# STABILITY OF LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH PERIODIC COEFFICIENTS IN HILBERT SPACE 

Gert Almkvist
In this paper we study the stability of the solutions of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=A(t) \cdot u(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geqq 0$ in a separable Hilbert space. It is assumed that $A(t)$ is periodic with period one and satisfies the following symmetry condition: There exists a continuous constant invertible operator $Q$ such that

$$
A(t)^{*}=-Q \cdot A(t) \cdot Q^{-1} \quad \text { for all } t \geqq 0
$$

We use a perturbation technique. Let $A(t)=A_{0}(t)+B(t)$ where $A_{0}(t)$ is compact and antihermitian for all $t$. We denote by $U_{0}(t)$ the solution operator of $u^{\prime}(t)=A_{0}(t) u(t)$. It is shown that (1) is stable if $B(t)$ satisfies a certain smallness condition involving the distribution of the eigenvalues of $U_{0}(1)$ and the action of $B(t)$ on the eigenvectors of $U_{0}(1)$. The results can be applied to the second order equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+C(t) y=0
$$

where $C(t)$ is selfadjoint for all $t$.
Throughout this paper we consider the differential equation (1) where $u$ is a function from the positive reals, $\mathbf{R}^{+}$, into a separable Hilbert space $X$ with norm $\|x\|=(x, x)^{1 / 2} . \quad A$ is a function from $\mathbf{R}^{+}$ into $B(X)$, the algebra of continuous linear operators on $X$. We assume that $A(t)$ is Bochner integrable on every finite subinterval of $\mathbf{R}^{+}$. Then for a given initial value $u(0)$, there exists a unique solution of (1) (see [4, p. 521]).

Further we always assume that $A(t)$ is periodic. It is no restriction to assume that the period is one, that is $A(t+1)=A(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}^{+}$.

The equation (1) is said to be stable if for every initial value $u(0)$, there exists a constant $M$, such that $\|u(t)\| \leqq M$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}^{+}$. It is convenient to study the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(t)^{\prime}=A(t) U(t), \quad U(0)=I \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $B(X)$. Using the principle of uniform boundedness it is easily seen that (1) is stable if and only if the solution of (2) is bounded.

[^0]Let

$$
\Phi(A)=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow+0} \alpha^{-1}(\|I+\alpha A\|-1)
$$

denote the Gateau differential of $A$. When $X$ is a Hilbert space $\Phi(A)$ can be calculated by the formula $\Phi(A)=\sup _{\|x\|=1} R e(A x, x)$

Proposition 1. If $\int_{0}^{1} \Phi(A(t)) d t \leqq 0$, then (1) is stable.
Proof. Let $n$ be the greatest integer $\leqq t$. Then using [1, Th. 4] we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|U(t)\| \leqq \exp \int_{0}^{t} \Phi(A(s)) d s \leqq \exp \left(n \int_{0}^{1} \phi(A(s)) d s\right) \cdot \exp \int_{0}^{t-n} \phi(A(s)) d s \\
\leqq \exp \int_{0}^{1}|\Phi(A(s))| d s
\end{gathered}
$$

which ends the proof.
From now on we assume that $A(t)$ satisfies the following symmetry condition:

There exists a constant continuous operator $Q$ such that $Q^{-1}$ is continuous and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(t)^{*}=-Q A(t) Q^{-1} \quad \text { for all } t \geqq 0 \tag{S}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $A^{*}$ denotes the adjoint of $A$.
Proposition 2. Condition (S) is equivalent to

$$
U(t)^{*}=Q U(t)^{-1} Q^{-1} \quad \text { for all } t \geqq 0
$$

Proof. We have $U^{*}(0) Q U(0)=Q$ because $U(0)=I$. But

$$
\frac{d}{d t}(U(t) * Q U(t))=U(t)^{*} A^{*}(t) Q U(t)+U(t)^{*} Q A(t) U(t)=0
$$

if and only if

$$
A^{*}(t) Q+Q A(t)=0
$$

Let $\sigma(U)$ be the spectrum of $U$. From Proposition 2 it follows that $\sigma\left(U^{*}(t)\right)=\sigma\left(Q U^{-1}(t) Q^{-1}\right)=\sigma\left(U^{-1}(t)\right.$ that is $\lambda \in \sigma(U(t))$ implies $\bar{\lambda}^{-1} \in \sigma(U(t))$.

Proposition 3. If $Q$ is positive definite, then (1) is stable.
Proof. $Q$ has a positive definite square root $S$, that is $Q=S^{2}$. Moreover $S^{-1}$ exists and is continuous. From Proposition 2 we get

$$
U^{*}=S^{2} U^{-1} S^{-2}
$$

and after some calculations $\left(S U S^{-1}\right)^{*}=\left(S U S^{-1}\right)^{-1}$, that is $S U S^{-1}$ is unitary and hence $\|U(t)\| \leqq\|S\| \cdot\left\|S^{-1}\right\|$ for all $t \geqq 0$.

The uniqueness of the solution of (2) implies that

$$
U(n+t)=U(t) U(1)^{n} \quad \text { for } n=1,2, \cdots
$$

Hence (1) is stable if and only if there exists a constant $M$ such that

$$
\left\|U(1)^{n}\right\| \leqq M \quad \text { for } n=1,2, \cdots
$$

Since $\left\|U(1)^{n}\right\| \geqq(\nu(U(1)))^{n}$, where $\nu$ is the spectral radius, it follows that $\sigma(U(1)) \subset\{\lambda ;|\lambda| \leqq 1\}$ is necessary for the stability of (1). When $(\mathrm{S})$ is satisfied $\sigma(U(1)$ is symmetric about the unit circle and hence $\sigma(U(1)) \subset\{\lambda ;|\lambda|=1\}$ is necessary.

Now we study the stability of (1) with a perturbation method, due to G. Borg [3] in the finite dimensional case. In order to state the next theorem we introduce some notations. Let the equation be

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=\left(A_{0}(t)+B(t)\right) u(t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that
( a) $A_{0}(t)$ and $B(t)$ are periodic with period one.
(b) $A_{0}(t)$ is compact and antihermitian $\left(A_{0}(t)^{*}=-A_{0}(t)\right)$ for all $t$.

Let further $U_{0}(t)$ be the unique solution of $U_{0}^{\prime}(t)=A_{0}(t) U_{0}(t)$, $U_{0}(0)=I$. Suppose that
(c) $U_{0}(1)$ has only simple eigenvalues, $\lambda_{n}$, all $\neq 1$.
(d) $A_{0}(t)+B(t)$ satisfies condition (S).

Let further $e_{n}$ be the eigenvector with norm one of $U_{0}(1)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}$. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{n}^{2} & =\int_{0}^{1}\left\|B(t) U_{0}(t) e_{n}\right\|^{2} d t \\
K & =\int_{0}^{1} \exp \left[2 \int_{t}^{1} \Phi(B(s)) d s\right] d t \\
r_{n} & =2^{-1} \inf _{k \neq n}\left|\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{k}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem. If (a), (b), (c), (d) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \cdot \sup _{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2}\left(\left|\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{n}\right|-r_{k}\right)^{-2}<1 \tag{e}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2} r_{n}^{-2}<\infty \tag{f}
\end{equation*}
$$

are satisfied, then (3) is stable.
Remark 1. The theorem is true if $K$ and $b_{n}$ are replaced by

$$
K^{\prime}=\exp \left\{2 \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_{t}^{1} \Phi(B(s)) d s\right\}, \quad b_{n}^{\prime}=\int_{0}^{1}\left\|B(t) U_{0}(t) e_{n}\right\| d t
$$

It is easily seen that $K \leqq K^{\prime}$ but $b_{n}^{\prime} \leqq b_{n}$.

Remark 2. If $X$ is finite dimensional, then condition (f) is automatically fulfilled.

Remark 3. $K \cdot \sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2} r_{n}^{-2}<1$ implies both (e) and (f).
Proof of the theorem. The rather lengthy proof is divided in eight parts.
(i) $U_{0}(t)$ is unitary for all $t$.

A calculation shows that $U_{0}(t)^{-1}=V(t)^{*}$ where $V$ is the unique solution of $V^{\prime}=-A_{0}^{*}(t) V, \quad V(0)=I$. But since $-A_{0}^{*}=A_{0}$ it follows that $U_{0}(t)^{-1}=U_{0}(t)^{*}$.
(ii) $U_{0}(1)-I$ is compact.

We have $U_{0}(1)-I=\int_{0}^{1} A_{0}(t) U_{0}(t) d t$. The integral is compact because it is the limit of compact operators of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{0}\left(t_{i}\right) U_{0}\left(t_{i}\right) \Delta t_{i}$.

From (i) and (ii) we conclude that $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal set and indeed a basis because $U_{0}(1)-I$ is compact and 1 is not an eigenvalue of $U_{0}(1)$. Further $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}=1$. Since $U_{0}(t)$ is unitary

$$
\left\|U_{0}(t)\right\|=\left\|U_{0}(t)^{-1}\right\|=1 \quad \text { for all } t \text { and } \quad\left|\lambda_{n}\right|=1
$$

Put $W(t)=U(t)-U_{0}(t)$. Further it is convenient to write $U(1)=U, \quad U_{0}(1)=U_{0}$ and $W(1)=W$. Let $C_{k}$ be the circumference of a circle with center $\lambda_{k}$ and radius $r_{k}$.
(iii) $R_{\lambda}=(\lambda I-U)^{-1}$ exists if $\lambda \in \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} C_{k}$.

Put $R_{\lambda}^{0}=\left(\lambda I-U_{0}\right)^{-1}$. For a $\lambda$ such that $R_{\lambda}^{0}$ and $\left(I-W R_{\lambda}^{0}\right)^{-1}$
exist, we have

$$
R_{\lambda}=R_{\lambda}^{0}\left(I-W R_{\lambda}^{0}\right)^{-1}
$$

It is clear that $R_{\lambda}^{0}$ exists whenever $\lambda \in \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} C_{k}$ and if $\left\|W R_{\lambda}^{0}\right\|<1$ it follows that $R_{\lambda}$ exists. Since $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis it follows that

$$
\left\|W R_{\lambda}^{0}\right\|^{2} \leqq \sum_{1}^{\infty}\left\|W R_{\lambda}^{0} e_{n}\right\|^{2}
$$

But

$$
\left\|W R_{\lambda}^{0} e_{n}\right\|=\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right|^{-1} \cdot\left\|W e_{n}\right\|
$$

since

$$
R_{\lambda}^{0} e_{n}=\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right)^{-1} e_{n}
$$

One verifies that $W(t)$ satisfies the equation

$$
W^{\prime}(t)=\left(A_{0}(t)+B(t)\right) W(t)+B(t) U_{0}(t)
$$

which has the solution

$$
W=W(1)=\int_{0}^{1} U(1) U(s)^{-1} B(s) U_{0}(s) d s
$$

Then we get

$$
\left\|W e_{n}\right\| \leqq \int_{0}^{1}\left\|U(1) U(s)^{-1}\right\| \cdot\left\|B(s) U_{0}(s) e_{n}\right\| d s
$$

From Theorem 4 in [1] we find

$$
\left\|U(1) U(s)^{-1}\right\| \leqq \exp \int_{s}^{1} \Phi\left(A_{0}(t)+B(t)\right) d t
$$

But $\Phi\left(A_{0}(t)+B(t)\right)=\Phi(B(t))$ since $A_{0}(t)$ is antihermitian. We finally get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|W e_{n}\right\|^{2} & \leqq\left\{\int_{0}^{1} \exp \left[\int_{s}^{1} \Phi(B(t)) d t\right]\left\|B(s) U_{0}(s) e_{n}\right\| d s\right\}^{2} \\
& \leqq \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left(2 \int_{s}^{1} \Phi(B(t)) d t\right) d s \cdot \int_{0}^{1}\left\|B(s) U_{0}(s) e_{n}\right\|^{2} d s=K \cdot b_{n}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

From condition (e) we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left\|W R_{\lambda}^{0} e_{n}\right\|^{2} & \leqq K \cdot \sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2}\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right|^{-2} \\
& \leqq K \cdot \sup _{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2}\left(\left|\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{n}\right|-r_{k}\right)^{-2}<1
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\left\|W R_{\lambda}^{0}\right\|<1$ for all $\lambda \in \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} C_{k}$. Thus we have shown that $R_{\lambda}$ exists if $\lambda \in \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} C_{k}$.
(iv) $U-I$ is compact.

From (iii) it follows that $\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left\|W e_{n}\right\|^{2} \leqq K \sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2}<\infty$ since (e) implies that $\sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2}<\infty$. Hence $W$ belongs to the Schmidt class, cf. [5], and is compact. Further $U-I=\left(U_{0}-I\right)+W$ is compact since $U_{0}-I$ is compact (ii).

$$
\text { Put } D_{n}=\left\{\lambda ;\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right|<r_{n}\right\} .
$$

(v) U has exactly one eigenvalue, $\alpha_{n}$, in $D_{n}$ and $\alpha_{n}$ is simple.

Since $U-I$ is compact and $1 \notin D_{n}$ it follows that there is only a finite number of eigenvalues of $U$ in $D_{n}$.

Now it is convenient to introduce a parameter $\mu$ in the equation. Thus we study $U^{\prime}=\left(A_{0}(t)+\mu B(t)\right) U, U(0)=I$ where $0 \leqq \mu \leqq 1$. A simple calculation shows that $R_{\lambda}(\mu)$ is a continuous function of $\mu$. Hence the projection

$$
E_{n}(\mu)=(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{\sigma_{n}} R_{\lambda}(\mu) d \lambda
$$

is also continuous in $[0,1]$. Further we can find a partition

$$
0=\mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\cdots<\mu_{k}=1
$$

such that

$$
\left\|E_{n}\left(\mu_{\nu+1}\right)-E_{n}\left(\mu_{\nu}\right)\right\|<(2 M)^{-1} \quad \text { for } \nu=1,2, \cdots, k
$$

where $M=\max _{0 \leq \mu \leq 1}\left\|E_{n}(\mu)\right\|$. According to a well known lemma (see [6, p. 424]) it follows that $\operatorname{dim} E_{n}\left(\mu_{\nu+1}\right) X=\operatorname{dim} E_{n}\left(\mu_{\nu}\right) X$ if both sides are finite. This is the case here because $U(\mu)-I$ is compact for $0 \leqq \mu \leqq 1$ and $D_{n}$ contains only a finite number of eigenvalues. Now $\operatorname{dim} E_{n}(0) X=$ 1 and hence, $\operatorname{dim} E_{n}(1) X=1$ by induction. Thus there is exactly one point $\alpha_{n} \in \sigma(U)$ in $D_{n}$ and this $\alpha_{n}$ must be simple.
(vi) $\quad\left|\alpha_{n}\right|=1$.

Assume that $\left|\alpha_{n}\right|>1$. Then it follows that $\bar{\alpha}_{n}^{-1} \in D_{n}$. But due to (S) we find that $\bar{\alpha}_{n}^{-1} \in \sigma(U)$ and there will be two points belonging to $\sigma(U)$ in $D_{n}$. This is impossible.

Assume now that $\left|\alpha_{n}\right|<1$. If $\bar{\alpha}_{n}^{-1} \in D_{u}$ we can apply the same argument as above. If $\bar{\alpha}_{n}^{-1} \notin D_{n}$ it is easily seen that $\bar{\alpha}_{n}^{-1} \Subset \sigma(U)$. In
fact we show that if $\lambda \notin \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} D_{k}$ and $\lambda \neq 1$ it follows that $\lambda \notin \sigma(U)$. We need only consider $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|>1$. Let $D_{k}$ be the circle closest to $\lambda$. Then it is clear that $\left\{\lambda-\lambda_{n}\left|\geqq\left|\left|\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{k}\right|-r_{k}\right|\right.\right.$ for all $n$ and we get

$$
K \sum_{1}^{\infty}\left\|W R_{\lambda}^{0} e_{n}\right\|^{2} \leqq K \sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2}\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right|^{-2} \leqq K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2}\left(\left|\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{k}\right|-r_{k}\right)^{-2}<1
$$

due to (e). Hence $R_{\lambda}$ exists.
Now we have proved that $\sigma(U)$ consists of simple eigenvalues on the unit circle with limit point 1. In the finite dimensional case it follows immediately that (3) is stable (see Boman [2]). In the infinite dimensional case we have to use condition $(f)$.

Put $E_{n}(0)=E_{n}$ and $E_{n}(1)=F_{n}$. If $F_{n} e_{n} \neq 0$ we put $\varphi_{n}=F_{n} e_{n}$ and if $F_{n} e_{n}=0$ we choose $\varphi_{n}$ as an arbitrary eigenvector of $U$ corresponding to $\alpha_{n}$. We have $E_{n} e_{n}=e_{n}$ and $U \varphi_{n}=\alpha_{n} \varphi_{n}$.
(vii) $\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left\|\varphi_{n}-e_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty$,

$$
\left(F_{n}-E_{n}\right) e_{n}=(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{\sigma_{n}}\left(R_{\lambda}-R_{\lambda}^{0}\right) e_{n} d \lambda
$$

A calculation shows that

$$
R_{\lambda}-R_{\lambda}^{0}=R_{\lambda}^{0}\left(I-W R_{\lambda}^{0}\right)^{-1} W R_{\lambda}^{0}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(F_{n}-E_{n}\right) e_{n}\right\| & \leqq(2 \pi)^{-1} \int_{\sigma_{n}}\left\|R_{\lambda}^{0}\right\| \cdot\left\|\left(I-W R_{\lambda}^{0}\right)^{-1}\right\| \cdot\left\|W R_{\lambda}^{0} e_{n}\right\| \cdot|d \lambda| \\
& \leqq(2 \pi)^{-1} r_{n}^{-1} \sup _{\lambda \in \sigma_{n}}\left(1-\left\|W R_{\lambda}^{0}\right\|\right)^{-1} \cdot K^{1 / 2} b_{n} r_{n}^{-1} 2 \pi r_{n} \\
& =\mathrm{const} \cdot b_{n} r_{n}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we used the fact that $\left\|R_{\lambda}^{0}\right\|=r_{n}^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in c_{n}$. Then

$$
\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left\|\left(F_{n}-E_{n}\right) e_{n}\right\|^{2} \leqq \text { const. } \sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2} r_{n}^{-2}<\infty \quad \text { due to (f) }
$$

It follows that $F_{n} e_{n}=0$ only for a finite number of $n$ and hence

$$
\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left\|\varphi_{n}-e_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty
$$

We define a linear operator $P$ by the relation $P x=\sum_{1}^{\infty} c_{\nu} \varphi_{\nu}$ where $x=\sum_{1}^{\infty} c_{\nu} e_{\nu}$ and $\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left|c_{\nu}\right|^{2}<\infty$. We recall that an operator $T$ is called injective if $T x=0$ implies $x=0$.
(viii) $\quad I-P$ is compact and $P$ is injective. Hence $P^{-1}$ is continuous.

$$
\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left\|(I-P) e_{n}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left\|e_{n}-\varphi_{n}\right\|^{2}<\infty \quad \text { due to (vii) }
$$

Thus $I-P$ belongs to the Schmidt class and is compact (see [5]). Assume now that $P x=\sum_{1}^{\infty} c_{\nu} \varphi_{\nu}=0$. We apply the projection $F_{k}$ and get

$$
F_{k} \sum_{1}^{\infty} c_{\nu} \varphi_{\nu}=c_{k} F_{k} \varphi_{k}=c_{k} \varphi_{k}=0
$$

and $c_{k}=0$ for every $k$. Hence $x=0$ and $P$ is injective.
Now we end the proof of the theorem. We have to estimate $\left\|U^{n} x\right\|$ for an arbitrary $x \in X$. Put $y=P^{-1} x$ and assume that $y=$ $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{\nu} e_{\nu}$. We get $x=P y=\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{\nu} \varphi_{\nu}$ and

$$
U^{n} x=U^{n} P y=\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{\nu} U^{n} \varphi_{\nu}=\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{\nu} \alpha_{\nu}^{n} \varphi_{\nu}=P \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{\nu} \alpha_{\nu}^{n} e_{\nu} .
$$

Further

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|U^{n} x\right\| & \leqq\|P\| \cdot\left\{\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left|a_{\nu} \alpha_{\nu}^{n}\right|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}=\|P\| \cdot\left\{\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left|a_{\nu}\right|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& =\|P\| \cdot\|y\| \leqq\|P\| \cdot\left\|P^{-1}\right\| \cdot\|x\|
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\left\|U^{n}\right\| \leqq\|P\|\left\|P^{-1}\right\|$ for every $n$ and the proof is finished.

Remark 4. If $C=\left(K \cdot \sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2} r_{n}^{-2}\right)^{1 / 2}<2^{-1}$, then $\left\|U^{n}\right\|<(1-2 C)^{-1}$.
Proof. From the proof of (iii) it follows that $\left\|W R_{\lambda}^{0}\right\| \leqq C$ for all $\lambda \in \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} C_{k}$. Further we get

$$
\left\|\left(F_{n}-E_{n}\right) e_{n}\right\| \leqq(1-C)^{-1} K^{1 / 2} b_{n} r_{n}^{-1}<1
$$

for all $n$ since

$$
(1-C)^{-2} K \sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2} r_{n}^{-2}=C^{2}(1-C)^{-2}<1
$$

Hence $F_{n} e_{n} \neq 0$ and $\varphi_{n}=F_{n} e_{n}$ for all $n$. Then

$$
\|I-P\|^{2} \leqq \sum_{1}^{\infty}\left\|\varphi_{\nu}-e_{\nu}\right\|^{2} \leqq C^{2}(1-C)^{-2}
$$

and

$$
\|P\| \leqq 1+C(1-C)^{-1}=(1-C)^{-1}
$$

Further

$$
\left\|P^{-1}\right\|=\left\|(I-(I-P))^{-1}\right\| \leqq(1-\|I-P\|)^{-1} \leqq(1-C)(1-2 C)^{-1}
$$

Finally

$$
\left\|U^{n}\right\| \leqq\|P\| \cdot\left\|P^{-1}\right\| \leqq(1-2 C)^{-1}
$$

An interesting application of the theorem is the second order equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+C(t) y=0
$$

in a Hilbert space $Y$, where $C(t)$ is selfadjoint. Put $X=Y \oplus Y$ and $u=\binom{y}{y^{\prime}}$. Then we get

$$
u^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I \\
-C(t) & 0
\end{array}\right) u
$$

This equation satisfies the symmetry condition (S) with $Q-\left(\begin{array}{rr}0 & I \\ -I & 0\end{array}\right)$.
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