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#### Abstract

The main results of this paper can be stated as follows. Let $M_{1}, M_{2}$ be two big open submanifolds of the Riemannian manifolds ( $R_{1}^{2}, h_{1}$ ) and ( $R_{2}^{2}, h_{2}$ ), respectively. The submanifolds $M_{1}, M_{2}$ with the metrics $h_{1} / M_{1}$ and $h_{2} / M_{2}$, respectively, have positive constant sectional curvature. We have constructed a special I-parameter family of Riemannian metrics $d(t)$ on $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ which is the deformation of the product metric $h_{1} / M_{1} \times h_{2} / M_{2}$ and it has strictly positive sectional curvature. In other words, we have proved that $\forall P \in M_{1} \times M_{2}$ the derivative of the sectional curvature with respect to the parameter $t$ for $t=0$ and for any plane which is spanned by $X \in\left(M_{1}\right)_{p}$ and $Y \in\left(M_{2}\right)_{p}$ is strictly positive.


Let $S^{2}$ be a two-dimensional sphere with the canonical metric $g$ whose sectional curvature is positive constant. Consider the product of two manifolds $S^{2} \times S^{2}$. It is not known, ([1], p. 287), ([4], p, 171), ([11], p. 106), if there exists a deformation of the metric $g \times g$ with strictly positive sectional curvature.

Let $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ be a two-dimensional Euclidean space with the metric $h$ induced from the canonical metric $g$ of $S^{2}$. It is obvious that the Riemannian manifold $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ with the metric $h$ has constant sectional curvature. Consider two such Riemannian manifolds $\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{1}^{2}, h_{1}\right)$, $\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{2}^{2}, h_{2}\right)$. The space $\boldsymbol{R}_{1}^{2} \times \boldsymbol{R}_{2}^{2}$ with the metric $h_{1} \times h_{2}$ has no negative sectional curvature. I do not know if there is a deformation of the metric $h_{1} \times h_{2}$ whose sectional curvature is strictly positive.

1. Let $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ be a Euclidean plane which is referred to a coordinate system ( $u_{1}, u_{2}$ ) on which we obtain a metric defined by

$$
h_{1}=\left\{h_{11}=1, h_{12}=h_{21}=0, h_{22}=\sin ^{2} u_{1}\right\},
$$

whose sectional curvature is positive constant 1.
Consider an open Riemannian submanifold $M_{1}$ of the Riemannian manifold ( $\boldsymbol{R}_{1}^{2}, h_{1}$ ) defined by

$$
M_{1}=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}_{1}^{2}: 0<u_{1}<\frac{\pi}{2},-\infty<u_{2}<\infty\right\},
$$

whose metric is $h_{1} / M_{1}$.
Let $\boldsymbol{R}_{2}^{2}$ be also another Euclidean plane referred to a coordinate system ( $u_{3}, u_{4}$ ) on which we take a metric defined by

$$
h_{2}=\left\{h_{33}=1, h_{34}=h_{43}=0, h_{44}=\sin ^{2} u_{3}\right\}
$$

We also consider an open Riemannian submanifold $M_{2}$ of $\boldsymbol{R}_{2}^{2}$ defined by

$$
M_{2}=\left\{\left(u_{3}, u_{4}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{2}: 0<u_{3}<\frac{\pi}{2},-\infty<u_{4}<\infty\right\}
$$

whose metric is $h_{2} / M_{2}$.
Let $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ be the product manifold of $M_{1}, M_{2}$ which is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1} \times M_{2} & =\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}_{1}^{2} \times \boldsymbol{R}_{2}^{2}: 0<u_{1}<\frac{\pi}{2}\right. \\
-\infty & \left.<u_{2}<\infty, 0<u_{3}<\frac{\pi}{2},-\infty<u_{4}<\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the manifold $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ we get a special 1-parameter family of Riemannian metrics defined by

$$
d(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d_{11}=1+t f_{1}, d_{22}=\sin ^{2} u_{1}\left(1+t f_{2}\right),  \tag{1.1}\\
d_{33}=1+t \varphi_{1}, d_{44}=\sin ^{2} u_{3}\left(1+t \varphi_{2}\right), d_{i j}=0, \text { if } i \neq j
\end{array}\right.
$$

where
$f_{1}=f_{1}\left(u_{3}, u_{4}\right), f_{2}=f_{2}\left(u_{3}, u_{4}\right), \varphi_{1}=\varphi_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), \varphi_{2}=\varphi_{2}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right),-\varepsilon<t<\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon$ is a small positive number.

It is obvious that $d(0)=h_{1} / \mathrm{M}_{1} \times h_{2} / M_{2}$.
2. Let $P$ be any point of $M_{1} \times M_{2}$. As is known, the sectional curvature of a plane spanned two vectors $X, Y$ of the tangent space $\left(M_{1} \times M_{2}\right)_{P}$ is given by

$$
\sigma(X, Y)(t)=-\frac{\langle R(X, Y) X, Y\rangle}{\|X\|^{2}\|Y\|^{2}-\langle X, Y\rangle^{2}}
$$

If we apply Taylor's expansion theorem for the function $\sigma(X, Y)(t)$, we get

$$
\sigma(X, Y)(t)=\sigma(X, Y)(0)+\sigma_{t}^{\prime}(X, Y)(0) \frac{t}{1}+\sigma_{t}^{\prime \prime}(X, Y)(0) \frac{t^{2}}{2!}+\cdots
$$

From the above formula we conclude that the sign of $\sigma(X, Y)(t)$ depends on the sign of $\sigma(X, Y)(0)$, if $t$ is a small positive number and $\sigma(X, Y)(0) \neq 0$, but if $\sigma(X, Y)=0$, then its sign depends on $t \sigma_{t}^{\prime}(X, Y)(0)$.

As is known ([1], p. 287), $\sigma(X, Y)(0)=0$, if $X \in\left(M_{1}\right)_{P}$ and $Y \in\left(M_{2}\right)_{P}$. In this case we estimate $\sigma(X, Y)(t)$ which is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(X, Y)(t)=-\frac{A(t)}{B(t)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A(t) & =\langle R(X, Y) X, Y\rangle=R_{1313}\left(X^{1}\right)^{2}\left(Y^{3}\right)^{2}+R_{1414}\left(X^{1}\right)^{2}\left(Y^{4}\right)^{2} \\
& +R_{2233}\left(X^{2}\right)^{2}\left(Y^{3}\right)^{2}+R_{2424}\left(X^{2}\right)^{2}\left(Y^{4}\right)^{2}+2 R_{1323} X^{1} X^{2}\left(Y^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +2 R_{134}\left(X^{1}\right)^{2} Y^{3} Y^{4}+2 R_{2324}\left(X^{2}\right)^{2} Y^{3} Y^{4}+2 R_{1424} X^{1} X^{2}\left(Y^{4}\right)^{2}  \tag{2.2}\\
& +2\left(R_{1324}+R_{1423}\right) X^{1} X^{2} Y^{3} Y^{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(t)=\left\{d_{11}\left(X^{1}\right)^{2}+d_{22}\left(X^{2}\right)^{2}\right\}\left\{d_{33}\left(Y^{3}\right)^{2}+d_{44}\left(Y^{2}\right)^{2}\right\}>0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

because, in this case, $\langle X, Y\rangle=0$.
From relation (2.1), we obtain

$$
\sigma(X, Y)(0)=-\frac{A(0)}{B(0)}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(0)=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we differentiate the same relation (2.1) with respect to $t$, we obtain

$$
\sigma_{t}^{\prime}(X, Y)(0)=-\frac{A^{\prime}(0) B(0)-A(0) B^{\prime}(0)}{B^{2}(0)}
$$

which, by virtue of (2.4), takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}^{\prime}(X, Y)(0)=-\frac{A^{\prime}(0)}{B(0)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the formula (2.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{\prime}(0) & =R_{1313}^{\prime}(0)\left(X^{1}\right)^{2}\left(Y^{3}\right)^{2}+R_{2323}^{\prime}(0)\left(X^{2}\right)^{2}\left(Y^{3}\right)^{2}+R_{1414}^{\prime}(0)\left(X^{1}\right)^{2}\left(Y^{4}\right)^{2} \\
& +R_{2424}^{\prime}(0)\left(X^{2}\right)^{2}\left(Y^{4}\right)^{2}+2 R_{133}^{\prime}(0) X^{1} X^{2}\left(Y^{3}\right)^{2}+2 R_{1344}^{\prime}(0)\left(X^{1}\right)^{2} Y^{3} Y^{4}  \tag{2.6}\\
& +2 R_{2324}^{\prime}(0)\left(X^{2}\right)^{2} Y^{3} Y^{4}+2 R_{1424}^{\prime}(0) X^{1} X^{2}\left(Y^{4}\right)^{2} \\
& +2\left\{R_{1324}^{\prime}(0)+R_{1423}^{\prime}(0)\right\} X^{1} X^{2} Y^{3} Y^{4}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall estimate the coefficients of the Riemannian tensor which appear in the formula (2.6). As is known, $R_{i j k l}$ is given by ([18], p. 18)

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i j k l}= & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} d_{i k}}{\partial u_{j} \partial u_{l}}+\frac{\partial^{2} d_{j l}}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{k}}-\frac{\partial^{2} d_{j k}}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{l}}-\frac{\partial^{2} d_{i l}}{\partial u_{j} \partial u_{k}}\right\} \\
& -d_{r s}\left\{\Gamma_{j k}^{r} \Gamma_{i l}^{s}-\Gamma_{j l}^{r} \Gamma_{i k}^{r}\right\}, \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{j k}^{r}, \Gamma_{i l}^{s}, \Gamma_{j l}^{r}, \Gamma_{i k}^{s}$ are the Christoffel symbols of second kind.
From (1.1) and (2.7), if we make the calculations, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1313}=\frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial u_{3}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{1}}{\partial u_{1}{ }^{2}}\right)-\frac{t^{2}}{4}\left\{\frac{\left(\partial f_{1} / \partial u_{3}\right)^{2}}{1+t f_{1}}+\frac{\left(\partial \varphi_{1} / \partial u_{1}\right)^{2}}{1+t \varphi_{1}}\right\}, \\
& R_{1414}=\frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial u_{4}{ }^{2}}+\sin ^{2} u_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{2}}{\partial u_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\sin 2 u_{3}\left(\partial f_{1} / \partial u_{3}\right)}{2\left(1+t \varphi_{1}\right)}\right) \\
& -\frac{t^{2}}{4}\left\{\frac{\left(\partial f_{1} / \partial u_{4}\right)^{2}}{1+t f_{1}}+\frac{\sin ^{2} u_{3}\left(\partial \varphi_{2} / \partial u_{1}\right)^{2}}{1+t f_{2}}-\frac{\sin 2 u_{3}\left(\partial f_{1} / \partial u_{3}\right) \varphi_{2}}{1+t \varphi_{1}}\right\}, \\
& R_{2323}=\frac{t}{2}\left(\sin ^{2} u_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial u_{3}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{1}}{\partial u_{2}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\sin 2 u_{1}\left(\partial \varphi_{1} / \partial u_{1}\right)}{2\left(1+t f_{1}\right)}\right) \\
& -\frac{t^{2}}{4}\left\{\frac{\sin ^{2} u_{1}\left(\partial f_{2} / \partial u_{3}\right)^{2}}{1+t f_{2}}+\frac{\left(\partial \varphi_{1} / \partial u_{2}\right)^{2}}{1+t \varphi_{1}}-\frac{\sin 2 u_{1} f_{2}\left(\partial \varphi_{1} / \partial u_{1}\right)}{1+t f_{1}}\right\}, \\
& R_{2424}=\frac{t}{2}\left(\sin ^{2} u_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial u_{4}^{2}}+\sin ^{2} u_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{2}}{\partial u_{2}^{2}}+\frac{\sin 2 u_{1} \sin ^{2} u_{3}\left(\partial \varphi_{2} / \partial u_{1}\right)}{2\left(1+t f_{1}\right)}\right.  \tag{2.8}\\
& R_{1323}=\frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{1}}{\partial u_{1} \partial u_{2}}-2 \frac{\cos u_{1}}{\sin u_{1}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial u_{2}}\right)-\frac{t^{2}}{4} \frac{\left(\partial \varphi_{1} / \partial u_{1}\right)\left(\partial \varphi_{1} / \partial u_{2}\right)}{1+t \varphi_{1}}, \\
& R_{1314}=\frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial u_{3} \partial u_{4}}-2 \frac{\cos u_{3}}{\sin u_{3}} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial u_{4}}\right)-\frac{t^{2}}{4} \frac{\left(\partial f_{1} / \partial u_{3}\right)\left(\partial f_{1} / \partial u_{4}\right)}{1+t f_{1}}, \\
& R_{2324}=\frac{t}{2} \sin ^{2} u_{1}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial u_{3} \partial u_{4}}-\frac{\cos u_{3}}{\sin u_{3}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial u_{4}}\right) \\
& -\frac{t^{2}}{4} \frac{\sin ^{2} u_{1}\left(\partial f_{2} / \partial u_{3}\right)\left(\partial f_{2} / \partial u_{4}\right)}{1+t f_{2}},  \tag{2.9}\\
& R_{1424}=\frac{t}{2} \sin ^{2} u_{3}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{2}}{\partial u_{1} \partial u_{2}}-\frac{\cos u_{1}}{\sin u_{1}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{2}}{\partial u_{2}}\right) \\
& -\frac{t^{2}}{4} \frac{\sin ^{2} u_{3}\left(\partial \varphi_{2} / \partial u_{1}\right)\left(\partial \varphi_{2} / \partial u_{2}\right)}{1+t \varphi_{2}} . \\
& R_{1324}=R_{1423}=0 . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

If we choose the functions $\varphi_{1}, f_{1}, f_{2}, \varphi_{2}$ such that they satisfy the partial differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{1}}{\partial u_{1} \partial u_{2}}-2 \frac{\cos u_{1}}{\sin u_{1}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial u_{2}}=0, \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial u_{3} \partial u_{4}}-2 \frac{\cos u_{3}}{\sin u_{3}} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial u_{4}}=0, \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial u_{3} \partial u_{4}}-\frac{\cos u_{3}}{\sin u_{3}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial u_{4}}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{2}}{\partial u_{1} \partial u_{2}}-\frac{\cos u_{1}}{\sin u_{1}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{2}}{\partial u_{2}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

then the formulas (2.9) take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{1323} & =-\frac{t^{2}}{4} \frac{\left(\partial \varphi_{1} / \partial u_{1}\right)\left(\partial \varphi_{1} / \partial u_{2}\right)}{1+t \varphi_{1}} \\
R_{1314} & =-\frac{t^{2}}{4} \frac{\left(\partial f_{1} / \partial u_{3}\right)\left(\partial f_{1} / \partial u_{4}\right)}{1+t f_{1}} \\
R_{2324} & =-\frac{t^{2}}{4} \frac{\sin ^{2} u_{1}\left(\partial f_{2} / \partial u_{3}\right)\left(\partial f_{2} / \partial u_{4}\right)}{1+t f_{2}},  \tag{2.12}\\
R_{1424} & =-\frac{t^{2}}{4} \frac{\sin ^{2} u_{3}\left(\partial \varphi_{2} / \partial u_{1}\right)\left(\partial \varphi_{2} / \partial u_{2}\right)}{1+t \varphi_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

From the relations (2.8) and (2.12) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{333}^{\prime}(0)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial u_{3}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{1}}{\partial u_{1}{ }^{2}}\right), \\
R_{1444}^{\prime}(0)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial u_{4}{ }^{2}}+\sin ^{2} u_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{2}}{\partial u_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\sin 2 u_{3}}{2} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial u_{3}}\right), \\
R_{2333}^{\prime}(0)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{1}}{\partial u_{1}^{2}}+\sin ^{2} u_{1} \frac{\hat{\partial}^{2} f_{2}}{\partial u_{3}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\sin 2 u_{1}}{2} \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial u_{1}}\right),  \tag{2.13}\\
R_{2444}^{\prime}(0)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\sin ^{2} u_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial u_{4}{ }^{2}}+\sin ^{2} u_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{2}}{\partial u_{2}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\sin 2 u_{1} \sin ^{2} u_{3}}{2} \frac{\partial \varphi_{2}}{\partial u_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\sin 2 u_{3} \sin ^{2} u_{1}}{2} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial u_{3}}\right) . \\
& R_{1333}^{\prime}(0)=R_{1314}^{\prime}(0)=R_{2324}^{\prime}(0)=R_{1424}^{\prime}(0)=0 . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The first partial differential equation of (2.11) can be written

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{1}}{\partial u_{1} \partial u_{2}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}} \log \sin ^{2} u_{1} \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial u_{2}}=0,
$$

or

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{1} / \partial u_{1} \partial u_{2}}{\partial \varphi_{1} / \partial u_{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}} \log \sin ^{2} u_{1},
$$

or

$$
\frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial u_{2}}=Z\left(u_{2}\right) \sin ^{2} u_{1}
$$

whose general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}=V_{1}\left(u_{2}\right) \sin ^{2} u_{1}+T_{1}\left(u_{1}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{1}\left(u_{2}\right)$ and $T_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)$ are arbitrary functions of $u_{2}$ and $u_{1}$, respectively.
We can find the general solutions of the rest of partial differential equations (2.11) in the same way. The general solutions of these equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1} & =\sin ^{2} u_{3} \lambda_{1}\left(u_{4}\right)+\mu_{1}\left(u_{3}\right), \\
\varphi_{2} & =\sin u_{1} V_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)+T_{2}\left(u_{1}\right),  \tag{2.16}\\
f_{2} & =\sin u_{3} \lambda_{2}\left(u_{4}\right)+\mu_{2}\left(u_{3}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}\left(u_{4}\right), \mu_{1}\left(u_{3}\right), V_{2}\left(u_{2}\right), T_{2}\left(u_{1}\right), \lambda_{2}\left(u_{4}\right), \mu\left(u_{3}\right)$ are arbitrary functions of $u_{4}, u_{3}, u_{2}, u_{1}, u_{4}, u_{3}$, respectively.

The formulas (2.13) by virtue of (2.15) and (2.16) take the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{133}^{\prime}(0)= & \frac{1}{2}\left\{2 \cos 2 u_{1} V_{1}\left(u_{2}\right)+T_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right\}+\frac{1}{2}\left\{2 \cos 2 u_{3} \lambda_{1}\left(u_{4}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\mu_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{3}\right)\right\}, \\
R_{1414}^{\prime}(0)= & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\sin ^{2} u_{3}\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{4}\right)+T_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right)+\frac{\sin ^{2} 2 u_{3}}{2} \lambda_{1}\left(u_{4}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\sin 2 u_{3}}{2} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{3}\right)-\sin ^{2} u_{3} \sin u_{1} V_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
R_{2323}^{\prime}(0)= & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\sin ^{2} u_{1}\left(\mu_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{3}\right)+V_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{2}\right)\right)+\frac{\sin ^{2} 2 u_{1}}{2} V_{1}\left(u_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\sin 2 u_{1}}{2} T_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)-\sin ^{2} u_{1} \sin u_{3} \lambda_{2}\left(u_{4}\right)\right\}, \\
R_{2424}^{\prime}(0)= & \frac{\sin ^{2} u_{1} \sin u_{3}}{2}\left\{\lambda_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{4}\right)+\cos u_{3} \mu_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{3}\right)+\cos ^{2} u_{3} \lambda_{2}\left(u_{4}\right)\right. \\
& +\frac{\sin u_{1} \sin ^{2} u_{3}}{2}\left\{V_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{2}\right)+\cos u_{1} T_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)+\cos ^{2} u_{1} V_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The relation (2.6) by means of (2.10) and (2.14) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{\prime}(0)= & R_{1313}^{\prime}(0)\left(X^{1}\right)^{2}\left(Y^{3}\right)^{2}+R_{2333}^{\prime}(0)\left(X^{2}\right)^{2}\left(Y^{3}\right)^{2}+R_{1444}^{\prime}(0)\left(X^{1}\right)^{2}\left(Y^{4}\right)^{2}  \tag{2.18}\\
& +R_{2424}^{\prime}(0)\left(X^{2}\right)^{2}\left(Y^{4}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

In order that $\sigma^{\prime}(X, Y)(0)=-A^{\prime}(0) / B(0)$ be positive on the Riemannian manifold $M_{1} \times M_{2}$, it must be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}^{\prime}(0)<0 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the formula (2.18) we conclude that (2.19) is valid when we have

$$
R_{1313}^{\prime}(0)<0, \quad R_{1414}^{\prime}(0)<0, \quad R_{2333}^{\prime}(0)<0, \quad R_{2424}^{\prime}(0)<0,
$$

which, by virtue of (2.17), take the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\{2 \cos 2 u_{1} V_{1}\left(u_{2}\right)+T_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right\}+\frac{1}{2}\left\{2 \cos 2 u_{3} \lambda_{1}\left(u_{4}\right)+\mu_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{3}\right)\right\}<0, \\
& \frac{1}{2}\left\{\sin ^{2} u_{3}\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{4}\right)+T_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right)+\frac{\sin ^{2} 2 u_{3}}{2} \lambda_{1}\left(u_{4}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 u_{3}}{2} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\sin ^{2} u_{3} \sin u_{1} V_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\}<0, \\
& \frac{1}{2}\left\{\sin ^{2} u_{1}\left(\mu_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{3}\right)+V_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{2}\right)\right)+\frac{\sin ^{2} 2 u_{1}}{2} V_{1}\left(u_{2}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 u_{1}}{2} T_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\sin ^{2} u_{1} \sin u_{3} \lambda_{2}\left(u_{4}\right)\right\}<0, \\
& \frac{\sin ^{2} u_{1} \sin u_{3}}{2}\left\{\lambda_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{4}\right)+\cos u_{3} \mu_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{3}\right)+\cos ^{2} u_{3} \lambda_{2}\left(u_{4}\right)\right\} \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\frac{\sin u_{1} \sin ^{2} u_{3}}{2}\right\} V_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{2}\right)+\cos u_{1} T_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)+\cos ^{2} u_{1} V_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\}<0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which must be valid on the Riemannian manifold $M_{1} \times M_{2}$.
The above inequalities hold if we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \cos 2 u_{1} V_{1}\left(u_{2}\right)+T_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{1}\right)<0, \\
& \sin ^{2} u_{1}\left(\mu_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{3}\right)+V_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{2}\right)\right)+\frac{\sin ^{2} 2 u_{1}}{2} V_{1}\left(u_{2}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 u_{1}}{2} T_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)  \tag{2.20}\\
& \quad-\sin ^{2} u_{1} \sin u_{3} \lambda_{2}\left(u_{4}\right)<0, \\
& \lambda_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{4}\right)+\cos u_{3} \mu_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{3}\right)+\cos ^{2} u_{3} \lambda_{2}\left(u_{4}\right)<0, \\
& 2 \cos 2 u_{3} \lambda_{1}\left(u_{4}\right)+\mu_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{3}\right)<0, \\
& \sin ^{2} u_{3}\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{4}\right)+T_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right)+\frac{\sin ^{2} 2 u_{3}}{2} \lambda_{1}\left(u_{4}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 u_{3}}{2} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{3}\right)  \tag{2.21}\\
& \quad-\sin ^{2} u_{3} \sin u_{1} V_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)<0, \\
& V_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{2}\right)+\cos u_{1} T_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)+\cos ^{2} u_{1} V_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)<0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The inequalities (2.21) are similar to the inequalities (2.20); for this reason we shall only study the inequalities (2.20).

The factor $\cos 2 u_{1}$ changes sign when $0<u_{1}<2 / \pi$; from this and from the fact that $V_{1}\left(u_{2}\right)$ and $V_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{2}\right)$ must have constant sign and bounded when $-\infty<u_{2}<\infty$, we conclude that $V_{1}\left(u_{2}\right)$ must be a constant negative number $-\alpha$.

From the above remark, the inequalities (2.20) take the form

$$
-2 \alpha \cos 2 u_{1}+T_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{1}\right)<0,
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sin ^{2} u_{1} \mu_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{3}\right)-\alpha \frac{\sin ^{2} 2 u_{1}}{2}+\frac{\sin 2 u_{1}}{2} T_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)-\sin ^{2} u_{1} \sin u_{3} \lambda_{2}\left(u_{4}\right)<0,  \tag{2.22}\\
& \lambda_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{4}\right)+\cos u_{3} \mu_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{3}\right)+\cos ^{2} u_{3} \lambda_{2}\left(u_{4}\right)<0 .
\end{align*}
$$

In order for the second and the third inequalities of (2.22) to be valid, the function $\lambda_{2}\left(u_{4}\right)$ must be a positive constant number $\beta$.

Therefore the above inequalities become

$$
-2 \alpha \cos 2 u_{1}+T_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{1}\right)<0,
$$

(2.23) $\sin ^{2} u_{1} \mu_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{3}\right)-\frac{\alpha \sin ^{2} 2 u_{1}}{2}+\frac{\sin 2 u_{1}}{2} T_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)-\beta \sin ^{2} u_{1} \sin u_{3}<0$,

$$
\mu_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{3}\right)+\beta \cos u_{3}<0 .
$$

If the functions $T_{1}\left(u_{1}\right), \mu_{2}\left(u_{3}\right)$ are chosen such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)<0, \quad \max \left\{T_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right\}<-2 \alpha, & 0<u_{1}<\frac{\pi}{2} \\
\max \left\{\mu_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{3}\right)\right\}<-\beta, \quad \mu_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{3}\right)<0, & 0<u_{3}<\frac{\pi}{2},
\end{array}
$$

then the inequalities (2.23) hold.
We also conclude that if the functions $\lambda_{1}\left(u_{4}\right), V_{2}\left(u_{2}\right), \mu_{1}\left(u_{3}\right), T_{2}\left(u_{1}\right)$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{1}\left(u_{4}\right)=-\gamma, \quad V_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)=\delta, \\
\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{3}\right)<0, \quad \max \left\{\mu_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{3}\right)\right\}<-2 \gamma, \quad 0<u_{3}<\frac{\pi}{2}, \\
\max \left\{T_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right\}<-\delta, \quad T_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{1}\right)<0, \quad 0<u_{1}<\frac{\pi}{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

then the inequalities (2.21) hold.
Therefore, if the functions $\varphi_{1}, f_{1}, \varphi_{2}, f_{2}$ have the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi_{1}=-\alpha \sin ^{2} u_{1}+T_{1}\left(u_{1}\right), & \alpha>0, \\
f_{1}=-\gamma \sin ^{2} u_{3}+\mu_{1}\left(u_{3}\right), & \gamma>0, \\
\varphi_{2}=\delta \sin u_{1}+T_{2}\left(u_{1}\right), & \delta>0,  \tag{2.24}\\
f_{2}=\beta \sin u_{3}+\mu_{2}\left(u_{3}\right), & \beta>0,
\end{array}
$$

such that the functions $T_{1}\left(u_{1}\right), \mu_{1}\left(u_{3}\right), T_{2}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $\mu_{2}\left(u_{3}\right)$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)<0, \quad \max \left\{T_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right\}<-2 \alpha, & 0<u_{1}<\frac{\pi}{2}, \\
\max \left\{\mu_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{3}\right)\right\}<-\beta, \quad \mu_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{3}\right)<0, & 0<u_{3}<\frac{\pi}{2}, \\
\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{3}\right)<0, \max \left\{\mu_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{3}\right)\right\}<-2 \gamma, & 0<u_{3}<\frac{\pi}{2},  \tag{2.25}\\
\max \left\{T_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right\}<-\delta, \quad T_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{1}\right)<0, & 0<u_{1}<\frac{\pi}{2},
\end{array}
$$

then $\sigma_{t}^{\prime}(X, Y)(0)>0$ for $X \in\left(M_{1}\right)_{P}, Y \in\left(M_{2}\right)_{P}$.
Hence we have the following theorem.
Theorem. Let $M_{1}, M_{2}$ be two Riemannian spaces with positive constant sectional curvature defined in §1. If we consider a special 1-parameter family of Riemannian metrics $d(t)$ on $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ defined by (1.1) where the functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ have the form (2.24) in which the functions $T_{1}\left(u_{1}\right), \mu_{1}\left(u_{3}\right), T_{2}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $\mu_{2}\left(u_{3}\right)$ must satisfy the conditions (2.25), then $\forall P \in M_{1} \times M_{2}$ the derivative of the sectional curvature of any plane spanned by $X \in\left(M_{1}\right)_{P}$ and $Y \in\left(M_{2}\right)_{P}$ with respect to $t$ for $t=0$ is strictly positive.

From the above, we conclude that if the parameter $t$ is positive and small enough, then the corresponding Riemannian metric $d(t)$ defined by (1.1) on $M_{1} \times M_{2}$, where the functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ have the form (2.24) in which the functions $T_{1}\left(u_{1}\right), \mu_{1}\left(u_{3}\right), T_{2}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $\mu_{2}\left(u_{3}\right)$ must satisfy the conditions (2.25), has strictly positive sectional curvature.
3. We can extend the manifold $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ to a manifold

$$
N_{1} \times N_{2} \supset M_{1} \times M_{2}
$$

such that there is a deformation of another product metric on $N_{1} \times N_{2}$ which has strictly positive sectional curvature.

This method can be stated as follows. On the Euclidean plane $\boldsymbol{R}_{2}^{2}$ we obtain a metric which is given by

$$
\omega_{1}=\left\{\omega_{11}=1, \quad \omega_{12}=\omega_{21}=0, \quad \omega_{22}=\sin ^{2} \frac{u_{1}}{n}\right\}
$$

where $n$ is an integer $>1$. The sectional curvature of this metric is $1 / n^{2}$.

Now, consider an open Riemannian submanifold $N_{1}$ of the Riemannian manifold ( $\boldsymbol{R}_{1}^{2}, \omega_{1}$ ) defined by

$$
N_{1}=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}_{1}^{2}: 0<u_{1}<n \frac{\pi}{2},-\infty<u_{2}<\infty\right\},
$$

whose metric is $\omega_{1} / N_{1}$.
Similarly, on the Euclidean plane $\boldsymbol{R}_{2}^{2}$, we obtain a metric which is given by

$$
\omega_{2}=\left\{\omega_{33}=1, \quad \omega_{34}=\omega_{43}=0, \quad \omega_{44}=\sin ^{2} \frac{u_{3}}{n}\right\}
$$

whose sectional curvature is $1 / n^{2}$.
Let $N_{2}$ be an open Riemannian submanifold of the Riemannian manifold ( $\boldsymbol{R}_{2}^{2}, \omega_{2}$ ) which is defined by

$$
N_{2}=\left\{\left(u_{3}, u_{4}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}_{2}^{2}: 0<u_{3}<n \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad-\infty<u_{4}<\infty\right\},
$$

whose metric is $\omega_{2} / N_{2}$.
We consider the product manifold $N_{1} \times N_{2}$ of $N_{1}, N_{2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1} \times N_{2}=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}_{1}^{2} \times \boldsymbol{R}_{2}^{2}: 0<u_{1}<n \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad-\infty<u_{2}<\infty,\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad 0<u_{3}<n \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad-\infty<u_{4}<\infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is obvious that $\left(N_{1} \times N_{2}\right) \supset\left(M_{1} \times M_{2}\right)$ and with the same technique as in $\S 2$ we can prove that there is a deformation of the metric $\omega_{1} / N_{1} \times \omega_{2} / N_{2}$ which has strictly positive sectional curvature on the manifold $N_{1} \times N_{2}$.
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