## ON MATRICES HAVING THE SAME CHARACTERISTIC EQUATION

Elmer E. Osborne

1. Introduction. Let A and B be  $n \times n$  matrices whose elements lie in an infinite perfect<sup>1</sup> field F. Alfred Brauer [1] and W. V. Parker [2] have considered the question: "When do A and B have the same characteristic equation?" Their results have been sufficiency conditions with special forms of A and B. W. T. Reid [3] has considered a related problem.

The present paper is concerned with the following theorem that contains the results of Brauer and Parker as special cases.

THEOREM. A necessary and sufficient condition for matrices A and B to have the same characteristic equation is that there exist a nonsingular matrix P (with elements in F) such that for  $N = A - P^{-1}BP$ :

Every polynomial g in A and N, each term of which contains N at least once, is nilpotent.

We introduce a special canonical form in  $\S2$  and give the proof in  $\S3$ .

2. Canonical forms. For any matrix A, there exists a nonsingular matrix  $P_1$ , with elements in F, such that

(2.1) 
$$P_1^{-1}AP_1 = A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_k,$$

where the characteristic equation of  $A_i$  is  $[p_i(x)]^{\alpha_i} = 0$ , and  $p_i(x)$  is an irreducible polynomial over F. Moreover, for each  $A_i$  we have the decomposition by the nonsingular matrix  $P_{2i}$  with elements in F:

(2.2) 
$$P_{2i}^{-1} A_i P_{2i} = A_{i1} + A_{i2} + \cdots + A_{ik_i},$$

in which each  $A_{i\mu}$  is nonderogatory with characteristic equation  $[p_i(x)]^{\alpha_{i\mu}} = 0$ and is of the form [4, p.750]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Every irreducible equation over F is separable.

Received June 21, 1951. The author gratefully acknowledges the encouragement and suggestions of Professor Lowell J. Paige of the University of California, Los Angeles, in the preparation of this paper.

Pacific J. Math. 2 (1952), 227-230

(2.3) 
$$A_{i\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} C_i \ I_i \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \\ 0 \ C_i \ I_i \ \cdots \ 0 \\ \vdots \ \vdots \ \vdots \\ 0 \ \cdots \ \vdots \ \vdots \\ 0 \ \cdots \ \vdots \ C_i \end{pmatrix};$$

where  $C_i$  is the companion matrix of  $p_i(x)$ , and occurs  $\alpha_{i\mu}$  times down the main diagonal;  $I_i$  is the identity matrix of order the degree of  $p_i(x)$ . Clearly

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{k_i} \alpha_{i\mu} = \alpha_i.$$

Letting  $P_2 = P_{21} + P_{22} + P_{2k}$  and  $P = P_1 P_2$ , we have a direct sum decomposition of A into matrices  $A_{i\mu}$  of form (2.3). We shall indicate this by

(2.4) 
$$P^{-1}AP = \ddagger \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_i} A_{i\mu}.$$

It should be pointed out that the existence of the canonical form (2.3) depends only on the perfectness of the field F.

3. Proof of the theorem. Necessity. Suppose A and B have the same characteristic equation

$$m(x) = \prod [P_i(x)]^{\alpha_i} = 0.$$

We may then find matrices  $P_a$  and  $P_b$  (see §2) such that

(3.1) 
$$P_{a}^{-1}AP_{a} = \vdots \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_{i}} A_{i\mu},$$
$$P_{b}^{-1}BP_{b} = \vdots \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\mu=1}^{h_{i}} A_{i\mu}^{*};$$

where  $A_{i\mu}$  and  $A_{i\mu}^*$  (for the same subscript *i*) are of the form (2.3) and thus have the same blocks  $C_i$  on the main diagonal. Moreover  $\div \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_i} A_{i\mu}$  and  $\div \sum_{\mu=1}^{h_i} A_{i\mu}^*$ have the same order since A and B have the same characteristic equation.

Clearly  $\div \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_i} A_{i\mu}$  is contained in the algebra of all  $\alpha_i \times \alpha_i$  matrices, with elements in the field  $F(C_i)$ , whose elements below the main diagonal are

zero. Moreover,

$$N_i = i \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_i} A_{i\mu} - i \sum_{\mu=1}^{h_i} A_{i\mu}^*$$

is in the radical of this algebra since all elements on or below the main diagonal are zero. Thus  $g(\ddagger \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_i} A_{i\mu}, N_i)$ , for g satisfying the conditions of the theorem, is a radical element and thus nilpotent. Hence, letting

$$N^{1} = N_{1} + N_{2} + \cdots + N_{k} = P_{a}^{-1}AP_{a} - P_{b}^{-1}BP_{b},$$

we see that  $g(P_a^{-1}AP_a, N^1)$  is nilpotent. Finally, letting

$$P = P_b P_a^{-1}$$
 and  $N = P_a N^1 P_a^{-1} = A - P^{-1} B P$ ,

we have the result that

(3.2) 
$$P_a g(P_a^{-1} A P_a, N^1) P_a^{-1} = g(A, N)$$

is nilpotent. This completes the proof of the necessity.

Sufficiency. Assume that a P exists such that every polynomial g, satisfying the conditions of the theorem, is nilpotent. Define

$$A_{\theta} = A - \theta N \qquad (N = A - P^{-1}BP),$$

 $m_{\theta}(\lambda) = |\lambda I - A_{\theta}| \equiv \lambda^{n} + a_{1}(\theta) \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1}(\theta)\lambda + a_{n}(\theta);$  where  $\theta$  is an indeterminate and  $a_{i}(\theta)$   $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$  are polynomials in  $\theta$  with coefficients in F.

Clearly,  $m_0(\lambda) = 0$  and  $m_1(\lambda) = 0$  are the characteristic equations of  $A_0 = A$ and  $A_1 \equiv P^{-1}BP$ , respectively.

If we now let  $\theta$  assume values from F we have

$$m_0(A_\theta) = m_0(A) + h_\theta(A, N) = h_\theta(A, N);$$

moreover  $h_{\theta}(A, N)$  contains N in each term and is nilpotent by hypothesis.

The characteristic roots of  $m_0(A_{\theta})$  are  $m_0(\alpha_{\theta}^i)$   $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ , where the  $\alpha_{\theta}^i$  are the characteristic roots of  $A_{\theta}$ . Since  $m_0(A_{\theta})$  is nilpotent we must have

(3.3) 
$$m_0(\alpha_{\theta}^i) = 0$$
  $(i = 1, \dots, n).$ 

From (3.3) it is clearly seen that there can be only a finite number of different

characteristic equations  $m_{\theta}(\lambda) = 0$ , since all the characteristic roots of  $A_{\theta}$  are roots of  $m_0(\lambda) = 0$ . Since F is assumed to be infinite, this implies that  $a_i(\theta)$  is a constant independent of  $\theta$ . Thus  $m_0(\lambda) \not\models m_1(\lambda)$ , and the proof of the sufficiency is complete.

## References

1. Alfred Brauer, On the characteristic equations of certain matrices, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1947), 605-607.

2. W. V. Parker, On matrices whose characteristic equations are identical, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1950), 464-466.

3. W. T. Reid, A note on the characteristic polynomials of certain matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1950), 584-585.

4. John Williamson, The idempotent and nilpotent elements of a matrix, Amer. J. Math. 58 (1936), 747-758.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES