## ON A THEOREM OF S. BERNSTEIN

N. C. Ankeny and T. J. Rivlin

1. Introduction and proof of the main theorem. A result of S . Bernstein [4] is the following.

Theorem A. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ such that $[\max |p(z)|,|z|=1]=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\max |p(z)|,|z|=R>1] \leq R^{n}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality only for $p(z)=\lambda z^{n}$, where $|\lambda|=1$.
We propose to show here that if we restrict ourselves to polynomials of degree $n$ having no zero within the unit circle the right hand member of (1) can be made smaller. In particular we have the following result.

Theorem 1. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ such that $[\max |p(z)|,|z|=1]=1$, and $p(z)$ has no zero within the unit circle, then

$$
[\max |p(z)|,|z|=R>1] \leq \begin{gathered}
1+R^{n} \\
2
\end{gathered}
$$

with equality only for $p(z)=\left(\lambda+\mu z^{n}\right) / 2$, where $|\lambda|=|\mu|=1$.
In order to prove Theorem 1 we use a conjecture of Erdös first proved by Lax [2] (See also [1]).

Theorem B. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ such that $[\max |p(z)|,|z|=1]=1$, and $p(z)$ has no zero within the unit circle, then

$$
\left[\max \left|p^{\prime}(z)\right|,|z|=1\right] \leq \frac{n}{2} .
$$

Turning now to Theorem 1, let us assume that $p(z)$ does not have the form $\left(\lambda+\mu z^{n}\right) / 2$. In view of Theorem B

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p^{\prime}\left(e^{i \varphi}\right)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2}, \quad 0 \leq \varphi<2 \pi, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we may deduce that
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \varphi}\right)\right|<\frac{n}{2} r^{n-1}, \quad 0 \leq \varphi<2 \pi, \quad r>1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by applying Theorem A to the polynomial $p^{\prime}(z) /(n / 2)$ and observing that we have the strict inequality in (3) because $p(z)$ does not have the form $\left(\lambda+\mu z^{n}\right) / 2$. But for each $\varphi, 0 \leq \varphi<2 \pi$, we have

$$
p\left(R e^{i \varphi}\right)-p\left(e^{i \varphi}\right)=\int_{1}^{R} e^{i \varphi} p^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \varphi}\right) d r
$$

Hence

$$
\left|p\left(R e^{i \varphi}\right)-p\left(e^{i \varphi}\right)\right| \leq \int_{1}^{R}\left|p^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \varphi}\right)\right| d r<\frac{n}{2} \int_{1}^{R} r^{n-1} d r=\frac{R^{n}-1}{2}
$$

and

$$
\left|p\left(R e^{i \varphi}\right)\right|<\frac{R^{n}-1}{2}+\left|p\left(e^{i \varphi}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1+R^{n}}{2}
$$

Finally, if $p(z)=\left(\lambda+\mu z^{n}\right) / 2,|\lambda|=1$, then

$$
[\max |p(z)|,|z|=R>1]=\frac{1+R^{n}}{2}
$$

As a corollary of Theorem 1 we may deduce
Theorem 2. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ with real coefficients having all zeros of nonpositive real part and if for some $R>1$

$$
p(R)>p(1)\binom{R^{k}+R^{n}}{2}
$$

$k$ a nonnegative integer, then $p(z)$ has at least $(k+1)$ zeros in $|z|<1$.
Proof. Suppose $p(z)$ has $m$ zeros in $|z|<1$ and $m \leq k$. Let

$$
p(z)=\left(z-z_{1}\right) \cdots\left(z-z_{m}\right)\left(z-z_{m+1}\right) \cdots\left(z-z_{n}\right)
$$

and suppose $\left|z_{j}\right|<1,(j=1, \cdots, m)$. Put

$$
g(z)=\left(z-z_{1}\right) \cdots\left(z-z_{m}\right)
$$

and

$$
h(z)=\left(z-z_{m+1}\right) \cdots\left(z-z_{n}\right) .
$$

The polynomials $p(z), g(z)$ and $h(z)$ have positive coefficients, hence for all $R>1$

$$
g(R) \leq g(1) R^{m}
$$

and

$$
h(R) \leq h(1)\binom{1+R^{n-m}}{2}
$$

according to Theorems A and 1 respectively. Thus

$$
p(R)=h(R) g(R) \leq p(1)\binom{R^{m}+R^{n}}{2} \leq p(1)\binom{R^{h}+R^{n}}{2}
$$

a contradiction, establishing Theorem 2.
2. The converse problem. The converse of Theorem 1 is false as the simple example $p(z)=\left(z+\frac{1}{2}\right)(z+3)$ shows. However, the following result in the converse direction is valid.

Theorem 3. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ such that

$$
p(1)=[\max |p(z)|,|z|=1]=1
$$

and

$$
[\max |p(z)|,|z|=R>1] \leq \frac{1+R^{n}}{2}
$$

for $0<R-1<\delta$, where $\delta$ is any positive number, then $p(z)$ does not have all its roots within the unit circle.

For the proof we need the following
Lemma. If

$$
q(z)=\left(z-z_{1}\right) \cdots\left(z-z_{m}\right)
$$

where $\left|z_{j}\right|<1,(j=1, \cdots, m)$, then if $|a|=1$ we have

$$
\left|\frac{q^{\prime}(a)}{q(a)}\right|>\frac{m}{2} .
$$

Proof. According to Laguerre's Theorem [3, p. 38]

$$
\frac{q^{\prime}(a)}{q(a)}=\frac{m}{a-w}
$$

where $|w|<1$, hence $|a-w|<2$ and

$$
\left|\frac{q^{\prime}(a)}{q(a)}\right|>\frac{m}{2} .
$$

We turn now to the proof of Theorem 3. Suppose $p(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z|<1$. Let

$$
p(z)=a_{0}+a_{1} z+\cdots+a_{n} z^{n},
$$

put

$$
\bar{p}(z)=\bar{a}_{0}+\bar{a}_{1} z+\cdots+\bar{a}_{n} z^{n}
$$

and consider the polynomial $g(z)=p(z) \bar{p}(z)$ of degree $2 n . g(z)$ is real for real $z$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\max |g(z)|, \quad|z|=1]=g(1)=1,} \\
& \left|g\left(R e^{i \varphi}\right)\right| \leq\left(\frac{1+R^{n}}{2}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{1+R^{2 n}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $g(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z|<1$. Now $g^{\prime}(1)$ is not only real but positive. This is so since, given any $\eta>0$, we have $g(1-\eta)<g(1)$. Hence

$$
g^{\prime}(1)=\lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(1-\eta)-g(1)}{-\eta} \geq 0
$$

Now $g^{\prime}(1) \neq 0$, as all of the roots of $g(z)=0$ are inside the unit circle, hence, by Lucas' Theorem all roots of $g^{\prime}(z)=0$ are within the convex closure of the unit circle namely the unit circle itself.

Given any $\varepsilon>0$, sufficiently small,

$$
|g(1+\varepsilon)-g(1)|=g(1+\varepsilon)-g(1) \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^{2 n}+1}{2}-1=\frac{(1+\varepsilon)^{2 n}-1}{2}
$$

or

$$
|g(1+\varepsilon)-g(1)| \leq n \varepsilon+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

and $g^{\prime}(1) \leq n$. Therefore $g^{\prime}(1) / g(1) \leq n$ contradicting the lemma. Theorem 3 is established.
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