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## A CHARACTERIZATION OF SINGULAR MEASURES


#### Abstract

Denote by $\mu$ a probability Borel measure on the real line and by $\tau_{c}$ the translation by $c$. We show that $\mu$ is singular with respect to Lebesgue measure if and only if the set of those $c$ for which $\mu$ and $\tau_{c} \mu$ are mutually singular is dense (Theorem 1). Another characterization of singularity (Theorem 10.) is the existence of a set of full $\mu$ measure that has continuum many disjoint translates. This result is also linked to some known results about $\sigma$-porous sets.


Kakutani [2] has an old and famous theorem concerning the singularity or mutual absolute continuity of infinite product measures. We borrow the main tool of his investigation, namely the "inner product" $\rho$ of probability measures defined on the same measurable space,

$$
\rho(\mu, \nu)=\int\left(\frac{d \mu}{d \pi}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{d \nu}{d \pi}\right)^{1 / 2} d \pi
$$

where both $\mu$, and $\nu$ are absolutely continuous with respect to the measure $\pi$. $\rho$ does not depend on the choice of $\pi$, so if it makes the considerations easier we may assume that $\pi=\mu+\nu$.

The two probability measure $\mu, \nu$ are the same if $\rho(\mu, \nu)=1$ and mutually singular if $\rho(\mu, \nu)=0$.

In what follows $T$ denotes $\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$, the circle group and $\lambda$, Lebesgue (Haar) measure on $T . \tau_{c}$ denotes translation by $c$; i.e., $\tau_{c}(x)=x+c$ and $\tau_{c} \mu$ stands for measure defined by $\tau_{c} \mu(A)=\mu(A-c)$ for any $c$. Also $\bar{\mu}(A)=\mu(-A)$.

One of the main theorems of the paper is the following assertion.
Theorem 1. Let $\mu$ be a Borel measure on $T$. Then $\mu$ is singular with respect to Haar measure $\lambda$ if and only if the set

$$
M=\left\{c \in T: \mu \perp \tau_{c} \mu\right\}
$$

[^0]is a dense, $G_{\delta}$, of full Haar measure; i.e., $\lambda(M)=1$.
The proof of Theorem 1 is based on some lemmas the aim of which is to show that the non-negative real function $f(c)=\rho\left(\mu, \tau_{c} \mu\right)$ is upper semicontinuous and that the integral mean of $f$ is zero on any interval. These two facts prove the necessity of the condition in Theorem 1 at once.

The opposite direction follows from two facts.
(i) If $\mu(A)=\int_{A} g d \lambda$, then $\rho\left(\mu, \tau_{c} \mu\right)=\int_{T} \sqrt{g(x) g(x-c)} d x$. Therefore

$$
\int_{T} f(c) d c=\int_{T} \int_{T} \sqrt{g(x) g(x-c)} d x d c=\left(\int_{T} \sqrt{g}\right)^{2}>0
$$

(ii) If $\mu=\alpha \mu_{a c}+(1-\alpha) \mu_{s}$ where $\mu_{a c} \ll \lambda$ and $\mu_{s} \perp \lambda$, then $f(c)=$ $\rho\left(\mu, \tau_{c} \mu\right) \geq \alpha \rho\left(\mu_{a c}, \tau_{c} \mu_{a c}\right)$.
Denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the family of Borel probability measures on $T$, and by $w$ the weak topology on $\mathcal{M}$; i.e., the weakest topology on $\mathcal{M}$ such that for all continuous functions $h: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the mapping $\nu \mapsto \int h d \nu$ is continuous. With this topology $\mathcal{M}$ is a compact metric space. In what follows any topological notion in connection with $\mathcal{M}$ refers to the $w$ topology.

Lemma 2. Let $\nu \in \mathcal{M}$. The mapping $c \mapsto \tau_{c} \nu$ is continuous.
Proof. It is enough to show that for any continuous function $h: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the composition

$$
c \mapsto \int_{T} h d\left(\tau_{c} \nu\right)=\int_{T}\left(\tau_{c} h\right) d \nu
$$

is a continuous real function which follows from the uniform continuity of $h$.

The next lemma essentially appears in [2]. We present here a simpler martingale based proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 3. Let $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ be an increasing sequence of $\sigma$-algebras on $T$ such that the algebra $\cup_{n} \mathcal{F}_{n}$ generates the $\sigma$-algebra of Borel sets and let $\mu, \nu$ two probability measure on $T$. Then the sequence $\rho\left(\left.\mu\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}},\left.\nu\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}}\right)$ is decreasing and

$$
\rho(\mu, \nu)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(\left.\mu\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}},\left.\nu\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\pi=(\mu+\nu) / 2$. Then $(T, \pi)$ is a probability field and both

$$
\xi_{n}=\frac{\left.d \mu\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}}}{\left.d \pi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}}} \text { and } \eta_{n}=\frac{\left.d \nu\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}}}{\left.d \pi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}}}
$$

are non-negative bounded martingales on it with respect to $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Therefore these martingales converge almost everywhere and in $L_{p}(1 \leq p<$ $\infty)$ also. Moreover their limits are $\frac{d \mu}{d \pi}$ and $\frac{d \nu}{d \pi}$ respectively, since $\cup_{n} \mathcal{F}_{n}$ generates the Borel $\sigma$-algebra.

By definition

$$
\rho\left(\left.\mu\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}},\left.\nu\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}}\right)=\left.\int \sqrt{\xi_{n} \eta_{n}} d \pi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}}=\int \sqrt{\xi_{n} \eta_{n}} d \pi
$$

This last integral tends to $\rho(\mu, \nu)$ by the dominated convergence theorem.
We also need that the sequence $\rho\left(\left.\mu\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}},\left.\nu\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}}\right)$ is decreasing. To see this we use the conditional version of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality; i.e.,

$$
E\left(\sqrt{\xi_{n+1} \eta_{n+1}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \leq \sqrt{E\left(\xi_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) E\left(\eta_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)}=\sqrt{\xi_{n} \eta_{n}}
$$

holds almost everywhere. Taking the expectation of both sides we get the desired inequality.

Lemma 4. $\rho: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is upper semi-continuous.
Proof. We have to show that $\rho$ is upper semi-continuous at any point of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$. So let $\mu_{0}$ and $\nu_{0}$ be two probability Borel measure on $T$ and $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ be a sequence of finite $\sigma$-algebras of Borel sets of $T$, such that $\cup \mathcal{F}_{n}$ is generating. Moreover we can choose each $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ in such a way that for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$ we have $\mu_{0}(\partial A)=\nu_{0}(\partial A)=0$. Let $\rho_{n}(\mu, \nu)=\rho\left(\left.\mu\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}},\left.\nu\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ is finite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}(\mu, \nu)=\left.\int\left(\frac{\left.d \mu\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}}}{\left.d \pi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\left.d \nu\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}}}{\left.d \pi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}}}\right)^{1 / 2} d \pi\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{n}}=\sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)} \sqrt{\mu(A) \nu(A)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi$ is as above and $\mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)$ denotes the collection of atoms of $\mathcal{F}_{n}$. Since $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ was chosen in such a way that for each for $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$ the evaluation mapping $\mu \mapsto$ $\mu(A)$ is continuous at $\mu_{0}$ and at $\nu_{0}$, equation (1) shows that $\rho_{n}$ is continuous at $\left(\mu_{0}, \nu_{0}\right)$ for each $n . \rho$ is a pointwise decreasing limit of the sequence $\rho_{n}$ so $\rho$ is upper semi-continuous at $\left(\mu_{0}, \nu_{0}\right)$.

From Lemmas 2 and 4 we have that $f(c)=\rho\left(\mu, \tau_{c} \mu\right)$ is upper semi continuous for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$. The next lemma deals with the integral mean of $f$.

Lemma 5. Let $I$ be an subinterval of $T$. Then $\int_{I} f d \lambda=0$.

Proof. For the proof we can assume that $I$ is an interval of positive Haar measure. Put

$$
\nu(A)=\frac{1}{\lambda(I)} \int_{I} \tau_{c} \mu(A) d c
$$

for any Borel set $A$. Then $\nu$ is the convolution of two probability measures one of which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure. So $\nu$ is a probability Borel measure. If $\lambda(A)=0$, then $\lambda(A-x)=0$ for all $x \in T$ so the application of the Fubini theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu(A) & =\frac{1}{\lambda(I)} \int_{I} \tau_{c} \mu(A) d c=\frac{1}{\lambda(I)} \int_{I} \int_{T} \chi_{A}(c+x) d \mu(x) d c \\
& =\frac{1}{\lambda(I)} \int_{T} \int_{I} \chi_{A}(c+x) d c d \mu(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda(I)} \int_{T} \lambda((A-x) \cap I) d \mu(x)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\nu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure. This means that

$$
0=\rho(\mu, \nu)=\lim _{n} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)} \sqrt{\mu(A) \nu(A)}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ is a sequence of finite $\sigma$-algebras such that $\cup_{n} \mathcal{F}_{n}$ generates the Borel $\sigma$-algebra. By the concavity of square root

$$
\sqrt{\nu(A)}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda(I)} \int_{I} \tau_{c} \mu(A) d c} \geq \frac{1}{\lambda(I)} \int_{I} \sqrt{\tau_{c} \mu(A)} d c
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\lambda(I)} \int_{I} f(c) d c & =\frac{1}{\lambda(I)} \int_{I} \rho\left(\mu, \tau_{c} \mu\right) d c \\
& =\frac{1}{\lambda(I)} \int_{I} \lim _{n} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)} \sqrt{\mu(A) \tau_{c} \mu(A)} d c \\
& \leq \lim _{n} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)} \frac{\sqrt{\mu(A)}}{\lambda(I)} \int_{I} \sqrt{\tau_{c} \mu(A)} d c \\
& \leq \lim _{n} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)} \sqrt{\mu(A) \nu(A)}=\rho(\mu, \nu)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6. Let $\mu$ be a singular probability measure on $T, I \subset T$ a nonempty open interval and $\varepsilon>0$. There exists a closed set $F=-F$ such that $\mu(T \backslash F)<$ $\varepsilon$, and $I \backslash(F+F)=I \backslash(F-F)$ is non empty.

Proof. For an arbitrary Borel measure $\nu$ on $T$ let $\bar{\nu}(A)=\nu(-A)$. Since $\lambda=\bar{\lambda}$, we have that $\bar{\mu}$ and $\nu=\frac{1}{2}(\mu+\bar{\mu})$ is also singular with respect to Haar measure $\lambda$. By Theorem 1 there is a $c \in I$ such that $\nu$ and $\tau_{c} \nu$ are mutually singular. So there is Borel set $E \subset T$ such that $\nu(E)=0$ and $\tau_{c} \nu(T \backslash E)=0$. By regularity there are open sets $A, B$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E \subset A \text { and } \quad \nu(A) & <\varepsilon / 4 \\
T \backslash E \subset B \text { and } \tau_{c} \nu(B) & <\varepsilon / 4
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $F=T \backslash(A \cup(-A) \cup(B-c) \cup(c-B)) . F=-F$ is closed and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(T \backslash F) & =\mu(A \cup(-A) \cup(B-c) \cup(c-B)) \\
& \leq \mu(A)+\mu(-A)+\mu(B-c)+\mu(c-B)=2 \nu(A)+2 \tau_{c} \nu(B)<\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove that $F+F$ does not fill up $I$ it is enough to show that $c \notin F+F$; that is, $F \cap(c-F)=\emptyset$, or $(T \backslash F) \cup(T \backslash(c-F))=T$. This last equality follows from the choice of $A$ and $B$, i.e. $A \cup B \supset E \cup T \backslash E=T$, and the fact that $B \subset T \backslash(c-F), A \subset T \backslash F$.

Theorem 1 and Lemma 6 have the following interesting corollary.
Theorem 7. Let $\mu$ be a singular Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$. There is a closed set $E=-E$ of positive $\mu$ measure such that $E+E=E-E$ is a nowhere dense closed set.

Proof. Let $\eta$ denote the canonical mapping from $\mathbb{R}$ to $T=\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z},(\eta$ is the fractional part function) and $\mu_{0}=\mu \circ \eta^{-1}$. Let $\left\{I_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be the enumeration of nonempty open subintervals of $T$ with rational endpoints and $\varepsilon_{n}>0$ such that $\sum \varepsilon_{n}<1$. By Lemma 6 there are closed sets $F_{n}=-F_{n}$ such that $\mu_{0}\left(T \backslash F_{n}\right)<\varepsilon_{n}$, and $I_{n} \backslash\left(F_{n}+F_{n}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Put $\tilde{F}=\cap_{n} F_{n}$. It is clear that $\tilde{F}=-\tilde{F}$ is closed, $\mu_{0}(\tilde{F})>0, \tilde{F}+\tilde{F}$ is closed and $I_{n} \backslash(\tilde{F}+\tilde{F}) \neq \emptyset$. To complete the proof let $F=\eta^{-1} \tilde{F}$.

As a corollary we give a new proof of the fact (proved originally by J. Tkadlec [4]) that there is a closed non- $\sigma$-porous set $E$ such that $E+E$ is nowhere dense, so contains no interval. Later we will also prove the existence of a closed non- $\sigma$-porous set having continuumly many disjoint translates. Usually both are considered as the property of the $\sigma$-ideal of $\sigma$-porous sets. We show that these are rather the properties of the $\sigma$-ideal of the null sets of singular probability Borel measures. They hold for $\sigma$-porous sets as there are singular probability Borel measures taking value zero on porous sets (see [5] and [3]).

Corollary 8 (J. Tkadlec [4]). There is closed non- $\sigma$-porous subset $E$ of the real line, such that $E+E$ is of first category.

Proof. It is well known that there is singular probability Borel measure $\mu$ on the real line, such that each $\sigma$-porous set is of $\mu$-measure 0 (see e.g. [5]). So Theorem 7 shows the existence of such an $E$.

At the end of this note we also prove another characterization of singular measures. We will use the following quite widely known lemma. For the sake of completeness we give a proof rather than a reference.

Lemma 9. Let $H$ be a set of first category on the real line. Then there is a perfect set $C$ such that $(C-C) \cap H \subset\{0\}$.

Proof. Clearly it's enough to prove the lemma for $H=\cup_{n} F_{n}$ where $F_{n}$ is closed and nowhere dense; moreover $F_{n} \subset F_{n+1}$. The set $C$ will be given with the help of a so called perfect scheme; i.e., a sequence of closed set $C_{n} \supset C_{n+1}$ such that $C_{n}$ is a disjoint union of closed intervals $I_{n, k}$ and $\left|\left\{l: I_{n+1, l} \subset I_{n, k}\right\}\right| \geq 2$. Then $C=\cap_{n} C_{n}$ is perfect. If $C_{n}$ is defined in such a way that $\left(C_{n}-C_{n}\right) \cap F_{n} \subset[-1 / n, 1 / n]$, then $(C-C) \cap H \subset\{0\}$ also follows.

Let $C_{1}=[0,1]=I_{1,1}$. It is clear that $C_{1}-C_{1} \subset[-1,1]$. Assume that $C_{n}=\cup_{k} I_{n, k}$ is defined where the union is finite and disjoint. Using the fact that $F_{n+1}$ is nowhere dense and closed one can easily see that if $I, J$ are two disjoint intervals, then there are intervals $\tilde{I} \subset I, \tilde{J} \subset J$ such that $(\tilde{I}-\tilde{J}) \cap F_{n+1}=\emptyset$.

Using this we can decrease in finitely many steps the intervals $I_{n, k}$ to $\tilde{I}_{n, k}$ such that $\left(\tilde{I}_{n, k}-\tilde{I}_{n, l}\right) \cap F_{n+1}=\emptyset$ provided that $l \neq k$, and $\left|\tilde{I}_{n, k}\right|<\frac{1}{2(n+1)}$. This latest property implies that $\tilde{I}_{n, k}-\tilde{I}_{n, k} \subset[-1 /(n+1), 1 /(n+1)]$. Let $I_{n+1,2 k-1}$ and $I_{n+1,2 k}$ be the two intervals remaining after the middle third of $\tilde{I}_{n, k}$ is deleted. It is clear that $C_{n+1}=\cup_{k} I_{n+1, k} \subset C_{n}$ and $\left(C_{n+1}-C_{n+1}\right) \cap F_{n+1} \subset$ $[-1 /(n+1), 1 /(n+1)]$. So the sequence $\left(C_{n}\right)$ can be defined with the desired properties and $C=\cap_{n} C_{n}$ is a perfect set such that $(C-C) \cap H \subset\{0\}$.

Theorem 10. Let $\mu$ be a probability Borel measure on the real line. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $\mu$ is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure.
(ii) For $\varepsilon>0$ there is a closed set $E$ with $\mu(E)>1-\varepsilon$ and a perfect set $C$ such that $\{c+E: c \in C\}$ is a family of disjoint sets.
(iii) There is an $F_{\sigma}$ set $E$ of $\mu$ measure one, and a perfect set $C$ such that $\{c+E: c \in C\}$ is a family of disjoint sets.

Proof. (ii) $\Longrightarrow \quad(i)$ Let $\mu=\mu_{a c}+\mu_{s}$ be the Hahn decomposition of $\mu$ into singular and absolutely continuous parts with respect to the Lebesgue measure. If $\varepsilon<\mu_{a c}(\mathbb{R})$, then for any closed $F, \mu(F)>1-\varepsilon$ implies that $\lambda(F)>0$. But this contradicts (ii), since we can assume that $F \subset[-K, K]$ for some $K>0$ and $L$ is so large that $C \cap[-L, L]$ is infinite. In that case

$$
\bigcup_{c \in C \cap[-L, L]} c+F \subset[-K-L, K+L]
$$

Since the sets $c+F, c \in C \cap[-L, L]$ are disjoint, we have that $\lambda(F)=0$. This argument proves $(i i) \Longrightarrow(i)$.
(i) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) Theorem 7 says that for any $\varepsilon>0$ one can find a closed set $F_{\varepsilon}$ such that $\mu\left(F_{\varepsilon}\right)>1-\varepsilon$ and $F_{\varepsilon}-F_{\varepsilon}$ is nowhere dense. Put $F_{n}^{\prime}=\cap_{k>n} F_{2^{-k}}$ and $E=\cup_{n} F_{n}^{\prime}$. It is clear that $E$ is an $F_{\sigma}$ set, and $F_{n}^{\prime} \subset F_{n+1}^{\prime}$. Therefore $E-E=\cup\left(F_{n}^{\prime}-F_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ is of first category. From Lemma 9 there is a perfect set $C$ such that $C-C \cap E-E=\{0\}$, so $\{E+c: c \in C\}$ is a family of disjoint sets.

Finally $(i i i) \Longrightarrow(i i)$ is clear.
Theorem 10 has the following corollary, which says that some non $\sigma$-porous sets are so small that on the real line there is enough space for continuumly many disjoint translates of them. The fact that there is a family of disjoint non $\sigma$-porous sets of continuum cardinality is known. What is probably new in this statement is that it can be chosen to be the family of translates of a given set.
Corollary 11. There is a closed non $\sigma$-porous set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a perfect set $C$ such that $\{E+c: c \in C\}$ is a family of disjoint sets.

Proof. Theorem 10 and the existence of singular measure taking zero on porous sets proves this statement.

In [1] the authors investigate the so called thin subset of the real line. A compact set $C \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called thin if it is true in ZFC that $\mathbb{R}$ is not the union of less than continuumly many translates of $C$. Another view of Theorem 10 is that it provides a great many examples of thin sets. Indeed for any singular measure $\mu$ there is a set $E$ with property (ii). We may also assume that $E$ is compact. $E$ is thin since less then continuum translates of it can not cover even $C$, as $|x+E \cap C| \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed $c_{i} \in C \cap(x+E)(i=1,2)$ means that there are $e_{i} \in E$ with $c_{i}=x+e_{i}$, so $c_{i}-e_{i}=x$, i.e. $c_{1}-c_{2}=e_{1}-e_{2}=0$, this means $c_{1}=c_{2}$.
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