# ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN BOUNDED CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS 

J.-P. Kahane

We give an elementary method for constructing continuous functions fulfilling the hypothesis of Theorem 1 of the preceding paper. Such functions thus constitute counterexamples to the proposition and theorem discussed therein.

Theorem. Let $\varphi(x)$ be continuously differentiable on $[0, \infty)$, and suppose
(i) $\varphi(0)=0$
(ii) $\varphi^{\prime}(x)$ is nonnegative, and strictly increasing to $\infty$ on $[0, \infty)$
(iii) $\varphi^{\prime}(x) / \varphi(x) \rightarrow \infty, x \rightarrow \infty$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} 2^{-m} \exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i}{2^{m}} x\right), \quad x<0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the bounded continuous function $f(x)$ has properties 1, 2, and 3 of Theorem 1 in the previous paper.

Proof. That $0 \in s p f$ follows from (1) as in $\S 2$ of the previous paper.

To establish property 3 , let us show that

$$
\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} f(x+a) d x \rightarrow 0
$$

uniformly in $a$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. If $I$ is any interval of length $T$, denote by $A$ the part of $I$ lying to the left of 0 , and by $B$ that part lying to the right. We have, by (1),

$$
\frac{1}{T} \int_{A} f(x) d x=\frac{|A|}{T}\left\{\frac{1}{|A|} \int_{A} \sum_{1}^{\infty} 2^{-m} \exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i}{2^{m}} x\right) d x\right\} .
$$

The quantity in brackets is always in absolute value $\leqq 1$, and tends to zero independently of the position of $A$ as $|A| \rightarrow \infty$ (this fact belongs to the rudiments of the theory of almost periodic functions, and can here be verified by direct calculation). Since $|A| \leqq T$, we have
(3) $\frac{1}{T} \int_{A} f(x) d x \rightarrow 0$ independently of the position of $I$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.
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The integral $\int_{B} f(x) d x$ is bounded for all intervals $B$ of the form $[0, b]$. Indeed, if $b>1$,

$$
\int_{0}^{b} f(x) d x=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x+\int_{1}^{b} f(x) d x
$$

Since $\varphi^{\prime}(x) \geqq 0$ we can, by (2), make the substitution $\varphi(x)=\xi$ in the second integral on the right, getting for it the value

$$
\int_{1}^{b} e^{i \varphi(x)} d x=\int_{\varphi(1)}^{\varphi(b)} e^{i \xi} \frac{d \xi}{\varphi^{\prime}(x)}
$$

In view of (ii), this last is in absolute value $\leqq 4 / \varphi^{\prime}(1)$ by the second mean value theorem. It follows that $\int_{B} f(x) d x$ is bounded for all intervals $B$ lying to the right of the origin, whence
(4) $\frac{1}{T} \int_{B} f(x) d x \rightarrow 0$ independently of the position of $I$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

From (3) and (4) we see that $1 / T \int_{I} f(x) d x$ is small in absolute value for all intervals $I$ of length $T$, if only $T$ is sufficiently large, which is property 3.

It remains to verify property 2 . We show that if $0<X_{1}<\cdots<X_{M}$ and the $A_{k}$ are complex numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x>0}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{M} A_{k} e^{i \varphi\left(x+X_{k}\right)}\right|=\sum_{1}^{M}\left|A_{k}\right| . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

So as not to lose the reader in details, we do this for the case $M=2$; it will be clear how to extend the reasoning to any value of $M$.

Let $\varepsilon$ be given, $0<\varepsilon<\pi / 2$, and, choosing a positive determination of the argument, put, for $k=1,2,3, \cdots$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{k}=\varphi^{-1}\left(2 \pi k+\arg \frac{1}{A_{1}}-\varepsilon\right)-X_{1}  \tag{6}\\
& b_{k}=\varphi^{-1}\left(2 \pi k+\arg \frac{1}{A_{1}}+\varepsilon\right)-X_{1} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly $\alpha_{k}<b_{k}<a_{k+1}, a_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and by (ii),

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k}-a_{k} \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}\left(A_{1} e^{i \varphi\left(x+x_{1}\right)}\right) \geqq\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)\left|A_{1}\right| \quad \text { for } \quad a_{k} \leqq x \leqq b_{k c} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

I claim that $\varphi\left(b_{k}+X_{2}\right)-\varphi\left(a_{k}+X_{2}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. If $c>0$, by (ii):

$$
\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(x+c)}{\varphi^{\prime}(x)} \geqq c \frac{\varphi^{\prime}(x+c)}{\varphi(x+c)-\varphi(x)} \geqq c \frac{\varphi^{\prime}(x+c)}{\varphi(x+c)},
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(x+c)}{\varphi^{\prime}(x)} \rightarrow \infty, x \rightarrow \infty \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in view of (iii). Since $X_{2}>X_{1}$, there is, by (8), a $c>0$ such that, for all sufficiently large $k, c+b_{k}+X_{1} \leqq a_{k}+X_{2}$. We thus have, from (6), (7), (ii), and (10):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(b_{k}+X_{2}\right)-\varphi\left(a_{k}+X_{2}\right) & =2 \varepsilon \frac{\varphi\left(b_{k}+X_{2}\right)-\varphi\left(a_{k}+X_{2}\right)}{\varphi\left(b_{k}+X_{1}\right)-\varphi\left(a_{k}+X_{1}\right)} \\
& \geqq 2 \varepsilon \frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(b_{k}+X_{1}+c\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(b_{k}+X_{1}\right)} \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, since $b_{k} \rightarrow \infty, k \rightarrow \infty$. This is the desired result which implies, in particular, the existence, for all sufficiently large $k$, of an $x_{k} \in\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right]$ such that

$$
\varphi\left(x_{k}+X_{2}\right) \equiv \arg \frac{1}{A_{2}} \quad(\bmod 2 \pi)
$$

For such $x_{k}$ we have $A_{2} e^{i \varphi\left(x_{k}+x_{2}\right)}=\left|A_{2}\right|$ which, together with (9), yields (5) for the case $M=2$, since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary.

Remark. Suppose $\varphi(x)$ is even, and fulfills condition (i), (ii), and (iii) of the theorem. Besides this, let it be twice continuously differentiable, and be such that $\varphi^{\prime \prime}(x) \geqq C>0$ (example: $\varphi(x)=e^{x^{2}}$ ). Then, if $f(x)=e^{i \varphi(x)}, e^{i \lambda x}$ is not, for any $\lambda \in \operatorname{sp} f$, in the weak closure of any bounded subset of $V_{f}$ (notation as in the preceding paper). (This observation is due to P. Koosis.)

Indeed, the function $f(x)$ clearly has property 2 , according to the above work. A glance at the proof of Theorem 1 in the preceding paper now shows that the desired result will certainly follow if we establish, for all real $\lambda$, that

$$
\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} f(x+X) e^{-i \lambda x} d x \rightarrow 0 \text { uniformly in } X \text { as } T \rightarrow \infty . \text { But by a }
$$ lemma of Van der Corput ([1], vol I, p. 197),

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{T} e^{\imath[\varphi(x+X)-\lambda x]} d x\right| \leqq 12 \cdot\left\{\inf _{0 \leqq x \leq T} \phi^{\prime \prime}(x+X)\right\}^{-1 / 2} \leqq 12 C^{-1 / 2}
$$

for all $T$, which implies the desired statement.
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