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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to study a certain generalization of the bicyclic semigroup and to determine the structure of some classes of bisimple (inverse) semigroups mod groups.

Let $S$ be a bisimple semigroup and let $E_{S}$ denote the collection of idempotents of $S . E_{S}$ is said to be integrally ordered if under its natural order it is order isomorphic to $I^{0}$, the nonnegative integers, under the reverse of their usual order. $E_{S}$ is lexicographically ordered if it is order isomorphic to $I^{0} \times I^{0}$ under the order $(n, m)<(k, s)$ if $k<n$ or $k=n$ and $s<m$. If $\mathscr{H}$ is Green's relation and $E_{S}$ is lexicographically ordered, $S / \mathscr{H} \cong\left(I^{0}\right)^{4}$ under a simple multiplication. A generalization of this result is given to the case where $E_{S}$ is $n$ lexicographically ordered. The structure of $S$ such that $E_{S}$ is integrally ordered and the structure of a class of $S$ such that $E_{S}$ is lexicographically ordered are determimed mod groups. These constructions are special cases of a construction previously given by the author. This paper initiates a series of papers which take a first step beyond the Rees theorem in the structure theory of bisimple semigroups.


The theory of bisimple inverse semigroups has been investigated by Clifford [2] and Warne [7], [8], and [9].

If $S$ is a bisimple semigroup such that $E_{S}$ is lexicographically ordered, $S / \mathscr{H}$ is shown to be isomorphic to the semigroup obtained by embedding the bicyclic semigroup $C$ in a simple semigroup with identity by means of the Bruck construction [1]. We denote this semigroup by $C o C$. An interpretation of this construction introduced by the author in [10] is used.

In [2, p. 548, main theorem], Clifford showed that $S$ is a bisimple inverse semigroup with identity if and only if $S \cong\{(a, b): a, b \in P\}$, where $P$ is a certain right cancellative semigroup with identity isomorphic to the right unit subsemigroup of $S$, under a suitable multiplication and definition of equality. In the special case $\mathscr{L}$ (Green's relation) is a congruence on $P$ (equivalently, $\mathscr{H}$ is a congruence on $S$ ), Warne showed [8, p. 1117, Theorem 2.1; p. 1118, Theorem 2.2 and first remark] that $P \cong U \times P / \mathscr{L}$, where $U$ is the group of units of $P$ (of $S$ ), under a Schreier multiplication or equivalently, $S \cong$ $\{((a, b),(c, d)): a, c \in U, b, d \in P / \mathscr{L}\}$. Warne also notes [8, p. 1118, second remark and p. 1121, Example 2] that a class of semigroups

[^0]studied by Rees [6, p. 108, Theorem 3.3] may be substituted as a class of $P$ in the above construction (here, $P / \mathscr{L} \cong\left(I^{0},+\right)$, [8, p. 1118, Equation 2.9]). By [2, p. 553, Theorem 3.1], this substitution will yield the multiplication for the class of bisimple (inverse with identity) semigroups such that $E_{S}$ is integrally ordered in terms of ordered quadruples. We carry out the indicated calculations, which are routine, in detail here to yield Equation 3.4, which with the equality definition $((g, n),(h, m))=\left(\left(g_{1}, n_{1}\right),\left(h_{1}, m_{1}\right)\right)$ if $g g_{1}^{-1}=h h_{1}^{-1}, n=n_{1}$ and $m=m_{1}$, is the structure theorem in terms of ordered quadruples. (The author was aware of this result in the spring of 1963.)
N. R. Reilly informed us he had a multiplication for these semigroups (*, p. 572) in terms of ordered triples. His elegant formulation follows from our quadruple formulation by an application of [2, p. 548, Equation 1.2]. A still more convenient formulation is $S \cong U \times C$ with a suitable multiplication. ${ }^{2}$

Next, it is shown that for a class of bisimple semigroups $S$ such that $E_{S}$ is lexicographically ordered, $S \cong G X\left(C_{0} C\right)$, where $G$ is a certain group, under a suitable multiplication. The above techniques of [8] are again utilized here. The greater generality achieved in the integrally ordered case appears to arise from the fact that in this case $P$ is a splitting extension of $U$ by $I^{0}$ (i.e., in notation of [8, p. 1117], $a^{b}=e$, the identity of $U$ for all $\left.a, b \in I^{0}\right) .{ }^{3}$

These structure theorems resemble the Rees theorem for completely simple semigroups [3] in that they completely describe the structure or certain classes of bisimple semigroups mod groups.
$\mathscr{R}, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{H}$, and $\mathscr{D}$ will denote Green's relations [3, p. 47]. $R_{a}$ denotes the equivalence class containing the element $a$. Unless otherwise stated, the definitions and terminology of [3] will be used.

1. Preliminary discussion. We first summarize the construction of Clifford referred to in the introduction.

Let $S$ be a bisimple inverse semigroup with identity. Such semigroups are characterized by the following conditions [8, p. 1111; 3, 4, 2 are used].

A1: $S$ is bisimple.
A2: $S$ has an identity element.
A3: Any two idempotents of $S$ commute.
It is shown by Clifford [2] that the structure of $S$ is determined by that of its right unit semigroup $P$ and that $P$ has the following properties:

B1: The right cancellation law holds in $P$.
B2: $P$ has an identity element

[^1]B3: The intersection of two principal left ideals of $P$ is a principal left ideal of $P$.

Let $P$ be any semigroup satisfying B1, B2 and B3. From each class of $\mathscr{L}$-equivalent elements of $P$, let us pick a fixed representative. B3 states that if $a$ and $b$ are elements of $P$, there exists $c$ in $P$ such that $P a \cap P b=P c . \quad c$ is determined by $a$ and $b$ to within $\mathscr{L}$-equivalence. We define $a v b$ to be the representative of the class to which $c$ belongs. We observe also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \vee b=b \vee a \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define a binary operation * by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a * b) b=a \vee b \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each pair of elements $a, b$ of $P$.
Now let $P^{-1} o P$ denote the set of ordered pairs $(a, b)$ of elements of $P$ with quality defined by
$(a, b)=\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ if $a^{\prime}=u a$ and $b^{\prime}=u b$ where $u$ is a unit in $P(u$ has a two sided inverse with respect to 1 , the identity of $P$ ).

We define product in $P^{-1} o P$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a, b)(c, d)=((c * * b) a,(b * c) d) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clifford's main theorem states: Starting with a semigroup $P$ satisfying B1, 2, 3, Equations (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4) define a semigroup $P^{-1} o P$ satisfying A1, 2, 3. $P$ is isomorphic with the right unit subsemigroup of $P^{-1} o P$ (the right unit subsemigroup of $P^{-1} o P$ is the set of elements of $P^{-1} o P$ having a right inverse with respect to 1 ; this set is easily shown to be a semigroup). Conversely, if $S$ is a semigroup satisfying A1, 2, 3, its right unit subsemigroup $P$ satisfies B1, 2, 3 and $S$ is isomorphic to $P^{-1} o P$.

The following results are also obtained:
Lemma 1.1 [2]. For $a, b$ in $P$ and $u, v$ in $U$, the group of units of $P$, we have $(u a * v b) v=a * b$. The unit group of $P$ is equal to the unit group of $S$. a $\mathscr{C} b($ in $S$ ) if and only if $a \mathscr{L} b($ in $P)$. a $\mathscr{L} b$ (in $P$ ) if and only if $a=u b$ for some $u$ in $U$.

Theorem 1.1 [2]. Let $S$ be a semigroup satisfying A1, 2, 3, and let $P$ be its right unit subsemigroup. Then $P$ satisfies B3 (as well as B 1 and B 2 ), and the semi-lattice of principal left ideals of $P$ under intersection is isomorphic with the semi-lattice of idempotent
elements of $S$.
We now briefly review the work of Redei [5] on the Schreier extension theory for semigroups (we actually give the right-left dual of his construction) and we also present some pertinent material from [8]. Let $G$ be a semigroup with identity $e$. We consider a congruence relation $\rho$ on $G$ and call the corresponding division of $G$ into congruence classes a compatible class division of $G$. The class $H$ containing the identity is said to be the main class of the division. $H$ is easily shown to be a subsemigroup of $G$. The division is called right normal if and only if the classes are of the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H a_{1}, H a_{2}, \cdots\left(a_{1}=e\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $h_{1} a_{i}=h_{2} a_{i}$ with $h_{1}, h_{2}$ in $H$ implies $h_{1}=h_{2}$. The system (1.5) is shown to be uniquely determined by $H . H$ is then called a right normal divisor of $G$ and $G / \rho$ is denoted by $G / H$.

Let $G, H$, and $S$ be semigroups with identity. Then, if there exists a right normal divisor $H^{\prime}$ of $G$ such that $H \cong H^{\prime}$ and $S \cong$ $G / H^{\prime}, G$ is said to be a Schreier extension of $H$ by $S$.

Now, let $H$ and $S$ be semigroups with identities $E$ and $e$ respectively. Consider $H X S$ under the following multiplication:

$$
\begin{align*}
(A, a)(B, b)= & \left(A B^{a} a^{b}, a b\right)(A, B \text { in } H ; a, b \text { in } S)  \tag{1.6}\\
& a^{b}, B^{a}(\text { in } H)
\end{align*}
$$

designate functions of the arguments $a, b$ and $B, a$ respectively, and are subject to the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{e}=E, e^{a}=E, B^{e}=B, E^{a}=E \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $H \times S$ under this multiplication a Schreier product of $H$ and $S$ and denote it by $H o S$.

Rédei's main theorem states:

Theorem 1.2 (Redei). A Schreier product $G=H o S$ is a semigroup if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
(A B)^{c} & =A^{c} B^{c}(A, B \text { in } H: c \text { in } S)  \tag{1.8}\\
\left(B^{a}\right)^{c} c^{a} & =c^{a} B^{c a}(B \text { in } H ; a, c \text { in } S)  \tag{1.9}\\
\left(a^{b}\right)^{c} c^{a b} & =c^{a}(c a)^{b}(a, b, c \text { in } S) \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

are valid. These semigroups (up to an isomorphism) are all the Schreier extensions of $H$ by $S$ and indeed the elements $(A, e)$ form a right normal divisor $H^{\prime}$ of $G$ for which

$$
\begin{align*}
G / H^{\prime} & \cong S\left(H^{\prime}(E, a) \rightarrow a\right)  \tag{1.11}\\
H^{\prime} & \cong H((A, e) \rightarrow A)
\end{align*}
$$

are valid.
Theorem 1.3 [8]. Let $U$ be a group with identity $E$ and let $S$ be a semigroup satisfying B1 and B2 (denote its identity by e) and suppose $S$ has a trivial group of units. Then every Schreier extension $P=U o S$ of $U$ by $S$ satisfied B1 and B2 (the identity is $(E, e)$ ) and the group of units of $P$ is $U^{\prime} \cong\{(A, e): A$ in $U\} \cong U$ Furthermore $\mathscr{L}$ is a congruence relation on $P$ and $P / \mathscr{L} \cong S . \quad P$ satisfies B3 if and only if S satisfies B3.

Conversely, let $P$ be a semigroup satisfying B1 and B2 on which $\mathscr{L}$ is a congruence relation. Let $U$ be the group of units of $P$. Then $U$ is a right normal divisor of $P$ and $P / U \cong P / \mathscr{L}$. Thus, $P$ is a Schreier extension of $U$ by $P / \mathscr{L}$. P/LC satisfies B1 and B2 and has a trivial group of units.

The following statements are valid for any semigroup obeying the conditions of Theorem 1.3 (i.e. semigroups satisfying B1, B2 on which $\mathscr{L}$ is a congruence).

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(A, a)=\{(C, b a): C \text { in } U, b \text { in } S\}  \tag{1.12}\\
& (A, a) L(B, b) \text { if and only if } a=b \tag{1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

As remarked in [8], the semigroups considered by Rees (Theorem 1.5 below) fall into this category.

Now, Rees defines a right normal divisor in a different manner than Rédei. He says that $V$ is a right normal divisor of a semigroup $P$ satisfying B1 and B2 if $V$ is a subgroup of the unit group $U$ of $P$ and $a U \cong U a$ for all $a$ in $P$. However, let us show that the Rees definition is just a specialization of the Rédei definition to the case where the main class is a group and the semigroup we are dealing with satisfies B1 and B2. In this case, suppose that $V$ is a right normal divisor in the sense of Redei. Then, clearly, $V$ is a subgroup of $U$. The congruence class containing $a$ is just $V a$. Let $u$ in $V$. Then, $u \rho 1$. Thus, $a u \rho a$, i.e., $a u$ in $V a$. Conversely, suppose $V$ is a right normal divisor in the sense of Rees. Let us define $a \rho b$ if and only if $V a=V b$. It is easily seen that $\rho$ is a congruence on $P$ with main class $V$, i.e., $V$ is a right normal divisor in the sense of Rédei.

Let us now briefly review the theory of Rees [6]. Let $P$ be a semigroup satisfying B1 and B2. The partially ordered system of principal left ideals of $P$, ordered by inclusion, will be denoted by $O(P)$ and termed the ideal structure of $P$. If $(O, \geqq)$ is a partially
ordered set, we denote the set of all elements $x$ of $O$ satisfying $x \leqq a$ by $O_{a}$ and term such a set a section of $O$. Then we take as $P(O)$ the set of all order isomorphic mappings $\gamma$ of $O(P)$ onto sections of $O(P)$. If $U$ is the group of units of $S, M=(g$ in $U / x g$ in $U x$ for all $x$ in $P$ ) is the greatest right normal divisor of $P$.

The following theorems are established.
Theorem 1.4 [6]. If $P$ has an ideal structure $O(P)$ and $M$ is the right normal divisor just described, then there is a subsemigroup $P^{\prime}$ of $P(O)$ isomorphic to $P / M$. Further, every principal left ideal of $P(O)$ has a generator in $P^{\prime}$.

Theorem 1.5 [6]. A semigroup $P$ satisfying B1 and B2 whose ideal structure is isomorphic with $\vartheta$ (the ideal structure of $\left.\left(I^{0},+\right)\right)$ and whose group of units is isomorphic with a given group $G$ is isomorphic with a semigroup $T=G \times I^{0}$ under the following multiplication (1.14) $(g, m)(h, n)=\left(g\left(h \alpha^{m}\right), m+n\right), g, h$ in $G, m, n$ in $I^{0}, \alpha$ being an endomorphism of $G, \alpha^{0}$ being interpreted as the identity transformation of $G$ and conversely $T$ has the above properties.

Lemma 1.2. Let $S$ be a bisimple inverse semigroup with identity with right unit subsemigroup $P$. $U$, the group of units of $P$, is a right normal divisor of $P$ if and only if $\mathscr{H}$ is a congruence on $S$.

Proof. Let $U$ be a right normal divisor of $P$. Let $(a, b),(c, d)$ be in $S$ and suppose that $(a, b) \mathscr{H}(c, d)$. Now $(a, b) \mathscr{R}(c, d)$ if and only if $a=u c$ where $u$ in $U$ and $(a, b) \mathscr{L}(c, d)$ if and only if $b=v d$ where $v$ in $U$. I will prove the first. Suppose that $(a, b) \mathscr{R}(c, d)$. Then there exists $(x, y),(w, z)$ in $S$ such that $(a, b)=(c, d)(x, y)$ and $(c, d)=(a, b)(w, z)$. Thus, by 1.3 and $1.4 a=p(x * d) c$ and $c=q(w * b) a$ where $p, q$ in $U$. Thus, by B1 and B2 $a=u c$ for some $u$ in $U$ by B1 and B2. Now suppose that $a=u^{\prime} c$ for some $u^{\prime}$ in $U$. We note first that $(b * b) b=b \vee b=u b$ for some $u$ in $U$ by 1.2, the definition of $\vee$, and Lemma 1.1. Thus, $b^{*} b=u$ by B1.

Now $(a, b)\left(b, u^{\prime} d\right)=\left(u a, u u^{\prime} d\right)=\left(u^{\prime-1} a, d\right)=(c, d)$ by (1.3). Similarly $(c, d)\left(d, u^{\prime-1} b\right)=(a, b)$, i.e., $(a, b) \mathscr{R}(c d)$.

Let $(p, q)$ be in $S$. Then by (1.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a, b)(p, q)=((p * b) a,(b * p) q) \\
& (c, d)(p, q)=((p * d) c,(d * p) q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(a, b) \mathscr{C}(c, d)$ there exists $u, v$ in $U$ such that $a=u c, b=v d$. Thus, by Lemma 1.1 and the fact that $U$ is a right normal divisor

$$
(p * b) a=(p * v d) u c=(1 p * v d) v v^{-1} u c=(p * d) v^{-1} u c=t(p * d) c
$$

where $t$ is in $U$.
Thus, $(a, b)(p, q) \mathscr{R}(c, d)(p, q)$ and $\mathscr{R}$ is a right congruence. Since $\mathscr{R}$ is always a left congruence, it is a congruence. One shows similarly that $\mathscr{L}$ is a congruence. Thus, $\mathscr{H}$ is a congruence relation on $S$.

Suppose $\mathscr{H}$ is a congruence on $S$. Let $a, b$ in $P$ and suppose $a \mathscr{L} b$ (in $P$ ). By Lemma $1.1 a \mathscr{C} b$ (in $S$ ). Thus $c$ in $P$ implies $c a \mathscr{L} c b$ (in $S$ ) and $c a \mathscr{L} c b$ (in $P$ ) by Lemma 1.1. Hence $\mathscr{L}$ is a congruence on $P$ and $U$ is a right normal divisor of $P$ by Theorem 1.3.
2. The Bruck product. Let $S$ be an arbitrary semigroup and $C$ be the bicyclic semigroup ([3], p. 43), i.e., $C$ is the set of all pairs of nonnegative integers with multiplication given by $(m, n)\left(m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right)=$ $\left(m+m^{\prime}-\min \left(n, m^{\prime}\right), n+n^{\prime}-\min \left(n, m^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Consider $W=C \times S$ with multiplication given by $((m, n), s)\left(\left(m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right), s^{\prime}\right)=\left((m, n)\left(m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right), f\left(n, m^{\prime}\right)\right)$ where $f\left(n, m^{\prime}\right)=s, s s^{\prime}$, or $s^{\prime}$ according to whether $n>m^{\prime}, n=m^{\prime}$, or $n<m^{\prime}$. We call $W$ the Bruck product of $C$ and $S$ and write $W=$ $C o S$. I used a special case of this product in [10]. CoC is easily shown to be a bisimple inverse semigroup with identity for which $E_{S}$ is lexicographically ordered. If $S$ is an arbitrary semigroup, let $S^{1}$ be $S$ with an appended identity [3, p. 4]. One can show that $C o S^{1}$ is a simple semigroup with identity containing $S$ as a subsemigroup. Since this is equivalent to the construction of R. H. Bruck [1] for embedding an arbitrary semigroup in a simple semigroup with identity, we call $o$ a Bruck product.

Theorem 2.1 [8]. Let $S$ and $S^{*}$ be bisimple inverse semigroups with identity with right unit subsemigroups $P$ and $P^{*}$ respectively. $S \cong S^{*}$ if and only if $P \cong P^{*}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $S$ be a bisimple (inverse) semigroup. $E_{S}$ is lexicographically ordered if and only if $\mathscr{H}$ is a congruence on $S$ and $S / \mathscr{H} \cong C o C$ where $C o C$ denotes the Bruck product of $C$ by $C$.

Proof. First we suppose that $E_{S}$ is lexicographically ordered. Clearly $S$ has an identity. For let $e$ be the largest element of the lexicographic chain. If $a$ in $S, a$ is in $R_{f}$ for some $f$ in $E_{S}$ since $S$ is regular. Then, $e a=e f a=f a=a$. Similarly, $a e=a$. Let $P$ be the right unit subsemigroup of $S$. Then by Theorem 1.1, we may write the ideal structure of $P, O(P)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (0,0)>(0,1)>(0,2)>(0,3)> \\
& (1,0)>(1,1)>(1,2)>(1,3)> \\
& (2,0)>(2,1)>(2,2)>(2,3)>
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (3,0)>(3,1)>(3,2)>(3,3)> \\
& (4,0)>(4,1)>(4,2)>(4,3)>
\end{aligned}
$$

If we define for $(m, k)$ in $O(P)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n, s) t_{(m, k)}= & (n+m, s) \text { if } n>0 \\
& (m, s+k) \text { if } n=0
\end{aligned}
$$

we easily see that $t_{(m, k)}$ is an order isomorphism of $O(P)$ onto the section of $O(P)$ determined by $(m, k)$. In fact all order isomorphisms of $O(P)$ onto sections of $O(P)$ are of this form.

Clearly $P(O) \cong I^{0} X I^{0}$ under the multiplication

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n, s)(m, k)= & (n+m, s) \text { if } n>0 \\
& (m, s+k) \text { if } n=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the only subsemigroup of $P(O)$ containing a generator of every principal left ideal of $P(O)$ is $P(O)$ itself. This follows since $P(O)(n, k)=\left((u+n, v): u, v\right.$ in $\left.I^{0}, u>0\right) U\left((n, v+k): v\right.$ in $\left.I^{0}\right)$. The unit group of $P(O)$ is trivial (note the identity of $P(O)$ is $(0,0)$ ).

By Theorem 1.4, $P / M \cong P(O)$. Since the unit group of $P(O)$ is trivial, $M=U$. Thus, again by Theorem 1.4, $U$ is a right normal divisor of $P$. Thus, $\mathscr{C}$ is a congruence on $S$ by Lemma 1.2. Since ([8], p. 1111) any homomorphic image of a bisimple inverse semigroup with identity is a bisimple inverse semigroup with identity, $S / \mathscr{H}$ is such a semigroup.

Let $a \rightarrow \bar{a}$ denote the natural homomorphism of $S$ onto $S / \mathscr{C}$. If $\bar{a}$ is a right unit of $S / \mathscr{\mathscr { C }}$ there exists $\bar{x}$ in $S / \mathscr{\mathscr { C }}$ such that $\bar{a} \bar{x}=\overline{1}$, where 1 is the identity of $S$. Thus, ax $\mathscr{H} 1$ and there exists $y$ in $S$ such that $a x y=1$, i.e., $a$ in $P$. Now, if $a$ in $P, a x=1$ for some $x$ in $S$. Thus, $\bar{a} \bar{x}=1$ and $\bar{a}$ is in the right unit subsemigroup of $S / \mathscr{H}$. Hence the right unit subsemigroup of $S / \mathscr{H}$ is $P / \mathscr{C}=P / \mathscr{L} \cong P(O)$ by Lemma 1.1. Now, as noted above $C o C$ is a bisimple inverse semigroup with identity. It is easily seen that the right unit subsemigroup of $C o C$ is isomorphic to $P(O)$. Thus, by Theorem $2.1 S / \mathscr{C} \cong C o C$. The converse is clear.

Corollary 2.1. $S$ is a bisimple (inverse) semigroup with trivial unit group and $E_{S}$ is lexicographically ordered if and only if $S$ is isomorphic to CoC.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.3 of [3].

Lemma 2.1. Let $S$ be a bisimple (inverse) semigroup. $E_{S}$ is integrally ordered if and only if $\mathscr{H}$ is a congruence on $S$ and $S / \mathscr{K} \cong C$.

Proof. $\mathscr{H}$ is a congruence on $S$ by ([8], p. 1118) and Lemma 1.2. By Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.5, 1.14, and $1.13, P / \mathscr{L} \cong I^{0}$, where $I^{0}$ is the nonnegative integers under addition. But, as above, $P / \mathscr{L}$ is the right unit subsemigroup of $S / \mathscr{\mathscr { C }}$. Hence $S / \mathscr{\mathscr { C }} \cong C$ by Theorem 2.1. The converse is clear.

Lemma 2.2. $S$ is a bisimple (inverse) semigroup with trivial unit group and $E_{S}$ integrally ordered if and only if $S \cong C$.

Let $S$ be a semigroup. We say $E_{S}$ is $n$-lexicographically ordered if and only if $E_{S}$ is order isomorphic to $\underbrace{I^{0} \times I^{0} \times x I^{0}}_{n \text { times }}$ under the order

$$
\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \cdots, k_{n}\right)<\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{n}\right)
$$

if $k_{1}>s_{1}$ or $k_{1}=s_{1}, k_{2}>s_{2}$ or $k_{i}=s_{i}(i=1,2, j-1), k_{j}>s_{j}$ or $k_{i}=$ $s_{i}(i=1,2, n-1), k_{n}>s_{n} . \quad E_{S}$ is 2-lexicographically ordered if and only if $E_{S}$ is lexicographically ordered. $E_{S}$ is 1-lexicographically ordered if and only if $E_{S}$ is integrally ordered.

We will define the $n$-dimensional bicyclic semigroup $C_{n}$ as follows: $C_{1}=C$ and $C_{n}=(C o \cdots o(C o(C o(C o C)))$ ) for $n>1$ where $o$ is the Bruck product (there are $n-1$ o's).
$C_{n}$ is a bisimple inverse semigroup with $E_{\sigma_{n}} n$-lexicographically ordered. The 1-dimensional bicyclic semigroup is the bicyclic semigroup. The 2-dimensional bicyclic semigroup is the Bruck product $C o C$ of $C$ and $C$.

The following theorem and corollary are obtained by employing the techniques used in the proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 respectively.

Theorem 2.3. $S$ is a bisimple (inverse) semigroup with $E_{S}$ n-lexicographically ordered if and only if $\mathscr{C}$ is a congruence on $S$ and $S / \mathscr{H} \cong C_{n}$.

Corollary 2.2. $S$ is a bisimple (inverse) semigroup with $E_{S}$ n-lexicographically ordered and trivial unit group if and only if $S \cong C_{n}$.
3. Multiplications on two classes of bisimple inverse semigroups.

Theorem 3.1. $S$ is a bisimple (inverse) semigroup such that $E_{S}$ is integrally ordered if and only if $S \cong G \times C$ where $G$ is a group and $C$ is the bicyclic semigroup under the multiplication:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z, n, m)\left(z^{\prime}, n_{1}, m_{1}\right)=\left(z \alpha^{n_{1}-r} z^{\prime} \alpha^{m-r},(n, m)\left(n_{1}, m_{1}\right)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=\min \left(m, n_{1}\right), \alpha$ an endomorphism of $G, \alpha^{0}$ is the identity transformation of $G$ and juxtaposition is multiplication in $G$ and $C$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1.7, $S$ is a bisimple inverse semigroup with identity. By Theorem 1.1, Cliffords's main theorem, and Theorem 1.5, $P \cong U \times I^{0}$ where $U$ is the group of units of $S$ under the multiplication 1.14 if and only if $E_{S}$ is integrally ordered. The $\mathscr{L}$-classes of $P$ are $L_{0}, L_{1}, L_{2} \cdots L_{n} \cdots$ where $L_{n}=((g, n): g$ in $U)$ by 1.13. Let $(e, n)$ where $e$ is the identity of $U$ be a representative element of $L_{n}$. Thus, $(e, n) \vee(e, m)=(e, \max (n, m))$ by 1.12 and the definition of $\vee$. Using (1.2) by a routine calculation, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
(e, n) *(e, m) & =(e, n-m) & \text { if } n \geqq m \\
& =(e, o) & & \text { if } m \geqq n \tag{3.2}
\end{array}
$$

Using Lemma 1.1, (1.14), and Theorem 1.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
(g, n) *(h, m)= & \left(h^{-1} \alpha^{n-m}, n-m\right) \\
& \text { if } n \geqq m  \tag{3.3}\\
& \left(h^{-1}, o\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now using (1.14) (1.4), and (3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& ((g, n),(h, m))\left(\left(g_{1}, n_{1}\right),\left(h_{1}, m_{1}\right)\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& \quad=\left(\left(h^{-1} g\right) \alpha^{n_{1}-r}, n_{1}+n-r,\left(g_{1}^{-1} h_{1}\right) \alpha^{m-r}, m+m_{1}-r\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, by (1.3) and (3.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(e, n, g^{-1} h, m\right)\left(e, n_{1}, g_{1}^{-1} h_{1}, m_{1}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(e, n_{1}+n-r,\left(g^{-1} h\right) \alpha^{n_{1}-r}\left(g_{1}^{-1} h_{1}\right) \alpha^{m-r}, m+m_{1}-r\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $z=g^{-1} h$ and $z^{\prime}=g_{1}^{-1} h_{1}$. Then

$$
*(n, z, m)\left(n_{1}, z^{\prime}, m_{1}\right)=\left(n+n_{1}-r z \alpha^{n_{1}-r}, z^{\prime} \alpha^{m-r}, m+m_{1}-r\right)
$$

or

$$
(z, n, m)\left(z^{\prime}, n_{1}, m_{1}\right)=\left(z \alpha^{n_{1}-r} z^{\prime} \alpha^{m-r},(n, m)\left(n_{1}, m_{1}\right)\right)
$$

The converse follows by Cliffords theorem.
To actually determine the multiplication on $S$, one determines $P$ (we are actually given $P$ here) and then places $P$ in the Clifford construction. However, after one ascertains the multiplication, a very short proof of the fact can be given by the use of Theorem 1.6.

Alternative proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $S^{*}=G \times C$ be a groupoid with multiplication (3.1). We can show that $S^{*}$ is a bisimple inverse semigroup with identity by routine calculation (we must go through this to prove the converse anyway). It is easily seen that the right unit subsemigroup $P^{*}$ of $S^{*}$ is isomorphic to $P$. Thus, $S \cong S^{*}$ by Theorem 2.1.

A semigroup with zero, 0 , is said to be 0 -right cancellative if $a, b, c$ in $S, c \neq 0, a c=b c$ implies that $a=b$. If $G$ is a group, let $\varepsilon(G)$ denote the semigroup of endomorphisms of $G$.

A nontrivial group $G$ is said to be a $*$-group if
(1) Every nontrivial endomorphism of $G$ maps $G$ onto $G$.
(2) $\varepsilon(G)$ is 0 -right cancellative. ((1) $\rightarrow(2)$ if $G$ is an abelian group). ${ }^{4}$ The *-groups include all cyclic groups of prime order, all groups of type $p^{\infty}$, and the additive group of rational numbers. ${ }^{5}$

If $S$ is a semigroup with identity 1 and $a, x$ in $S$ with $a x=1$, we write $x=a^{-1}$.

Theorem 3.2. $S$ is a bisimple (inverse) semigroup such that (1) $E_{S}$ is lexicographically ordered, (2) $U$ is $a^{*}$-group, (3) $a a^{-1}=1$ implies that $U a \cong a U$, if and only if $S \cong G X(C o C)$ where $G$ is a *-group, C is the bicyclic semigroup, o is the Bruck product, with the multiplication,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (g,(n, k),(m, l))\left(h,\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right),\left(m_{1}, l_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\left(g \alpha^{n_{1}-r} h \alpha^{k-r},((n, k),(m, l))\left(\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right),\left(m_{1}, l_{1}\right)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r=\min \left(n_{1}, k\right)$ and $\alpha$ is a nontrivial endomorphism of $G \alpha^{0}$ denotes the identity transformation, and juxtaposition denotes multiplication in $G$ and $C o C$.

Proof. Let $P$ be the right unit subsemigroup of $S$. If $U$ is a right normal divisor of $P$, then clearly $\mathscr{L}$ is a congruence on $P$. Thus by Theorem 2.2 Lemma 1.2, and Theorem 1.3, $P$ is a Schreier extension of $U$ by $P / U(=P / \mathscr{L})$. Now, the semigroup of right units $P^{*}$ of $C o C$ is easily seen to be isomorphic to $I^{0} \times I^{0}$ under the multiplication

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n, m)(p, q)= & (n+p, m) \text { if } n>0 \\
& (n+p, m+q) \text { if } n=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $a=(1, o)$ and $b=(o, 1)$ are generators of $P^{*}$ and $a b=a$. Now, as remarked in the proof of Theorem 2.2 the right unit subsemigroup

[^2]of $S / \mathscr{H} \cong C_{o} C$ (Theorem 2.2) is $P / \mathscr{L}$. Thus, we may label the $\mathscr{L}$ classes of $P$ as $\left\{L_{(n, k)}: n, k\right.$ in $\left.I^{0}\right\}$. Now let $a^{\prime}$ in $L_{(1,0)}$ and $b^{*}$ in $L_{(0,1)}$. Thus, $a^{\prime} b^{*}=u a^{\prime}$ for some $u$ in $U$. Thus by (3) $u a^{\prime}=a^{\prime} v$ for some $v$ in $U$. Hence, $a^{\prime} b^{*}=a^{\prime} v, a^{\prime} b^{*} v^{-1}=a^{\prime}$. Let $b^{*} v^{-1}=b^{\prime}$. Now, since $U$ is a right normal divisor of $P, b^{*} v^{-1}=w b^{*}$ for some $w$ in $U$ and $b^{\prime}$ in $L_{(0,1)}$. Thus, $\left\{b^{\prime k} a^{\prime s}, k, s\right.$ in $\left.I^{0}\right\}$ form a complete system of representative elements (5) which is also a semigroup. Thus the factors $c^{d}$ of (1.6) are all equal to $E$, the identity of $U$. Thus, (1.6) becomes
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A, n, k)(B, m, l)=\left(A B^{(n, k)},(n, k)(m, l)\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $A, B$ in $U,(n, k),(m, 1)$ in $P / \mathscr{L}$ and juxtaposition is multiplication in $U$ and $P / \mathscr{L}$. Now let $a=(1,0)$ and $b=(0,1)$, and let $e=(0,0)$, the identity of $P / \mathscr{L}$. Then $(E, a)(g, e)=(g \alpha, e)(E, a)(a$, fixed), $\alpha$ a transformation of $U$, since $U$ is a right normal divisor of $P$ and $\{(g, e): g$ in $U\}$ is isomorphic to $U$ (Theorem 1.3). Now $(E, a)(g, e)=\left(g^{a}, a\right)$ by 1.6. Hence $g^{a}=g \alpha$. Similarly, $g^{b}=g \beta$. By (1.8) $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are endomorphisms of $U$. By (1.9), $\left(g^{b}\right)^{a}=g^{a b}=g^{a}(g$ in $U)$. Thus $g \alpha=g \beta \alpha, g$ in $U$, i.e., $\alpha=\beta \alpha$. Let us first suppose that $\alpha \neq 0$ in $\varepsilon(U)$. Then since $\varepsilon(U)$ is 0 -right cancellative $\beta$ is the identity automorphism of $U$. Now, by $1.9, g^{(n, k)}=g^{(0,1)^{k}(1,0)^{n}}=\left(g^{(1,0)^{n}}\right)^{(0,1)^{k}}=$ $g \alpha^{n} \beta^{k}=g \alpha^{n}$ and (3.5) becomes

$$
(A, n, k)(B, m, l)=\left(A\left(B \alpha^{n}\right),(n, k)(m, l)\right)
$$

By routine calculation, we can show that $S^{*}=U x\left(C_{o} C\right)$ under the multiplication

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (g,(n, k),(m, l))\left(h,\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right),\left(m_{1}, l_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\left(g \alpha^{n_{1}-r} h \alpha^{k-r},\left(((n, k),(m, l))\left(\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right),\left(m_{1}, l_{1}\right)\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r=\min \left(n_{1}, k\right)$ and $\alpha$ is an endomorphism of $U$, is a bisimple inverse semigroup with identity. To show associativity is straight forward, but tedious. Now,

$$
(g,(n, k),(m, l)) \mathscr{R}\left(h,\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right),\left(m_{1}, l_{1}\right)\right) \text { if and only if } n=n_{1} \text { and } m=m_{1}
$$ and

$$
(g,(n, k),(m, l)) \mathscr{L}\left(h,\left(n_{1}, k_{1}\right),\left(m_{1}, l_{1}\right)\right) \text { if and only if } k=k_{1} \text { and } l=l_{1}
$$

Thus, if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (g,(n, k),(m, l)),(h,(u, v),(r, s)) \text { in } S^{*} \\
& (g,(n, k),(m, l)) \mathscr{R}(g,(n, v),(m, s)) \mathscr{L}(h,(u, v),(r, s))
\end{aligned}
$$

and $S^{*}$ is bisimple. $(E,(0,0),(0,0))$ where $E$ is the identity of $U$ is the identity of $S^{*}$.

The idempotents of $S^{*}$ are $\left\{(E,(n, n),(k, k)), n, k\right.$ in $\left.I^{0}\right\}$. It is easily seen that these commute.

Thus, $S^{*}$ is a bisimple inverse semigroup with identity, [8, p. 1111].
The right unit subsemigroup $P^{*}$ of $S^{*}$ is $\{(g, 0, n, 0, k): n, k$ in $\left.I^{0}, g \in G\right\}$. It is seen immediately that $P^{*}$ is isomorphic to $P$ and hence $S \cong S^{*}$ by Theorem 2.1. Let us give the converse of this case. Now it is quite easily seen that the unit group of $S$ is $\{g,(0,0),(0,0)\} \cong$ G. (the unit group is $\left.H_{((0,0), 0,0))}\right)$. Thus, $U$ is a ${ }^{*}$-group.

The right unit subsemigroup $P$ of $S$ is $\left\{(g, n, k): n, k\right.$ in $\left.I^{0}\right\}$ under the multiplication

$$
\begin{aligned}
(g, n, k)(h, m, s) & =\left(g\left(h \alpha^{n}\right), n+m, k\right) \text { if } n>0 \\
(g, 0, k)(h, m, s) & =(g h, m, k+s)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(g, 0,0) \varepsilon U$ and $(h, m, s), m>0$ be in $P$. Since $G$ is a *-group, there exists $g^{\prime}$ in $G$ such that $h^{-1} g h=g^{\prime} \alpha^{m}$ (since $\alpha$ is nontrivial, $\alpha^{m}$ is nontrivial) as $\varepsilon(G)$ is 0 -right cancellative). Thus

$$
(g, 0,0)(h, m, s)=(g h, m, s)=\left(h\left(g^{\prime} \alpha^{m}\right), m, s\right)=(h, m, s)\left(g^{\prime}, 0,0\right)
$$

Next, we consider $(h, 0, m)$. Now, let $g^{\prime}=h^{-1} g h$. Then,

$$
(g, 0,0)(h, 0, m)=(g h, 0, m)=\left(h g^{\prime}, 0, m\right)=(h, 0, m)\left(g^{\prime}, 0,0\right)
$$

Hence, $U$ satisfies (3).

$$
E_{S}=\left\{E,(n, n),(k, k): n, k \text { in } I^{0}\right\}
$$

and multiplication in $E_{S}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n, k)(m, l) & =(n, k) \text { if } n>m \\
& =(n, k) \text { if } n=m \text { and } k>l .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (1) is satisfied.
Next, suppose $\alpha$ is the zero of $\varepsilon(U)$, i.e., $g \alpha=E, g$ in $U$. This means $g^{a}=E, g$ in $U$. Now $g^{(n, k)}=g^{(0,1)^{k}(1,0)^{n}}=\left(g^{(1,0) n}\right)^{(0,1)^{k}}=(E)^{(0,1)^{k}}=E$ if $n \neq 0$. If $n=0, g^{(n, k)}=g^{(0, k)}=g \beta^{k}$. Thus, our multiplication (3.5) becomes $(A, n, k)(B, m, s)=(A, n+m, k)$ if $n \neq 0$,

$$
\left.(A, 0, k)(B, m, s)=\left(A\left(B \beta^{k}\right), m, k+s\right)\right)
$$

Now, by (3), if ( $g, 0,0$ ) in $U$, there exists $\left(g^{\prime}, 0,0\right)$ in $U$ such that if $m \neq 0$

$$
(g, 0,0)(B, m, s)=(g B, m, s)=(B, m, s)\left(g^{\prime}, 0,0\right)=(B, m, s)
$$

Hence, $g B=B$ and $g=E$. Since $g$ was arbitrary, $U$ is a trivial group and we have a contradiction. Thus $\alpha$ cannot be a trivial endomorphism.

Example. Let $G$ be a *-group, $C$ be the bicyclic semigroup, and $o$ be the Bruck product. If we let $\alpha$ be the trivial endomorphism of $G$ in the 1 -dimensional ( 2 -dimensional) case, $S$ is a bisimple inverse semigroup with $E_{S}$ integrally (lexicographically) ordered and with group of units a *-group. However (3) of Theorem (3.2) is not satisfied. $S=C_{0} G$ is the 1 -dimensional case.

Added in proof. (1) A nontrivial group is called an e-group if every nontrivial endomorphism of $G$ is an epimorphism. The following theorem has a proof similar to that of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem. In Theorem 3.2, replace *-group by e-group and the multiplication given there by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (g,(n, k),(m, 1))(h,(r, s),(u, v)) \\
& \quad=\left(g \alpha^{r-\delta} \beta^{u-\gamma_{1}(r, k)} h \alpha^{k-\delta} \beta^{1-\gamma_{2}(r, k)},((n, k),(m, 1))((r, s),(u, v))\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where if $r>k, \gamma_{1}(r, k)=0, \gamma_{2}(r, k)=1$; if $k>r, \gamma_{1}(r, k)=u, \gamma_{2}(r, k)=0$; if $k=r, \gamma_{1}(r, k)=\gamma_{2}(r, k)=\min (u, 1), \delta=\min (k, r)$ and $\alpha, \beta$ are nontrivial endomorphisms of $G$ such that $\beta \alpha=\alpha$.
(2) N. R. Reilly [11] has determined a structure theorem equivalent to Theorem 3.1 by different methods. According to his terminology, a bisimple semigroup $S$ is called a bisimple $\omega$-semigroup if $E_{S}$ is integrally ordered. If $E_{S}$ is lexicographically ordered we will call $S$ an $L$-bisimple semigroup.
(3) A bisimple semigroup $S$ is $L_{n}$-bisimple ( $I$-bisimple, $I$ - $\omega$-bisimple) if $E_{S}$ is $n$-lexicographically ordered (is order isomorphic to $Z$ under the reverse of the usual order, is order isomorphic to $Z X I^{0}$ under the usual lexicographic order [Van der Waerden, Vol. 1, p. 81]). We describe the structure of these classes of semigroups completely mod groups in [12], [13], and [16]. The structure theorem for $L$-bisimple semigroups generalizes Theorem 3.2. We investigate several of the properties of $L$-bisimple, $I$-bisimple and $I$ - $\omega$-bisimple semigroups, such as homomorphisms, congruences, and (ideal) extensions in [12], [13], [14], [17], and [18]. The method of attack- initiated here- which readily allows applications of results of [7]-[9] is used throughout.
(4) We will also call the $n$-dimensional bicyclic semigroup the $2 n$-cyclic semigroup in future papers.
(5) We have also studied some of the properties of the semigroups whose structure has been given here in [13] and [15].
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some of the results given here have been stated in a research announcement in the Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. [12].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ See p. 576, (2).
    ${ }^{3}$ See p. 576, (3), (5).

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}(1) \rightarrow(2)$ also if $G$ is simple or finite.
    ${ }_{5}$ The *-groups also include all nontrivial finite simple groups.

