ON THE SOLVABILITY OF $x^e \equiv e \pmod{p}$

J. B. MUSKAT

1. Let e be an integer greater than 1. Let p be a prime $\equiv 1 \pmod{e}$. What conditions must p satisfy if e is an eth power residue, modulo p?

Let g be a fixed primitive root, modulo p. If $p \nmid a$, define ind a as the least nonnegative integer t such that $g^t \equiv a \pmod{p}$. For fixed $h, k, 0 \leq h, k \leq e - 1$, define the cyclotomic number (h, k) as the number of solutions of

ind $n \equiv h \pmod{e}$, ind $(n + 1) \equiv k \pmod{e}$, $1 \leq n \leq p - 2$.

Let f = (p - 1)/e. It is well known that

(1) (h, k) = (e - h, k - h),

Let ζ_e denote a primitive *e*th root of unity. Define the primitive *e*th power character $\chi_p(a) = \zeta_e^{\text{ind } a}$ for $a \neq 0 \pmod{p}$.

THEOREM 1. ind $e \equiv (p-1)/2 - \sum_{h=1}^{e-1} (h, 0)h \pmod{e}$.

Proof. Let $z \equiv g' \pmod{p}$. Then

$$e\equiv \prod\limits_{k=1}^{e-1} \left(1-z^k
ight) \pmod{p}$$
 .

For a fixed $v, 0 \leq v \leq e-1$, let \sum_{v} and \prod_{v} denote the sum and the product, respectively, over all $n, 1 \leq n \leq p-1$, such that ind $n \equiv v \pmod{e}$. Define

$$\sum_{v}' = \sum_{v}, v \neq 0; \sum_{0}' = \sum_{n \neq 1}^{0}$$
 .

Then

Received April 17, 1963. This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, Research Grant No. G 11309. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

J. B. MUSKAT

 $x^{r}-z^{v}\equiv\prod_{v}(x-n) \pmod{p}$.

Set x = 1. Then

$$1-z^{v}\equiv\prod_{v}\left(1-n
ight)\left(ext{mod }p
ight)$$
 .

Thus

ind
$$e \equiv \sum_{v=1}^{e-1} \sum_{v} \operatorname{ind} (1-n)$$

 $\equiv \sum_{v=0}^{e-1} \sum_{v} \operatorname{ind} (1-n) - \sum_{0} \operatorname{ind} (1-n)$
 $\equiv \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \operatorname{ind} u - \operatorname{ind} 1 - \sum_{0} [\operatorname{ind} (-1) + \operatorname{ind} (n-1)]$
 $\equiv fe(e-1)/2 - (f-1)ef/2 - \sum_{0} \operatorname{ind} (n-1)$
 $\equiv ef/2 - \sum_{h=1}^{e-1} (h, 0)h \pmod{e}$.

COROLLARY 1. If e is odd, ind $e \equiv \sum_{h=1}^{(e-1)/2} h[(e-h, 0) - (h, 0)]$ (mod e).

2. Hereafter, let e be an odd prime. Define the Jacobi sum

$$\pi(j,k) = \sum_{n=2}^{p-1} \chi_p^j(n) \, \chi_p^k(1-n) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-2} \chi_p^k(n) \, \chi_p^j(n+1), j, k, j+k
ot\equiv 0 \pmod{e}$$
.

It can be shown easily that

$$\pi(vk,\,k)=\sum\limits_{i=0}^{e-1}B(i,\,v)\,\zeta_{e}^{ki}$$
 ,

where

$$B(i, v) = \sum_{h=0}^{e-1} (h, i - vh)$$
.

Also, if v' is any solution of $vv' \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$,

(5)
$$B(i, v) = B(i, e - v - 1) = B(iv', v')$$
 [3, p. 97].

It will be demonstrated that for e an odd prime, ind $e \pmod{e}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of B(i, v), the rational integral coefficients of Jacobi sums. N. C. Ankeny gave a similar criterion, expressed in terms of the coefficients of the *e*th power Gaussian sum

$$au(\chi_p)^e = p \prod\limits_{k=1}^{e-2} \pi(1,k)$$
 ,

and a variation of this criterion was found by the author [2, pp. 103, 108].

258

 \mathbf{Set}

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{(e-1)/2} i \sum_{v=1}^{e-2} [B(e-i, v) - B(i, v)]$$

THEOREM 2. If e is an odd prime, then e ind $e \equiv S \pmod{e^2}$.

Proof. If

$$\begin{split} 1 &\leq i \leq e-1, \sum_{v=1}^{e-2} B(i,v) = \sum_{v=1}^{e-2} \sum_{h=0}^{e-1} (h, i-vh) \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{e-2} (0, i) + \sum_{h=1}^{e-1} \sum_{v=1}^{e-2} (h, i-vh) \\ &= (e-2) (0, i) + \sum_{h=1}^{e-1} \left[-(h, i) - (h, i+h) + \sum_{v=0}^{e-1} (h, i-vh) \right] \\ &= (e-2) (0, i) + \sum_{h=1}^{e-1} \left[-(h, i) - (e-h, i) + f \right], \quad \text{by (1) and (4)}, \\ &= (e-2) (0, i) - 2[f - (0, i)] + (e-1)f, \quad \text{by (3)}, \\ &= (i, 0)e + (e-3)f, \quad \text{by (2)}. \end{split}$$

Thus,

(6)
$$e[(e-i, 0) - (i, 0)] = \sum_{v=1}^{e^{-2}} [B(e-i, v) - B(i, v)].$$

Substituting (6) into Corollary 1 yields the theorem.

COROLLARY 2. If e is an odd prime, e is an eth power residue, modulo p, if and only if $S \equiv 0 \pmod{e^2}$.

C. E. Bickmore presented without proof criteria (attributed to L. Tanner) for e = 5 and e = 7 [1, pp. 29, 36]. These criteria, (7) and (8), follow from Theorem 2:

Hereafter, let $B(i, 1) = d_i$, $B(i, 2) = c_i$. If e = 5, B(i, 3) = B(i, 1), B(i, 2) = B(3i, 3) = B(3i, 1), by (5).

$$5 ext{ ind } 5 \equiv [2(d_4 - d_1) + (d_2 - d_3)] + 2[2(d_3 - d_2) + (d_4 - d_1)] \ \equiv 4(d_4 - d_1) + 3(d_3 - d_2) ext{ (mod } 25) .$$

Multiply the congruence by 6:

(7)
$$5 \text{ ind } 5 \equiv d_1 - d_4 + 7(d_2 - d_3) \pmod{25}$$
.

(Theorem 1 is a generalization of a proof of (7) which Emma Lehmer communicated to the author.)

If e = 7, B(i, 5) = B(i, 1), B(i, 3) = B(5i, 5) = B(5i, 1), B(i, 4) = B(i, 2), by (5). Also, B(1, 2) = B(2, 2) = B(4, 2), B(3, 2) = B(5, 2) = B(6, 2), by (1) and (2).

$$egin{array}{ll} 7 \mbox{ ind } 7 &\equiv \left[2(d_6-d_1)+2(c_6-c_1)+(d_2-d_5)
ight] \ &+ 2[2(d_5-d_2)+2(c_5-c_2)+(d_4-d_3)] \ &+ 3[2(d_4-d_3)+2(c_4-c_3)+(d_6-d_1)] \ &\equiv 5(d_6-d_1)+3(d_5-d_2)+8(d_4-d_3) \ ({
m mod } 49) \ . \end{array}$$

Multiply the congruence by 39:

(8)
$$28 \operatorname{ind} 7 \equiv d_1 - d_6 - 19(d_2 - d_5) - 18(d_3 - d_4) \pmod{49}$$
.

The author is grateful to the referee for his helpful suggestions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. C. E. Bickmore, On the Numerical Factors of $a^n - 1$ (Second Notice), The Messenger of Mathematics, **26** (1896), 1-38.

2. J. B. Muskat, On Certain Prime Power Congruences, Abhandlungen aus dem mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg, **26** (1963), 102-110.

3. A. L. Whiteman, *The Cyclotomic Numbers of Order Ten*, Proceedings of the Symposia in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 10, 95-111, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1960.

UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH

260