# AN OPTIMUM PROBLEM IN THE WEINSTEIN METHOD FOR EIGENVALUES 

H. F. Weinberger

1. Introduction. The method of Weinstein [1] gives upper bounds for the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}^{\prime} \geq \lambda_{2}^{\prime} \geq \ldots$ of the projection $L^{\prime}$ into a space © of a completely continuous positive symmetric operator $L$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots$. These upper bounds are the eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}^{(m)}$ of the projection of $L$ into a space of finite index $m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{2} \Theta\left\{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{m}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{m}$ are any vectors in the space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re=\mathfrak{F} \Theta \mathbb{O} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The chief part of the Weinstein method is the explicit determination of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}^{(m)}$ in the space (1) in terms of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $L$ in $\mathscr{F}_{2}$. These satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}^{(m)} \geq \lambda_{n}^{\prime} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values $\lambda_{n}^{(m)}$ will, of course, depend on the choice of the vectors ( $p_{1}$, $\cdots, p_{m}$ ). It is naturally desirable that the upper bound for a particular eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ should be as small as possible. This paper investigates how small it can be made for given $n$ and $m$ by a proper choice of the constraint vectors ( $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{m}$ ).

Because of the minimax principle, $\lambda_{n}^{(m)}$ must satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}^{(m)} \geq \lambda_{n+m} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our result is that the inequalities (3) and (4) are the only restrictions on the smallness of $\lambda_{n}^{(m)}$. In other words, for given $n$ and $m$, there exist vectors ( $p_{1}$, $\cdots, p_{m}$ ) such that the weaker of the inequalities (3) and (4) becomes an equality.
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2. The case of a single constraint. We first prove our result for the case of the first intermediate problem, that is, for $m=1$.

Theorem 1. For any given $n$, there is a vector $p$ in the space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re=\sqrt{2} \Theta 犬 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that, if the projection of $L$ into $\mathscr{F}_{2} \Theta\{p\}$ has eigenvalues

$$
\lambda_{1}^{(1)} \geq \lambda_{2}^{(1)} \geq \cdots
$$

either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}^{(1)}=\lambda_{n}^{\prime} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}^{(1)}=\lambda_{n+1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

according as $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ or $\lambda_{n+1}$ is larger.
Proof. If $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=\lambda_{n}$, then (6) is satisfied for any $p$ and there is nothing to prove. Our theorem thus naturally splits into the two cases $\lambda_{n}>\lambda_{n}^{\prime} \geq \lambda_{n+1}$ and $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}<\lambda_{n+1}$, which we shall prove separately.
3. The case $\lambda_{n}>\lambda_{n}^{\prime} \geq \lambda_{n+1^{\prime}}$ Let the eigenvector of $L^{\prime}$ corresponding to $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ be $u_{n}^{\prime}$. Its eigenvalue equation can be written in terms of the operator $L$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u_{n}^{\prime}-\lambda_{n}^{\prime} u_{n}^{\prime}=p, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is some vector in $\Re$. Let us assume for the moment that $p$ is not a null vector. Then (8) is an eigenvalue equation for the projection of $L$ into \& but not for $L$. Any eigenvector of $L$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ must, because of (8), be orthogonal to $p$ and hence must belong to $\mathscr{F}_{2} \Theta\{p\}$. Thus, the multiplicity of $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ as an eigenvalue of the projection of $L$ into $\mathscr{S}_{2} \Theta\{p\}$ is one greater than its multiplicity as an eigenvalue of $L$. Let the latter be $r \geq 0$. If $r=0$, then $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}>\lambda_{n+1}$, and $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ must be $\lambda_{n}^{(1)}$ by the minimax principle. If $r \geq 1$, then $\lambda_{n}>\lambda_{n+1}=\cdots=\lambda_{n+r}>\lambda_{n+r+1}$, and the minimax principle gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n-1}^{(1)} \geq \lambda_{n}>\lambda_{n}^{\prime} \geq \lambda_{n+1}>\lambda_{n+r+1} \geq \lambda_{n+r+1}^{(1)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, since the multiplicity of $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{F} \Theta\{p\}$ is $r+1$, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}^{(1)}=\lambda_{n}^{\prime}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the vector $p$ in (8) has the property stated in our theorem.
If $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=\lambda_{n+1}$, it is possible that the vector $p$ in (8) is a null vector. This means that the eigenvector of $L^{\prime}$ corresponding to $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ is also an eigenvector of L. Suppose that the same is also true of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{n+1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \lambda_{n+s-1}^{\prime}$ but not of $\lambda_{n+s}^{\prime}$. We then consider the projections $\bar{L}$ and $\bar{L}^{\prime}$ into

$$
\mathscr{F} \Theta\left\{u_{n}^{\prime}, \cdots, u_{n+s-1}^{\prime}\right\} \text { and } \otimes \Theta\left\{u_{n}^{\prime}, \cdots, u_{n+s-1}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

respectively, and call their eigenvalues $\bar{\lambda}_{i}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_{i}^{\prime}$. Then $\bar{L}^{\prime}$ has the same eigenvalues as $L^{\prime}$, except that the eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}, \cdots, \lambda_{n+s-1}^{\prime}$ are removed. The same is true of $\bar{L}$ and $L$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\overline{\lambda_{n}^{\prime}}}_{n}=\lambda_{n+s}^{\prime} \leq \lambda_{n}^{\prime} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there is a vector $p$ in $\Re$ so that the $n$-th eigenvalue of $L$ in

$$
\mathcal{F}_{2} \Theta\left\{u_{n}^{\prime}, \cdots, u_{n+s-1}^{\prime}, p\right\}
$$

is at most $\bar{\lambda}_{n}^{\prime}$, then, because of (11), the $n$-th eigenvalue of $L$ in $\mathscr{S}_{2} \Theta\{p\}$ is $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ and equation (6) in our theorem will be proved. Now if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\lambda}_{n}^{\prime}=\lambda_{n+s}^{\prime} \geq \bar{\lambda}_{n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, since by definition of $s$ the eigenvector of $L^{\prime}$ corresponding to $\lambda_{n+s}^{\prime}$ is not an eigenvector of $L$, the existence of such a vector $p$ follows from the first part of this paragraph. If, on the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}^{\prime}<\bar{\lambda}_{n+1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the existence of this vector $p$ will be assured by the results of the next paragraph.

A final possibility* is that there is no integer $s$ such that the eigenvector $u_{n+s}$ of $L^{\prime}$ is not also an eigenvector of $L$. In other words, all but the first $n-1$ eigenvectors of $L^{\prime}$ are also eigenvectors of $L$. Then, since $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=\lambda_{n+1}$, the vectors $u_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{n-1}^{\prime}$ are the only eigenvectors of $L^{\prime}$ which are not orthogonal to $u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{n}$. Therefore there is one linear combination $p$ of $u_{2}, \cdots, u_{n}$ which is orthogonal to all eigenvectors of $L^{\prime}$ and hence belongs to $\mathfrak{P}$. There can

[^0]be at most $n-1$ eigenvectors of the projection of $L$ into $\mathscr{K} \Theta\{p\}$ which are not orthogonal to $u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}$. Therefore, the $n$-th eigenvalue of this projection is $\lambda_{n+1}=\lambda_{n}^{\prime}$, and both equalities (6) and (7) hold.
4. The case $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}<\lambda_{n+1}$. We now show that if $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}<\lambda_{n+1}$ then the equation (7) can be made to hold. This will be done by induction. We first replace the space $\Re$ by a finite space. Since $L$ is completely continuous it follows that $\lambda_{m} \longrightarrow 0$ as $m \longrightarrow \infty$; therefore there is an integer $m$ such that
$$
\lambda_{n+1} \geq \lambda_{n}^{\prime}+\lambda_{m+1}
$$

It has been shown by the author [1,2] that if we let $p_{i}$ be the projection in $\Re$ of $u_{i}$, then the eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}^{(m)}$ of $L$ in $\mathscr{F}_{2} \Theta\left\{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{m}\right\}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}^{(m)} \leq \lambda_{n}^{\prime}+\lambda_{m+1} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with (14), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}^{(m)} \leq \lambda_{n+1} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, it will suffice to show that if the inequality (16) holds where $\lambda_{n}^{(m)}$ is the $n$-th eigenvalue of $L$ in a space $\mathscr{K} \Theta\left\{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{m}\right\}$, then there is a linear combination $p$ of the vectors $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{m}$ such that the $n$-th eigenvalue of $L$ in $\mathcal{S}_{2} \Theta\{p\}$ is $\lambda_{n+1}$. Our induction proof consists of showing that if (16) holds for $m>1$ then there is a linear combination $p^{\prime}$ of $p_{m-1}$ and $p_{m}$ such that the $n$-th eigenvalue of $L$ in $\mathscr{F}_{2} \Theta\left\{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{m-2}, p^{\prime}\right\}$ is at most $\lambda_{n+1}$. If $\lambda_{n}^{(m-1)} \leq \lambda_{n+1}$, this is obviously true, for we must only take $p^{\prime}=p_{m-1}$. Thus, we need to examine only the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}^{(m-2)} \geq \lambda_{n}^{(m-1)}>\lambda_{n+1} \geq \lambda_{n}^{(m)} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, by the minimax theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n+1} \geq \lambda_{n+1}^{(m-2)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

our induction step will be proved if we find $p$ so that the $n$-th eigenvalue of $L$ in $\mathfrak{F}_{2} \Theta\left\{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{m-2}, p^{\prime}\right\}$ is equal to either $\lambda_{n}^{(m)}$ or $\lambda_{n+1}^{(m-2)}$. In other words, the induction step is just Theorem 1 in the special case in which $\Re$ is a 2 space.

Thus if $\lambda_{n}^{(m)} \geq \lambda_{n+1}^{(m-2)}$ the induction is proved by the results of $\S 3$. Note that in the case of a common eigenvector where one had to reduce the proof
in $\S 3$ to the proof of this section, the reduction is to the case $\lambda_{n}^{(m)}<\lambda_{n+1}^{(m-2)}$, which will now be treated.

If $\lambda_{n}^{(m)}<\lambda_{n+1}^{(m-2)}$, we must construct a linear combination $p^{\prime}$ of $p_{m-1}$ and $p_{m}$ so that $\lambda_{n+1}^{(m-2)}$ is the $n$-th eigenvalue of $L$ in $\mathscr{F}_{2} \Theta\left\{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{m-2}, p^{\prime}\right\}$. To do this, we take for $p^{\prime}$ the linear combination of $p_{m-1}$ and $p_{m}$ which is orthogonal to the eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_{n+1}^{(m-2)}$. Then $\lambda_{n+1}^{(m-2)}$ is an eigenvalue of $L$ in $\mathscr{S}_{\mathcal{L}} \Theta\left\{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{m-2}, p^{\prime}\right\}$. By the minimax principle, the ( $n+1$ )-st eigenvalue in this space is at most $\lambda_{n}^{(m)}<\lambda_{n+1}^{(m-2)}$. Therefore $\lambda_{n+1}^{(m-2)}$ must be the $n$-th eigenvalue in this space, and $p^{\prime}$ has the desired property.

Thus, our induction step is proved and Theorem 1 has been shown to hold in all possible cases.
5. The general intermediate problem. We are now in a position to prove the more general result announced in the introduction.

Theorem 2. For any fixed integers $m$ and $n$, there are vectors $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{m}$ in $\Re$ which, if used as constraints in the n-th intermediate problem, yield either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}^{(m)}=\lambda_{n}^{\prime} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\lambda_{n}^{(m)}=\lambda_{n+m} .
$$

Proof. We first prove the possibility of the equality (20) when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n+m}>\lambda_{n}^{\prime} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Theorem 1 with $n+m-1$ substituted for $n$, there is a vector $p_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n+m-1}^{(1)}=\lambda_{n+m} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then apply Theorem 1 to the projection of $L$ into $\mathscr{F}_{2} \Theta\left\{p_{1}\right\}$ to assert the existence of a vector $p_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n+m-2}^{(2)}=\lambda_{n+m} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This process is repeated until the equality (20) is obtained. Inequality (21) assures us that the equality (7) of Theorem 1 will always be attainable.

If $\lambda_{n+m} \leq \lambda_{n}^{\prime}$, then there is an integer $l \leq m$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n+l} \leq \lambda_{n}^{\prime}<\lambda_{n+l-1} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show that there are $l$ vectors $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{l}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}^{(l)}=\lambda_{n}^{\prime} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality (19) will then hold for any $m-1$ vectors $p_{l+1}, \cdots, p_{m}$ appended to the first $l$.

Since $\lambda_{n}^{\prime} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n+l-1}^{\prime}$, we can proceed as in the proof of (20) to show that there are $l-1$ vectors $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{l-1}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n+1}^{(l+1)}=\lambda_{n+l} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now apply Theorem 1 to $L$ in $\mathscr{F}_{\mathcal{L}} \Theta\left\{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{l-1}\right\}$. According to (24) and (26) it is the equality (6) which can be made to hold by a constraint $p_{l}$. We thus obtain (25), and Theorem 2 is proved.
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[^0]:    *This possibility was pointed out by C. Arf in the course of an alternative proof of the results here presented.

