# AN ISOPERIMETRIC MINIMAX 

William Gustin

Introduction. In the preceding paper J. W. Green considers for a given convex body $K$ in the euclidean plane the minimum of the isoperimetric ratio $r$ (ratio of squared perimeter $l^{2}$ to area $a$ ) taken over all affine transforms $k$ of $K$. He then investigates the maximum value taken over all $K$ of this minimum ratio, shows by variational methods that such a maximum is attained by some polygon of five or fewer sides, and conjectures that it is, in fact, attained by a triangle with $12 \sqrt{3}$, the isoperimetric ratio of an equilateral triangle, as the minimax ratio. I shall prove this conjecture directly by refining an estimation used by Green, the precise statement of results being as follows:
I. Let $K$ be an nontriangular plane convex body; there then exists an affine transform $k$ of $K$ with $r(k)<12 \sqrt{3}$.
II. Let $T$ be a nonequilateral triangle; then $r(T)>12 \sqrt{3}$.

Before taking up the proof of these results we dispose of a lemma.
III. Let $k$ be a possibly degenerate convex body with $s \subset k \subset t$, wherein $t$ is an equilateral triangle, and $s$ a side of $t$; there then exists a number $x$ with $0 \leqq x \leqq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l(k) \leqq(2 / 3+x / 3) l(t) \\
& a(k) \leqq x a(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

simultaneous equality occurring if and only if either $x=0, k=s$ or $x=1, k=t$.
Proof of III. Let $p$ be that supporting strip of $k$ parallel to the line-segment $s$; and let $x$ be the ratio of the width of $p$ to the width or altitude of $t$. Thus $0 \leqq x \leqq 1$, with $x=0$ or $x=1$ according as $k=s$ or $k=t$. Choose a point at which $k$ touches the side of $p$ opposite $s$, and define $k_{*}$ to be the triangle with this point as apex and $s$ as base. Define $k^{*}$ to be the trapezoid formed by intersection of $p$ and $t$. Clearly $s \subset k_{*} \subset k \subset k^{*} \subset t$; and $k_{*}=k=k^{*}$ if and only if $k=s$ or $k=t$.

Since $k \supset k_{*}$, it follows that $a(k) \geqq a\left(k_{*}\right)$, with equality if and only if $k=k_{*}$. And since $k \subset k^{*}$, it follows that $l(k) \leqq l\left(k^{*}\right)$ with equality if and only if $k=k^{*}$. These inequalities become, upon the easy computation of $a\left(k_{*}\right)$ and $l\left(k^{*}\right)$, the asserted inequalities of III.

Proof of I. Let $K$ be the given nontriangular convex body. Since the area functional is continuous, it easily follows from a compactness argument that a triangle $T$ of maximal area can be inscribed in K. Let the three sides of $T$ be labelled $S_{i}(i=1,2,3)$, and let $V_{i}$ be that vertex of $T$ opposite $S_{i}$. Because the area of $T$ is maximal, the line $L_{i}$ through $V_{i}$ and parallel to $S_{i}$ is a line of support of $K$. The triangle formed by the three lines $L_{i}$ then circumscribes $K$ and also $T$; it is composed of four nonoverlapping congruent triangles $T$ and $T_{i}$, where $T_{i}$ is labelled so as to have $S_{i}$ as a side. That part $K_{i}$ of $K$ in $T_{i}$ is a possibly degenerate convex body with $S_{i} \subset K_{i} \subset T_{i}$. Now any triangle can be affinely transformed into any other triangle. In particular, $T$ can be affinely transformed into an equilateral triangle $t$, with $T_{i}$ going into $t_{i}, S_{i}$ into $s_{i}, K_{i}$ into $k_{i}$, and $K$ into $k$. Therefore $s_{i} \subset k_{i} \subset t_{i}$, and $t_{i}$ is congruent to $t$. According to III, ratios $x_{i}$ exist giving inequalities on $l\left(k_{i}\right)$ and $a\left(k_{i}\right)$. Furthermore, since $K$ and hence $k$ is nontriangular, not all $x_{i}=0$ and not all $x_{i}=1$. Therefore $0<x<1$, where $x=\sum x_{i} / 3$. Evidently $k$ is composed of the four nonoverlapping sets $t$ and $k_{i}$ in such a way that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l(k)=\sum l\left(k_{i}\right)-l(t) \leqq(1+x) l(t), \\
& a(k)=\sum a\left(k_{i}\right)+a(t) \geqq(1+3 x) a(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

whereupon

$$
r(k) \leqq \frac{(1+x)^{2}}{1+3 x} r(t)=\left[1-\frac{x(1-x)}{1+3 x}\right] 12 \sqrt{3}<12 \sqrt{3},
$$

as was to be shown.
Proof of II. Through II is merely a matter of trigonometry, and very likely can be verified by exhibiting a neat but perhaps unperspicuous trigonometric identity, I shall here prove it by the sort of methods used above.

Let $T$ be a nonequilateral triangle. Define $S_{i}, V_{i}, L_{i}$ as above. Since $T$ is nonequilateral, some two of its sides, say $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, are unequal. Let $v_{3}$ be that point on the line $L_{3}$, regarded as a linear mirror, at which $v_{1}=V_{1}$ is reflected when viewed from $v_{2}=V_{2}$; and let $t$ be the so symmetrized isosceles triangle
with vertices $v_{i}$ and sides $s_{i}$. Then the path $s_{1} s_{2}$ is shorter than $S_{1} S_{2}$, so $l(t)<l(T)$; and, since both triangles have the same base and altitude, $a(t)=$ $a(T)$. Therefore $r(t)<r(T)$. Consequently if the minimum isoperimetric ratio among triangles is attained, it is attained by an equilateral triangle only; whereupon it would follow that $r(T)>12 \sqrt{3}$, as was to be shown. Now all possible triangle isoperimetric ratios are realized by triangles of fixed perimeter containing a fixed point. By a compactness argument, some such triangle achieves a maximum area and hence a minimum isoperimetric ratio. This completes the proof.
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