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F. Burton Jones

Suppose that $p$ and $q$ are distinct points of the compact plane continuum $M$. If no point separates $p$ from $q$ in $M$ and $M$ is locally connected, then it is known [5] that $M$ contains a simple closed curve which contains both $p$ and $q$. But in the absence of local connectivity such a simple closed curve may fail to exist. Even if no point cuts ${ }^{1} p$ from $q$ in $M$, there does not necessarily exist in $M$ a simple closed curve which contains both $p$ and $q$. For example, no point of the continuum $C$ indicated in Figure 1 cuts $p$ from $q$ in $C$, but $C$ contains no simple closed curve whatsoever. However, if $M$ is the continuum obtained by adding to $C$ either of its complementary domains, there does exist in $M$ a simple closed curve which contains both $p$ and $q$. Here $M$ fails to separate the plane and this is indicative of the general situation.


Fig. 1
Lemma. If $p$ is a point of the compact subcontinuum $M^{\prime}$ of the plane $S$ and $L^{\prime}$ is a nondegenerate compact continuum containing $p$

[^0]and lying in $\left(S-M^{\prime}\right)+p$ such that $L^{\prime}-p$ is connected, then there exists a connected open subset $D^{\prime}$ of $S-M^{\prime}$ such that
(1) $D^{\prime}+p$ contains $L^{\prime}$,
(2) $D^{\prime}+p$ is a connected, locally connected, complete, metric space, and
(3) $D^{\prime}+p$ is strongly regular (i.e., the author's Axiom $5_{1}{ }^{*}[1$, p. 54] holds true in $D^{\prime}+p$ ).

Proof. Let $q$ denote a point of $L^{\prime}-p$, let $n$ denote a natural number such that $d(p, q)>1 / n$, and let $R_{0}, R_{1}, R_{2}, \cdots$ denote a sequence of circular regions centered on $p$ of radii $1 / n, 1 / n+1,1 / n+2, \cdots$ respectively. Now for each integer $i(i>-1)$, add to $M^{\prime}$ every open interval $I$ of the boundary $C_{i}$ of $R_{i}$ such that $I$ contains no point of $L^{\prime}+M^{\prime}$ but has both of its end points in $M^{\prime}$, and call the resulting pointset $N$. Let $D_{1}$ denote the sum of the components of $(S-N) \cdot\left(S-\bar{R}_{1}\right)$ which contain points of $L^{\prime}$ and for each integer $i>1$, let $D_{i}$ denote the sum of the components of $(S-N) \cdot\left(R_{i-2}-\bar{R}_{i}\right)$ which contain points of $L^{\prime}$. Furthermore let $D^{\prime}=\sum D_{i}$. Certainly $D^{\prime}$ is open and since $L^{\prime}-p$ is connected, $D^{\prime}$ is connected. Also it is easy to see that $D^{\prime}+p$ contains $L^{\prime}$ and is a connected, complete, metric space. It remains only to show that $D^{\prime}+p$ is strongly regular for it follows that such a space is locally connected [2, p. 623]. Obviously $D^{\prime}+p$ is strongly regular at each point of $D^{\prime}$. To see that $D^{\prime}+p$ is strongly regular at $p$ (relative to $D^{\prime}+p$, of course) one has merely to observe that if $k$ is a positive integer, the boundary of $p+\sum D_{i}(i>k)$ relative to $D^{\prime}+p$ is a subset of the sum of those components of $\left(S-M^{\prime}\right) \cdot C_{k-1}$ which intersect $L^{\prime}$ and since $L^{\prime}$ contains no point of $M^{\prime}$ except $p$, this set of components is finite.

Theorem. Let $M$ be a compact subcontinuum of the plane $S$ which does not separate $S$. Then if $p$ and $q$ are distinct points of $M$ and no point cuts $p$ from $q$ in $M$, there exists a simple closed curve $J$ lying in $M$ which contains both $p$ and $q$.

Proof. Three cases arise depending upon the location of $p$ and $q$. If both $p$ and $q$ are inner points (non-boundary points) of $M$, then it follows from [3] that both $p$ and $q$ belong to the same component of the set of inner points of $M$. For this case the theorem is known to hold true (see for example [4], p. 124).

If both $p$ and $q$ are boundary points of $M$, then the argument outlined in [3] shows that $M$ contains a compact continuum $L$ which contains both $p$ and $q$ such that every point of $L-(p+q)$ is an inner point of $M$. Since $L$ must contain a subcontinuum irreducible from $p$ to $q$ it is no loss of generality to assume that $L$ itself has this property.

In this case $L-(p+q)$ is a connected subset of a component $D$ of the set of inner points of $M$ and the theorem follows with the help of the lemma in somewhat the same manner as the next case.

Finally, if $q$ is an inner point of $M$ and $p$ is a boundary point of $M$, it follows from [3] that some component $D$ of the set of inner points of $M$ contains $q$ and has $p$ in its boundary. To show that $D+p$ contains a continuum $L$ containing both $p$ and $q$ requires a modification of the argument given in [3].

Suppose that $\varepsilon$ is a positive number such that $\varepsilon<d(p, q)$. Let $C_{p}(\varepsilon)$ denote a circle of radius $\varepsilon$ centered on $p$ and let $C_{q}$ denote a straight line through $q$ which is perpendicular to the line $p q$. There exists a simple domain $I(\varepsilon)$ which contains $M$ such that if $J(\varepsilon)$ denotes the boundary of $I(\varepsilon), y$ is a boundary point of $M$, and $z$ is a point of $I(\varepsilon)+J(\varepsilon)$, then $d[y, J(\varepsilon)]<\varepsilon$ and $d(z, M)<\varepsilon$. There exist $\operatorname{arcs} T_{p}(\varepsilon)$ and $T_{q}(\varepsilon)$ in $C_{p}(\varepsilon)$ and $C_{q}$ respectively such that each is minimal with respect to separating $I(\varepsilon)+J(\varepsilon), q$ belongs to $T_{q}(\varepsilon)$, and $T_{p}(\varepsilon)$ separates $p$ from $T_{q}(\varepsilon)$ in $I(\varepsilon)+J(\varepsilon)$.

Since $T_{p}(\varepsilon)$ and $T_{q}(\varepsilon)$ have only their endpoints in $J(\varepsilon)$, and except for these points lie entirely in $I(\varepsilon)$, there exist in $J(\varepsilon)$ two nonintersecting unique $\operatorname{arcs} A(\varepsilon)$ and $B(\varepsilon)$ such that $T_{p}(\varepsilon)+A(\varepsilon)+T_{q}(\varepsilon)+B(\varepsilon)$ is a simple closed curve $H(\varepsilon)$. Let $D(\varepsilon)$ denote the bounded complementary domain of $H(\varepsilon)$. If $z$ is a point of $D(\varepsilon)+H(\varepsilon)$, then $d(z, M)<\varepsilon$. Any subcontinuum of $M$ which contains $p+q$ contains a subcontinuum irreducible from $T_{p}(\varepsilon)$ to $T_{q}(\varepsilon)$ which lies in $T_{p}(\varepsilon)+D(\varepsilon)+T_{q}(\varepsilon)$.

Now let $L(\varepsilon)$ denote a continuum lying in $T_{p}(\varepsilon)+D(\varepsilon)+T_{q}(\varepsilon)$ which intersects both $T_{p}(\varepsilon)$ and $T_{q}(\varepsilon)$ such that if $z$ belongs to $L(\varepsilon)$, then $d[z, A(\varepsilon)]=d[z, B(\varepsilon)]$. The continuum $L(\varepsilon)$ must exist; for if it did not, the set $W$ of all points of $D(\varepsilon)+H(\varepsilon)$ equidistant from $A(\varepsilon)$ and $B(\varepsilon)$ would be the sum of two mutually separated sets one containing $W \cdot T_{p}(\varepsilon)$ and the other containing $W \cdot T_{q}(\varepsilon)$ and consequently some simple closed curve would separate $T_{p}(\varepsilon)$ from $T_{q}(\varepsilon)$ but at the same time would fail to contain a point of $W$ which involves a contradiction. So there exists a simple infinite sequence $\alpha$ of values of $\varepsilon$ such that $D(\varepsilon)+H(\varepsilon)$ converges to a subset of $M, T_{q}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow T_{q}$ and $L(\varepsilon) \rightarrow L$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $\alpha$. The set $L$ has the following properties:
(a) $L$ is a continuum containing both $p$ and point of $T_{q}$,
(b) $L$ is a subset of $M$, and
(c) every point of $L-\left(p+L \cdot T_{q}\right)$ is an inner point of $M$.

Properties (a) and (b) are evident. So it remains only to prove property (c).

Let $x$ be a point of $L-\left(p+L \cdot T_{q}\right)$. Since $x$ does not cut $p$ from $q$ in $M$, there exists a subcontinuum $K$ of $M$ which contains $p+q$ but not $x$. Let $\delta$ be a positive number such that $4 \delta=d\left(x, K+T_{q}\right)$ and let
$U_{\delta}(x)$ and $U_{3 \delta}(x)$ be the circular regions centered on $x$ of radius $\delta$ and $3 \delta$ respectively. When $\varepsilon$ (in $\alpha$ ) is sufficiently small $\left[T_{p}(\varepsilon)+T_{q}(\varepsilon)\right]$ • $\left[U_{3 \delta}(x)\right]=0$ but $L(\varepsilon) \cdot U_{\delta}(x) \neq 0$. Let $y$ be some point of $L(\varepsilon) \cdot U_{\delta}(x)$, let $r=\delta+d(x, y)$ and let $U_{r}(y)$ be a circular region of radius $r$ and center $y$. Obviously $U_{3 \delta}(x) \supset U_{r}(y) \supset U_{\delta}(x)$. So $\left[T_{p}(\varepsilon)+T_{q}(\varepsilon)\right] \cdot U_{r}(y)=0$. If $A(\varepsilon) \cdot U_{r}(y) \neq 0$, let $f$ be a point of $A(\varepsilon) \cdot U_{r}(y)$ such that $d(f, y)=d[y$, $A(\varepsilon)]$. But $y$ belongs to $L(\varepsilon)$. Hence there exists in $U_{r}(y)$ a point $g$ of $B(\varepsilon)$ such that $d(g, y)=d[g, B(\varepsilon)]=d(f, y)$. The sum of the straight line intervals $y f$ and $y g$ from $y$ to $f$ and from $y$ to $g$ respectively is an arc $T_{y}$ lying in $U_{r}(y)$, having only its endpoints $f$ and $g$ in $H(\varepsilon)$, and containing the point $y$ of $D(\varepsilon)$. Hence $T_{y}-(f+g) \subset D(\varepsilon)$ for clearly $y f$ cannot intersect $B(\varepsilon)$ and $y g$ cannot intersect $A(\varepsilon)$. But $T_{y} \cdot K=0$ and $K$ contains a continuum lying in $T_{p}(\varepsilon)+D(\varepsilon)+T_{q}(\varepsilon)$ irreducible from $T_{p}(\varepsilon)$ to $T_{q}(\varepsilon)$. Since the points $f$ and $g$ separate $T_{p}(\varepsilon)$ from $T_{q}(\varepsilon)$ in $H(\varepsilon)$ this involves a contradiction [4, Th. 17, p. 167]. Hence $U_{r}(y)$. $H(\varepsilon)=0$ and since $y$ belongs to $D(\varepsilon), U_{r}(y) \subset D(\varepsilon)$; so for sufficiently small values of $\varepsilon$ (in $\alpha$ ), $U_{\delta}(x) \subset D(\varepsilon)$. Consequently $U_{\delta}(x)$ is a subset of $M$ and $x$ is an inner point of $M$.

Now let $C$ denote a circle which separates $p$ from $T_{q}$. Obviously $L$ intersects $C$. Hence $L$ contains a subcontinuum $L^{\prime}$ irreducible from $C$ to $p$. Let $q^{\prime}$ denote a point of $L^{\prime} \cdot C$. Clearly $L^{\prime}-p$ is a connected subset of $D$. Let $M^{\prime}$ denote the boundary of $D$. Since $M^{\prime}$ is a continuum and contains only the point $p$ of $L^{\prime}$, by the lemma there exists a connected open subset $D^{\prime}$ of $S-M^{\prime}$ which contains $L^{\prime}-p$ and has the other properties of the set designated as $D^{\prime}$ in the lemma. It now follows from Theorem $A$ of [1] that there exists a simple closed curve $J^{\prime}$ lying in $D^{\prime}+p$ and containing $p+q^{\prime}$. Since $D^{\prime}$ is a connected subset of $S-M^{\prime}$ and contains a point of $L$, it follows that $D^{\prime}$ is a subset of $D$ and that $J^{\prime}$ is a subset of $M$. Of course using $J^{\prime}$ it is now easy to construct a simple closed curve $J$ which lies in $D+p$ and contains $p+q$.
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    ${ }^{1}$ A point $x(p \neq x \neq q)$ cuts $p$ from $q$ in $M$ if every subcontinuum of $M$ containing both $p$ and $q$ also contains $x$. Obviously a separating point is a cut point but for continua in general a cut point is not necessarily a separating point.

