g \in S$  and the proof is completed.  $\square$

From Lemmas 2, 3, 4 and 5 we immediately obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** *A function  $f \in \text{Max}_{\text{mult}}(S)$  if and only if  $f \in S$  and satisfies the following condition.*

- (m) *if  $f$  is not  $\mathcal{T}_{ae}$ -continuous at a point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , then  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  and  $d_u(\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m; f(\mathbf{t}) = 0\}, \mathbf{x}) > 0$ .*

**Corollary 4.** *If the property  $\xi(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , denotes that*

- *$f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $S = Q_s$  and  $f \in \text{Max}_{\text{mult}}(Q_s)$  if and only if  $f \in Q_s$  and satisfies the condition (m);*
- *$\mathbf{x} \in C(f)$ , then  $S = Q_{s_1}$  and  $f \in \text{Max}_{\text{mult}}(Q_{s_1})$  if and only if  $f \in Q_{s_1}$  and satisfies the condition (m);*
- *$\mathbf{x} \in A(f)$ , then  $S = Q_{s_2}$  and  $f \in \text{Max}_{\text{mult}}(Q_{s_2})$  if and only if  $f \in Q_{s_2}$  and satisfies the condition (m).*

## References

- [1] A. M. Bruckner, *Differentiation of Integrals*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **78(9)** (1971), 1–54.
- [2] C. Goffman, C. Neugebauer and T. Nishiura, *Density Topology and Approximate Continuity*, Duke Math. J., **28** (1961), 497–506.
- [3] Z. Grande, *Sur la  $r$ -Continuité des Fonctions de Deux Variables*, Demonstratio Math., **11** (1978), 937–945.
- [4] Z. Grande, *Sur les Fonctions Approximativement Quasi-continues*, Rev. Roum. Pures and Appl., **XXXIV(1)** (1988), 17–22.
- [5] Z. Grande, *Sur la Quasi-continuité Approximative*, Fund. Math., **129** (1988), 167–172.
- [6] Z. Grande and E. Strońska, *On Some Properties Connected with Continuity and Measurability*, Tatra Mont. Math. Publ., **19** (2000), 117–125.
- [7] Z. Grande, *Measurability, Quasicontinuity and Cliquishness of Functions of Two Variables*, Real Analysis Exch., **20** (1994/95), 744–752.
- [8] Z. Grande, *On the Maximal Families for the Class of Strongly Quasicontinuous Functions*, Real Analysis Exch., **20(2)** (1995/96), 631–638.
- [9] Z. Grande, *On Some Special Notions of Approximate Quasi-continuity*, Real Analysis Exch., **24** (1998/99), 171–183.
- [10] S. Kempisty, *Sur les Fonctions Quasicontinues*, Fund. Math., **19** (1932), 184–197.
- [11] T. Neubrunn, *Quasi-continuity*, Real Analysis Exch., **14(2)** (1988/89), 259–306.
- [12] R. J. O'Malley, *Approximately Differentiable Functions. The  $r$  Topology*, Pac. J. Math., **72** (1977), 207–222.
- [13] E. Strońska, *On Some Special Notions of Approximate Quasicontinuity on  $\mathbb{R}^m$* , Demonstratio Math., **XXXVIII(3)** (2005), 533–550.
- [14] E. Strońska, *On the Maximal Families for Some Special Classes of Strongly Quasicontinuity Functions*, Real Analysis Exch., **23(2)** (1997/98), 743–752.
- [15] W. Wilczyński, *Density Topologies*, in *Handbook of Measure Theory*, Edited by E. Pap, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam (2002), Chap. 15.