## SOME NON-AMENABLE GROUPS

## ADITI KAR AND GRAHAM A. NIBLO

**Abstract:** We generalise a result of R. Thomas to establish the non-vanishing of the first  $\ell^2$  Betti number for a class of finitely generated groups.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20J06.

Key words: finitely generated groups, orbifolds, cohomology, Euler characteristic.

In this note we give the following generalisation of a result of Richard Thomas [8].

**Theorem 1.** Let G be a finitely generated group given by the presentation

$$\langle x_1,\ldots,x_d:u_1^{m_1},\ldots,u_r^{m_r}\rangle$$

such that each relator  $u_i$  has order  $m_i$  in G.

- (1) If G is finite then  $1 d + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{m_i} > 0$  and  $|G| \ge \frac{1}{1 d + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{m_i}}$ .
- (2) If the first  $\ell^2$  Betti number  $\beta_1^2(G)$  of G is zero, then

$$1 - d + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{m_i} \ge 0.$$

In particular, the case when all the exponents  $m_i$  in the presentation are equal to 1 yields the well known observation that when the first  $\ell^2$  Betti number is zero the deficiency of the presentation d-r must be at most 1. The vanishing of the first  $\ell^2$  Betti number of a group G holds for example if G is finite, if it satisfies Kazhdan's property (T) or if it admits an infinite normal amenable subgroup (in particular if it is infinite amenable). We refer to [4] for other interesting examples. We obtain as a corollary:

**Corollary 2.** Let G be a finitely generated group given by the presentation

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_d : u_1^{m_1}, \dots, u_r^{m_r} \rangle$$

Research partially supported by EPSRC grant EP/F031947/1.

such that each relator  $u_i$  has order  $m_i$  in G. If  $d > 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{m_i}$ , then G is infinite, does not satisfy Kazhdan's property (T) and has no amenable infinite normal subgroups.

Thomas established the inequality in (1) above by providing a simple but elegant computation of the dimension of the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector space of 1-cycles of the cellular chain complex of the Cayley graph of G (Thomas refers to this space as the cycle space of  $\Gamma$ .) If  $\Gamma$  has d edges and v vertices then the dimension of this vector space is d-v+1. An alternative approach, yielding information about the classical first Betti number of G and its finite index subgroups is explored by Allcock in [1].

We generalise this idea to give the additional inequality in (2) above by using elementary observations about the  $\ell^2$  Betti numbers  $\beta_i^2$  of the orbihedral presentation 2-complex of G. For an introduction to  $\ell^2$  Betti numbers, we refer the reader to [3]. The first  $\ell^2$  Betti number vanishes for all finite groups. Cheeger and Gromov have shown that if a group G is amenable then  $\beta_1^2(G) = 0$  [2, Theorem 0.2]. More generally,  $\beta_1^2(G)$  is zero for any group G which contains an infinite normal amenable subgroup.

Remark 3. Theorem 1 can be derived from deeper results of Peterson and Thom; in particular, Equation (3) yields the inequality  $\beta_1^2(G) \geq \frac{1}{|G|} + d - 1 - \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i}$  from [7]. Here, |G| denotes the size of G and  $\frac{1}{|G|}$  is understood to be zero when G is infinite.

Finitely generated but not finitely presented groups. Lück has defined  $\ell^2$  Betti numbers for any countable discrete group. The notion agrees with the cellular  $\ell^2$  Betti numbers for finitely presented groups and the basic properties including a generalised Euler-Poincaré formula for G-CW complexes may be found in Chapter 6 of [6]. Working in this context and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain the following generalisation.

**Theorem 4.** Suppose a group G is given by the presentation

$$G = \langle x_1, \dots, x_d : u_i^{m_i}, i \in I \rangle$$

where I is a countable set and each relator  $u_i$  has order  $m_i$  in G. If  $\sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{m_i}$  converges then  $\beta_1^2(G) \ge \frac{1}{|G|} + d - 1 - \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{m_i}$ . In particular if  $\beta_1^2(G) = 0$  then  $\sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{m_i} - d + 1 \ge 0$ .

Before we embark on the proof of Theorem 1, we need a short lemma which says that the orbihedral Euler characteristic of a G-CW complex Y may be computed from its  $\ell^2$  Betti numbers. The lemma is well known and may be found in [6].

**Lemma 5** ([6, Theorem 6.80]). If G acts on a connected CW complex  $\tilde{Y}$  with finite quotient Y such that stabilisers of cells are finite, then the  $\ell^2$ -Euler characteristic of Y is equal to the orbihedral Euler characteristic of Y. More precisely, if for each i,  $\Sigma_i$  is a choice of representatives for the orbits of i-cells in  $\tilde{Y}$  and the stabiliser of a cell  $\sigma$  in G is written  $G_{\sigma}$ , then

(1) 
$$\sum_{i} (-1)^{i} \beta_{i}^{2}(Y) = \sum_{i} (-1)^{i} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{i}} \frac{1}{|G_{\sigma}|}.$$

We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1: Let G be a group given by the presentation  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d : u_1^{m_1}, \ldots, u_r^{m_r} \rangle$  where each relator  $u_i$  has order  $m_i$  in G. The orbihedral presentation 2-complex of G, which we will denote by  $\mathcal{P}$ , has one vertex and d edges forming a bouquet of d circles. Identifying each of the circles with one of the generators  $x_i$  we identify the fundamental group of this bouquet with the free group on  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ . Attached to this are r discs,  $\mathcal{D}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_r$ . For each  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ , the disc  $\mathcal{D}_i$  is endowed with a cone point of cone angle  $\frac{2\pi}{m_i}$  and its boundary is attached by a degree 1 map along the loop in the bouquet of circles corresponding to the element  $u_i$ .

Attaching the corresponding stabilisers to cells we obtain, in the language of Haefliger [5], a developable complex of groups, meaning that the orbihedral universal cover X of  $\mathcal{P}$  exists. In fact, X has a simple description in terms of the Cayley graph  $\mathcal{C}$  of G. The 1-skeleton of the orbihedral universal cover is the Cayley graph of G with respect to the generating set  $\{x_1,\ldots,x_d\}$ , while the 2-skeleton is obtained from the 2-skeleton of the topological universal cover of the presentation 2-complex by collapsing stacks of relator discs having common boundaries. Specifically, the relator  $u_i^{m_i}$  corresponds to a loop  $\gamma_i$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  bounding a disc and there is a unique lift  $\tilde{\gamma}_i$  of  $\gamma_i$  based at the identity vertex in  $\mathcal{C}$ . In the topological universal cover of the presentation 2-complex there are additional copies of this disc (glued along the same loop) based at the elements  $u_i, \ldots, u_i^{m_i-1}$  and the action of the subgroup  $\langle u_i \rangle$  permutes these discs so that each has trivial stabiliser. In contrast, these copies are identified in the orbihedral cover to give a single disc and it is preserved by the element  $u_i$ . The hypothesis that  $u_i$  has order  $m_i$  controls the order of the cell stabiliser.

We now apply the identity in (1) to our complex X. The action of G on the vertices and the edges of X is both free and transitive. On the other hand, by hypothesis, the stabiliser of a lift of a 2-cell  $\mathcal{D}_i$  has

order  $m_i$ . Hence,  $\beta_0^2(\mathcal{P}) - \beta_1^2(\mathcal{P}) + \beta_2^2(\mathcal{P}) = 1 - d + \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i}$ . We also know that  $\beta_0^2(\mathcal{P}) = \frac{1}{|G|}$  where  $\frac{1}{|G|}$  is understood to be zero when G is infinite. Therefore,

(2) 
$$\frac{1}{|G|} - \beta_1^2(\mathcal{P}) + \beta_2^2(\mathcal{P}) = 1 - d + \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i}.$$

Finally we remark that the first  $\ell^2$  Betti number of the group G may be computed as the first  $\ell^2$  Betti number of the orbihedral presentation complex used above. By definition,  $\beta_1^2(G)$  is the von Neumann dimension of the first  $\ell^2$  homology group of Y with coefficients in the von-Neumann algebra of G, where Y is the universal cover of the (topological) presentation 2 complex for G. Since both X and Y are simply connected we deduce from Theorem 6.54(3) of [6] that  $\beta_1^2(G) = \beta_1^2(\mathcal{P})$ . Therefore, Equation (2) becomes

(3) 
$$\frac{1}{|G|} - \beta_1^2(G) + \beta_2^2(\mathcal{P}) = 1 - d + \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i}.$$

Now assume that  $\beta_1^2(G)=0$ . Since  $\beta_2^2(\mathcal{P})\geq 0$ , we get the identity we are looking for, namely

$$1 - d + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{m_i} \ge \frac{1}{|G|}.$$

In particular, if G is finite, then the  $\ell^2$  cohomology of G is just the group cohomology with real coefficients, and this vanishes so we obtain Thomas's result that  $1-d+\sum_{i=1}^r\frac{1}{m_i}>0$  and  $|G|\geq\frac{1}{1-d+\sum_{i=1}^r\frac{1}{m_i}}$ .

On the other hand, if G is infinite and its first  $\ell^2$  Betti number is zero, in particular if G is an infinite amenable group, then we obtain the inequality  $1 - d + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{m_i} \geq 0$ , as required.

## References

- [1] D. Allcock, Spotting infinite groups, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **125(1)** (1999), 39–42. DOI: 10.1017/S0305004198002758.
- [2] J. CHEEGER AND M. GROMOV,  $L_2$ -cohomology and group cohomology, Topology **25(2)** (1986), 189–215. DOI: 10.1016/0040-9383 (86)90039-X.
- [3] B. ECKMANN, Introduction to  $\ell_2$ -methods in topology: reduced  $\ell_2$ -homology, harmonic chains,  $\ell_2$ -Betti numbers, Notes prepared by Guido Mislin, *Israel J. Math.* **117** (2000), 183–219. DOI: 10.1007/BF02773570.

- [4] T. Fernós, Relative property (T) and the vanishing of the first  $\ell^2$ -Betti number, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **17(5)** (2010), 851–857.
- [5] A. HAEFLIGER, Complexes of groups and orbihedra, in: "Group theory from a geometrical viewpoint" (Trieste, 1990), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1991, pp. 504–540.
- [6] W. LÜCK, "L²-invariants: theory and applications to geometry and K-theory", Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics 44, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [7] J. Peterson and A. Thom, Group cocycles and the ring of affiliated operators, *Invent. Math.* 185(3) (2011), 561–592. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-011-0310-2.
- [8] R. M. THOMAS, Cayley graphs and group presentations, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 103(3) (1988), 385–387. DOI: 10.1017/S0305004100064999.

School of Mathematics University of Southampton Southampton, SO17 1BJ UK

E-mail address: A.Kar@soton.ac.uk
E-mail address: G.A.Niblo@soton.ac.uk

Primera versió rebuda el 28 de març de 2011, darrera versió rebuda el 9 de novembre de 2011.