# GENERALIZATIONS OF THE ROGERS-RAMANUJAN IDENTITIES 

Henry L. Alder

1. Introduction. The first of the two Rogers-Ramanujan identities [1, Chap. 19] states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1-x^{5 \nu+1}\right)\left(1-x^{5 \nu+4}\right)}=\sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\mu^{2}}}{(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{\mu}\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the left side is the generating function for the number of partitions into parts not congruent to $0, \pm 2(\bmod 5)$. This paper shows that as a generalization of (1) the generating function for the number of partitions into parts not congruent to $0, \pm k(\bmod 2 k+1)$, where $k$ is any positive integer, can be expressed as a sum similar to the one appearing in (1); in fact in general the $x^{\mu^{2}}$ are replaced by polynomials $G_{k, \mu}(x)$, so that we have the following the orem:

Theorem l. The following identity holds:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\prod_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+k}\right)\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+k+1}\right)}{\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+1}\right)\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+2}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+2 k}\right)}  \tag{2}\\
=\sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_{k, \mu}(x)}{(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{\mu}\right)},
\end{gather*}
$$

where the left side is the generating function for the number of partitions into parts not congruent to $0, \pm k(\bmod 2 k+1)$. The $G_{k, \mu}(x)$ are polynomials in $x$ and reduce to the monomial $x^{\mu^{2}}$ for $k=2$, that is, for the Rogers-Ramanujan case.

While the right side of (1) is the generating function for the number of

Received March 12, 1953.
Pacific J. Math. 4 (1954), 161-168
partitions into parts differing by at least 2 , no similar interpretation of the right hand of (2) is possible. In particular, it follows from a theorem of the author [2] that the right side of (2) cannot be interpreted as the generating function for the number of partitions of $n$ into parts differing by at least $d$, each part being greater than or equal to $m$, unless $d=2, m=1$, that is, unless we have the Rogers-Ramanujan identity (1).

As a generalization of the second of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1-x^{5 \nu+2}\right)\left(1-x^{5 \nu+3}\right)}=\sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\mu^{2}+\mu}}{(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{\mu}\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have again that not only the generating function for the number of partitions into parts not congruent to $0, \pm 1(\bmod 5)$, but in general the one for the number of partitions into parts not congruent to $0, \pm 1(\bmod 2 k+1)$ can be expressed as a sum; in fact again the $x^{\mu^{2}}$ are replaced by the same polynomials $G_{k, \mu}(x)$ appearing in (2), so that we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2. The following identity holds:
(4) $\prod_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+2}\right)\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+3}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+2 k-1}\right)}$

$$
=\sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_{k, \mu}(x) x^{\mu}}{(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{\mu}\right)} .
$$

More generally, it can be shown that identities involving the generating function for the number of partitions into parts not congruent to $0, \pm(k-r)$ $(\bmod 2 k+1)$, where $0 \leq r \leq k-1$, can be obtained, of which (2) is the particular case where $r=k-1$, that is, for each modulus $2 k+1$ there are $k$ identities.
2. Proof of Theorem 1: If we replace, in Jacobi's identity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\left(1-y^{2 \nu+2}\right)\left[1+\left(z+z^{-1}\right) y^{2 \nu+1}+y^{4 \nu+2}\right]=\sum_{\mu=-\infty}^{\infty} y^{\mu^{2}} z^{\mu}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y$ by $x^{(2 k+1) / 2}$ and $z$ by $-x^{1 / 2}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\prod_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+k}\right)\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+k+1}\right)\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+(2 k+1)}\right)  \tag{6}\\
\sum_{\mu=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^{\mu} x^{\left((2 k+1) \mu^{2}+\mu\right) / 2}
\end{gather*}
$$

so that, dividing both sides of $(6)$ by $(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-x^{3}\right) \ldots$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\prod_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+k}\right)\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+k+1}\right)}{\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+1}\right)\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+2}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+2 k}\right)}  \tag{7}\\
=\frac{\sum_{\mu=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^{\mu} x\left((2 k+1) \mu^{2}+\mu\right) / 2}{\prod_{s=1}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{s}\right)}
\end{gather*}
$$

To prove Theorem 1, we therefore have to show that the right side of (7) is the same as the right side of (2).

We use the auxiliary function

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{k, i}(y)=1-y^{i} x^{i} & +\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{\mu} y^{k \mu} x^{(2 k+1)\left(\mu^{2}+\mu\right) / 2-i \mu}  \tag{8}\\
& \left(1-y^{i} x^{(2 \mu+1) i}\right) \frac{(1-y x)\left(1-y x^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-y x^{\mu}\right)}{(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{\mu}\right)},
\end{align*}
$$

which was first used by Selberg [3] and is a generalization of the function used in some proofs of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities [1, Chap. 19]. The function (8) converges if $|y|<1$ and if $k$ is real and $>-1 / 2$. In our case $k$ anu : will be nonnegative integers. For $i=k$ and $y=1$, (8) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k, k}(1)=\sum_{\mu=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^{\mu} x\left((2 k+1) \mu^{2}+\mu\right) / 2 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $C_{k, i}(y)$ satisfy the equation

$$
C_{k, i}(y)=C_{k, i-1}(y)+y^{i-1} x^{i-1}(1-y x) C_{k, k-i+1}(y x),
$$

it is easily seen that we can find a functional equation for the $C_{k, k}(y)$, which can be found to be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k, k}(y)=\sum_{\mu=1}^{k} A_{k, \mu}(y, x)\left(1-y x^{\mu}\right) C_{k, k}\left(y x^{\mu}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}(y)=\frac{C_{k, k}(y)}{\prod_{s=1}^{\infty}\left(1-y x^{s}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(10) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}(y)=\sum_{\mu=1}^{k} A_{k, \mu}(y, x) Q_{k}\left(y x^{\mu}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, for instance, $k=3$, (12) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{3}(y)=(1+y x) Q_{3}(y x)+y^{2} x^{2} Q_{3}\left(y x^{2}\right)-y^{3} x^{5} Q_{3}\left(y x^{3}\right), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for $k=4$ we would have

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{4}(y)=(1+y x) Q_{4}(y x)+y^{2} x^{2}(1 & \left.+y x+y x^{2}\right) Q_{4}\left(y x^{2}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& -y^{4} x^{7} Q_{4}\left(y x^{3}\right)-y^{6} x^{13} Q_{4}\left(y x^{4}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In order to solve (12) for $Q_{k}(y)$ we try a solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}(y)=\sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} B_{k, \mu}(x) y^{\mu} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{k, 0}(x)=Q_{k}(0)=1$ by use of (11) and (8).
Putting (15) into (12) we obtain a difference equation for the $B_{k, \mu}(x)$. It can easily be verified that the $B_{k, \mu}(x)$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k, \mu}(x)=\frac{G_{k, \mu}(x)}{(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{\mu}\right)}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $G_{k, \mu}(x)$ are polynomials in $x$ and reduce to the monomial $x^{\mu^{2}}$ for $k=2$. In general these polynomials do not seem to possess any striking properties, even for small values of $k$ and $\mu$, as shall be illustrated below for $k=3$ and $k=4$.

Substituting now (16) into (15), and remembering (11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}(y)=\sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_{k, \mu}(x) y^{\mu}}{(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right) \ldots\left(1-x^{\mu}\right)}=\frac{C_{k, k}(y)}{\prod_{s=1}^{\infty}\left(1-y x^{s}\right)}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we have, in view of (9),

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{C_{k, k}(1)}{\prod_{s=1}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{s}\right)} & =\frac{\sum_{\mu=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^{\mu} x\left((2 k+1) \mu^{2}+\mu\right) / 2}{\prod_{s=1}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{s}\right)}  \tag{18}\\
& =\sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_{k, \mu}(x)}{(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{\mu}\right)},
\end{align*}
$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.
In case $k=3$, the difference equation for the $B_{3, \mu}(x)$, which can easily be obtained from (13), is the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{3, \mu}(x)\left(1-x^{\mu}\right)=B_{3, \mu-1}(x) x^{\mu}+B_{3, \mu-2}(x) x^{2 \mu-2}-B_{3, \mu-3}(x) x^{3 \mu-4} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we calculate, remembering that $B_{3,0}(x)=1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{3,1}(x)=x \\
& G_{3,2}(x)=x^{2} \\
& G_{3,3}(x)=x^{5}+x^{6}-x^{8} \\
& G_{3,4}(x)=x^{8}+x^{10}-x^{14} \\
& G_{3,5}(x)=x^{13}+x^{14}+x^{15}-x^{18}-x^{19} \\
& G_{3,6}(x)=x^{18}+x^{20}+x^{21}+x^{22}-x^{25}-x^{26}-x^{27}-x^{28}+x^{31} \\
& G_{3,7}(x)=x^{25}+x^{26}+x^{27}+x^{28}+x^{29}-x^{32}-x^{33}-x^{34}-x^{35}-x^{36}+x^{42}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so on.
It can easily be verified by induction that the degree of the $G_{3, \mu}(x)$ is equal to

$$
\frac{5 \mu^{2}+\mu}{6} \quad \text { if } \mu \equiv 0 \text { or } 1(\bmod 3)
$$

and is less than or equal to

$$
\frac{5 \mu^{2}-\mu-6}{6} \text { if } \mu \equiv 2 \quad(\bmod 3)
$$

Similarly, it can be shown that the term with smallest exponent in each polynomial $G_{3, \mu}(x)$ is $x^{\left[\left(\mu^{2}+1\right) / 2\right]}$, so that each polynomial has this power of $x$ as a divisor and no higher power.

For $k=4$, we obtain the difference equation for the $B_{4}, \mu(x)$ from (14):

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{4, \mu}(x)\left(1-x^{\mu}\right)=B_{4, \mu-1}(x) x^{\mu}+B_{4, \mu-2}(x) x^{2 \mu-2}  \tag{20}\\
& \quad+B_{4, \mu-3}(x) x^{2 \mu-3}(x+1)-B_{4, \mu-4}(x) x^{3 \mu-5}-B_{4, \mu-6}(x) x^{4 \mu-11},
\end{align*}
$$

so that we obtain:
$G_{4,0}(x)=1$,
$G_{4,1}(x)=x$,
$G_{4,2}(x)=x^{2}$,
$G_{4,3}(x)=x^{3}$,
$G_{4,4}(x)=x^{6}+x^{7}+x^{8}-x^{9}-x^{10}-x^{11}+x^{13}$,
$G_{4,5}(x)=x^{9}+x^{10}+x^{11}-x^{14}-x^{15}-x^{16}+x^{20}$,
$G_{4,6}(x)=x^{12}+x^{14}+x^{15}+x^{16}-x^{19}-2 x^{20}-x^{21}-x^{22}+x^{25}+x^{26}$,
$G_{4,7}(x)=x^{17}+x^{18}+2 x^{19}+x^{20}+x^{21}-x^{23}-2 x^{24}-2 x^{25}-2 x^{26}-x^{27}+x^{30}$ $+x^{31}+x^{32}$,
and so on.
In this case the term with smallest exponent can be shown to equal $x^{\left[\left(\mu^{2}+2\right) / 3\right]}$, while for $G_{5,} \mu(x)$ we would find the corresponding term to be
$x^{\left[\left(\mu^{2}+3\right) / 4\right]}$ for $\mu>2$, and so
3. Proof of Theorem 2. From the definition of $C_{k, i}(y)$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-x) C_{k, k}(x)=\sum_{\mu=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^{\mu} x\left((2 k+1) \mu^{2}+(2 k-1) \mu\right) / 2 . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting now, in Jacobi's identity (5), $x^{(2 k+1) / 2}$ for $y$ and $-x^{(2 k-1) / 2}$ for $z$, and dividing at the same time both sides by $(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-x^{3}\right) \cdots$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\prod_{\nu=0}^{\infty} & \frac{1}{\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+2}\right)\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+3}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+2 k-1}\right)}  \tag{22}\\
& =\frac{\sum_{\mu=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^{\mu} x^{\left((2 k+1) \mu^{2}+(2 k-1) \mu\right) / 2}}{\prod_{s=1}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{s}\right)} \\
& =\frac{(1-x) C_{k, k}(x)}{\prod_{s=1}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{s}\right)}=Q_{k}(x)=\sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_{k, \mu}(x) x^{\mu}}{(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right) \ldots\left(1-x^{\mu}\right)},
\end{align*}
$$

if we recall (11), (15), and (16).
Identities involving the generating function for the number of partitions into parts not congruent to $0, \pm(k-r)(\bmod 2 k+1)$, where $0 \leq r \leq k-1$, can be obtained by noting that, using Jacobi's identity with $y=x^{(\overline{2} k+1) / 2}$ and $z=-x^{(2 r+1) / 2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\prod_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\left[\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+k-r}\right)\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+k+r+1}\right)\left(1-x^{(2 k+1) \nu+(2 k+1)}\right)\right] \\
=\sum_{\mu=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^{\mu} x\left((2 k+1) \mu^{2}+(2 r+1) \mu\right) / 2
\end{array}
$$

where the right side, as can be verified, is expressible in terms of $C_{k, k}(y)$, which was shown already for $r=0$ by The orem 1 and for $r=k-1$ by Theorem 2 and shall only be indicated here for $r=1$, where we find

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{k, k}(1)-x^{k-1}(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right) & C_{k, k}\left(x^{2}\right)  \tag{23}\\
& =\sum_{\mu=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^{\mu}\left((2 k+1) \mu^{2}+3 \mu\right) / 2
\end{align*}
$$

This method therefore allows us to find for each modulus $2 k+1$ exactly $k$ identities, that is, one for each value of $r$ in $0 \leq r \leq k-1$.
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