## A NOTE ON MULTIPLE EXPONENTIAL SUMS

## L. Carlitz

Put

$$
S(c)=\sum_{x, y=1}^{p-1} e\left(x+y+c x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right)
$$

Where $e(x)=e^{2 \pi i / p}$ and $x x^{\prime} \equiv y y^{\prime} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, Mordell has conjectured that $S(c)=O(p)$. The writer shows first, by an elementary argument that $S(c)=O\left(p^{3 / 2}\right)$. Next he proves, using a theorem of Lang and Weil that $S(c)=O\left(p^{11 / 8}\right)$. Finally he proves that $S(c)=O\left(p^{5 / 4}\right)$; the proof makes use of the estimate

$$
\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \psi(f(x))=O\left(p^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

where $\phi(a)$ is the Legendre symbol and $f(x)$ is a polynomial of the fourth degree.

If we put

$$
K(a, b)=\sum_{x=1}^{p-1} e\left(a x+b x^{\prime}\right),
$$

where $a b \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, it is known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|K(a, b)| \leqq 2 p^{1 / 2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For proof of (2) see [1], [4].
Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =\sum_{x=1}^{p-1} e(a x) \sum_{y=1}^{p-1} e\left(b y+c x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{x=1}^{p-1} e(a x) K\left(b, c x^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
|S| \leqq \sum_{x=1}^{p-1}\left|K\left(b, c x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq 2(p-1) p^{1 / 2}
$$

by (2). Thus, assuming (2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=O\left(p^{3 / 2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

However it is not difficult to prove (3) directly without making use of (2). Put
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$$
\begin{equation*}
S(c)=\sum_{x, y=1}^{p-1} e\left(x+y+c x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is evidently no loss in generality in taking $a=b=1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{c=0}^{p-1}|S(c)|^{2} & =\sum_{c=0}^{p-1} \sum_{x, y=1}^{p-1} \sum_{u, v=1}^{p=1} e\left\{x+y-u v+c\left(x^{\prime} y^{\prime}-u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& =p_{x y \equiv u v(\bmod p)} e(x+y-u-v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x y=u v(\bmod p)} e(x+y-u-v)=\sum_{x, y, u=1}^{p-1} e\left(x+y-u-x y u^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{y, u=1}^{p-1} e(y-u) \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} e\left\{x\left(1-y u^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad=-\sum_{y, u=1}^{p-1} \mathrm{e}(y-u)+\sum_{y, u=1}^{p-1} e(y-u) \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} e\left\{x\left(1-y u^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad=-1+p \sum_{y=1}^{p-1} 1=p^{2}-p-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\sum_{c=0}^{p-1}|S(c)|^{2}=p^{3}-p^{2}-p .
$$

It follows at once from (5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S(c)|<p^{3 / 2}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we have proved (3).
2. Generalizing (4) we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(c)=\sum_{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}=1}^{p-1} e\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}+c x_{1}^{\prime} \cdots x_{n}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(c)=O\left(p^{1 / 2(n+1)}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exactly as above we have
(9) $\quad \sum_{c}\left|S_{n}(c)\right|^{2}=p \sum_{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}} \sum_{y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}} e\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}-y_{1}-\cdots-y_{n}\right)$,
where the summation is over all $x_{j}, y_{j}$ such that

$$
x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n} \equiv y_{1} y_{2} \cdots y_{n}, \quad x_{j} \not \equiv 0, \quad y_{j} \equiv \equiv 0(\bmod p) .
$$

Let $T_{n}$ denote the sum on the right of (9). Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n} & =\sum e\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}-y_{1}-\cdots-y_{n-1}-x_{1} \cdots x_{n} y_{1}^{\prime} \cdots y_{n-1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1} \\
y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n-1}}} e\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n-1}-y_{1}-\cdots-y_{n-1}\right) \\
& \quad \cdot \sum_{x} e\left[\left(1-x_{1} \cdots x_{n-1} y_{1}^{\prime} \cdots y_{n-1}^{\prime}\right) x\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The inner sum is equal to

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
p-1 & \left(x_{1} \cdots x_{n-1} \equiv y_{1} \cdots y_{n-1}\right) \\
-1 & \left(x_{1} \cdots x_{n-1} \not \equiv y_{1} \cdots y_{n-1}\right),
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

so that

$$
T_{n}=p T_{n-1}-\sum_{\substack{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}-1 \\ y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}-1}} e\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n-1}-y_{1}-\cdots-y_{n-1}\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=p T_{n-1}-1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
T_{1}=\sum_{x=y} e(x-y)=p-1, \quad T_{2}=p(p-1)-1=p^{2}-p-1
$$

and generally

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=p^{n}-p^{n-1}-\cdots-1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (9) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{c}\left|S_{n}(c)\right|^{2}=p^{n+1}-p^{n}-\cdots-p \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (8) follows at once.
It follows from (12) that

$$
S_{n}(c)=o\left(p^{n / 2}\right)
$$

cannot hold for all $c$.
3. Returning to (4) we shall now show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(c)=O\left(p^{11 / 8}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to put

$$
S(a, b, c)=\sum_{x, y} e\left(a x+b y+c x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{c=0}^{p-1}|S(a, b, c)|^{4}=p^{3} N, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ denotes the number of solutions of the system

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
x_{1}+x_{2} & \equiv x_{3}+x_{4} \\
y_{1}+y_{2} & \equiv y_{3}+y_{4} \\
x_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime} & \equiv x_{3}^{\prime} y_{3}^{\prime}+x_{4}^{\prime} y_{4}^{\prime} \\
x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4} & \equiv \equiv 0 .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Eliminating $x_{4}, y_{4}$ it follows that $N$ is the number of solutions of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}\right) x_{3} y_{3}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}\right)\left(y_{1}+y_{2}-y_{3}\right)  \tag{15}\\
& \quad \equiv x_{1} y_{1} x_{2} y_{2}\left[\left(x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}\right)\left(y_{1}+y_{2}-y_{3}\right)+x_{3} y_{3}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}\right)\left(y_{1}+y_{2}-y_{3}\right) \not \equiv 0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by a theorem of Lang and Weil [2] we have

$$
N=p^{5}+O\left(p^{5-1 / 2}\right),
$$

so that (14) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{c=0}^{p-1}|S(a, b, c)|^{4}=p^{8}+O\left(p^{15 / 2}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{c=0}^{p-1}|S(a, b, c)|^{4}=|S(0,0,0)|^{4}+3 \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1}|S(a, b, 0)|^{4} \\
& \quad+3 \sum_{a=1}^{p-1}|S(a, 0,0)|^{4}+\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} \sum_{c=1}^{p-1}|S(a, b, c)|^{4} \\
& \quad=(p-1)^{8}+(p-1)^{2}+3(p-1)^{5}+(p-1)^{2} \sum_{c=1}^{p-1}|S(c)|^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that (17) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{c=1}^{p-1}|S(c)|^{4}=O\left(p^{11 / 2}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly (18) implies (13).
4. If an exact formula for

$$
\sum_{c=0}^{p-1}|S(c)|^{4}
$$

were available we should presumably be able to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(c)=O\left(p^{5 / 4}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this connection it may be of interest to remark that the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{c=0}^{p-1} S^{3}(c) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be evaluated. Indeed if we put

$$
S(a, b, c)=\sum_{x, y} e\left(a x+b y+c x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right)
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{c=0}^{p-1}(S(a, b, c))^{3}=p^{3} N \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ denotes the number of solutions of the system

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} & \equiv 0  \tag{22}\\
y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3} & \equiv 0 \\
x_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}^{\prime} y_{3}^{\prime} & \equiv 0 \\
x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} & \equiv 0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Eliminating $x_{3}, y_{3}$, we find that (22) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) y_{1}^{2}+\left(x_{1}^{2}+3 x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right) y_{1} y_{2}+x_{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) y_{2}^{2} \equiv 0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right) \not \equiv 0 . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may replace (23) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}\right]\left[x_{1} y_{1}+\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) y_{2}\right]=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x_{1} x_{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) y_{1} \not \equiv 0$, it is clear from (25) that $y_{2} \not \equiv 0$ and $y_{1}-y_{2} \not \equiv 0$. The two factors in (25) may vanish simultaneously. This will happen when

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{2}+x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{2} \equiv 0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is when -3 is a quadratic residue of $p$; moreover if $x_{1}, x_{2}$ satisfy (26) with $x_{1} x_{2} \not \equiv 0$ then $x_{1}+x_{2} \not \equiv 0$. Thus the number of solutions of (26) is equal to

$$
\left\{1+\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)\right\} \frac{p-1}{2}
$$

If -3 is a nonresidue we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=2(p-1)^{2}(p-2) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

while, if -3 is a residue,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=2(p-1)^{2}(p-2)-(p-1)^{2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p=3$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=4 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for it is evident from (22) that $x_{1} \equiv x_{2} \equiv x_{3}, y_{1} \equiv y_{2} \equiv y_{3}$.
Combining (27) and (28) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=2(p-1)^{2}(p-2)-\left\{1+\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)\right\} \frac{(p-1)^{2}}{2} \quad(p>3) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(0,0,0)=(p-1)^{2} S(a, 0,0) & =-(p-1) & (a \not \equiv 0), \\
S(a, b, 0) & =1 & (a b \not \equiv 0),
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{c=0}^{p-1}(S(a, b, c))^{3}=(p-1)^{6}-3(p-1)^{4}+3(p-1)^{2} \\
\quad+\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} \sum_{c=1}^{p-1}(S(a, b, c))^{3} \\
=(p-1)^{6}-3(p-1)^{4}+3(p-1)^{2}+(p-1)^{2} \sum_{c=1}^{p-1}(S(c))^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, using (21) and (30), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{c=1}^{p-1}(S(c))^{3} & =2 p^{3}(p-2)-(p-1)^{4}  \tag{31}\\
& +3(p-1)^{2}-3-\frac{1}{2}\left\{1+\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

5. We shall now show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(c)=O\left(p^{5 / 4}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the notation of $\S 3$ we have, as above,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{c=0}^{p-1}|S(a, b, c)|^{4}=p^{3} N \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the number of solutions of the system

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) x_{3} x_{4} & \equiv x_{1} x_{2}\left(x_{3}+x_{4}\right)  \tag{34}\\
\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right) y_{3} y_{4} & \equiv y_{1} y_{2}\left(y_{3}+y_{4}\right) \\
x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2} & \equiv x_{3} y_{3}+x_{4} y_{4} \\
x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4} & \not \equiv 0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Note that we have replaced each $x_{j}, y_{j}$ by its reciprocal $(\bmod p)$.
If we put

$$
x_{3}=x_{1} u_{1}, \quad x_{4}=x_{2} u_{2}, \quad y_{3}=y_{1} v_{1}, \quad y_{4}=y_{2} v_{2},
$$

(34) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) u_{1} u_{2} & \equiv x_{1} u_{1}+x_{2} u_{2}  \tag{35}\\
\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right) v_{1} v_{2} & \equiv y_{1} v_{1}+y_{2} v_{2} \\
x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2} & \equiv x_{1} y_{1} u_{1} v_{1}+x_{2} y_{2} u_{2} v_{2} \\
x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2} u_{1} u_{2} v_{1} v_{2} & \not \equiv 0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Now put $x_{2}=x_{1} x, y_{2}=y_{1} y$ and (35) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
(1+x) u_{1} u_{2} & \equiv u_{1}+x u_{2}  \tag{36}\\
(1+y) v_{1} v_{2} & \equiv v_{1}+y v_{2} \\
1+x y & \equiv u_{1} v_{1}+x y u_{2} v_{2} \\
x y x_{1} y_{1} u_{1} v_{1} u_{2} v_{2} & \equiv 0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Finally, eliminating $x, y$ we get the single equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(1-u_{1}\right)\left(1-v_{1}\right)\left(1-u_{1} v_{1}\right)}{u_{1} v_{1}}+\frac{\left(1-u_{2}\right)\left(1-v_{2}\right)\left(1-u_{2} v_{2}\right)}{u_{2} v_{2}} \equiv 0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} y_{1} u_{1} v_{1} u_{2} v_{2} \not \equiv 0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that for fixed $u_{1}, v_{1}, u_{2}, v_{2}$ satisfying (37), $x, y$ are uniquely determined by (36) unless $u_{1} \equiv u_{2} \equiv v_{1} \equiv v_{2} \equiv 1$; also we find that the forbidden cases $x y \equiv 0$ or $x y$ "infinite" contribute $O\left(p^{2}\right)$.

Let $N^{\prime}(k)$ denote the number of solutions $u, v \not \equiv 0$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-u)(1-v)(1-u v) \equiv k u v \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $N(k)$ denote the total number of solutions of (39), so that

$$
N(k)=N^{\prime}(k)+O(1) .
$$

Then clearly the number of nonzero solutions of (37) is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} N(k) N(-k)+O\left(p^{2}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\psi(\alpha)$ denote the Legendre symbol $(a / p)$. Then for fixed $u$ and $k$, the number of solutions of (39) is equal to

$$
1+\psi\left\{\left(1+k u-u^{2}\right)^{2}-4 u(1-u)^{2}\right\}
$$

so that

$$
N(k)=p+\sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \psi(f(k, u)),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(k, u)=\left(1+k u-u^{2}\right)^{2}-4 u(1-u)^{2} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (40) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
p^{3} & +2 p \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \psi(f(k, u))  \tag{42}\\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=0}^{p-1} \psi(f(k, u)) \psi(f(-k, v))+O\left(p^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f(k, u)$ is a quadratic in $k$ we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \psi(f(k, u))=-1
$$

unless $u(1-u) \equiv 0$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \psi(f(k, u))=O\left(p^{2}\right) . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider next the sum

$$
\sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \psi(f(k, u)) .
$$

It is easily seen from (41) that for fixed $k, f(k, u)$ is the square of a polynomial in $u$ only when $k \equiv 0$. We therefore have the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \psi(f(k, u))=O\left(p^{1 / 2}\right), \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=0}^{p-1} \psi(f(k, u)) \psi(f(-k, u))=O\left(p^{2}\right) . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting from (43) and (45) in (42) we see that the number of nonzero solutions (37) is

$$
p^{3}+O\left(p^{2}\right) .
$$

Therefore $N$, the number of solutions of (34) is

$$
p^{5}+O\left(p^{4}\right)
$$

and (33) becomes

$$
\sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{c=0}^{p-1}|S(a, b, c)|^{4}=p^{8}+O\left(p^{7}\right) ;
$$

since $S(0,0,0)=p^{2}$,

$$
S(a, b, c)=S(1,1, a b c) \quad(a b c \not \equiv 0)
$$

and there are $(p-1)^{2}$ terms $S(a, b, c)$ in the sum that give the same $S(1,1, c)$, (32) now follows immediately.

Note that, except for (44), the proof is elementary.
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