# GROUPS ADMITTING A FIXED-POINT-FREE AUTOMORPHISM OF ORDER $2^{n}$ 

Fletcher Gross

Let $G$ be a finite solvable group which admits a fixed-point-free automorphism of order $2^{n}$. The main result of this paper is that the nilpotent length of $G$ is at most $2 n-2$ for $n \geqq 2$. This is an improvement on earlier results in that no assumptions are made regarding the Sylow subgroups of $G$.

Suppose $G$ is a finite solvable group which admits a fixed-pointfree automorphism of order $p^{n}$ where $p$ is a prime. Then it is known that the nilpotent length of $G$ is at most $n$ provided that $p \neq 2$ ([8], [10], [6]). This result also holds for $p=2$ if the Sylow $q$ subgroups of $G$ are abelian for all Mersenne primes $q$ ([8], [10]). The purpose of the present paper is to obtain an upper bound on the nilpotent length in the case $p=2$ without imposing any restrictions on the Sylow subgroups of G. Our result is

Theorem 1.1. If $G$ is a finite group admitting a fixed-pointfree automorphism of order $2^{n}$, then $G$ is solvable and has nilpotent length at most $\operatorname{Max}\{2 n-2, n\}$.

Here it should be noted that if $G$ admits a 2 -group as a fixed-point-free operator group then $G$ must have odd order and thus must be solvable from [2].

The usual methods employed to prove results about solvable groups admitting a fixed-point-free automorphism of order $p^{n}$ are so similar to the methods used by Hall and Higman [7] to find upper bounds on the $p$-length that it seems natural to ask whether both types of results might follow from some general theorem about linear groups. If $p=2$ this can be done and the theorem is the following:

Theorem 1.2. Let $G$ be a finite solvable linear group over a field $K$ such that the order of $F_{1}(G)$ is divisible by neither 2 nor the characteristic of $K$. Assume that $g$ is an element of order $2^{n}$ in $G$ such that the minimal polynomial of $g$ has degree $<2^{n}$. Then $g^{2 n-1}$ must belong to $F_{2}(G)$.

Here $F_{1}(G)$ is the greatest normal nilpotent subgroup of $G$ and $F_{2}(G)=F_{1}\left(G \bmod F_{1}(G)\right)$. In addition to implying Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 also immediately implies Theorem B of [4] which in turn implies that $l_{2}(G) \leqq \operatorname{Max}\left\{2 e_{2}(G)-2, e_{2}(G)\right\}$ for any solvable group $G$ ([4], [5]).
2. Preliminary results. For the rest of this paper we adopt the convention that all groups referred to are assumed to be finite. If $G$ is a linear group operating on $V$ and $U$ is a $G$-invariant subspace, then $\{G \mid U\}$ denotes the restriction of $G$ to $U$. If $g$ is an element of a linear group such that the minimal polynomial of $g$ has degree less than the order of $g$, then $g$ is said to be exceptional. The rest of the notation used agrees with that of [2].

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 1.2, some preliminary results are needed.

Lemma 2.1. Let $Q$ be an extra-special $q$-group which is operated upon by an automorphism $g$ of order $p^{n}$ where $p$ is a prime distinct from $q$. Assume that $\left[Q^{\prime}, g\right]=1$ and let $K$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic different from $q$. Then, if $M$ is any irreducible $K-Q\langle g\rangle$ module which represents $Q$ faithfully, it follows that $M$ is an irreducible $K-Q$ module.

This follows from either [1, Th. 1.30] or [7, Lemma 2.2.3] depending on whether the characteristic of $K$ differs from or is equal to $p$, respectively. Next we need a generalization of Theorem 2.5.4 of [7].

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that
(i) $Q$ is an extra-special $q$-group which admits an automorphism $g$ of order $p^{n}$ where $p$ is a prime distinct from $q$.
(ii) $\left[Q^{\prime}, g\right]=1$.
(iii) $K$ is a field of characteristic different from $q$.
(iv) $M$ is a faithful, irreducible $K-Q\langle g\rangle$ module.
(v) $g$ is exceptional on $M$.

Then the following must hold:
(a) $p^{n}-1=q^{d}$.
(b) If $Q_{1} / Q^{\prime}$ is a subgroup of $Q / Q^{\prime}$ that is transformed faithfully and irreducibly by $\langle g\rangle$, then $\left|Q_{1} / Q^{\prime}\right|=q^{2 d}$ and $[Q, g] \leqq Q_{1}$.
(c) The minimal polynomial of $g$ on $M$ has degree $p^{n}-1$.

Proof. First we show that $K$ may be taken to be algebraically closed. Let $L$ be an algebraically closed extension of $K$ and let $N$ be an irreducible $L-Q\langle g\rangle$ submodule of $M \otimes_{K} L$. Now if $c$ generates $Q^{\prime}$, then, since $c \in Z(Q\langle g\rangle), c$ has no nonzero fixed vectors in $M$. It immediately follows from this that $c$ is not the identity on $N$. Since any nontrivial normal subgroup of $Q\langle g\rangle$ must contain $c$, this implies that $N$ is a faithful $L-Q\langle g\rangle$ module.

Thus in proving the theorem we may as well assume that $K$ is algebraically closed. The lemma now implies that $M$ is an irreducible $K-Q$ module. If char $(K)=p$, then the theorem follows from

Theorems 2.5.1. and 2.5.4 of [7]. Hence we now suppose that char $(K) \neq p$.
$Q / Q^{\prime}$ is the direct product of groups transformed irreducibly by $g$. Thus there is a subgroup $Q_{1} / Q^{\prime}$ such that $g$ transforms $Q_{1} / Q^{\prime}$ irreducibly according to some automorphism of order $p^{n}$. Now if $Q_{1}$ were abelian, then, since $g^{p^{n-1}}$ does not centralize $Q_{1}$ and $M$ is a completely reducible $K-Q_{1}$ module, it would follow easily that the minimal polynomial of $g$ would have degree $p^{n}$. Hence $Q_{1}$ is not abelian and so must be extra-special. This implies that $\left|Q_{1}\right|=q^{2 d+1}$ for some $d$.

Now if $N$ is an irreducible $K-Q_{1}\langle g\rangle$ submodule of $M, N$ must faithfully represent $Q_{1}$ since $c$ is represented by a scalar matrix. Hence $N$ is an irreducible $K-Q_{1}$ module.

Since $g$ is exceptional, there is at least one $p^{n}$-th root of unity in $K$ which is not an eigenvalue of $g$. The argument given in [10, pp. 706-707] now implies that $p^{n}-1=q^{d}$ and exactly ( $p^{n}-1$ ) $p^{n}$-th roots of unity occur as eigenvalues of $g$. Thus it only remains to show that $[Q, g] \leqq Q_{1}$ to complete the proof of the theorem. If $Q_{1}=Q$, this is trivial. Therefore assume that $Q \neq Q_{1}$. Then if $Q_{2}=C_{Q}\left(Q_{1}\right)$ we find that $Q_{2}$ admits $g$ and $Q$ is the central product of $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$.

We now use the construction given in [7, p. 21] to construct linear groups $H_{1}, H_{2}$ where $H_{i}=Q_{i}\left\langle g_{i}\right\rangle$ and $g_{i}$ is a $p$-element which transforms $Q_{i}$ in the same way as $g$. In the Kronecker product of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, the product of $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ becomes identified with $Q$. Since $M$ is an irreducible $K-Q$ module, it follows that $g_{1} \otimes g_{2}$ differs from $g$ only by a scalar factor. Since $g$ is of order $p^{n}$, we find that

$$
g=\alpha\left(g_{1} \otimes g_{2}\right)
$$

where $\alpha^{p^{n}}=1$. Now if $\left[Q_{2}, g\right] \neq 1$, then $g_{2}$ has at least two distinct eigenvalues $\beta, \gamma$. But $g_{1}$ has $p^{n}-1$ distinct eigenvalues. Thus if $\lambda$ is any $p^{n}$-th root of unity then at least one of $\lambda / \alpha \beta$ and $\lambda / \alpha \gamma$ must be an eigenvalue of $g_{1}$. But this would imply that $\lambda$ would be an eigenvalue of $g$. Since $g$ is exceptional, we must have that $\left[Q_{2}, g\right]=1$.

Corollary 2.3. Under the hypothesis of the theorem let $V$ be $Q / Q^{\prime}$ written additively and consider $V$ as a $G F(q)-\langle g\rangle$ module. Then the minimal polynomial of $g$ on $V$ is of degree at most $2 d+1$.

Proof. This follows immediately from (b).
Theorem 2.4. Let $G=P Q$ be a linear group over a field $K$ where $Q$ is a q-group normal in $G(q \neq 2)$ and $P$ is cyclic of order $2^{n}>2$ generated by an element $g$ such that $\left[Q, g^{2 n-1}\right] \neq 1$. Assume that char $(K) \neq q$ and that the minimal polynomial of $g$ is of degree at most 3 . Then we must have $q=3$ and $n=2$.

Proof. Extending $K$ affects neither hypothesis nor conclusion so we may as well assume that $K$ is algebraically closed. Now let $S$ be a subgroup of $Q$ which is minimal with respect to being normalized by $g$ but not centralized by $h$ where $h=g^{2 n-1}$. Then $S$ is a special $q$-group.

If $V$ is the space on which $G$ operates, then $V=V_{1} \oplus V_{2} \oplus \cdots$ where the $V_{i}$ are the homogeneous $K-S$ submodules of $V$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $[S, h]$ is not the identity on $V_{1}$. But if $g^{2 m}$ is the first power of $g$ fixing $V_{1}$, then the minimal polynomial of $g$ has degree at least $2^{m}$ times the degree of the minimal polynomial of $\left\{g^{2 m} \mid V_{1}\right\}$. This implies that $g$ must fix $V_{1}$

Now let $U$ be an irreducible $K-P S$ submodule of $V_{1}$. [ $\left.S, h\right]$ is not the identity on $U$ but $Z\{S \mid U\}$ must be cyclic generated by a scalar matrix. Thus we conclude that $\{S \mid U\}$ is an extra special $q$ group whose center is centralized by $\{g \mid U\}$. From Theorem 2.2 we now obtain that $2^{n}=q^{d}+1$ and the minimal polynomial of $\{g \mid U\}$ has degree $2^{n}-1$. This implies that $n=2$ and $q=3$.
3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Neither the hypothesis nor the conclusion of the theorem is affected by extending the field $K$. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that $K$ is algebraically closed. Now if $n=1$, then, since $g$ is exceptional, $g$ would have to be a scalar matrix which would imply that $g \in Z(G)$. Hence we assume that $n>1$ and let $h=g^{2 n-2}$.

If $Q$ is any normal nilpotent subgroup of $G$, then char $(K) \nmid|Q|$ and so $V$, the space on which $G$ operates, is a completely reducible $K-Q$ module. Therefore $V=V_{1} \oplus V_{2} \oplus \cdots$ where the $V_{i}$ are the homogeneous $K-Q$ submodules. $G$ must permute the $V_{i}$ since $Q \triangleleft G$. Now if $h^{2}$ did not fix each $V_{i}$, then it would follow that the minimal polynomial of $g$ would be of degree $2^{n}$ which is a contradiction. Let $H$ be the set of all elements in $G$ which fix each minimal characteristic $K-Q$ submodule of $V$ for each normal nilpotent $\operatorname{subgroup} Q$ in $G$. Clearly $H \triangleleft G$. Hence $F_{i}(H) \leqq F_{i}(G)$ for $i=1,2$. Also we have shown that $h^{2} \in H$.

It follows from [4, Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3] that $[Q, H]=1$ if $Q$ is any normal abelian subgroup of $G$ and that $F_{1}(H)$ is of class 2. $F_{1}(H)=$ $Q_{1} \times Q_{2} \times \cdots$ where $Q_{i}$ is the Sylow $q_{i}$-subgroup of $F_{1}(H)$ and $q_{i}$ is an odd prime. Since $Q_{i}$ is of class at most $2, Q_{i}$ is a regular $q_{i}$-group. Then the elements of order at most $q_{i}$ form a subgroup $R_{i}$ in $Q_{i}$. If $R=R_{1} \times R_{2} \times \cdots$, then $C_{H}(R) \leqq F_{1}(H)$ [9, Hilfssatz 1.5].

The proof now divides into two parts. First we will show that $h^{2}$ induces the identity automorphism on any $2^{\prime}$-subgroup of $F_{2}(H) / F_{1}(H)$. In the second part we consider how $h^{2}$ operates on a 2 -subgroup of $F_{2}(H) / F_{1}(H)$.

Part I. Suppose that $p$ is an odd prime which divides

$$
\left|F_{2}(H) / F_{1}(H)\right|
$$

It is easy to show that there is a Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$ of $F_{2}(H)$ which is normalized by $g$. We now proceed to prove that

$$
\left[P, h^{2}\right] \leqq F_{1}(H)
$$

To do this we first note that, since $P \not \equiv F_{1}(H), C_{P}\left(O_{P},\left(F_{1}(H)\right)\right)=$ $P \cap F_{1}(H)$. Now let $N=P \cap F_{1}(H)$ and suppose that $\left[P, h^{2}\right] \not \equiv N$.

Since $C_{P}\left(O_{P},\left(F_{1}(H)\right)\right)=N$, there is a $q_{i} \neq p$ such that $\left[h^{2}, P, R_{i}\right] \neq$ 1. Now let $U$ be a minimal characteristic $K-R_{i}$ submodule of $V$ on which $\left[h^{2}, P, R_{i}\right]$ is not the identity. Let $q=q_{i}, S=\{P \mid U\}$, and $Q=\left\{R_{j} \mid U\right\} . \quad h^{2}$ must fix $U$ but cannot be a scalar matrix on $U$ since $\left\{\left[h^{2}, P, R_{i}\right] \mid U\right\} \neq 1$. Let $g^{2 n-m}$ be the first power of $g$ to fix $U$ and let $g_{1}$ be the restriction of $g^{2 n-m}$ to $U$. But if $g_{1}$ were not exceptional then $g$ could not be exceptional. Hence $g_{1}$ is exceptional and so $m$ must be $>1$. Now let $h_{1}=g_{1}^{2 m-2}$.

Then $\left[h_{1}^{2}, S, Q\right] \neq 1$. Since $U$ is the sum of isomorphic, irreducible $K-Q$ modules, $Z(Q)$ must be cyclic generated by a scalar matrix. Therefore $\left[Z(Q), S\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle\right]=1$ and, since $Q$ is a homomorphic image of a class 2 group of exponent $q, Q$ must be an extra-special q-group.

Next let $U_{1}$ be an irreducible $K-Q\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle$ submodule of $U$. Lemma 2.1 implies that $U_{1}$ is an irreducible $K-Q$ module and so $U$ is the sum of $K-Q$ modules isomorphic to $U_{1}$. From Theorem 2.2 we obtain that $2^{m}-1=q^{d}$ and $\left[Q: C_{Q}\left(g_{1}\right)\right]=q^{2 d}$. Then $q$ must be a Mersenne prime and $d=1$.

Now let $W$ be $Q / Q^{\prime}$ written additively and consider $W$ as a $G F(q)-S\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle$ module. The minimal polynomial of $g_{1}$ on $W$ has degree at most 3 from Corollary 2.3. Since $\left[h_{1}^{2}, S\right]$ is not the identity on $W$, Theorem 2.4 now implies that $m=2$ and $p=3$ which contradicts

$$
p \neq q=2^{m}-1
$$

Thus we have shown that $h^{2}$ induces the identity automorphism on any $2^{\prime}$-subgroup of $F_{2}(H) / F_{1}(H)$.

Part II. The 2-subgroups of $F_{2}(H) / F_{1}(H)$ have to be handled differently and we apply the method of [4, pp. 1224-1228]. Accordingly, let $V=V_{i 1} \oplus V_{i 2} \oplus \cdots$ where the $V_{i j}$ are the homogeneous $K-R_{i}$ submodules of $V$. For each $i$ and $j$, let

$$
C_{i j}=\left\{x \mid x \in H \text { and }\left\{\left[R_{i} x\right] \mid V_{i j}\right\}=1\right\}
$$

Next let $H_{1}$ be the intersection of all the $C_{i j}$ which contain $h^{2}$. If $h^{2}$ belongs to no $C_{i j}$ then set $H_{1}$ equal to $H$. In any event $H_{1} \triangleleft H$,
$h^{2} \in H_{1}$, and $g$ normalizes $H_{1}$.
Now choose $P$ to be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $F_{2}\left(H_{1}\right)$ such that $P\langle g\rangle$ is a 2-group. If $x \in P$, we now assert that $\left[h^{2}, x\right]=[h, x]^{2}$. The proof of this is identical with the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [4] and, for this reason, is omitted.

Now from the above we see that $\left[h^{2}, P\right] \leqq D(P)$. This combined with our result proved in Part I implies that $\left[h^{2}, F_{2}\left(H_{1}\right)\right] \leqq D\left(F_{2}\left(H_{1}\right)\right.$ $\bmod F_{1}\left(H_{1}\right)$ ). But this implies that $h^{2} \in F_{2}\left(H_{1}\right)$. Since $F_{2}\left(H_{1}\right) \leqq F_{2}(H)$ and $F_{2}(H) \leqq F_{2}(G)$, this completes the proof of the theorem.
4. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\sigma$ denote the fixed-point-free automorphism of order $2^{n}$. If $n \leqq 2$, then the result is a known one [3]. Consequently, we assume that $n \geqq 3$ and proceed by induction on the order of $G$.

Now if $G$ has two distinct minimal $\sigma$-admissible normal subgroups $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, then by induction, $\left(G / H_{1}\right) \times\left(G / H_{2}\right)$ has nilpotent length at most $2 n-2$. Since $G$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\left(G / H_{1}\right) \times\left(G / H_{2}\right)$, the theorem would follow immediately.

Therefore we may assume that $G$ has a unique minimal $\sigma$-admissible normal subgroup. This implies that $F_{1}(G)$ is a $p$-group for some p. Then we may consider $H=\langle\sigma\rangle G / F_{1}(G)$ as a linear group operating on $V$ where $V$ is $F_{1}(G) / D\left(F_{1}(G)\right)$ written additively. Now $p$ cannot be 2 and ( $\sigma-1$ ) must be nonsingular on $V$. Thus $\sigma$ must be exceptional and we obtain from Theorem 1.2 that $\sigma^{2 n-1} \in F_{2}(H)$.

This implies that $\sigma^{2 n-1}$ centralizes $F_{3}(G) / F_{2}(G)$ which in turn implies that $\sigma^{2^{n-1}}$ centralizes $G / F_{2}(G)$ [8, Lemma 4]. Thus, by induction, the nilpotent length of $G / F_{2}(G)$ is at $\operatorname{most} \operatorname{Max}\{2 n-4, n-1\}$. Since we are assuming that $n \geqq 3$, this implies that $G$ has nilpotent length at most $2 n-2$.
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