

ON MEASURABILITY OF STOCHASTIC PROCESSES IN PRODUCTS SPACE

JACOB FELDMAN

1. Introduction. Let \mathcal{X} be a σ -algebra of subsets of X , and T a set. Let $\Omega = X^T$, and let \mathcal{C} be the σ -algebra of subsets of Ω generated by the finite cylinder sets, i.e., sets of the form $A = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \omega(t_1) \in A_1, \dots, \omega(t_n) \in A_n\}$, $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{X}$. Let P_0 be a probability measure on \mathcal{C} . Thus the coordinate variables $x_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$, $t \in T$, are the Kolmogorov version [5] of the stochastic process with joint distributions $F_{t_1}, \dots, F_{t_1}(A_1, \dots, A_n) = P_0\{A\}$. For various purposes, it is appropriate to enlarge this σ -algebra and extend the measure. In the present paper two methods of doing this will be mentioned, and one of the methods will be studied.

[A] Suppose X is a compact Hausdorff space and \mathcal{X} the Borel sets. Then Ω is a compact Hausdorff space in the product topology. A straightforward application of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem and the Riesz-Markov theorem shows that there is a unique regular measure on the Borel subsets \mathcal{B} of Ω which agrees with P_0 on \mathcal{C} , provided the finite-dimensional marginal measures are all regular. We call this measure P . This idea is due to S. Kakutani [3], and was discussed in detail by E. Nelson [8].

[B] By a *condition* is meant a set-valued function k from T to \mathcal{X} . For any condition k , we define

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(k) &= \{\omega \mid \omega(t) \in k(t) \text{ for all } t \in T\}, \text{ and} \\ \Gamma(S, k) &= \{\omega \mid \omega(t) \in k(t) \text{ for all } t \in S\},\end{aligned}$$

S being a subset of T . It is possible to extend P_0 to a class of sets of the form $\Gamma(k)$, as follows.

The following lemma is a straightforward generalization of the separability lemma in [1], p. 56.

LEMMA 1.1. *For any condition $k \ni$ a countable set $S \subset T$ such that $P_0\{\Gamma(S, k) - \Gamma(\{t\}, k)\} = 0$ for all $t \in T$.*

The proof is a simple exhaustion argument. Such a countable subset S will be called *determining* for k .

Let \mathcal{K} be a family of sets with the properties

(i) $X \in \mathcal{K}$

Received March 27, 1961. Written partly with support from Contract NONR 222 (60) and partly while an NSF fellow.

(ii) any countable subfamily of \mathcal{K} with the finite intersection property (F.I.P.) has nonnull intersection. Such a family will be called *countably compact*. If (ii) holds without the countability restriction, then \mathcal{K} is called *compact*. If a condition k has values in \mathcal{K} , it will be called a \mathcal{K} -condition.

The set of positive integers will be written I . Unions and intersections whose index set is I will be written simply \bigcup_i , etc. rather than $\bigcup_{i \in I}$, etc. The following result can then be proven. It is stated in [7].

LEMMA 1.2. *Let S_n be a determining set for the \mathcal{K} -condition k_n , $n \in I$. Let $\Delta = \bigcup_n \{\Gamma(S_n, k_n) - \Gamma(k_n)\}$. Then Δ has inner P_0 -measure 0.*

$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is now defined to be those subsets Γ of Ω such that $\exists \Gamma'$ in \mathcal{C} with $(\Gamma - \Gamma') \cup (\Gamma' - \Gamma)$ subset of a set of the form of Δ in the above lemma. These sets Γ form a σ -algebra, and the assignment to Γ of the same measure as the P_0 -measure of Γ' determines unambiguously a measure $P_{\mathcal{K}}$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}}$, which is an extension of P_0 . This construction, based on ideas of Doob and Khintchine [4] is done by A. Mayer in [6], [7].

REMARK 1.1. Notice that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}}$ contains all sets of the form $\Gamma(k)$, for any \mathcal{K} -condition k , assigning to such a set the measure $P_0\{\Gamma(S, k)\}$, S being any determining set for k .

REMARK 1.2. If X is compact Hausdorff, \mathcal{K} the Borel sets, \mathcal{K} the compact sets, and P_0 satisfies the regularity condition of [A], then $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}} \subset \mathcal{B}$, and $P|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}}} = P_{\mathcal{K}}$. This is a consequence of the following (under the hypotheses of the last sentence):

LEMMA 1.3. *If S is determining for the condition k , and $k(t)$ is compact for all t , then $P\{\Gamma(k)\} = P\{\Gamma(S, k)\}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.2 of [8] there is some countable subset S_1 of T such that $P\{\Gamma(S_1, k)\} = P\{\Gamma(k)\}$. Now, $\Gamma(S_1, k) \supset \Gamma(S \cup S_1, k) \supset \Gamma(k)$, so $P\{\Gamma(S \cup S_1, k)\} = P\{\Gamma(k)\}$. But

$$\Gamma(S, k) = \Gamma(S \cup S_1, k) \cap \bigcap_{s \in S_1} \{\Gamma(S_1, k) = \Gamma(\{s\}, k)\}.$$

Thus $P\{\Gamma(S, k)\} = P\{\Gamma(S \cup S_1, k)\}$.

We will deal mainly with the situation where T is a topological space, and with a certain σ -subalgebra $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}}$, where $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is defined like $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}}$, except that the only conditions k used for $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}$ will be those of the form

$$\begin{aligned} k(t) &= K \text{ for } t \in U \\ X &\text{ for } t \notin U, \end{aligned}$$

U being an open set in T , and $K \in \mathcal{K}$. For such a k , we write $\Gamma(k)$ as $\mathcal{A}(U, K)$. The restriction of $P_{\mathcal{K}}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}$ will be called $Q_{\mathcal{K}}$.

If \mathcal{K} consists of closed sets in a metric space, T is locally compact, and τ is a regular measure on T , then $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}, Q_{\mathcal{K}})$ has the convenient property that whenever the map $t \rightarrow x_t$ (where $x_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$) is measurable in probability, i.e. is continuous in probability outside of some τ -null set, then the map $(\omega, t) \rightarrow \omega(t)$ can be made measurable the $\mu \times \tau$ -completion of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{T}$, where \mathcal{T} is the Borel sets of T and (\mathcal{A}, μ) some extension of $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}, Q_{\mathcal{K}})$. (See [7], Theorem 2.) This says, in a sense, that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is “not too large.” On the other hand, it is “not too small,” in the sense that it contains many natural subsets which are not in \mathcal{C} ; this will be shown.

In § 2 are given some examples and general remarks concerning compact and countably compact families.

In [8], with X and T compact metrizable spaces, various natural subsets of Ω and $\Omega \times T$ were shown to be in \mathcal{B} , $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$, or product σ -algebras derived from them (the bar over a σ -algebra signifies completion with respect to the measure being considered on it). In § 3 and 4 we show (in a somewhat more general context) that these subsets are in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}}$, or the corresponding product σ -algebras, where \mathcal{K} is a countably compact family of closed subsets of X which contains a complete system of neighborhoods for each point of X (or, briefly, *generates the topology of X*).

2. Some topological considerations.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let X be a 1-st countable Hausdorff space. Then any countable compact family \mathcal{K} of subsets of X which generates the topology of X consists of closed sets only.*

Proof. Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}$, and $x \notin K$. Choose a countable family $\{K_n | n \in I\}$ of neighborhoods of x in \mathcal{K} , with $\bigcap_n K_n = \{x\}$. If $x \in \overline{K}$, then $K \cap K_1 \cap \dots \cap K_n$ is never empty. Thus, $K \cap \bigcap_n K_n$ is nonempty, so $x \in K$.

REMARK 2.1. If we assume that X actually has a countable base for its open sets, then clearly any intersection of sets of \mathcal{K} can be reduced to a countable intersection. In particular, it follows that \mathcal{K} is actually a *compact* family, not just countably compact.

LEMMA 2.2. (Alexander). *Let \mathcal{K} be a compact family of subsets*

of a set X . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ be the family of arbitrary intersections of finite unions of sets of then $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ is closed under arbitrary intersections and finite unions, and is again a compact family.

Proof. See [9], p. 139.

COROLLARY 2.1. *The most general compact family of sets on a set X arises by choosing a subfamily of the closed sets, for some compact topology on X .*

Proof. Given a compact family \mathcal{K} on a set X , use $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ as the family of closed sets for X ; this gives a compact space.

REMARK 2.2. The property of *countable* compactness does *not* persist from \mathcal{K} to $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$. For example, let A be all ordinals up to and including the first uncountable ordinal α_0 . Let B be the rational numbers $\{0; 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}$. Let $X = A \times B - \{(\alpha_0, 0)\}$. Let \mathcal{K} consist of all sets of the form $K_{\alpha, n} = \{(\alpha^1, x) | \alpha^1 > \alpha, x < 1/n\}$, where α is a countable ordinal and $n \in I$. Then no countable intersection of sets $K_{\alpha, n}$ is empty, so \mathcal{K} is countably compact. But let $L_n = \bigcap_{\alpha < \alpha_0} K_{\alpha, n} = \{(\alpha_0, x) | x < 1/n\}$. Then the L_n have the F.I.P., but $\bigcap_n L_n = \phi$.

In § 3 we shall be considering countably compact families \mathcal{K} on separable metrizable spaces X , \mathcal{K} generating the topology of X . Some examples follow.

(a) X a Banach space which is separable and a dual, \mathcal{K} the set of all closed spheres. This is mentioned in [6].

In this connection, however, notice that the separable Banach space C of all continuous functions on, say, the closed interval $[-1, 1]$, is not a dual; and, in fact, the family of all closed spheres in this Banach space is *not* a countably compact family. To see this, let

$$f_n(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } -1 \leq \lambda \leq 0 \\ 1 - n\lambda & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} \leq \lambda \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and let $f'_n(\lambda) = -f_n(-\lambda)$. Let K_n be the closed sphere of radius 2 about $f_n - 2$, and K'_n the closed sphere of radius 2 about $f'_n + 2$. Then

$$K_n \cap K'_n = \{g | f'_n \leq g \leq f_n\} \neq \phi.$$

Since $f_1 \geq f_2 \geq \dots$ and $f'_1 \leq f'_2 \leq \dots$, we have $K_1 \cap K'_1 \supset K_2 \cap K'_2 \supset \dots$. Thus, the spheres $\{K_n, K'_n | n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ have the F.I.P., but there is

no continuous function in their intersection. The author does not know, however, whether *some* \mathcal{K} does not exist for \mathbb{C} .

(b) An example where the metric space is not complete: let X be the nondyadic numbers in the unit interval. \mathcal{K} will be defined as follows. Let S_n be the set of dyadics of the form $k/2^n$, $k = 0, \dots, 2^n$. Then $X = [0, 1] - \bigcup_n S_n$. Let \mathcal{K}_n be the intersection with X of intervals $[a, b]$, where $a = (k + 1/8)1/2^n$, $b = (k + 7/8)1/2^n$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$. Let $\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{K}_n$.

To see that \mathcal{K} generates the topology of X , we must show that any $x \in X$ is an interior point of some interval in \mathcal{K}_n , for arbitrarily large n . But a nondyadic number x is characterized by the property that a zero followed by a one occurs arbitrarily far out in its dyadic expansion. Thus, for arbitrarily large n , we can get $k/2^n + 1/2^{n+2} < x < k/2^n + 1/2^{n+1}$, so that x is interior to an interval of \mathcal{K}_n .

To see that \mathcal{K} is countably compact, suppose we have a sequence K_1, K_2, \dots with the F.I.P. Assume repetitions have been eliminated. Then no two can come from the same \mathcal{K}_n , since two members of \mathcal{K}_n are either identical or disjoint. Consider now the closed intervals \bar{K}_n in $[0, 1]$. These have the F.I.P., and are closed in $[0, 1]$. Thus their intersection is nonempty. Further, let $K_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$. Then $\bar{K}_n \cap S_{i_n} = \phi$, so $(\bigcap_n \bar{K}_n) \cap (\bigcup_m S_{i_m}) = \phi$. Since i_m does not repeat itself, and since $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \dots$, we have $\bigcup_m S_{i_m} = \bigcup_n S_n$. Thus, $(\bigcap_n \bar{K}_n) \cap X \neq \phi$. But this is the same as $\bigcap_n K_n$.

(c) A metric space for which *no* countably compact family can generate the topology: let X be the dyadic numbers in $[0, 1]$. Suppose, in fact, we had such a family \mathcal{K} . Let x_1, x_2, \dots be an enumeration of X . Then one could choose a sequence K_j^n of neighborhoods of x_j , $K_j^n \in \mathcal{K}$, and with the length of K_j^n less than $1/n + j$. Let U_j^n be the interior of \bar{K}_j^n . Then $x_j \in U_j^n$. Consider now the set $\bigcap_n \bigcup_j U_j^n$. This is a G_δ in the reals, and contains all the dyadics. Then it must contain some nondyadics, since the dyadics are not a G_δ . On the other hand, if ξ is a nondyadic in $\bigcap_n \bigcup_j U_j^n$, then ξ is in some $\bigcap_n U_{j_n}^n$. Thus $\{K_{j_n}^n | n \in I\}$ has the F.I.P. But $\bigcap_n \bar{K}_{j_n}^n = \{\xi\}$, since the lengths of the $K_{j_n}^n$ go to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\bigcap_n K_{j_n}^n = \bigcap_n (\bar{K}_{j_n}^n \cap X) = \phi$.

The question remains open whether, for example, every complete separable metric space has a countably compact family which generates its topology.

3. Measurability of various classes of functions. Throughout this section, let X be a separable metric space; \mathcal{A} the Borel sets. Let \mathcal{K} be a collection of sets in \mathcal{A} such that

- (a) \mathcal{K} is a countably compact family,
- (b) \mathcal{K} generates the topology of X .

Let T be a compact metric space, and consider $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{K}$, $Q\mathcal{K}$, as defined in §1. For brevity, we write simply \mathcal{D} , Q . We remark that the results of this section extend immediately to the case where T is locally compact metrizable, and separable, since the classes of functions discussed are defined by their local properties in T .

Let \mathcal{K}_0 be a countable subset of \mathcal{K} which still contains a complete system of neighborhoods at each point. Also, let $K_{\varepsilon,n}$ be an enumeration of the sets of \mathcal{K}_0 of diameter $\leq \varepsilon$. Let $\Lambda(\varepsilon, S) = \bigcap_{s \in S} \{\omega \mid \exists \text{ some open neighborhood } U \text{ of } s \text{ and some } n \text{ such that } \omega \text{ sends } U \text{ into } K_{\varepsilon,n}\}$. Finally, let $\Phi(\varepsilon, S) = \{\omega \mid \exists \text{ some open } U \supset S \text{ and } n \text{ such that } \omega \text{ sends } U \text{ into } K_{\varepsilon,n}\}$.

LEMMA 3.1. $\Lambda(\varepsilon, S)$ and $\Phi(\varepsilon, S)$ are in \mathcal{D} for any closed set S and any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be a countable base for the open sets of T . Let $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots$ be an enumeration of the finite coverings of S by sets in \mathcal{U} . Then $\Lambda(\varepsilon, S) = \bigcup_n \bigcup_m \bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{U}_n} \Lambda(U, K_{\varepsilon,m})$, and

$$\Phi(\varepsilon, S) = \bigcup_m \bigcup_n \Lambda\left(\bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{U}_n} U, K_{\varepsilon,m}\right).$$

THEOREM 3.1. *The set of all functions which are continuous at all points of the closed set $S \subset T$ is in \mathcal{D} .*

Proof. This set is precisely $\bigcap_m \Lambda(1/m, S)$.

THEOREM 3.2. *For any regular measure ν on T , the set of ν -almost everywhere continuous functions is in \mathcal{D} .*

Proof. Let $V_{n,m}$, $n, m \in I$, be an enumeration of those finite unions of sets \mathcal{U} such that $\nu(V_{n,m}) < 1/n$. A function ω is ν -almost everywhere continuous if and only if for arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a closed set S whose complement has arbitrarily small measure, such that $\omega \in \Lambda(\varepsilon, S)$. But $\omega \in \Lambda(\varepsilon, S) \Rightarrow \omega \in \Lambda(\varepsilon, \bar{U})$ for some open set $U \supset S$. Now, S^\perp is a union of sets in \mathcal{U} . Since $S^\perp \supset U^\perp$, and U^\perp is compact, U^\perp is covered by a finite union of sets of \mathcal{U} which does not intersect S , and thus has ν -measure no greater than that of S . Hence, the set of ν -almost everywhere continuous functions is contained in $\bigcap_j \bigcap_n \bigcup_m \Lambda(1/j, V_{n,m})$. The converse inclusion is obvious.

THEOREM 3.3. *The set of functions whose points of discontinuity form a first category set, is in \mathcal{D} .*

Proof. Let $O_\varepsilon(\omega) = \{s \mid \text{for every open } U \ni s \exists r, t \in U \text{ with } d(\omega(r), \omega(t)) > \varepsilon\}$. $O_\varepsilon(\omega)$ is a closed set, and increases as ε decreases. Thus, the set $\bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} O_\varepsilon(\omega)$ is of first category if and only if each $O_\varepsilon(\omega)$ is nowhere dense. Let D be a countable dense subset of T , and let $D_{n,m}$ be an enumeration of the finite $1/m$ -dense subsets of D (i.e. every point of T is within $1/m$ of some point of $D_{n,m}$, for every n, m). Then following Nelson in Theorem 3.3 of [8], $O_\varepsilon(\omega)$ is nowhere dense if and only if, for every $m \in I$, $O_\varepsilon(\omega) \subset \text{some } D_{n,m}^\perp$. Thus, ω has a first category set of discontinuities if and only if

$$\omega \in \bigcap_i \bigcap_m \bigcup_n \mathcal{L}(\frac{1}{m}, D_{n,m}).$$

THEOREM 3.4. *Let T be a compact interval. Then the set of all ω with discontinuities of the first kind only, is in \mathcal{D} .*

Proof. If ω has only discontinuities of the first kind, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ one can choose, for each $t \in T$, an open interval R_t such that there are some fixed integers n_+ and n_- for which $\omega(s) \in K_{\varepsilon, n_+}$ for all s in $(R_t - \{t\})_+ \cap T$ and $\omega(s) \in K_{\varepsilon, n_-}$ for all s in $(R_t - \{t\})_- \cap T$. (Note: $(R_t - t)_{(\pm)}$ denotes the $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \text{upper} \\ \text{lower} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ of the two intervals into which $R_t - \{t\}$ splits.)

Let S_t be a rational open interval with $t \in S_t \subset \bar{S}_t \subset R_t$, and, for given $\delta > 0$, let U_t be another rational interval, of length $< \delta$, with $t \in U_t \cup S_t$. Then $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(\varepsilon, (\bar{S}_t - U_t)_+ \cap T)$, and $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(\varepsilon, (\bar{S}_t - U_t) \cap T)$. Since T can be covered by finitely many of the S_t , we finally get the following: let $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \dots$ be an enumeration of the finite coverings of T by rational open intervals. For any rational open interval S , let $\mathcal{Z}_k(S)$ be the set of all open rational subintervals of S having length $< 1/k$. Then if ω has only discontinuities of the first kind, we have $\omega \in \bigcap_n \bigcup_m \bigcap_k \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}_m} \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{Z}_k(S)} \{\mathcal{D}(1/m, (\bar{S} - U)_+ \cap T) \cap \mathcal{D}(1/n, (\bar{S} - U)_- \cap T)\}$. And conversely, if ω has a discontinuity of the second kind at t_0 , then there is some integer n such that no matter what open rational interval S one chooses about t_0 , ω will oscillate by more than $1/n$ either in $(\bar{S} - U)_+ \cap T$ or $(\bar{S} - U)_- \cap T$, provided U is a sufficiently short interval. Thus, the inclusion is an equality.

THEOREM 3.5. *The set Θ of pairs (ω, t) in $\Omega \times T$ such that ω is discontinuous at t , is in $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{G}_T$ (\mathcal{G}_T being the Borel sets in T). The function $(\omega, t) \rightarrow \omega(t) \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{G}_T \mid \Theta^\perp$ -measurable, and a fortiori $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{G}_T$ -measurable.*

(Note: for a σ -algebra α on a set Z , and a set $Z_0 \subset Z$, we denote by $\mathcal{A} \mid Z_0$ the σ -algebra $\{A \cap Z_0 \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$. In case $Z_0 \in \mathcal{A}$, we get

$$\mathcal{A} \mid Z_0 = \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid A \subset Z_0\}.)$$

Proof of Theorem 3.5. \mathcal{U} is again a countable basis for the open sets of T . Then we have $\theta^\perp = \bigcap_n \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \bigcup_m [\Delta(U, K_{1/n, m}) \times U]$. As for measurability of the function $(\omega, t) \rightarrow \omega(t)$: let T_0 be a countable dense subset of T . Let \mathcal{V}_k be a finite covering of T by sets of diameter $< 1/k$. Let $\{g_{k, \nu} \mid \nu \in \mathcal{V}_k\}$ be a partition of unity for \mathcal{V}_k . Let f be a continuous function on X . Let $\tilde{f}_k(\omega, t) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{V}_k} g_{k, \nu}(t) \sup_{s \in T_0 \cap \nu} f(\omega(s))$. Then \tilde{f}_k is $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{B}_T$ -measurable, and, for fixed ω , $\tilde{f}_k(t, \omega)$ is continuous in t . Furthermore, at all points (ω, t) in θ^\perp , we have $\tilde{f}_k(\omega, t) \rightarrow f(\omega(t))$. Thus, $f(\omega(t))$ is $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{B}_T \mid \theta^\perp$ -measurable for each continuous f . Now: for any closed set K in X there is a continuous function f_K which is 1 only on that set. Then $\{(\omega, t) \mid \omega(t) \in K\} \cap \theta^\perp = \{(\omega, t) \mid f_K(\omega(t)) = 1\} \cap \theta^\perp$, which is in $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{B}_T \mid \theta^\perp$. This completes the proof.

The generalization of Theorem 4.1 of [8] now goes through exactly as done there, by applying Fubini's theorem. Namely, if ν is a regular measure on T , then $\{\omega \mid \omega \text{ continuous at } t\}$ has Q -measure 1 for ν -almost every $t \iff \{t \mid \omega \text{ continuous at } t\}$ has ν -measure 1 for Q -almost every $t \iff \theta$ has $Q \times \nu$ -measure 0. Similarly, Theorem 4.2 of [8] generalizes to the present context: if $\{\omega \mid \omega \text{ continuous at } t\}$ has Q -measure 0 for each $t \in T$, then $\{\omega \mid \text{the discontinuities of } \omega \text{ form a cat } I \text{ set in } T\}$ has Q -measure 1. The proof is gotten in the same way, but substituting \tilde{f} of Theorem 3.5 above for Nelson's f^+ . The details will be omitted.

REFERENCES

1. J. L. Doob, *Stochastic processes*, Wiley, N. Y. (1943).
2. P. R. Halmos, *Measure theory*, van Nostrand, N. Y. (1950).
3. S. Kakutani, *Notes on infinite product measure spaces*, II, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, vol. 19 (1943), pp. 184-188.
4. A. Khintchine, *Asymptotische gesetze der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, vol. 2, no. 4.
5. A. Kolmogoroff *Grundbegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, vol. 2, no. 3.
6. A. Mayer, *Comptes Rendus*, **248** (1959), 3106.
7. A. Mayer, *Comptes Rendus*, **249** (1959), 2475.
8. E. Nelson, *Regular probability measures on function space*, Annals of Math., **69** (1959), 630-643.
9. J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, 1955.