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1. Motivated in part by connections with problems in transonic gas dynamics there has been considerable interest in equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-K(t) u_{x x}+b u_{x}+e u_{t}+d u-h=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d, b, e$ and $h$ are functions of ( $x, t$ ) (see here Bers [4] for a bibliography and discussion). In particular there arises the Cauchy problem for (1.1) in the hyperbolic region with data given on the parabolic line $t=0$ (see in particular Protter [20], Conti [9], Bers [3], Berezin [2], Hellwig [12; 13], Frankl [10], Weinstein [25], Krasnov [15; 16], Carroll [8], Germain and Bader [11], and Barancev [1]). Protter assumes that $K(t)$ is a monotone increasing function of $t, K(0)=0$, and shows that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) with initial data $u(x, 0)$ and $u_{t}(x, 0)$ prescribed on a finite $x$-interval, is correctly set (under suitable regularity assumptions) if $t b(x, t) / \sqrt{K(t)} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Thus in particular if $b \equiv 0$ the condition is automatically true. Krasnov considers generalized solutions and the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-\Sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(a_{i k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\right)+\Sigma b_{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}+e \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+d u=h . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again the presence of first order terms $b_{i}$ complicates the matter and (as with Protter for $K(t) \sim t^{\alpha}$ ) it is assumed that $b_{i}=O\left(t^{\alpha / 2-1} \beta(t)\right)$ where $\beta(t) \longrightarrow 0$ (additional assumptions are also made). Krasnov supposes $\Sigma a_{i k} \xi_{i} \xi_{k} \geqq c t^{\alpha} \Sigma \xi_{i}^{2}$ with $h / t^{\frac{\alpha-1+\delta_{0}}{2}} \in L^{2}\left(\delta_{0}>0\right.$ is a number for which bounds are determined in the proof) and finds solutions $u$ such that $u_{t} / t^{\frac{\alpha+1+\delta_{0}}{2}}$ $\in L^{2}$ and $u_{x_{i}} / t^{\frac{1+\delta_{0}}{2}} \in L^{2}$. Thus the growth of $h$ appears to play an important role in determining a solution in this more general equation (1.2). Slightly more general degeneracies for $\Sigma a_{i k} \xi_{i} \xi_{k}$ are mentioned by Krasnov but always in some comparison to a power of $t$.

It is one of the aims of the present paper to give a more precise estimate of the allowable degeneracy in relation to the growth of $h$ and to give estimates for the solution. In particular we will not require that $K(t)$ be monotone. For simplicity we omit here first order terms in $\partial u / \partial x_{i}$; this will be dealt with, in an abstract framework, in a subsequent article. A summary of some of the present work was

[^0]given in [8]. We remark that an operational treatment of the type of degenerate problems considered by Tersenov [24] and Hu Hsien Sun [14] is also contemplated (this involves an equation of the form $K(t) u_{t t}-u_{x x}+b u_{x}+e u_{t}+d u-h=0$ with data given for $t=0$ ). As indicated above our results generalize in certain respects those of Krasnov, however the methods employed here are quite different; for example Krasnov relies heavily on a Galerkin type method for existence whereas we employ an energy method based on work of Lions [17]. Further generalizations in our framework are clearly possible (see [16]).
2. Following Lions (see [18] for an extensive bibliography and treatment of operational differential equations) we reformulate (1.2) as follows. Let $V$ and $H, V \subset H$, be Hilbert spaces, $V$ dense in $H$, with the topology of $V$ being finer than that induced by $H$.* The norms in $V$ and $H$ are denoted by $\|\|$ and | respectively. Let $(u, v) \rightarrow a(t, u, v)$ be a continuous sesquilinear form on $V \times V$ for $t$ fixed, $0 \leqq t \leqq b<\infty$, with $a(t, u, v)=\overline{a(t, v, u)}$. Assume that $t \rightarrow a(t, u, v) \in C^{1}[0, b]$ for ( $u, v$ ) fixed. We recall (see [18]) that the form $a(t, u, v)$ defines an unbounded operator $A(t): D(A(t)) \rightarrow H$ by defining $D(A(t))$ to be the set of $u \in V$ such that $v \rightarrow a(t, u, v)$ is continuous on $V$ in the topology of $H$. Then we can write for $u \in D(A(t)),(A(t) u, v)=a(t, u, v)$ for $v \in V$. Now let $\{B(t)\}$ be a family of bounded Hermitian operators in $H$ with $t \rightarrow B(t) \in \mathscr{E}^{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{s}(H, H)\right.$ ) (here $\mathscr{E}^{m}(G)$ is the space of $m$-times continuously differentiable functions of $t$ with values in $G$ and $\mathscr{L}_{s}(H, H)$ is the space of continuous linear maps $H \rightarrow H$ with the topology of simple convergence-see [5]).

Let now $\psi>0$ be a numerical function with $\psi \uparrow$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, $\psi \in C^{0}(0, b]$. Here $\psi$ does not necessarily approach $\infty$. We assume $q$ is another numerical function such that $q>0$ on ( $0, b]$ with $q \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ (in what follows all limits such as $q \rightarrow 0$ will refer to $t \rightarrow 0$ ). Let $f$ be given such that $\psi f \in L^{2}(H)$ (for the spaces $L^{p}(H)$ and the integration of vector valued functions see [6;7]). We assume $q \in C^{1}(0, b]$. Let $\mathscr{F}_{s}$ be the Hilbert space of functions $u$ on $[0, s]$ such that $u(0)=$ 0 , $\psi u^{\prime} \in L^{2}(H)$, and $\omega u \in L^{2}(V)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\mathscr{F}_{s}}^{2}=\int_{0}^{s}\left\{\|\omega u\|_{V}^{2}+\left|\psi u^{\prime}\right|_{H}^{2}\right\} d t \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\omega$ is a numerical function to be determined, $\omega>0, \omega \rightarrow \infty$ ). Here all derivatives are taken in the sense of vector valued distributions in $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}(H)\left(\right.$ see [23]) and $\mathscr{F}_{s}$ may be proved complete by standard arguments. Let now $\mathscr{H}_{s}$ be the space of functions $h$ which satisfy $h(s)=0$, $h / \psi \in L^{2}(H), h^{\prime} / \psi \in L^{2}(H)$, and $q h / \omega \in L^{2}(V)$. Set

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{E}_{s}(u, h)=\int_{0}^{s}\left\{q \alpha(t, u, h)+\left(B(t) u^{\prime}, h\right)-\left(u^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right)\right\} d t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{L}_{s}(h)=\int_{0}^{s}(f, h) d t \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that (2.2) and (2.3) are well defined for $u \in \mathscr{F}_{s}, h \in \mathscr{H}_{s}$, and $f$ as described. Thus assume $\omega$ as indicated has been given; then we pose

Problem 1. Find $s$ and $u \in \mathscr{F}_{s}$ such that for all $h \in \mathscr{H}_{s}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{E}_{s}(u, h)=\widetilde{L}_{s}(h) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Naturally we wish to find the best $\omega$ in some sense when posing problem 1. Here best will be left vague for the present in remarking only that $\omega$ furnishes a measure of how rapidly the solution $u$ tends to 0 as $t \rightarrow 0$. We define now $\mathscr{K}_{s}$ to be the space of functions $k$ such that $k=\int_{0}^{t} \varphi h d \xi$ for $h \in \mathscr{H}_{s}$ where $\varphi$ is a numerical function to be determined (in general $\varphi \in C^{\prime}[0, s], \varphi>0$ on ( $\left.0, s\right]$, and $\varphi \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ ). Clearly $k^{\prime}=\varphi h$ and thus $k^{\prime} \mid \varphi \psi=h / \psi \in L^{2}(H)$. For suitable choice of the numerical function $\delta>0, \delta \rightarrow \infty$, we define $\mathscr{K}_{s}$ as a prehilbert space with norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|k\|_{\mathscr{K}_{s}}^{2}=\int_{0}^{s}\left\{\|\delta k\|_{V}^{2}+\left|\frac{k^{\prime}}{\varphi \psi}\right|_{H}^{2}\right\} d t \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1. Define $v=\varphi / q$ and assume
(i) $\varphi \psi^{2} \in L^{\infty}$
(ii) $\omega \leqq \delta$
(iii) $\omega^{2} v^{2} \in L^{1}$
(iv) $\delta^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \omega^{2} v^{2} d \xi \in L^{1}$ with $\varphi, q, \omega, \psi, \delta \in C^{0}(0, s]$ all positive on $(0, s]$. Then $\mathscr{K}_{s} \subset \mathscr{F}_{s}$ algebraically and topologically.

Proof. The following estimates are straightforward

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi k^{\prime}\right|=\left|\frac{\varphi \psi^{2} k^{\prime}}{\varphi \psi}\right| \leqq c\left|\frac{k^{\prime}}{\varphi \psi}\right| \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\delta k\|^{2}=\left\|\delta \int_{0}^{t} \frac{q}{\omega} \omega v h d \xi\right\|^{2} \leqq \delta^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \omega^{2} v^{2} d \xi \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\frac{q h}{\omega}\right\|^{2} d \xi \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by (2.7) for $k \in \mathscr{K}_{s}$ and $\delta$ satisfying the hypotheses we have $\int_{0}^{s}\|\delta k\|^{2} d \xi<\infty$; also by (2.6) and the fact $\omega \leqq \delta$ it follows that $\|k\|_{\mathscr{F}_{s}} \leqq$ $\widetilde{c}\|k\|_{\mathscr{K} s^{*}}$ From (2.7) we obtain also the result that $\|k\|^{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ which proves that in fact $\mathscr{K}_{s} \subset \mathscr{F}_{s}$.

Lemma 2. Assume (i)-(iv) and
(v) $1 / v \int_{0}^{t} \omega^{2} v^{2} d \xi \in L^{\infty}$
(vi) $\varphi^{\prime} \psi^{2} \in L^{\infty}$
(vii) $1 / v \delta^{2} \in L^{\infty}$
(viii) $-(1 / v)^{\prime} 1 / \delta^{2} \in L^{\infty}, v^{\prime} \geqq 0$. Assume also that $a(t, u, u) \geqq \alpha\|u\|^{2}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 R e E_{\mathrm{s}}(k, k) \geqq \int_{0}^{s}\|\delta k\|^{2}\left\{-\alpha\left(\frac{1}{v}\right)^{\prime} \frac{1}{\delta^{2}}-\frac{c_{1}}{v \delta^{2}}\right\} d t  \tag{2.8}\\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{s}\left|\frac{k^{\prime}}{\varphi \psi}\right|^{2}\left\{\varphi^{\prime} \psi^{2}-2 \beta \varphi \psi^{2}\right\} d t
\end{align*}
$$

where, for $k=\int_{0}^{t} \varphi h d \xi, E_{s}(u, k)=\widetilde{E}_{s}(u, h)$.
Proof. Formally we have
(2.9) $\left.2 \operatorname{Re} E_{\mathrm{s}}(k, k)=\left.\frac{q}{\varphi} a(t, k, k)\right|_{0} ^{s}-\int_{0}^{s}\left\{\left(\frac{q}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime} a(t, k, k)-\left(\frac{q}{\varphi}\right) a^{\prime}(t, k, k)\right\} d t\right\}$

$$
+2 R e \int_{0}^{e} \frac{1}{\varphi}\left(B k^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right) d t-\left.\varphi|h|^{2}\right|_{0} ^{s}+\int_{0}^{e} \varphi^{\prime}|h|^{2} d t .
$$

Noting that $\lim \varphi|h|^{2}=\lim 1 / \varphi\left|k^{\prime}\right|^{2}=\theta^{2} \geqq 0$ will exist if all the other terms make sense we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q}{\varphi} a(t, k, k) \leqq \frac{c}{v}\|k\|^{2} \leqq \frac{c}{v} \int_{0}^{t} \omega^{2} v^{2} d \xi \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\frac{q h}{\omega}\right\| \|^{2} d \xi \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which vanishes as $t \rightarrow 0$. Note by the Banach Steinhaus theorem it follows that (see [18])

$$
\begin{gather*}
|a(t, u, h)| \leqq c\|u\|\|h\|  \tag{2.11}\\
\left|a^{\prime}(t, u, h)\right| \leqq c_{1}\|u\|\|h\|  \tag{2.12}\\
\left|\int_{0}^{e} \frac{1}{\varphi}\left(B k^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right) d t\right| \leqq \beta \int_{0}^{s}\left|\frac{k^{\prime}}{\varphi \psi}\right|^{2} \varphi \psi^{2} d t<\infty . \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover under the hypotheses above

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{s} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi^{2}}\left|k^{\prime}\right|^{2} d t=\int_{0}^{e} \varphi^{\prime} \psi^{2}\left|\frac{k^{\prime}}{\varphi \psi}\right|^{2} d t<\infty  \tag{2.14}\\
\left|\int_{0}^{e} \frac{q}{\varphi} a^{\prime}(t, k, k) d t\right| \leqq c_{1} \int_{0}^{e} \frac{1}{v \delta^{2}}\|\delta k\|^{2} d t<\infty \tag{2.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{0}^{e}\left(\frac{q}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime} a(t, k, k) d t \leqq c \int_{0}^{e}-\left(\frac{1}{v}\right)^{\prime} \frac{1}{\delta^{2}}\|\delta k\|^{2} d t<\infty \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (2.9) is valid and (2.8) follows.
The formula (2.8) indicates the properties desired of $\delta$ and $\varphi$ in order to obtain an estimate $\operatorname{Re} E_{s}(k, k) \geqq \Omega\|k\|_{\mathscr{K} s}$ thus enabling us to apply the Lions projection theorem (see [18]). We will give here a natural choice for $\delta, \varphi$ etc. without seeking the best possible result. To this end set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\hat{c} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d \xi}{\psi^{2}} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\varphi \in C^{1}[0, b], \varphi \rightarrow 0$, and since $\psi$ is monotone $\varphi / \varphi^{\prime}=\psi^{2} \int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2} \leqq N t$. Hence $\varphi \psi^{2}=\hat{c} \varphi / \varphi^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ also and thus $1 / \varphi \psi \rightarrow \infty$. Next let $R \neq 0$ be a constant and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\frac{1}{v}\right)^{\prime} \frac{1}{\delta^{2}}=R ; v=\frac{1}{\left[\delta_{1}+\int_{t}^{s} R \delta^{2} d \xi\right]} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{1}>0$ is determined by $v(s)$. Thus $v \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to $\delta \notin L^{2}$ and in any case, noting $v^{\prime}=R v^{2} \delta^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{v} \int_{0}^{t} \omega^{2} v^{2} d \xi \leqq \frac{1}{v} \int_{0}^{t} \delta^{2} v^{2} d \xi=\frac{1}{R}\left[1-\frac{v(0)}{v(t)}\right]=\frac{1}{R}\left\{1-\frac{\delta_{1}+\int_{t}^{s} R \delta^{2} d \xi}{\delta_{1}+\int_{0}^{s} R \delta^{2} d \xi}\right\} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(This shows that $\int_{0}^{t} \omega^{2} v^{2} d \xi<\infty$ and that $1 / v \int_{0}^{t} \omega^{2} v^{2} d \xi \leqq M$. The last term in (2.19) is taken to be zero if $\delta \notin L^{2}$ or $v(0)=0$, and $v(0) / v(t)$ is seen to be bounded by one in all other cases.) Thus (i), (ii) (by assumption), (iii), (v), (vi), and (viii) hold. Also the $\phi^{\prime} \psi^{2}$ term dominates in the second integral of (2.8) for $s$ small. Now for (vii) we note that $1 / v \delta^{2}=$ $\left(v / v^{\prime}\right) R$ and $v^{\prime}=(\varphi / q)^{\prime}$; thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{v^{\prime}}{v}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi}-\frac{q^{\prime}}{q}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi}\left[1-\frac{q^{\prime} \psi^{2}}{q} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d \xi}{\psi^{2}}\right] \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume for example that $\left(q^{\prime} \psi^{2} / q\right) \int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2} \leqq 1-\varepsilon_{1}$ for $t$ small then $v^{\prime} / v \geqq \varepsilon_{1} \varphi^{\prime} \mid \varphi \rightarrow \infty$ since $\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}>0$ on $(0, b]$ and $\varphi / \varphi^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$. In any case if $v^{\prime} / v \rightarrow \infty$ then $v / v^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ and $1 / v \delta^{2} \rightarrow 0$ which means not only that (vii) holds but that the $-\alpha(1 / v)^{\prime} 1 / \delta^{2}$ term dominates in the first integral of (2.8) for $s$ small. Note here that $\varphi$ and hence $v$ are defined on $[0, b]$ independently of $s$ by say (2.17) whereas (2.18) determines $\delta^{2}$ on any interval ( $0, s$ ] for $v$ given. Finally with regard to (iv) there are various hypotheses on $\omega$ and $v$ which would work but we assume simply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2}=\frac{v^{\prime}}{v^{2-\varepsilon}}, 0<\varepsilon<1 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then if say $v \in C^{0}[0, b]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{s} \delta^{2}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \omega^{2} v^{2} d \xi\right) d t=\int_{0}^{s} \frac{v^{\prime}}{R v^{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} v^{\prime} v^{\varepsilon} d \xi\right) d t \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{R(1+\varepsilon)} \int_{0}^{s} \frac{v^{\prime}}{v^{1-\varepsilon}} d t=\left.\frac{1}{R \varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} v^{\varepsilon}(t)\right|_{0} ^{s} . \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

It should be noted that $v \in C^{0}[0, b]$ now implies that $\omega \leqq c \delta$ since $\omega^{2} / \delta^{2}=$ $R v^{\mathrm{e}}$ and this would be a condition equivalent to (ii). We remark that $v \rightarrow 0$ implies $\omega \notin L^{2}$ since $\int_{t}^{s} \omega^{2} d \xi=\int_{t}^{s} v^{\prime} / v^{2-\varepsilon} d \xi=0\left(1 / v^{1-\varepsilon}\right)$. This proves

Lemma 3. Assume $a(t, u, u) \geqq \alpha\|u\|^{2}, v^{\prime} / v \rightarrow \infty, v \in C^{0}[0, b], \omega^{2}=$ $v^{\prime} / v^{2-\varepsilon}, \varphi=\hat{c} \int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2}$, and $v=1 / \delta_{1}+\int_{t}^{s} R \delta^{2} d \xi$. Then $\omega \leqq c \delta$ and (i), (iii)-(viii) hold with $\operatorname{Re} E_{s}(k, k) \geqq \Omega\|k\|_{\mathscr{K}_{s}}^{2}$ for s sufficiently small.

Using the above lemmas and the Lions projection theorem (see [18]) there results

Theorem 1. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 3 and the conditions on $a(t, u, v), B(t)$ stipulated above there exist functions $\omega\left(\omega \notin L^{2}\right.$ if $v \rightarrow 0$ ) such that for $s$ small problem 1 has a solution.

Proof. We need only check that the map $u \rightarrow E_{s}(u, k): \mathscr{F}_{s} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ is continuous for $k \in \mathscr{K}_{s}$ fixed and that the map $k \rightarrow L_{8}(k)=\widetilde{L}_{8}(h)$ : $\mathscr{K}_{s} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ is continuous. This verification is immediate.

Now since $q>0$ on $(0, b]$ we can treat $q a(t, u, v)$ as a nondegenerate form on say $[s / 2, b]$ and apply Lions' results for such problems (see [17; 18]). We want to solve

Problem 2. Find $u \in \mathscr{F}_{b}$ such that $\widetilde{E}_{0}(u, h)=\widetilde{L}_{b}(h)$ for all $h \in \mathscr{H}_{b}$.
Thus suppose the problem has been solved for $[0, s]$, that is suppose problem 1 has been solved with solution $u_{1}$. Then following [17] let $p \in C^{1}$ with $p=1$ on $[0,2 / 3 s]$ and $p=0$ in a neighborhood of $s$. Set $u_{2}=u-p u_{1}$; then $u_{2}=0$ on $[0,2 / 3 s]$ and $u_{2}=u$ for $t \geqq s$. The problem 2 for $u$ becomes

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\widetilde{E}_{b}\left(u_{2}, h\right)=\int_{0}^{b}(f, h) d t-\int_{0}^{b} p^{\prime}\left[\left(B u_{1}, h\right)+\left(u_{1}^{\prime}, h\right)\right] d t  \tag{2.23}\\
\quad-\int_{0}^{b}\left\{q a\left(t, u_{1}, p h\right)+\left(B u_{1}^{\prime}, p h\right)-\left(u_{1}^{\prime},(p h)^{\prime}\right)\right\} d t
\end{array}
$$

Now if $h \in \mathscr{H}_{b}$ we see that $p h \in \mathscr{H}_{s}$; hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{E}_{b}\left(u_{2}, h\right)=\int_{0}^{b}(f, h-p h) d t-\int_{0}^{b} p^{\prime}\left[\left(B u_{1}, h\right)+\left(u_{1}^{\prime}, h\right)\right] d t \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular we see that everything vanishes on say [ $0, s / 2$; hence
we pose the Cauchy problem with initial data given at $s / 2$ as follows. Let $\mathscr{F}_{s / 2} s_{1}$ be the space of $u$ such that $\omega u \in L^{2}(v)$ and $\psi u^{\prime} \in L^{2}(H)$ on $\left[s / 2, s / 2+s_{1}\right]$ with $u(s / 2)=0$. The space $\mathscr{H}_{s / 2} s_{1}$ corresponding to $\mathscr{H}_{s}$ is defined similarly on $\left[s / 2, s / 2+s_{1}\right]$. We extend $\omega$ and $\delta$ to be constant on $[s, b]$; then since $\psi, \omega, \delta$ etc. are positive and continuous we may define say $\mathscr{T}_{s / 2, s_{1}}$ in terms of $u \in L_{2}(V)$ and $u^{\prime} \in L^{2}(H)$. Let $\widetilde{E}_{s / 2}$ s denote the terms in $\widetilde{E}_{b}$ integrated over $\left[s / 2, s / 2+s_{1}\right]$, and denote the right side of (2.24) integrated from $s / 2$ to $s / 2+s_{1}$ by $\widetilde{L}_{s / 2 s_{1}}(h)$. Then consider

Problem 3. Find $u_{2} \in \mathscr{F}_{s / 2} s_{1}$ such that $\widetilde{E}_{s / 2, s_{1}}\left(u_{2}, h\right)=\tilde{\tilde{L}}_{s / 2 s_{1}}(h)$ for all $h \in \mathscr{H}_{s / 2, s_{1}}$.

Problem 3 has a (unique) solution for $s_{1}$ sufficiently small by [17] and the above extension procedure may be repeated in steps of length $s_{1} / 2$. Thus $u$ will eventually be determined on $[0, b]$ satisfying problem 2. Hence

Theorem 2. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1 there exists a solution of problem 2.
3. Suppose now that $\widetilde{E}_{s}(u, h)=0$ for all $h \in \mathscr{H}_{s}$. Let $h=$ $-\int_{t}^{s} J u d \xi, h^{\prime}=J u, J \rightarrow \infty$. Then

Lemma 4. Assume
(a) $J^{2} / \omega^{2} \int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2} \in L^{1}$
(b) $J / \omega \psi \in L^{\infty}$
(c) $J^{2} / \omega^{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(q^{2} / \omega^{2}\right) d \xi \in L^{1}$. Then $h \in \mathscr{H}_{s}$ if $u \in \mathscr{F}_{s}$ and $h=-\int_{t}^{s} J u d \xi$.

Proof. Clearly $h^{\prime} \mid \psi=(J / \omega \psi) \omega u \in L^{2}(V)\left(\right.$ hence certainly $\left.h^{\prime} \mid \psi \in L^{2}(H)\right)$ and $h(s)=0$; also

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\frac{h}{\psi}\right|^{2} \leqq c| | \frac{h}{\psi}\left\|^{2} \leqq\left(\frac{1}{\psi} \int_{t}^{s} \frac{J}{\omega}\|\omega u\| d \xi\right)^{2} \leqq \frac{1}{\psi^{2}} \int_{t}^{s} \frac{J^{2}}{\omega^{2}} d \xi \int_{t}^{s}\right\| \omega u \|^{2} d \xi  \tag{3.1}\\
\int_{0}^{s}\left\|\frac{q}{\omega} h\right\|^{2} d \xi \leqq \int_{0}^{s} \frac{q^{2}}{\omega^{2}}\left(\int_{t}^{s} \frac{J^{2}}{\omega^{2}} d \xi\right) d t \int_{0}^{s}\|\omega u\|^{2} d \xi \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using the Fubini and Tonelli theorems (see e.g. [19]) the lemma follows.
We note now explicitly the fact that if $u \in L^{2}(H)$ and $u^{\prime} \in L^{2}(H)$ ( $u^{\prime}$ taken in $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}(H)$ on $(0, s)$ ) then $u$ may be identified with a continuous function and $u(0)=0$ makes sense. Indeed for $u$, determined almost everywhere, we see that $u^{\prime} \in L^{1}(H)$ on $[0, s]$ and clearly $D \tilde{u}=u^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}(H)$ where $\tilde{u}=\int_{0}^{t} u^{\prime} d \xi \in \mathscr{E}^{0}(H)$ (see [23]). Thus $D(\tilde{u}-u)=0$ and by [21] for any $h \in H,(\tilde{u}-u, h)=c_{h}$ in $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$. Hence $(\tilde{u}-u, h)=c_{h}$
almost everywhere as a function and thus $u$ may be identified scalarly with the continuous function $\tilde{u}$. Since $H$ is separable we may then identify $u$ with a continuous function and $u(0)=0$ is meaningful (see [23], [22]). Hence $u=\widetilde{u}$ follows. Thus setting $u=\int_{0}^{t} u^{\prime} d \xi, h=-\int_{t}^{s} h^{\prime} d \xi$

$$
\begin{align*}
& |(u, h)|=\left|-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{t}^{s}\left(u^{\prime}(\xi), h^{\prime}(\eta)\right) d \eta d \xi\right|  \tag{3.3}\\
& \quad \leqq \sup \left|\frac{\psi(\eta)}{\psi(\xi)}\right| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{t}^{s}\left|\psi u^{\prime}\right|\left|\frac{h^{\prime}}{\psi}\right| d \eta d \xi \leqq \frac{N}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{t}^{s}\left\{\left|\psi u^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{h^{\prime}}{\psi}\right|^{2}\right\} d \eta d \xi \\
& \quad \leqq \frac{N}{2}\left\{\int_{0}^{t}(s-t)\left|\psi u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d \xi+t \int_{t}^{s}\left|\frac{h^{\prime}}{\psi}\right|^{2} d \eta\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $(u, h)=0$ at $t=0$ and we note that $\int_{0}^{s}\left(B u^{\prime}, h\right) d t=-\int_{0}^{s}\left(B^{\prime} u, h\right) d t-$ $\int_{0}^{s}\left(B u, h^{\prime}\right) d t$. Hence $\widetilde{E}_{s}(u, h)=0$ becomes, with $h$ as above

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{s}\left\{\frac{q}{J} a\left(t, h^{\prime}, h\right)-\left(B^{\prime} u, h\right)-J(B u, u)-J\left(u^{\prime}, u\right)\right\} d t=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set now $\tilde{\theta}^{2}=\lim q / J a(t, h, h)$ which will exist if everything else makes sense in the following. Then we have

Lemma 5. Assume (a)-(c) from Lemma 4 and
(d) $J \int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2} \in L^{\infty}$
(e) $-J^{\prime} \mid \omega^{2} \in L^{\infty} ; J^{\prime}<0$
(f) $J \rightarrow \infty$; J/J $J^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$
(g) $(q \mid J)^{\prime} /(q \mid J) \rightarrow \infty$. Then if $h=-\int_{t}^{s} J u d \xi, u \in \mathscr{F}_{s}$, and if $a(t, h, h) \geqq$ $\alpha\|h\|^{2}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{s}\left\{\alpha\left(\frac{q}{J}\right)^{\prime} \frac{\omega^{2}}{q^{2}}-c_{1}\left(\frac{q}{J}\right) \frac{\omega^{2}}{q^{2}}\right\}\left\|\frac{q h}{\omega}\right\|^{2} d t  \tag{3.5}\\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{s}\left\{-\frac{J^{\prime}}{\omega^{2}}-\frac{2 \beta J}{\omega^{2}}-\frac{\widehat{\beta}}{\omega^{2}} \int_{t}^{s} J d \xi-\frac{\widehat{\beta} t J}{\omega^{2}}\right\}|\omega u|^{2} d t \leqq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By (d) we have

$$
J|u|^{2} \leqq J\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|\psi u^{\prime}\right| \frac{d \xi}{\psi}\right)^{2} \leqq J \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d \xi}{\psi^{2}} \int_{0}^{t}\left|\psi u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d \xi \rightarrow 0
$$

whereas from (e) there results $-J^{\prime}|u|^{2}=-\left.J^{\prime}\left|\omega^{2}\right| \omega u\right|^{2} \in L^{1}$. Next by (f) and (e) it follows that $\lim J q / \omega^{2}=\lim \left(J /-J^{\prime}\right)\left(-J^{\prime} q / \omega^{2}\right)=0$; hence $J q / \omega^{2} \in L^{\infty}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{s}\left(\frac{q}{J}\right)^{\prime}\|h\|^{2} d \xi \leqq \int_{0}^{s}\left(\frac{q}{J}\right)^{\prime}\left(\int_{t}^{s} J\|u\| d \xi\right)^{2} d t  \tag{3.6}\\
& \quad \leqq \int_{0}^{s}\left(\frac{q}{J}\right)^{\prime}\left(\int_{t}^{s} \frac{J^{2}}{\omega^{2}} d \xi \int_{t}^{s}\|\omega u\|^{2} d \xi\right) d t \leqq\left(\int_{0}^{s}\|\omega u\|^{2} d \xi\right) \int_{0}^{s} \frac{J q}{\omega^{2}} d \xi
\end{align*}
$$

Note here $q / J \rightarrow 0$ and $q / J=\int_{0}^{t}(q / J)^{\prime} d \xi$; also by (g) surely $\int_{0}^{s} q / J\|h\|^{2} d \xi<$ $\infty$. Now by (f) it follows that $J|u|^{2}=\left(J / J^{\prime}\right) J^{\prime}|u|^{2} \in L^{1}$ and finally we remark that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|2 R e \int_{0}^{s}\left(B^{\prime} u, h\right) d \xi\right| \leqq \widehat{\beta} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{t}^{s} J(\xi)\left\{|u(t)|^{2}+|u(\xi)|^{2}\right\} d \xi d t  \tag{3.7}\\
& \quad \leqq \widehat{\beta}\left\{\int_{0}^{s}|\omega u|^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} \int_{t}^{s} J d \xi\right) d t+\int_{0}^{s} \frac{J t}{\omega^{2}}|\omega u|^{2} d t\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the $J t / \omega^{2}$ term makes sense since $J t / \omega^{2}=\left(J /-J^{\prime}\right)\left(-J^{\prime} t / \omega^{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$ by (e) and (f). Then we note that

$$
\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} \int_{t}^{s} J d \xi=\left(\frac{-J^{\prime}}{\omega^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{J}{-J^{\prime}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{J} \int_{t}^{s} J d \xi\right) ;
$$

but by 1 ' Hospital's rule $\lim 1 / J \int_{t}^{s} J d \xi=\lim J /-J^{1}=0$ (here note that $J^{\prime} \neq 0, J \neq 0$ for $t>0$ ). Hence we may write

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\theta}^{2}+ & \int_{0}^{s}\left\{\left(\frac{q}{J}\right)^{\prime} a(t, h, h)+\left(\frac{q}{J}\right) a^{\prime}(t, h, h)\right\} d t  \tag{3.8}\\
+ & 2 R e \int_{0}^{s}\left(B^{\prime} u, h\right) d t+2 R e \int_{0}^{s} J(B u, u) d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{s} J^{\prime}|u|^{2} d t+J|u(s)|^{2}=0
\end{align*}
$$

The lemma follows immediately.
Now let $\omega^{2}=v^{\prime} / v^{2-8}$ as before and consider the following choice for the function $J$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=j+\check{c} \int_{t}^{s} \omega^{2} d \xi ;-\frac{J^{\prime}}{\omega^{2}}=\check{c} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that (e) holds (we assume $\omega, v$ etc. are as before) and since $v=\varphi / q(\mathrm{~d})$ is a consequence of the fact that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \check{c} \int_{t}^{s} \omega^{2} d \xi \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d \eta}{\psi^{2}} \leqq \check{c} \varphi \int_{t}^{s} \delta^{2} d \xi=\check{c} \varphi \int_{t}^{s}-\left(\frac{1}{v}\right)^{\prime} \frac{d \xi}{R}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \quad=\check{c} \frac{\varphi}{R}\left[\frac{1}{v(t)}-\frac{1}{v(s)}\right]=\frac{\check{c}}{R}\left[q(t)-\varphi(t) \frac{q(s)}{\varphi(s)}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Note now that with the above choice of $\omega$ we can write $J$ in the form $J=j+\check{c} \int_{t}^{s} v^{\prime} / v^{2-\varepsilon} d \xi=j-(\check{c} / 1-\varepsilon)(1 / v(s))^{1-\varepsilon}+(\check{c} / 1-\varepsilon)(1 / v(t))^{1-\varepsilon}$. If $j$ is taken to be $j=(\check{c} / 1-\varepsilon)(1 / v(s))^{1-\varepsilon}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\frac{\check{c}}{1-\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{v}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} ; \frac{J}{J^{\prime}}=\frac{-1}{1-\varepsilon}\left(\frac{v}{v^{\prime}}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus if $v / v^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ then $J /-J^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$. Moreover since $\omega^{2}=\left(v^{\prime} / v\right)(1 / v)^{1-8}$ it
follows that $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ if $v \rightarrow 0$ and $v / v^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$ and also by (3.11) $J \rightarrow \infty$ if $v \rightarrow 0$. Hence if $v^{\prime} / v \rightarrow \infty$ and $v \rightarrow 0$ then (f) holds and $\omega \rightarrow \infty$.

Consider now condition (a); using (d) we have $J^{2} / \omega^{2} \int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2} \leqq c J / \omega^{2}=$ $-\check{c} c J / J^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ which implies (a). For (c) we note

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{s} \frac{J^{2}}{\omega^{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{q^{2}}{\omega^{2}} d \xi\right) d t  \tag{3.12}\\
& \qquad
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \leqq \int_{0}^{s}\left\{\frac{j^{2}+2 \dot{j} \int_{t}^{s} \omega^{2} d \xi+\left(\check{c} \int_{t}^{s} \omega^{2} d \xi\right)^{2}}{\omega^{2}}\right\}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{q^{2}}{\omega^{2}} d \xi\right) d t
\end{align*}
$$

However $1 / \omega^{2} \int_{t}^{s} \omega^{2} d \xi=v^{2-\varepsilon} / v^{\prime} \int_{t}^{s} v^{\prime} / v^{2-\varepsilon} d \xi=(1 / 1-\varepsilon)\left\{v / v^{\prime}-c / \omega^{2}\right\}$ and if $v / v^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ and $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that the first two integrals in (3.12) exist. The last integral in (3.12) is bounded by

$$
c \int_{0}^{s}\left[\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} \int_{t}^{s} \omega^{2} d \xi\right]\left[\int_{t}^{s} \omega^{2} d \xi \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d \eta}{\omega^{2}}\right] d t
$$

The first term in the integrand vanishes as $t \rightarrow 0$ by the above remarks and using 1' Hospital's rule on the second term we note that $\lim \int_{t}^{s} \omega^{2} d \xi \int_{0}^{t} d \eta / \omega^{2}=\lim \left(\int_{t}^{s} \omega^{2} d \xi\right)^{2} / \omega^{4}$ which is zero by the above (note here if $\omega \in L^{2}$ (3.12) is seen immediately to exist and no recourse to the preceding argument is intended). Thus if $v^{\prime} \mid v \rightarrow \infty$ and $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ (c) surely holds.

Now since $J / \omega \psi=(\check{c} / 1-\varepsilon) 1 / \omega \psi v^{1-\varepsilon}$ it follows that (b) holds if $\omega^{2} v^{2-2 g}>c / \psi^{2}$ or $\left(v^{\prime} / v\right) \varepsilon>c / \psi^{2}$. It is not necessary that $\psi \uparrow \infty$ in general; when $v \rightarrow 0$ (b) will hold if $v^{\prime}>c / \psi^{2}$. Thus (b) holds if $v \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\left(\frac{\psi^{2} q^{\prime}}{q}\right) \int_{0}^{\iota} \frac{d \xi}{\psi^{2}}>\widetilde{c} q \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $v^{\prime}=\varphi^{\prime} / q-\varphi q^{\prime} / q^{2}$ and $\varphi=\hat{c} \int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2}$. In particular (3.13) holds if for example $\left(\psi^{2} q^{\prime} / q\right) \int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2} \leqq 1^{0}-\varepsilon_{1}$, since $q \rightarrow 0$ (see here also equation (2.20)). This proves

Lemma 6. Assume (h) $\left(q^{\prime} \psi^{2} / q\right) \int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2} \leqq 1-\varepsilon_{1}$ for $t$ small. Then if $J=(\check{c} / 1-\varepsilon) 1 / v^{1-\varepsilon}\left(J^{\prime}=-\check{c} \omega^{2}\right)$ and $v \rightarrow 0$ it follows that $v^{\prime} / v \rightarrow \infty$ and (a)-(f) hold.

We recall that $\varphi$ and $v$ are defined independently of $s$ (see (2.17)) and our constructions and proofs have shown that for $t$ small enough the $(q / J)^{\prime} \omega^{2} / q^{2}$ and $-J^{\prime} / \omega^{2}$ terms will dominate in the first and second integrals respectively of (3.5). It remains to check only a few terms in order to see whether by suitable choice of $s$ this
domination prevails over $[0, s]$. Now by (3.11) $J / J^{\prime}$ is independent of $s$ as is $J / \omega^{2}$ (indeed a priori $\omega^{2}$ and $\delta^{2}$ depend only on $v$ ). Now since $-J^{\prime}=\check{c} \omega^{2}>0$ we have $J$ monotone decreasing and clearly

$$
\frac{1}{J(t)} \int_{t}^{s} J(\xi) d \xi \leqq s-t \leqq b
$$

Hence referring to the proof of Lemma 5 we can establish domination over an interval $[0, s]$ in the second integral of (3.5). There remains the $(q \mid J)^{\prime}$ term for which we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(\frac{q}{J}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{q}{J}\right)}=\frac{q^{\prime}}{q}+(1-\varepsilon) \frac{v^{\prime}}{v}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi}\left\{1-\varepsilon\left[1-\frac{q^{\prime} \varphi}{q \varphi^{\prime}}\right]\right\} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus in particular the ratio in (3.14) is a priori independent of $s$ and the desired domination may be obtained on an interval $[0, s]$ by choosing $s$ sufficiently small. Thus we have proved

Lemma 7. If the hypotheses of Lemma 6 hold and (g) is true it follows that for suitably small $s, \int_{0}^{s}|\omega u|^{2} d t \leqq 0$.

Clearly the condition (h) in Lemma 6 is much stronger than is necessary but it gives a manageable criterion. We note now that if $q^{\prime} \geqq 0$ then by (h) $\varepsilon_{1} \leqq\left[1-q^{\prime} \varphi / q \varphi^{\prime}\right] \leqq 1$ and from (3.14) it results that $(q / J)^{\prime} /(q / J) \geqq(1-\varepsilon) \varphi^{\prime} \mid \varphi \rightarrow \infty$. Thus if $q$ is monotone, for any $\varepsilon, 0<$ $\varepsilon<1$, ( g ) is a consequence of (h). Another case of interest would be if $1-q^{\prime} \varphi / q \varphi^{\prime} \leqq \widetilde{Q}$; then if $\varepsilon \leqq 1 / \widetilde{Q}$ (g) holds. A somewhat better result may be obtained as follows. We note that

$$
\frac{q^{\prime} \varphi}{q \varphi^{\prime}}=\frac{q^{\prime} \psi^{2}}{q} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d \xi}{\psi^{2}}=\frac{(\operatorname{loq} q)^{\prime}}{\left(\operatorname{loq} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d \xi}{\psi^{2}}\right)^{\prime}}
$$

Then assume that $Q=\lim \left(q^{\prime} \psi^{2} / q\right) \int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2}$ exists as $t \rightarrow 0$. We note that the conditions needed to apply l'Hospital's rule hold and thus $Q=$ $\lim \operatorname{loq} q / \operatorname{loq} \int_{0}^{i} d \xi / \psi^{2}$. Therefore for $t$ small (h) implies that

$$
\log q / \log \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d \xi}{\psi^{2}} \leqq 1-\varepsilon_{2}, \quad 0<\varepsilon_{2}<\varepsilon_{1}
$$

But for $t$ small the logarithms are negative and thus loq $q \geqq$ $\operatorname{loq}\left(\int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2}\right)^{1-\varepsilon_{2}}$ or $q \geqq\left(\int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2}\right)^{1-\varepsilon_{2}}=c \varphi^{1-\varepsilon_{2}}$. Conversely if $q \geqq c \varphi^{1-\varepsilon_{2}}$ and if $Q=\lim q^{\prime} \varphi / q \varphi^{\prime}$ exists then $Q \leqq 1-\varepsilon_{3}$ for some $\varepsilon_{3}, 0<\varepsilon_{3}<\varepsilon_{2}$.

Hence if $Q$ exists as defined and $q \geqq c \varphi^{1-\varepsilon_{2}}$ then (h) holds and moreover $v=\varphi / q \leqq \varphi / c \varphi^{1-\varepsilon_{2}}=(1 / c) \varphi^{\varepsilon_{2}} \rightarrow 0$. We note that by construction if $Q$ exists then $Q=\lim \operatorname{loq} q / \operatorname{loq} \int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2} \geqq 0$; hence $\varepsilon\left[1-q^{\prime} \varphi / q \varphi^{\prime}\right]<\varepsilon\left(1+\varepsilon_{4}\right)$ for $t$ small enough and $\varepsilon_{4}>0$ given. Choose now $\varepsilon_{4}$ such that $\varepsilon\left(1+\varepsilon_{4}\right)<1$ or $\varepsilon_{4}<(1-\varepsilon) / \varepsilon$ then from (3.14) $(q / J)^{\prime} /(q / J) \geqq c \varphi^{\prime} / \varphi$ for $t$ small. This proves

Theorem 3. Assume $Q=\lim \left(q^{\prime} \psi^{2} / q\right) \int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2}$ exists and that $q \geqq$ $\left(\int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2}\right)^{1-\varepsilon_{2}}, 0<\varepsilon_{2}<1$. Then (h) holds, $v \xrightarrow{\int_{0}} 0$, and $(q / J)^{\prime} /(q / J) \rightarrow \infty$ for $J=c / v^{1-\varepsilon}$ as above. Hence for $s$ small enough the solution of problem 1 is unique.

Again using [17] we conclude

Theorem 4. Assume $a(t, u, u) \geqq \alpha\|u\|^{2}, t \rightarrow a(t, u, v) \in C^{1}[0, b], t \rightarrow$ $B(t) \in \mathscr{E}^{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{s}(H, H)\right), a(t, u, v)=\overline{a(t, v, u)}, q \in C^{1}(0, b], q>0$ for $t>0$, $q \rightarrow 0 \quad a s \quad t \rightarrow 0, \psi \in C^{0}(0, b], \psi>0, \psi \uparrow \quad$ as $\quad t \rightarrow 0, \psi f \in L^{2}(H), q \geqq$ $\left(\int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2}\right)^{1-\varepsilon_{2}}\left(0<\varepsilon_{2}<1\right)$, and $Q=\lim \left(q^{\prime} \psi^{2} / q\right) \int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2}$ exists. Then there exists a unique solution of problem 2 for spaces $\mathscr{F}_{b}, \mathscr{H}_{b}$ based on functions $\omega \notin L^{2}\left(\omega \in C^{0}(0, b]\right)$.

We note now that if $Q \neq 0$ then $q^{\prime}<0$ for $t$ small is not possible. Moreover if $\log q / \operatorname{loq} \int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2} \geqq \varepsilon_{4}>0$ then $q \leqq\left(\int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2}\right)^{\varepsilon 4}$ and we may assume $\varepsilon_{4}<1$ since if $q \leqq \gamma^{1+\eta}, \eta \geqq 0, \gamma \rightarrow 0$, then $q \leqq \gamma^{84}$ for any $\varepsilon_{4}<1$ when $t$ is small. In fact $\varepsilon_{4}<1$ is necessary if we are to have $q \geqq$ $c \varphi^{1-\varepsilon_{2}}$ and thus the case $Q \neq 0$ with $q \geqq\left(\int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2}\right)^{1-\varepsilon_{2}}$ amounts to an estimate of the form $\left(\int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2}\right)^{1-\varepsilon_{2}} \leqq q \leqq\left(\int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2}\right)^{\varepsilon 4}, 0<\varepsilon_{2}<1, \varepsilon_{2}+\varepsilon_{4} \leqq$ 1. Finally we remark that under the hypotheses of Theorem 4 if $\lim q^{\prime} \psi^{2}$ exists then by l'Hospital's rule $\lim q^{\prime} \psi^{2}=\lim q / \int_{0}^{t} d \xi / \psi^{2}=$ $\lim \check{c} q / \varphi=\infty$. This implies that $\psi \uparrow \infty$ if $q^{\prime}$ is bounded but in a case such as $q=t^{1 / 2}, \psi \uparrow \infty$ is not required.
4. Let now $\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}$ be the completion of $\mathscr{K}_{s}$ for the norm $\left\|\| \mathscr{K}_{s}\right.$. Then we may pose problem 1 for $\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}$ instead of $\mathscr{F}_{8}$ (call this problem $1^{\prime}$ ) and repeating the procedures of $\S \S 2$ and 3 there will exist a function $\hat{u} \in \hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}$ solving problem $1^{\prime}$ if $s$ is small enough. It may be easily seen that the elements adjoined to $\mathscr{K}_{s}$ by completion correspond to functions $\hat{k}$ such that $\delta \hat{k} \in L^{2}(V), \hat{k}^{\prime} \mid \varphi \psi \in L^{2}(H)$, and $\hat{k}(0)=0$. Moreover the injection $i: \mathscr{K}_{s} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}_{s}$ may be extended by continuity to a continuous map $\hat{i}: \hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}_{s}$.

LEMMA 8. $\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s} \subset \mathscr{F}_{s}$ algebraically and topologically.

Proof. We need only show, after the above remarks, that $\hat{i}$ is an injection. Let $k_{n} \rightarrow \hat{k}$ in $\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}, k_{n} \in \mathscr{K}_{s}$, and assume that $i\left(k_{n}\right)=k_{n} \rightarrow 0=$ $\hat{i}(\hat{k})$. We want to show that $\hat{k}=0$ in $\hat{\mathscr{R}}_{s}$. First $k_{n}=i\left(k_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathscr{F}_{s}$ means in particular that $\omega k_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{2}(V)$. Hence (see [6], p. 133) there is a subsequence $\left\|\omega k_{n_{p}}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere. Therefore $\left\|\delta k_{n_{p}}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere and by the assumption $k_{n} \rightarrow \hat{k}$ in $\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}$ we know $\delta k_{n_{p}} \rightarrow \delta \hat{k}$ in $L^{2}(V)$. Theorefore we must have (see [6], p. 133 again) $\delta k_{n_{p}} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{2}(V)$, and $\delta \hat{k}=0$ in $L^{2}(V)$ (similarly $\hat{k}^{\prime} / \varphi \psi=0$ in $L^{2}(H)$ ); thus in particular $\hat{k}=0$ which shows that $\hat{i}(\hat{k})=0$ implies $\hat{k}=0$.

Let now $\hat{u} \in \hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}$ be the solution of problem $1^{\prime}$ above. Then $\hat{u} \in \mathscr{F}_{s}$ by Lemma 8 and by the uniqueness Theorem 3 we must have $\hat{u}=u$ for $s$ small where $u$ is the solution of problem 1 . Hence

Theorem 5. Let the hypotheses of Theorem 4 hold. Then there exists a unique solution $u$ of problem 2 which belongs to $\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{b}$.

Now consider the proof of the Lions projection theorem given say in [17] (see also [18]). We have $\operatorname{Re} E_{s}(k, k) \geqq \Omega\|k\|_{\hat{K}_{s}}^{2}$ for $k \in \mathscr{K}_{s}$ and wish to solve $E_{s}(u, k)=L_{s}(k)$ for $u \in \mathscr{\mathscr { K }}_{s}$ (the equation holding for all $k \in \mathscr{K}_{s}$ ). Then we write, following Lions, $L_{s}(k)=((\chi, k))_{\hat{\mathscr{K}}}^{s}$, $\chi \in \hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}$, and $E_{s}(u, k)=((u, L k))_{\hat{K}_{s}}, L k \in \hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}$. Here $L: \mathscr{K}_{s} \rightarrow \hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}$ is a densely defined linear operator in $\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}$. But $k \in \mathscr{K}_{s}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega\|k\|_{\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}}^{2} \leqq\left|((k, L k))_{\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}}\right| \leqq\|k\|_{\hat{\mathscr{F}}_{s}}\|L k\|_{\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $L$ is one-to-one. Moreover if $R_{0}=L\left(\mathscr{K}_{s}\right)$ then $L^{-1}$ is a bounded operator on $R_{0}$ and may be extended by continuity to $\bar{R}_{0}$ defining $\hat{L}^{-1}: \bar{R}_{0} \rightarrow \hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}$. Let $P: \hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s} \rightarrow \bar{R}_{0}$ be the projection and set $R=$ $\hat{L}^{-1} P$ which is thus everywhere defined and continuous on $\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}$. Then we want to find $u$ such that $((u, L k))=\left(\left(\chi, L^{-1} L k\right)\right)=((\chi, R L k))=$ $\left(\left(R^{*} \chi, L k\right)\right)$ for all $k \in \mathscr{K}_{s}$. Thus a solution is $u=R^{*} \chi$ and by the subsequent uniqueness result $u=R^{*} \chi$ is the only solution. Using this sketch of the proof of the projection theorem we can bound $u$. Indeed $\|u\|_{\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}} \leqq\left\|R^{*} \chi\right\|_{\hat{\mathscr{F}}_{s}} \leqq c\|\chi\|_{\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}}$ since $R^{*}$ is bounded. Moreover

$$
\begin{align*}
& |((\chi, k))|=\left|\int_{0}^{s}\left(\psi f, \frac{h}{\psi}\right) d t\right| \leqq\left(\int_{0}^{s}|\psi f|^{2} d t \int_{0}^{s}\left|\frac{h}{\psi}\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \leqq\left(\left.\int_{0}^{s}|\psi f|^{2} d t \int_{0}^{s}\left|k^{\prime}\right| \varphi \psi\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq\left(\int_{0}^{s}|\psi f|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}\|k\|_{\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}}=F\|k\|_{\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{s}} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

This means (see [5], p. 111) since $\mathscr{\mathscr { K }}_{8}$ is dense in $\hat{\mathscr{K}}_{8}$ that $\|\chi\| \leqq F=$ $\left(\int_{0}^{s}|\psi f|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}$. Therefore we have proved

Theorem 6. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4 and for $s$ suf-
ficiently small the (unique) solution of problem 1 satisfies the estimate $\|u\|_{\mathscr{\mathscr { F }}_{s}} \leqq c\left(\int_{0}^{s}|\psi f|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}$.

The estimate can clearly be extended to $[0, b]$ which given
Corollary. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 6 the unique solution of problem 2 satisfies the estimate $\|u\|_{\hat{\mathscr{F}}_{b}} \leqq c\left(\int_{0}^{b}\left(\left.\psi f\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}\right.$.
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