

A FAMILY OF FUNCTORS DEFINED ON GENERALIZED PRIMARY GROUPS

RAY MINES

Let G denote an abelian group; G is called a **generalized p -primary group** if $qG = G$ for all primes $q \neq p$. Let α be an ordinal, and let $\delta: G \rightarrow E_\alpha$ satisfy the following four conditions: (1) E_α is p^α Ext-injective, (2) $p^\alpha E_\alpha = 0$, (3) $\delta(G)$ is p^α -pure in E_α , (4) $\ker \delta = p^\alpha G$. Define $p^{\alpha*}G$ to be that subgroup of E_α such that $p^\alpha(E_\alpha/\delta(G)) = p^{\alpha*}G/\delta(G)$. If α is a limit ordinal, let $L_\alpha(G) = \varprojlim_{\beta < \alpha} G/p^\beta G$. Let

$$U(G) = \text{Ext}(Z(p^\infty), G) \quad \text{and} \quad U_\alpha(G) = U(G)/p^\alpha U(G).$$

Then we have the following p^α -pure containments: $G/p^\alpha G \cong \delta(G) \subseteq U_\alpha(G) \subseteq p^{\alpha*}(G) \subseteq L_\alpha U_\alpha(G)$, whenever α is a countable limit of lesser hereditary ordinals. We have $p^{\alpha*}G = U_\alpha(G)$ for all groups G if and only if p^α Ext is hereditary. From this we obtain a new proof of the fact that p^α Ext is hereditary when α is a countable limit of lesser hereditary ordinals. We also obtain an example of a cotorsion group G such that $G/p^\alpha G$ is not equal to $L_\alpha(G)$, thus refuting a conjecture of Harrison. A group G is called **generally complete** if $L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)$ is reduced for all limit ordinals α . A generalized p -primary group G is **generally complete** if and only if it is cotorsion.

A result of Kulikov [7] will be studied and generalized, and an application to the study of cotorsion groups will be given.

Troughout this paper the word "group" will mean "abelian group". The notation of [2] will be followed. The letter p will indicate a prime.

The elements of the group $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ are equivalence classes of extensions $E: 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$. However, no distinction will be made between equivalence classes and an element of the equivalence class. Thus, it will be said that E is an element of $\text{Ext}(A, B)$. Also, B will be considered as a subgroup of E . The arrow \rightarrow will denote a monomorphism, and the arrow \twoheadrightarrow will denote an epimorphism. The element $\text{Ext}(f, g)E$, for $E \in \text{Ext}(A, B)$, $f: B \rightarrow B'$, and $g: A' \rightarrow A$, will be denoted by gEf . All other notation will be that used in Chapter III of [8].

Recall that a subgroup H of a group G is said to be p^α -pure in G if the extension $H \rightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow G/H$ is an element of $p^\alpha \text{Ext}(G/H, H)$; G/H is said to be a p^α -pure quotient of the group G . A group G is said to be p^α -projective if $p^\alpha \text{Ext}(G, A) = 0$ for all groups A ; G is called p^α -injective if $p^\alpha \text{Ext}(A, G) = 0$ for all groups G .

The functor $p^\alpha \text{Ext}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is said to be hereditary (or shorter, α is called a hereditary ordinal) if every p^α -pure subgroup of a p^α -projective is p^α -projective, or, equivalently, if every p^α -pure quotient of a p^α -injective is p^α -injective. In § 3 a new proof will be given to show that $p^\alpha \text{Ext}$ is hereditary if α , is a countable limit of lesser hereditary ordinals.

We shall use the notation $\lambda(G)$ to denote the length of G ; i.e., the least ordinal α satisfying $p^{\alpha+1}G = p^\alpha G$.

1. The functor p^α . In [9] it is shown that for all ordinals α there exists an exact sequence

$$Z \succrightarrow G_\alpha \twoheadrightarrow H_\alpha,$$

such that for all group G the following hold.

(1) $p^\alpha G \succrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}(H_\alpha, G) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \text{Ext}(G_\alpha, G)$ is exact, and $\text{Im}(\delta)$ is p^α -pure in $\text{Ext}(H_\alpha, G)$. Here we have identified G with $\text{Hom}(Z, G)$ in the usual way;

(2) H_α is a p^α -projective p -group, so $p^\alpha \text{Ext}(H_\alpha, G) = 0$, and $\text{Ext}(H_\alpha, G)$ is p^α -injective;

(3) The sequences for α and $\alpha + n$ are connected by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z \xrightarrow{p^n} G_{\alpha+n} & \twoheadrightarrow & H_{\alpha+n} \\ \downarrow p^n & \parallel & \downarrow \\ Z \succrightarrow G_\alpha & \twoheadrightarrow & H_\alpha \end{array};$$

(4) If α is a limit ordinal, then

$$H_\alpha = \bigoplus_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta;$$

(5) $p^\alpha H_{\alpha+1}$ is cyclic of order p and $H_\alpha = H_{\alpha+1}/p^\alpha H_{\alpha+1}$;

(6) $p^\alpha H_\alpha = 0$.

Let $p^\alpha G$ denote $\varepsilon^{-1}(p^\alpha \text{Ext}(G_\alpha, G))$; then $G/p^\alpha G = \text{Im } \delta$ is a p^α -pure subgroup of $p^\alpha G$.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let E be p^α -injective such that $p^\alpha E = 0$, that there exists a homomorphism $\gamma: G \rightarrow E$ with kernel $p^\alpha G$, and that $\text{Im } \gamma$ a p^α -pure subgroup of E . Let G^* denote the subgroup of E satisfying $G^*/\gamma(G) = p^\alpha(E/\gamma(G))$. Then there exists an isomorphism $g: p^\alpha G \rightarrow G^*$, such that $g\delta = \gamma$.*

Proof. For convenience in the remainder of this paper we will denote $\text{Ext}(H_\alpha, G)$ and $\text{Ext}(G_\alpha, G)$ by $E_\alpha(G)$ and $F_\alpha(G)$, respectively, or simply by E_α and F_α if no confusion can result. For this proof

let $E/\gamma(G) = F$. Replace $\text{Im } \gamma$ and $\text{Im } \delta$ by $G/p^\alpha G$. Then the following sequences are exact:

$$\begin{aligned} G/p^\alpha G &\twoheadrightarrow E_\alpha \twoheadrightarrow F_\alpha, \\ G/p^\alpha G &\twoheadrightarrow E \twoheadrightarrow F. \end{aligned}$$

Before continuing with the proof we prove the following:

LEMMA 1.2. *If f, g are homomorphisms from E_α to E (or E to E_α) such that $f|G/p^\alpha G = g|G/p^\alpha G$, then $f|p^{\alpha^*}G = g|p^{\alpha^*}G$ ($f|G^* = g|G^*$).*

Proof. Assume $f, g: E_\alpha \rightarrow E$, the proof for $f, g: E \rightarrow E_\alpha$ being the same. Let $h = f - g$; then $h(G/p^\alpha G) = 0$. Therefore, h can be lifted to a homomorphism h^* of F_α into E . Since $p^\alpha E = 0$, we have $h^*|p^\alpha F = 0$. Thus, $h|p^{\alpha^*}G = 0$; so $f|p^{\alpha^*}G = g|p^{\alpha^*}G$.

We now continue the proof of Theorem 1.1. Since E is p^α -injective, there exists a homomorphism $g': E_\alpha \rightarrow E$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G/p^\alpha G & \twoheadrightarrow & E_\alpha & \twoheadrightarrow & F_\alpha \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ & & & g' \downarrow & \downarrow \bar{g} \\ G/p^\alpha G & \twoheadrightarrow & E & \twoheadrightarrow & F; \end{array}$$

\bar{g} arises in the usual way. Let $g = g'|p^{\alpha^*}G$. Since $\bar{g}(p^\alpha F_\alpha) \subseteq p^\alpha F$, it follows that $g(p^{\alpha^*}G) \subseteq G^*$. Similarly, there exists a homomorphism $f': E \rightarrow E_\alpha$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G/p^\alpha G & \twoheadrightarrow & E & \twoheadrightarrow & F \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ & & & f' \downarrow & \downarrow \bar{f} \\ G/p^\alpha G & \twoheadrightarrow & E_\alpha & \twoheadrightarrow & F_\alpha \end{array}$$

commutes. Let $f = f'|G^*$; then clearly $f(G^*) \subseteq p^{\alpha^*}G$. Consider $f' \circ g': E_\alpha \rightarrow E_\alpha$. By Lemma 1.2

$$f \circ g = f' \circ g'|p^{\alpha^*}G = 1_{E_\alpha}|p^{\alpha^*}G = 1_{p^{\alpha^*}G}.$$

Similarly, $g \circ f = g' \circ f'|_{G^*} = 1_{G^*}$. Thus, g is an isomorphism of $p^{\alpha^*}G \rightarrow G^*$, and clearly $g\delta = \gamma$.

It follows that, if E is a p^α -injective having the following properties:

(1) There exists a homomorphism $\gamma: G \rightarrow E$ with $\ker \gamma = p^\alpha G$ and $\text{Im } \gamma$ p^α -pure in E ;

(2) $p^\alpha E = 0$,

then $p^{\alpha^*}G$ can be taken as the subgroup of E with the property that

$$p^{\alpha*}G/\gamma(G) = p^{\alpha}(E/\gamma(G)).$$

Let $U(G) = \text{Ext}(Z(p^{\infty}), G)$ and $U_{\alpha}(G) = U(G)/p^{\alpha}U(G)$. In [11] it is shown that for all ordinals α , $U_{\alpha}(G)$ is contained in $p^{\alpha*}G$ and $\delta(G) \subseteq U_{\alpha}(G)$. In [11] Nunke has shown that α is a hereditary ordinal if and only if $U_{\alpha}(G) = p^{\alpha*}(G)$ for all groups G .

The remaining part of this section will be spent in proving the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let α be an ordinal such that for all $\gamma < \alpha$ there exists a hereditary β with $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$. Then $p^{\alpha*}G \subseteq \varprojlim_{\beta < \alpha} U_{\beta}(G)$.*

The proof of this theorem follows from a series of lemmas. We first observe that $\{U_{\beta}(G), \pi_{\gamma}^{\beta}\}$ is an inverse system, where for $\beta > \gamma$ $\pi_{\gamma}^{\beta}: U_{\beta}(G) \rightarrow U_{\gamma}(G)$ is the natural projection with kernel $p^{\beta}U_{\gamma}(G)$.

LEMMA 1.4. *Let β and γ be ordinals with $\gamma < \beta$. Then there exists a homomorphism $\pi_{\gamma}^{\beta}: p^{\beta*}G \rightarrow p^{\gamma*}G$ agreeing with the natural projection of $G/p^{\beta}G$ onto $G/p^{\gamma}G$ when restricted to $G/p^{\beta}G$. Moreover if $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$, then $\pi_{\gamma}^{\beta}\pi_{\beta}^{\alpha} = \pi_{\gamma}^{\alpha}$.*

Proof. The extensions

$$G/p^{\beta}G \twoheadrightarrow E_{\beta} \twoheadrightarrow F_{\beta}$$

and

$$G/p^{\gamma}G \twoheadrightarrow E_{\gamma} \twoheadrightarrow F_{\gamma}$$

are p^{β} -pure and p^{γ} -pure, respectively. Since $\beta > \gamma$, the top extension is also p^{γ} -pure. As E_{γ} is p^{γ} -injective, there exists a map μ_{γ}^{β} of E_{β} into E_{γ} such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} p^{\gamma}G/p^{\beta}G & & & & \\ \downarrow & & & & \\ G/p^{\beta}G & \longrightarrow & E_{\beta} & \longrightarrow & F_{\beta} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_{\gamma}^{\beta} & & \downarrow \lambda_{\gamma}^{\beta} \\ G/p^{\gamma}G & \longrightarrow & E_{\gamma} & \longrightarrow & F_{\gamma} \end{array}$$

where π is the canonical projection. The homomorphism λ_{γ}^{β} arises in the usual way. Define π_{γ}^{β} by $\pi_{\gamma}^{\beta} = \mu_{\gamma}^{\beta} | p^{\beta*}G$.

As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, $\text{Im } \pi_{\gamma}^{\beta}$ is contained in $p^{\gamma*}G$, and, as in Lemma 1.2, the homomorphism is unique. If $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$, then let $\mu_{\gamma}^{\alpha} = \mu_{\gamma}^{\beta}\mu_{\beta}^{\alpha}$.

LEMMA 1.5. *Let β and γ be ordinals with $\beta < \gamma$. Let π denote the canonical projection of $G/p^\beta G$ onto $G/p^\gamma G$. If π_γ^β is a homomorphism of $U_\beta(G)$ into $p^{\gamma^*}(G)$ agreeing with π on $G/p^\beta G$, then π_γ^β is the canonical projection of $U_\beta(G)$ onto $U_\gamma(G)$.*

Proof. Let μ denote the natural projection of $U_\gamma(G)$ onto $U_\beta(G)$. Consider the homomorphism $\pi_\gamma^\beta - \mu$. On the group $G/p^\beta G$ the homomorphism $\pi_\gamma^\beta - \mu = 0$. Thus, there exists a homomorphism $\lambda: U_\beta(G)/\delta(G)$ into $p^{\gamma^*}(G)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U_\beta(G) & \longrightarrow & U_\beta(G)/\delta(G) \\
 \pi_\gamma^\beta - \mu \downarrow & & \swarrow \lambda \\
 & & p^{\gamma^*}G
 \end{array}$$

Since $p^\gamma(p^{\gamma^*}G) = 0$ and $U_\beta(G)/\delta(G)$ is divisible, λ must be the zero homomorphism. Thus $\pi_\gamma^\beta - \mu = 0$.

LEMMA 1.6. *If $\gamma < \beta$ and β is a hereditary ordinal, then the homomorphism $\pi_\gamma^\beta: p^{\beta^*}G \rightarrow p^{\gamma^*}G$ defined in Lemma 1.4 is the natural projection of $U_\beta(G)$ onto $U_\gamma(G)$.*

Proof. If β is a hereditary ordinal, then $p^{\beta^*}G = U_\beta(G)$. Lemma 1.5 completes the proof.

Let α be a limit ordinal. Then the group H_α is $\Sigma_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta$. This shows that the group $E_\alpha = \Pi_{\beta < \alpha} E_\beta$, since

$$E_\alpha = \text{Ext}(H_\alpha, G) = \text{Ext}(\Sigma H_\beta, G) = \Pi \text{Ext}(H_\beta, G) = \Pi E_\beta .$$

The homomorphism $\delta: G \rightarrow E_\beta$ can be defined in terms of $\delta_\beta: G \rightarrow E_\beta$ by $\delta(x)_\beta = \delta_\beta(x)$. Then the homomorphism μ_β^α used in the proof of Lemma 1.4 can be taken as the natural coordinate projection. So the intersection over all $\beta < \alpha$ of $\text{Ker } \pi_\beta^\alpha$ is zero.

THEOREM 1.7. *If α is a limit ordinal, then the set $\{p^{\beta^*}G, \pi_\beta^\alpha\}_{\beta < \alpha}$ is an inverse system, and there is an isomorphic copy of $p^{\alpha^*}G$ in $\varprojlim_{\beta < \alpha} p^{\beta^*}G$.*

Proof. Lemma 1.4 shows that $\{p^{\beta^*}G, \pi_\beta^\alpha\}$ is an inverse system. The homomorphisms $\pi_\beta^\alpha: p^{\alpha^*}G \rightarrow p^{\beta^*}G$ gives a family of maps of the group $p^{\alpha^*}G$ into this inverse system satisfying $\pi_\beta^\alpha \pi_\beta^\gamma = \pi_\gamma^\alpha$. Thus, there is a homomorphism $\mu: p^{\alpha^*}G \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\beta < \alpha} p^{\beta^*}G$. The $\text{ker } \mu = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} \text{ker } \pi_\beta^\alpha = 0$. Thus, μ is a monomorphism.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We will show that for all $\gamma < \alpha$ the image of π_γ^α is contained in $U_\gamma(G)$. Let $\gamma < \alpha$; then there exists a hereditary ordinal β such that $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$. Since $p^{\beta^*}G = U_\beta(G)$, it follows that the image of π_β^α is contained in $U_\beta(G)$. Lemma 1.4 and 1.5 show that π_γ^α maps $p^{\alpha^*}G$ into $U_\gamma(G)$. Since $\{U_\beta(G), \pi_\beta^\beta\}$ is an inverse family and $\pi_\gamma^\alpha \pi_\beta^\alpha$, it follows that there exists a homomorphism

$$\mu: p^{\alpha^*}G \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{\beta < \alpha} U_\beta(G).$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1.7, $\ker \mu = 0$. Thus μ is a monomorphism.

COROLLARY 1.8. *The group $G/p^\alpha G$ is a p^α -pure subgroup of the group $\varprojlim_{\beta < \alpha} U_\beta(G)$.*

Proof. Since $\prod_{\beta < \alpha} U_\beta(G) \cong E_\alpha$, it follows that $\varprojlim_{\beta < \alpha} U_\beta(G) \cong E_\alpha$. The group $G/p^\alpha G$ is a p^α -pure subgroup of E_α , and

$$G/p^\alpha G \cong p^{\alpha^*}G \cong \varprojlim_{\beta < \alpha} U_\beta(G).$$

2. The functor L_α . Let G be a group and α a limit ordinal. Then the family $\{p^\beta G\}_{\beta < \alpha}$ forms a neighborhood system at zero for the group G . This topology will be called the natural topology. If the length of $G = \lambda(G) = \alpha$, then the topology is a Hausdorff topology. If $\alpha \neq \lambda(G)$, then $\{p^\beta G\}_{\beta < \alpha}$ leads to a topology on $G/p^\alpha G$, given by $\{p^\beta G/p^\alpha G\}_{\beta < \alpha}$. This topology is a Hausdorff topology on $G/p^\alpha G$. The family, $\{p^\beta G\}_{\beta < \alpha}$, leads to a uniformity on G , respectively $G/p^\alpha G$. Therefore, we can consider the completion of G , ($G/p^\alpha G$) with respect to this uniformity. Let $L_\alpha(G)$ denote the completion of G if $\lambda(G) = \alpha$, or completion of $G/p^\alpha G$ if $\lambda(G) > \alpha$.

In [12], Zelinsky showed that $L_\alpha(G) = \varprojlim_{\beta < \alpha} G/p^\beta G$. We remark that notation $L_\alpha(G)$ is consistent with the notation used by Harrison in [4]. Let $\pi_\beta: L_\alpha(G) \rightarrow G/p^\beta G$ be the natural projection of $\varprojlim G/p^\beta G$ onto $G/p^\beta G$. A base for the topology on $L_\alpha G$ is given by $\{\ker \pi_\beta\}_{\beta < \alpha}$. We shall call this topology the induced topology. We shall now study the functor L_α on the following class of groups introduced by Kulikov in [6] and [7].

DEFINITION 2.1. A group G is a generalized p -primary group (g.p. group), if G is divisible by all primes other than p .

The following theorem is due to Kulikov [7].

THEOREM 2.2. *Let G be a g.p. group. Let α be an ordinal less than or equal to the length of G , satisfying the following condition:*

(*) *There exists a countable increasing sequence of ordinals whose limit is α .*

Then if δ is the natural map of G into $\varprojlim_{\beta < \alpha} G/p^\beta G$, with kernel equal to $p^\alpha G$:

- (1) $\delta(G) + p^\beta L_\alpha(G) = L_\alpha(G)$, for all $\beta < \alpha$;
- (2) $L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)$ is divisible;
- (3) $\delta(G) \cap p^\beta L_\alpha(G) = p^\beta \delta(G)$ for all $\beta < \alpha$;
- (4) $G/p^\beta G = L_\alpha(G)/p^\beta L_\alpha(G)$, for all $\beta < \alpha$.

Notice that condition (1) states that $\delta(G)$ is dense in $L_\alpha(G)$ in the natural topology; and condition (4) shows that $L_\alpha(G)$ is complete in the natural topology, since

$$L_\alpha(L_\alpha(G)) = \varprojlim_{\beta < \alpha} L_\alpha(G)/p^\beta L_\alpha(G) = \varprojlim G/p^\beta G = L_\alpha(G).$$

We will show that conditions (1), (2), and (4) are equivalent and that when they happen, the natural topology and the induced topology on $L_\alpha(G)$ are the same. However, we first shall prove the following.

THEOREM 2.3. *If G is a g.p. group and α is a limit ordinal, then $G/p^\alpha G$ is p^α -pure in $L_\alpha(G)$.*

Proof. Since $G/p^\beta G$ is contained in E_β , it follows that

$$L_\alpha(G) \subseteq \prod_{\beta < \alpha} G/p^\beta G \subseteq \prod E_\beta = E_\alpha.$$

The embedding $\delta: G \rightarrow L_\alpha(G)$ is the map, $\delta: G \rightarrow E_\alpha$, with its range cut down to $L_\alpha(G)$. Since $G/p^\alpha G$ is a p^α -pure in E_α , the theorem follows.

Notice that this theorem generalized condition (3) of Kulikov's theorem.

THEOREM 2.4. *If G is a g.p. group and α is a limit ordinal less than or equal to the length of G , then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\delta(G)$ is dense in $L_\alpha(G)$ in the natural topology; i.e., $\delta(G) + p^\beta L_\alpha(G) = L_\alpha(G)$ for all $\beta < \alpha$.
- (2) $L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)$ is divisible.
- (3) $p^\beta L_\alpha(G) = \ker \pi_\beta$ for $\beta < \alpha$, where π_β is the natural projection, $L_\alpha(G)$, onto $G/p^\beta G$; i.e., the natural topology and the induced topology are the same.

Proof. First we shall show that (1) implies (3). Note that $\pi_\beta L_\alpha(G) \subseteq G/p^\beta G$; it follows that $p^\beta L_\alpha(G) \subseteq \ker \pi_\beta$. If $x \in \ker \pi_\beta$, then $x = y + z$, with $y \in \delta(G)$ and $z \in p^\beta L_\alpha(G)$. Then $z \in \ker \pi_\beta$. Thus, $y \in \delta(G) \cap \ker \pi_\beta = p^\beta G$. It follows that $x \in p^\beta G + p^\beta L_\alpha(G) = p^\beta L_\alpha(G)$. Thus, $\ker \pi_\beta = p^\beta L_\alpha(G)$.

We will now show (3) implies (1). A neighborhood system for $L_\alpha(G)$ in the product topology is given by $\{\ker \pi_\alpha \mid \beta < \alpha\}$. If condition (3) holds, then $\{p^\beta L_\alpha G \mid \beta < \alpha\}$ is a neighborhood system for $L_\alpha G$. The group $\delta(G)$ is dense in $L_\alpha(G)$ in the product topology. If condition (3) holds, then $\delta(G)$ is dense in $L_\alpha(G)$ in the natural topology.

In order to show (1) is equivalent to (2), we first observe that, since G is generalized primary, all groups in question are divisible by all primes other than p . Thus, it only has to be shown that $\delta(G)$ is dense in $L_\alpha(G)$ if and only if $L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)$ is a p -divisible. The proof of this fact follows from a series of lemmas.

LEMMA 2.5. *If $\beta < \alpha$ and π_β is the map defined in (3) of Theorem 2.4, then $L_\alpha G = \delta(G) + \ker \pi_\beta$.*

Proof. If $x \in L_\alpha G$, then there exists $y \in G$ such that $y + p^\beta G = \pi_\beta(x)$. Then $\delta(y) - x \in \ker \pi_\beta$.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let $G, L_\alpha G, \pi_\beta$ be as above. If $x \in \ker \pi_\beta$ and the image of x in $L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)$ is in $p^\beta(L_\alpha G/\delta(G))$, then $x \in p^\beta L_\alpha(G)$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on β . If $\beta = 1$, then $\pi_1(x) = 0$, and x maps into $p(L_\alpha G/\delta(G))$. Thus, there exists a $y \in L_\alpha(G)$ such that $x + \delta(G) = py + \delta(G)$, and so $x - py \in \delta(G)$. Since $\pi_1(x - py) = 0$, $x - py \in \ker \pi_1 \cap \delta(G) = p\delta(G)$. Thus, there exists a $z \in G$ such that $x - py = p\delta(z)$, or $x = p(y + \delta(z)) \in pL_\alpha G$.

If $\beta > \gamma$, then let π_γ^p be the natural projection of $G/p^\beta G \rightarrow G/p^\gamma G$. If $\beta = \gamma + 1$, then $0 = \pi_\gamma^p \pi_\beta(x) = \pi_\gamma(x)$. So $x \in \ker \pi_\gamma$, and x maps into $p^\gamma(L_\alpha G/\delta(G))$. Hence, $x \in p^\gamma L_\alpha(G)$. We must show $x \in p^{\gamma+1}(G)$. Since $x \in p^\beta[L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)]$, there exists a $y' \in L_\alpha(G)$ such that

$$y' + \delta(G) \in p^\gamma(L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)) \quad \text{and} \quad x + \delta(G) = py' + \delta(G);$$

thus, $x - py' \in \delta(G)$. Since $x \in p^\gamma L_\alpha(G)$, we see that

$$x - py' \in pL_\alpha G \cap \delta(G) = p\delta(G);$$

so $x = p(y' + z)$ for some $z \in \delta(G)$. Let $y = y' + z$. Then $x = py$ and $y + \delta(G) = y' + \delta(G) \in p^\gamma(L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G))$. By Lemma 2.5, $L_\alpha(G) = \delta(G) + \ker \pi_\gamma$. So there exists $y'' \in \ker \pi_\gamma$, $g \in \delta(G)$, such that $y = y'' + g$. Then $y'' + \delta(G) = y + \delta(G) \in p^\gamma(L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G))$. Thus, $y'' \in p^\gamma L_\alpha(G)$ by the induction hypothesis. It follows that $py'' \in p^\beta L_\alpha G \subseteq \ker \pi_\beta$. Thus, $pg = x - py'' \in \ker \pi_\beta$, so $pg \in \delta(G) \cap \ker \pi_\beta = p^\beta \delta(G)$, and we see that $x \in p^\beta L_\alpha(G)$.

Let β be a limit ordinal. Then

$$\pi_\gamma(x) - \pi_\gamma^p \pi_\beta(x) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad x + \delta(G) \in p^\beta(L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)) \subseteq p^\gamma(L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)).$$

So by the induction hypothesis we see that $x \in p^\gamma L_\alpha(G)$ for all $\gamma < \beta$, and thus $x \in \bigcap_{\beta < \gamma} p^\gamma L_\alpha(G) = p^\beta L_\alpha(G)$.

We can now show the equivalence of conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 2.4. Since $L_\alpha(G) = \delta(G) + \ker \pi_\beta$, we see that every element of $p^\beta(L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G))$ is the image of an element of $\ker \pi_\beta$. Lemma 2.6 then assures us that every element of $p^\beta(L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G))$ is the image of an element in $p^\beta L_\alpha(G)$ under the homomorphism

$$p^\beta L_\alpha(G) \longrightarrow (\delta(G) + p^\beta L_\alpha(G))/\delta(G) .$$

Since $(\delta(G) + p^\beta L_\alpha(G))/\delta(G) \cong p^\beta(L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G))$, it then follows that

$$(\delta(G) + p^\beta L_\alpha(G))/\delta(G) = p^\beta(L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)) .$$

If $L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)$ is p -divisible, then $p^\beta(L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)) = L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)$; and so $L_\alpha(G) = \delta(G) + p^\beta L_\alpha(G)$. Conversely, if $L_\alpha(G) = \delta(G) + p^\beta L_\alpha(G)$, then $p^\beta(L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)) = L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)$. This completes the proof.

3. Some applications. The following definition is due to Harrison [4].

DEFINITION 3.1. A g.p. group is called fully complete if $L_\alpha G = G/p^\alpha G$ for all limit ordinals α less than or equal to the length of G .

Harrison [4] conjectured that a g.p. group is cotorsion if and only if G is fully complete. Using Theorems 1.3 and 2.4, we can find an example of a g.p. cotorsion group G which is not fully complete.

Let Ω be the first uncountable ordinal. Nunke [11] has shown that $p^\Omega \text{Ext}$ is not hereditary. Therefore, by Proposition 4.1, [11] and Theorem 13 we have that $U_\Omega(G) \cong p^{\Omega^*} G \cong L_\Omega U_\Omega(G)$, for some group G . The group $U_\Omega(G)$ is a g.p. cotorsion group and is not fully complete.

Let $Z \twoheadrightarrow G_\Omega \twoheadrightarrow H_\Omega$ define p^Ω . Let M_Ω be the torsion subgroup of G_Ω . Nunke [11] has shown that M_Ω is not $p^\Omega \text{Ext}$ -projective. In showing that α is hereditary if and only if $U_\alpha(G) = p^{\alpha^*}(G)$ for all groups G , Nunke actually showed that $U_\alpha(G) = p^{\alpha^*}(G)$ if and only if $p^\alpha \text{Ext}(M_\alpha, G) = 0$, for G fixed.

LEMMA 3.2. $p^\Omega \text{Ext}(M_\Omega, \text{Tor}(M_\Omega, M_\Omega)) \neq 0$.

Proof. In [11] it is shown that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_\Omega & \cong & M_\Omega & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ Z \twoheadrightarrow & G_\Omega & \twoheadrightarrow & H_\Omega & \\ \parallel & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ Z \twoheadrightarrow & Q_p & \twoheadrightarrow & Z(p^\infty) & \end{array}$$

is exact and the last column is p^o -pure. Here $Q_p = \{a/b \in Q \mid b = p^n \text{ for some } n\}$. From this we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\text{Tor } M_o, M_o) & & \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 (\text{Tor } H_o, M_o) & \longrightarrow & M_o \otimes Z \xrightarrow{\beta} M_o \otimes G_o \\
 \gamma \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 M_o = \text{Tor}(Z(p^\infty), M_o) & \longrightarrow & M_o \otimes Z.
 \end{array}$$

Here β is the zero map; for if $x \otimes n \in M_o \otimes Z$, then $\beta(x \otimes n) = x \otimes n$. However, $n \in p^o G_o$. Thus $x \otimes n = 0$ in $M_o \otimes G_o$. Thus γ is onto. By Theorem 3.9 of [9], the sequence

$$E: \text{Tor}(M_o, M_o) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(H_o, M_o) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(Z(p^\infty), M_o) = M_o$$

is p^o -pure. Since M_o is not p^o -projective, M_o is not a summand of $\text{Tor}(H_o, M_o)$, Theorem [3.1] of [9]. Thus $E \neq 0$, and

$$p^o \text{Ext}(M_o, \text{Tor}(M_o, M_o)) \neq 0.$$

This shows that $p^{o*}(\text{Tor}(M_o, M_o)) \neq U_o(\text{Tor}(M_o, M_o))$. So, the group $U_o(\text{Tor}(M_o, M_o))$ serves as a counter example to Harrison's conjecture.

We are now in a position to examine condition (*) of Theorem 2.2. Let $G = U_o(\text{Tor}(M_o, M_o))$. Then $L_o G/G \neq 0$. Also, as $L_o G$ and G are cotorsion, $L_o G/G$ is reduced. Theorem 2.4 now tells us that conditions (1), (2), and (4) of Theorem 2.2 do not hold. It follows that if α is not a countable limit of lesser ordinals, then G need not be dense in $L_\alpha G$ in the natural topology. Also, the induced topology on $L_\alpha G$ need not be the natural topology on $L_\alpha G$.

DEFINITION 3.3. A g.p. group G is called generally complete provided $L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)$ is reduced for all limit ordinals α less than or equal to the length of G .

Notice that if the length of $G = \lambda(G)$ is less than Ω and if G is generally complete, then G is fully complete.

THEOREM 3.4. A necessary and sufficient condition for a g.p. group to be cotorsion is that it be generally complete.

Proof. Let G be g.p. cotorsion group. Then $G/p^\beta G$ is cotorsion for all β . By Theorem 5.3 of [9], $L_\alpha(G)$ is cotorsion. It follows that $L_\alpha(G)/\delta(G)$ is cotorsion and so reduced. Therefore, G is generally complete.

Let G be a g.p. generally complete group. Then $G/p^\beta G$ is generally

complete for all β . We will show by transfinite induction on α that $G/p^\alpha G$ is cotorsion for all α . If $\alpha = 0$, there is nothing to prove. Let $\alpha = \beta + 1$ for some ordinal β . The sequence $p^\beta G/p^\alpha G \rightarrow G/p^\alpha G \rightarrow G/p^\beta G$ is exact with ends cotorsion groups. Therefore, $G/p^\alpha G$ is cotorsion. Let α be a limit ordinal. Then, since G is generally complete, $L(G)/\delta(G)$ is reduced. The group $L_\alpha(G)$ is cotorsion, since by the induction hypothesis it is an inverse limit of cotorsion groups by Theorem 5.3 of [9]. Therefore, $\delta(G) = G/p^\alpha G$ is cotorsion.

This last theorem answers Question 3 posed by Fuchs in [3].

In [11] Nunke showed that $p^\alpha \text{Ext}$ is hereditary, if α is a limit ordinal less than Ω . In proving this he relied heavily upon Ulm's theorem. We now give a proof of this theorem which does not use Ulm's theorem.

THEOREM 3.5. *If α is an ordinal which satisfies condition (*) of theorem 2.4, then $p^\alpha \text{Ext}$ is hereditary.*

Proof. Since α satisfies condition (*) of Theorem 2.4 $L_\alpha U_\alpha(G)/U_\alpha(G)$ is divisible. However, $L_\alpha U_\alpha(G)$ and $U_\alpha(G)$ are cotorsion groups; therefore, $L_\alpha U_\alpha(G)/U_\alpha(G)$ must be reduced. Thus, $L_\alpha U_\alpha(G) = U_\alpha(G)$, for all groups G .

Let β be a hereditary ordinal; then $\beta + n$ is also hereditary Proposition 4.2 of [11]. If $\alpha < \Omega$, Proposition 4.1 of [11] and Theorem 1.3 give the desired result. If $\alpha \geq \Omega$, then $\alpha + \omega + n$ is hereditary if n is any integer, by Proposition 4.2 of [11]. This fact together with Theorem 1.3 give the desired result.

We remark that for all other ordinals β $p^\beta \text{Ext}$ is not hereditary. A proof of this fact may be found in [11].

The author wishes to thank Professor Ronald J. Nunke for his encouragement and valuable suggestions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. M. C. R. Butler and G. Horrocks, *Classes of extensions and resolutions*, Phil. Trans. Royal Soc., London (A) **254** (1961), 155-222.
2. L. Fuchs, *Abelian groups*, Budapest, 1958.
3. ———, *Recent results and problems on abelian groups*, Topics in Abelian Groups, Chicago, 1963.
4. D. K. Harrison, *On the structure of Ext*, Topics in Abelian Groups, Chicago, 1963.
5. J. M. Irwin, C. Walker, E. A. Walker, *On p^α -pure sequences of abelian groups*, Topics in Abelian Groups, Chicago, 1963, 69-119.
6. L. Ya Kulikov, *Generalized primary groups I*, Trudy Mat. Obshestva I, (1952), 247-326 (Russian).
7. ———, *Generalized primary groups II*, Trudy Mat. Obshestva II, (1953), 85-167 (Russian).

8. S. MacLane, *Homology*, Berlin, 1963.
9. R. J. Nunke, *Purity and subfunctors of the identity*, Topics in Abelian Groups, Chicago, 1963.
10. ———, *On the structure of Tor*, Proceedings of the Colloquium on Abelian Groups, Budapest, 1964.
11. ———, *Homology and direct sums of countable abelian groups*, (to appear)
12. D. Zelinsky, *Rings with ideal nuclei*, Duke Math. J. **18** (1951), 431-442.

Received August 1966. This paper is part of the author's dissertation submitted to the Graduate School of the University of Washington.