SOME LOWER BOUNDS FOR LEBESGUE AREA

WILLIAM P. ZIEMER

It is well known in area theory that a continuous map f of the unit square Q^2 into Euclidean space E^2 can have zero Lebesgue area even though its range has a nonempty interior. This cannot happen if f is suitably well-behaved, for example, if f is light, Lipschitzian, or as we shall see below, if f satisfies a certain interiority condition. The purpose of this paper is to determine conditions under which an arbitrary measurable set $A \subset Q^2$ will support the Lebesgue area of f. The results imply that if $f \mid A$ is Lipschitz and if one of the coordinate functions of f is no less than the integral of the multiplicity function N(f, A, y), where N(f, A, y) is the number (possibly ∞) of points in $f^{-1}(y) \cap A$. We show that the BVT condition cannot be omitted. The proofs of theorems involving Lebesgue area depend upon a new co-area formula for real valued BVT functions.

2. Preliminaries. Our proofs rely heavily upon the following topological theorem [3, p. 513] which was first proved by Federer in the 2-dimensional case [8, p. 358]. We believe that this result is yet to be fully exploited in area theory.

THEOREM 2.1. If X is a k-dimensional finitely triangulable space and $u: X \to E^1$, $v: X \to E^{k-1}$, $f: X \to E^1 \times E^{k-1}$ are continuous maps such that f(x) = (u(x), v(x)) for $x \in X$, then there is a countable set $D \subset E^1$ such that

 $S[f, (s, t)] = S[v | u^{-1}(s), t]$ for $(s, t) \in (E^{1} - D) \times E^{k-1}$.

Here S[f, (s, t)] denotes the stable multiplicity of f at (s, t) [9, (3.10)].

In the case $X = Q^2$, the unit square, (and this will be the only case of interest to us throughout the remainder of this paper) this theorem provides a very simple criterion to determine the stability of f at a point (s, t); for t is a positive stable value of $v | u^{-1}(s)$ if and only if there is a nondegenerate continuum $C \subset u^{-1}(s)$ such that $t \in$ interior v(C). Thus, the stable multiplicity function is positive at almost all points in the range of a monotone map and in the case of a light map, it is positive on an open dense set. In view of the following proposition, we see that mappings which are similar to Whyburn's quasi-open maps [19, p. 110], [22, (3.9)] also have positive stable values.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Suppose $f: Q^2 \rightarrow E^2$ is a continuous map such that

for each $y \in f(Q^2)$, there is a component K of $f^{-1}(y)$ with the property that for each sufficiently small open connected set U containing y, there is a component V of $f^{-1}(U)$ containing K which maps onto U by f. Then, for all but countably many $y \in f(Q^2)$, S(f, y) > 0.

Proof. Select a point $y \in f(Q^2)$ whose first coordinate is not contained in the set D of (2.1). Let U_i be a sequence of sufficiently small open connected sets such that $U_i \supset$ closure U_{i+1} and whose intersection is a closed vertical line segment λ containing y in its interior. Then the intersection of the corresponding V_i will be a continuum $C \supset K$ that will be mapped onto λ . By (2.1), S(f, y) > 0. Now by repeating this argument with horizontal line segments instead of vertical ones, the result follows.

It is easy to verify that if S(f, y) > 0, then the converse of (2.2) holds, c.f. [21, (2.4)].

The notion of stability is crucial in area theory since

(2.2.1)
$$\Im(f) = \int_{Q^2} S(f, y) dL_2(y) \; ,$$

where $\mathfrak{L}(f)$ is the Lebesgue area of f and L_2 is 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure. By a result of Cesari [1], (2.2.1) is a special case of a more general theorem due to Federer [9, (7.9)].

DEFINITIONS 2.3. H_n^k will denote k-dimensional Hausdorff measure in E^n , F_n^k k-dimensional Favard measure [7, (2.18)], L_n n-dimensional Lebesgue measure, and dim (A, x) will denote the topological dimension of a set A at a point x. A real valued map f on a topological space is called almost light if $f^{-1}(y)$ is totally disconnected for L_1 almost all $y \in E^1$. A map $f: Q^2 \to E^1$ is said to satisfy condition N_1 on a set A if it maps sets of H_2^1 measure zero of A into sets of L_1 measure zero.

We will use the following notion which was first introduced in [6, p. 48]. An L_n measurable set $E \subset E^n$ has the unit vector n(x) as the exterior normal to E at x if, letting

(2.3.1)
$$S(x, r) = \{y: | y - x | < r\}, \\ S_{+}(x, r) = S(x, r) \cap \{y: (y - x) \cdot n(x) \ge 0\}, \\ S_{-}(x, r) = S(x, r) \cap \{y: (y - x) \cdot n(x) \le 0\}, \\ \alpha(n) = L_{n}[S(x, 1)],$$

we have

$$2 \lim_{r o 0^+} L_n[S_-(x,\,r) \cap E]/lpha(n)r^n = 1 \;, \;\; 2 \lim_{r o 0^+} L_n[S_+(x,\,r) \cap E]/lpha(n)r^n = 0 \;.$$

Let BV denote the class of all locally integrable functions $u: Q^n \to E^n$

such that the *i*th partial derivative of u in the sense of distributions is a totally finite measure μ_i . This class contains those functions which are BVT. For $u \in BV$ and B any Borel subset of Q^n let I(u, E) = $|\mu|(E)$ where $|\mu|$ is the total variation of the vector-valued measure $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$. In the case that u is ACT observe that for any Borel set $B \subset Q^n$,

(2.3.2)
$$I(u, B) = \int_{B} |\operatorname{grad} u(x)| dL_2(x)$$

where grad u is the ordinary gradient of u. Thus, in this case $I(u, \cdot)$ can be extended to all Lebesgue measurable sets.

If $B \subset E^n$ is a Borel set then P(B) will denote the *perimeter of B*. If F is the set of x for which the exterior normal to B exists at x and if $P(B) < \infty$, then we see from [2] and [10] that

$$(2.3.3) P(B) = H_n^{n-1}(F) .$$

F is called the *reduced boundary of B* and note that $F \subset \text{bdry } B$. For $u: Q^n \to E^1$ in BV and $E(s) = \{x: u(x) > s\}$, Fleming and Rishel [14] proved that

(2.3.4)
$$I(u, Q^n) = \int_{E^1} P[E(s)] dL_1(s) .$$

In the case that u is Lipschitzian, theorems obtained independently by Federer [11, (3.1)] and Young [20, Th. 4] imply that

(2.3.5)
$$I(u, A) = \int_{E^1} H_n^{n-1} [u^{-1}(s) \cap A] dL_1(s)$$

whenever $A \subset Q^n$ is a Lebesgue measurable set.

3. Metric theorems. The following co-area formula is an extension of (2.3.5) and although the proof is only given for functions defined on E^2 , it is clear that it will generalize to E^n without any essential change. The author is indebted to Casper Goffman for his suggestion that this co-area formula might be valid.

The following notation will be used throughout the proof. Let (q, r, s) be coordinates in E^3 and define $\delta: E^3 \to E^1$, $\Pi_2: E^3 \to E^2$, $\Pi_1: E^2 \to E^1$ by $\delta(q, r, s) = s$, $\Pi_2(q, r, s) = (r, s)$ and $\Pi_1(q, r) = r$. If $u: Q^2 \to E^1$ then $u': Q^2 \to E^3$ is defined by u'(q, r) = (q, r, u(q, r)). G^2 will denote the group of orthogonal transformations on E^2 and φ the unique Haar measure on G^2 for which $\varphi(G^2) = 1$. For $R \in G^2$ let $R^*: E^3 \to E^3$ be defined by $R^*(q, r, s) = (q', r', s)$ where R(q, r) = (q', r').

THEOREM 3.1. If $u: Q^2 \rightarrow E^1$ is BVT(ACT), then

$$I(u,\,D)={\displaystyle\int_{B^{1}}}H^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}[u^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}(s)\cap D]dL_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(s)$$

whenever $D \subset Q^2$ is a Borel (L_2 measurable) set.

Proof. Let

$$g(s) = H_2^1[u^{-1}(s) \cap D] = H_3^1[\delta^{-1}(s) \cap u'(D)]$$

If u is BVT and D a Borel set, then A = u'(D) is an analytic set and therefore it is the union of an increasing sequence of compact sets and a set N of H_3^2 measure zero. Using the Eilenberg inequality [4] we see that

$$H^1_3[\delta^{-1}(s)\cap N]=0$$

for L_1 almost all $s \in E^1$. Thus, in order to show that g is L_1 measurable it is sufficient to consider the case when A is compact; but then, it can be shown as in [11, (3.1)] that g is the limit of upper semi-continuous functions.

If $u: Q^2 \to E^1$ is ACT and $N \subset Q^2$ a set for which $L_2(N) = 0$, then [18, (3.17)] and [12] imply that $H_3^2[u'(N)] = 0$. Thus, u'(D) is H_3^2 measurable whenever $D \subset Q^2$ is L^2 measurable and the measurability of g follows as it did above.

Let

$$lpha(D)=\int_{B^1}\!\!\!H_2^1[u^{-1}(s)\cap D]dL_1(s)\;.$$

It is now clear that α is a measure on Borel $(L_2$ measurable) sets if u is BVT(ACT). Moreover, from [18, (3.17)], [12], and [4] we see that α is absolutely continuous with respect to L_2 if u is ACT. Hence, it is only necessary to prove the theorem in case u is BVT. For this purpose we only need to show that $I(u, W) = \alpha(W)$ for rectangles $W \subset Q^2$ because both $I(u, \cdot)$ and α are measures over the Borel sets. We may as well assume that $W = Q^2$.

In view of (2.3.4) and (2.3.3) it is obvious that $I(u, Q^2) \leq \alpha(Q^2)$. The opposite inequality will follow from the last of four parts into which the remainder of the proof is divided.

PART 1. For L_1 almost all $s \in E^1$, $u^{-1}(s)$ is $(H_2^1, 1)$ rectifiable.

Proof. Since u is BVT, $\mathfrak{L}(u') < \infty$ [16, p. 516]. If A = u'(Q) then it follows from [12] that $H_3^2(A) < \infty$ and that A is $(H_3^2, 2)$ rectifiable. Now apply [13, (8.16)] to obtain a countable number of 2-dimensional proper regular submanifolds M_i of class C^1 for which

$$H_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \! \Big[A - igcup_{i=1}^\infty M_i \Big] = 0$$
 .

Letting $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i$ the Eilenberg inequality [4] implies

$$H^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}[\delta^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}\!(s)\cap (A-M)]=0$$

and

$$H^1_3[\delta^{-1}(s)\cap A]<\infty$$

for L_1 almost all s. In view of (2.3.5) one can easily verify that for each $i, \, \delta^{-1}(s) \cap M_i$ is $(H_2^1, 1)$ rectifiable and therefore that $\delta^{-1}(s) \cap M_i \cap A$ is $(H_2^1, 1)$ rectifiable for L_1 almost all $s \in E^1$. But the union of $\delta^{-1}(s) \cap M_i \cap A$ occupies H_2^1 almost all of $\delta^{-1}(s) \cap A$ and thus the result follows.

PART 2. For L_1 almost all $s \in E^1$, $F_2^1[u^{-1}(s)] = H_2^1[u^{-1}(s)]$.

Proof. This follows from Part 1 and [7, (5.14)].

PART 3.

$$\int_{\mathscr{B}^1} H^1_2[u^{-1}(s)] dL_1(s) = \Pi 2^{-1} \int_{\mathscr{G}^2} \int_{\mathscr{B}^1} N[\Pi_2 R^* u', Q^2, y] dL_2(y) darphi(R)$$
 .

Proof. For each $s \in E^1$ apply [7, (5.11)] to obtain

$$egin{aligned} F_2^{_1}[u^{_-1}(s)] &= \varPi 2^{_-1}\!\!\int_{d^2}\!\!\int_{E^1}\!\! N[\varPi_1R,\,u^{_-1}(s),\,r]dL_1(r)darphi(R) \ &= \varPi 2^{_-1}\!\!\int_{d^2}\!\!\int_{E^1}\!\! N[\varPi_2R^*u',\,Q^2,\,(r,\,s)]dL_1(r)darphi(R) \ . \end{aligned}$$

By integrating with respect to s, the result follows from Part 2 and Fubini's theorem.

PART 4.

$$I(u, Q^2) \ge \int_{E^1} H_2^{I}[u^{-1}(s)] dL_1(s)$$
 .

Proof. Select a sequence of Lipschitz functions $u_k: Q^2 \to E^1$ which converge uniformly to u and for which $I(u_k, Q^2) \to I(u, Q^2)$ as $k \to \infty$. A result of [18, (3.5)] states that for each $R \in G^2$ and continuous $v: Q^2 \to E^1$,

(1)
$$N[\Pi_2 R^* v', Q^2, y] = S[\Pi_2 R^* v', y]$$

for L_2 almost all $y \in E^2$. Recall that the stable multiplicity function

is lower semi-continuous with respect to uniform convergence. Thus, from Part 3, (1), Fatou's lemma, and (2.3.5)

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{E^1} H_2^1[u^{-1}(s)] dL_1(s) &= \varPi 2^{-1} \int_{d^2} \int_{E^2} N[\varPi_2 R^* u', \, Q^2, \, y] dL_2(y) darphi(R) \ &= \varPi 2^{-1} \int_{d^2} \int_{E^2} S[\varPi_2 R^* u', \, y] dL_2(y) darphi(R) \ &\leq \lim_{k o \infty} \inf \varPi 2^{-1} \int_{d^2} \int_{E^2} S[\varPi_2 R^* u'_k, \, y] dL_2(y) darphi(R) \ &= \lim_{k o \infty} \inf \varPi 2^{-1} \int_{d^2} \int_{E^2} N[\varPi_2 R^* u'_k, \, Q^2, \, y] dL_2(y) darphi(R) \ &= \lim_{k o \infty} \int_{E^1} H_2^{-1} [u_k^{-1}(s)] dL_1(s) \ &= \lim_{k o \infty} \varPi(u_k, \, Q^2) = I(u, \, Q^2) \;. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 3.2. If $u: Q^2 \to E^1$ is BVT, then the following hold for L_1 almost all $s \in E^1$:

(i) $H_2^{1}[u^{-1}(s)] < \infty$ and $u^{-1}(s)$ is $(H_2^{1}, 1)$ rectifiable,

(ii) the exterior normal to E(s) exists at H_2^1 almost all $x \in u^{-1}(s)$.

Proof. The first statement follows from the proof of Part 1 in (3.1) and the second from (3.1), (2.3.4), and (2.3.3).

LEMMA 3.3. If $u: Q^2 \to E^1$ is BVT, then for L_1 almost all $s \in E^1$, dim $[u^{-1}(s), x] > 0$ for H_2^1 almost all $x \in u^{-1}(s)$.

Proof. If $B \subset E^2$, $x \in E^2$, denote by W(x) the set of all straight lines passing through x and by U(B, x) those $\lambda \in W(x)$ for which x is not a cluster point of $\lambda \cap B$. Since we may identify W(x) with the unit semi-circle S^1_+ , we can regard the restriction of H^1_2 to S^1_+ as defining a measure μ on W(x). In the same manner, we can define a measure ν on the homogeneous space of all orthogonal projections $p: E^2 \to E^1$.

Suppose, for some $s \in E^1$, that $H_2^1[u^{-1}(s)] < \infty$ and that $u^{-1}(s)$ is $(H_2^1, 1)$ rectifiable. Letting

$$D_s = u^{-1}(s) \cap \{x; \mu[U(u^{-1}(s), x)] = 0\}$$
,

it follows from [7, (8.3)] that $L_1[p(D_s)] = 0$ for ν almost all p. But D_s is also $(H_2^1, 1)$ rectifiable and therefore, from [7, (5.14)] it follows that $H_2^1(D_s) = 0$. Thus, in view of (3.2), for L_1 almost all $s \in E^1$ the following two conditions hold at H_2^1 almost all $x \in u^{-1}(s)$:

- (i) the exterior normal to E(s) exists at x,
- (ii) $\mu[U^{-1}(s), x] > 0.$

We will conclude the proof by showing that for all such s and x, dim $[u^{-1}(s), x] > 0$. For if we assume that dim $[u^{-1}(s), x] = 0$, this means that there exist arbitrarily small open sets G containing x whose boundaries do not intersect $u^{-1}(s)$. By the Phragmen-Brouwer theorem, it can be assumed that bdry G is connected. For every r > 0, let

$$U_r[u^{-1}(s), x] = W(x) \cap \{\lambda : S(x, r) \cap u^{-1}(s) \cap (\lambda - \{x\}) = 0\}$$
.

From (ii) we know that there exists $\alpha > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that $\mu[U_{r_0}(u^{-1}(s), x)] = \alpha$. Choose $G \subset S(x, r_0/2)$. Since bdry G is connected and bdry $G \cap u^{-1}(s) = 0$, either bdry $G \subset E(s)$ or bdry $G \subset F(s) = \{x: u(x) < s\}$. Suppose bdry $G \subset E(s)$ and because of (i), r_0 may be assumed to have been chosen so small that (see (2.3.1)),

$$(\,3\,) \hspace{1.5cm} 2L_2[S_+(r_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\,x)\,\cap\,E(s)]/\varPi\,r_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^2 < lpha/\varPi\,\,.$$

Now, for each $\lambda \in U_{r_0}(u^{-1}(s), x)$, $S(x, r_0) \cap u^{-1}(s) \cap (\lambda - \{x\}) = 0$ and $\lambda \cap \text{bdry } G \neq 0$. Therefore, since bdry $G \subset E(s)$, the union of all such λ in $S(x, r_0) - \{x\}$ is contained in E(s) and its L_2 measure is no less than αr_0^2 , which contradicts (3). The case of bdry $G \subset F(s)$ is treated in a similar way and thus the proof is concluded.

LEMMA 3.4. Suppose $f: Q^2 \to E^2$ is continuous and f = (u, v) where u is BVT. Then $f^{-1}(y)$ is totally disconnected for L_2 almost all $y \in E^2$.

Proof. Let λ be a horizontal (or vertical) line segment in Q^2 on which u as a function of one variable is of bounded variation. Thus, if λ is the line $r = r_0$, the function $u(\cdot, r_0)$ is of bounded variation and consequently, $N[u(\cdot, r_0), \lambda, s] < \infty$ for L_1 almost all $s \in E^1$. This implies that $f(\lambda)$ intersects almost all vertical lines in a finite number of points and therefore, by Fubini's theorem, $L_2[f(\lambda)] = 0$. Since u is BVT, there exist a countable dense set of vertical lines and a countable dense set of horizontal lines such that the image of each line is a set of L_2 measure zero. If Λ denotes the union of these vertical and horizontal lines, then $L_2[f(\Lambda)] = 0$. Now if C is a nondegenerate continuum of $f^{-1}(y)$, for some $y \in E^2$, then clearly C must intersect Λ . Thus $y \in f(\Lambda)$ and the result follows.

COROLLARY 3.5. With the same hypotheses as in 3.4, for L_1 almost all $s \in E^1$, $v \mid u^{-1}(s)$ is almost light.

THEOREM 3.6. Suppose $f: Q^2 \to E^2$ is continuous, f = (u, v), u is BVT and v satisfies condition N_1 on an analytic set $A \subset Q^2$. Then

$$\mathfrak{L}(f) \geqq \int_{E^2} N(f,\,A,\,y) dL_2(y)$$
 .

Proof. Let $W_s = u^{-1}(s) \cap \{x: \dim [u^{-1}(s), x] > 0\}$. It follows from (2.1), (3.3), (3.5) and [9, (3.3), (3.5), (3.12)] that for L_1 almost all $s \in E^1$

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{B}^1}\!\!S[f,\,(s,\,t)]dL_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^1}\!\!S[v\,|\,u^{-1}(s),\,t]dL_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(t) \ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{B}^1}\!\!N[v,\,W_s,\,t]dL_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(t) \ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{B}^1}\!\!N[v,\,u^{-1}(s)\,\cap\,A,\,t]dL_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(t) \ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^1}\!\!N[f,\,A,\,(s,\,t)]dL_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(t) \;. \end{aligned}$$

Now by integrating with respect to s the result follows from Fubini's theorem and (2.2.1). The analyticity of A is needed only to assure the L_2 measurability of the last integrand.

COROLLARY 3.7. If $f: Q^2 \to E^2$ is continuous, if f is Lipschitzian on an L_2 measurable set $A \subset Q^2$, and f = (u, v) where u is BVT, then

$$\mathfrak{L}(f) \geqq \int_{E^2} N(f, A, y) dL_2(y)$$
 .

REMARK 3.8. It is easy to see that if neither of the coordinate functions of f is BVT, then the conclusion of (3.7) may not hold. For this purpose let $A \subset Q^2$ be a dendrite for which $L_2(A) > 0$. Then a result from [15, p. 290] implies that A is a retract of Q^2 . If $r: Q^2 \to A$ is the retraction and $i: A \to A$ the identity map, then f = ir is clearly Lipschitzian on A and $\mathfrak{L}(f) = 0$ since the range of f has no interior.

THEOREM 3.9. Suppose $f: Q^2 \to E^2$ is continuous, f = (u, v), u is ACT, v satisfies condition N_1 on Q^2 , the approximate partial derivatives of v exist L_2 almost everywhere on Q^2 , and Jf, the approximate Jacobian of f, is integrable. Then

$$\mathfrak{L}(f) = \int_{Q^2} \lvert J f(x) \, \lvert dL_2(x) = \int_{E^2} N(f,\,Q_2,\,y) dL_2(y) \;.$$

Proof. Referring to [5, (5.4)] and (3.6) we see that we only need to prove that f carries sets of L_2 measure zero into sets of L_2 measure zero. If this were not the case, then there would exist an L_2 null set $N \subset Q^2$ for which $L_2[f(N)] > 0$. We may assume that f(N) is measurable since N can be taken as a G_δ set. Thus, $L_1[v(u^{-1}(s) \cap N)] > 0$ and therefore $H_2^1[u^{-1}(s) \cap N] > 0$ for all s in some set of positive L_1 measure. But, from (2.3.2) and (3.1)

$$0 = \int_{N} |\operatorname{grad} u(x)| dL_2(x) = \int_{E^1} H_2^1 [u^{-1}(s) \cap N] dL_1(s) > 0$$

a contradiction.

COROLLARY 3.10. If u is ACT and v Lipschitzian on Q^2 , then

$$\mathfrak{L}(f) = \int_{Q^2} \! | \, J\!f(x) \, | dL_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(x) = \int_{\scriptscriptstyle E^2} \! N(f,\,Q^{\scriptscriptstyle 2},\,y) dL_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(y) \; .$$

REMARK 3.11. The above corollary is an extension of a theorem proved in [17, p. 437], where only the first part of the equality is established. Both (3.8) and (3.9) are related to the following unsolved problem c.f. [16, p. 380], [17, p. 433]: Let $f: Q^2 \rightarrow E^2$ where both coordinate functions of f are ACT and Jf is L_2 integrable. Then, is

$$\mathfrak{L}(f) = \int_{Q^2} |Jf(x)| dL_2(x) ?$$

By using techniques employed in this paper, one can show that if the additional hypothesis is made that v satisfies condition N_1 on $W_s =$ $u^{-1}(s) \cap \{x: \dim [u^{-1}(s), x] > 0\}$ for L_1 almost all $s \in E^1$, then the question can be settled in the affirmative.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. L. Cesari, Sui punti di diramazione delle transformazioni continue e sull'area della superficie in forma parametrica, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Roma (5) 3 (1942), 37-62.

2. E. DeGiorgi, Nuovi teoremi relativi alle misure (r-1)-dimensionali in uno spazio ad r-dimensioni, Ricerche Mat. 4(1955), 95-113.

3. M. R. Demers and H. Federer, On Lebesgue area II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 90 (1959), 499-522.

4. S. Eilenberg, On φ measure, Annales de la Société Polonaise de Mathématique 17 (1938), 252-253.

5. H. Federer, Surface area II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1944), 438-456.

6. _____, The Gauss-Green theorem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 58 (1945), 44-76. 7. _____, The $(\varphi, k]$ rectifiable subsets of n space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 62 (1947), 114-192.

8. ——, Measure and area, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 58 (1952), 306-378.

-----, On Lebesgue area, Ann. of Math. 61 (1955), 289-353. 9.

10. _____ -, A note on the Gauss-Green theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1958), 447-451.

11. ____, Curvature measures, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1959), 418-491.

12. — -, The area of a nonparametric surface, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), 436-439.

13. H. Federer and W. H. Fleming, Normal and integral currents, Ann. of Math. **72** (1960), 458–520.

14. W. H. Fleming and R. Rishel, An integral formula for total gradient variation, Arch. Math. 11 (1960), 218-222.

15. C. Kuratowski, Topologie, Monografie Matematyczne 21 (1950), Warsaw.

16. T. Rado, Length and area, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Pub. 30 (1948).

17. T. Rado and P. V. Reichelderfer, Continuous transformations in Analysis, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenchaften, Springer, 1955.

WILLIAM P. ZIEMER

18. R. N. Thompson, Areas of k dimensional nonparametric surfaces in k + 1 space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1954), 374-407.

19. G. T. Whyburn, Topological Analysis, Princeton, 1958.

20. L. C. Young, Partial Area I, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma 10 (1959), 103-113.

21. W. Ziemer, On a sufficient condition for onto-ness, J. Math. Mech. 13 (1964), 503-509.

22. ——, The structure of quasi-open maps, Duke Math. J. 32 (1965), 661-672.

Received August 30, 1965. This work was supported in part by a research grant from the National Science Foundation.

INDIANA UNIVERSITY BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA

390