# CONCERNING THE INFINITE DIFFERENTIABILITY OF SEMIGROUP MOTIONS 

J. W. Spellmann

Let $S$ be a real Banach space. Let $C$ denote the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $T$ of bounded linear transformations on $S$. This paper presents a construction which proves that for each $b>1$ there is a dense subset $D(b)$ of $S$ so that if $p$ is in $D(b)$, then
(A) $p$ is in the domain of $C^{n}$ for all positive integers $n$ and
(B) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|C^{n} p\right\|(n!)^{-b}=0$.

Condition (B) will be used in § 3 to obtain series solutions to the partial differential equations $U_{12}=C U$ and $U_{11}=C U$.

Suppose $G$ is a strongly continuous one-parameter group of bounded linear transformations on $S$ which has the property that there is a positive number $K$ so that $|G(x)|<K$ for all numbers $x$. Let $A$ denote the infinitesimal generator of $G$. In 1939, Gelfand [1] presented a construction which showed there is a dense subset $R$ of $S$ so that if $p$ is in $R$, then
(C) $p$ is in the domain of $A^{n}$ for all positive integers $n$ and
(D) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|A^{n} p\right\|(n!)^{-1}=0$.

Hille and Phillips, in their work on Semigroups [2], used Gelfand's construction to prove there is a dense subset $R$ of $S$ which satisfies condition (A) with respect to the operator $C$. Hille and Phillips, however, do not present estimates on the size of $\left\|C^{n} p\right\|$. Also, this author has not been able to use their construction to obtain estimates on the size of $\left\|C^{n} p\right\|$.
2. Infinite differentiability of semigroup motions. Let $b>1$. Let $a$ be a number so that $1<a<b$. Let $M$ be a positive number so that $|T(X)|<M$ for all nonnegative numbers $x$ less than or equal $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-a}$. For each point $p$ in the domain of $C$ (denoted by $D_{C}$ ) and each positive integer $n$, let $p(n+1, n)=p$. For each point $p$ in $D_{C}$ and each pair ( $k, n$ ) of positive integers so that $k \leqq n$, let

$$
p(k, n)=k^{a} \int_{0}^{k^{-a}} d u T(u) p(k+1, n) .
$$

Theorem 1. Suppose $p$ is in $D_{c}$ and each of $k$ and $n$ is a positive integer. Then

$$
\|p(k, k+n-1)\| \leqq M\|p\| .
$$

Proof. Let $w=\prod_{j=0}^{n=1}(k+j)^{a}$. For each nonnegative integer $j$,
let $r(j)=(k+j)^{-a}$. Then
$\|p(k, k+n-1)\|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =w\left\|\int_{0}^{r(0)} d u_{0} T\left(u_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{r(1)} d u_{1} T\left(u_{1}\right) \cdots \int_{0}^{r(n-1)} d u_{n-1} T\left(u_{n-1}\right) p\right\| \\
& =w\left\|\int_{0}^{r(0)} d u_{0} \int_{0}^{r(1)} d u_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{r(n-1)} d u_{n-1} T\left(u_{0}+u_{1}+\cdots+u_{n-1}\right) p\right\|<M\|p\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2. Suppose $p$ is in $D_{C}$ and $k$ is a positive integer. Then

$$
\|p(k, k)-p\| \leqq M\|C p\| k^{-a}
$$

Proof. Theorem 2 follows from the definition of $p(k, k)$ and the fact that $T(x) p-p=\int_{0}^{x} d u T(u) C p$ for all $x>0$.

Theorem 3. Suppose $p$ is in $D_{c}$ and each of $k$ and $n$ is a positive integer. Then

$$
\|p(k, k+n)-p(k, k+n-1)\| \leqq M^{2}\|C p\|(k+n)^{-a}
$$

Proof. Let $w$ and $r(j)$ be defined as in the proof of Theorem 1. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|p(k, k+n)-p(k, k+n-1)\| \\
& =(k+n)^{a} w\left\|\int_{0}^{r(0)} d u_{0} T\left(u_{0}\right) \cdots \int_{0}^{r(n-1)} d u_{n-1} T\left(u_{n-1}\right)\left[\int_{0}^{r(n)} d u_{n}\left(T\left(u_{n}\right) p-p\right)\right]\right\| \\
& =(k+n)^{a} w \| \int_{0}^{r(0)} d u_{0} \cdots \int_{0}^{r(n-1)} d u_{n-1} T\left(u_{0}+\cdots+u_{n-1}\right) \\
& \quad\left[\int_{0}^{r(n)} d u_{n}\left(T\left(u_{n}\right) p-p\right)\right]\left\|<M^{2}\right\| C p \|(k+n)^{-a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary. Suppose $p$ is in $D_{C}$ and $k$ is a positive integer. Then the sequence

$$
S(p, k): p(k, k), p(k, k+1), p(k, k+2)
$$

converges in $S$.
Proof. Theorem 3 and the fact that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(k+n)^{-a}$ converges imply $S(p, k)$ is a cauchy sequence in $S$. Since $S$ is complete, $S(p, k)$ will converge.

For each point $p$ in $D_{C}$ and each positive integer $k$, let the sequential limit point of $S(p, k)$ be denoted by $p_{k}$. Let
$D(b):\left\{p_{k} \mid p\right.$ is in $D_{C}$ and $k$ is a positive integer $\}$.
Theorem 4. Suppose $p_{k}$ is in $D(b)$. Then $p_{k} \leqq M\|p\|$.

Proof. Theorem 4 follows from Theorem 1 and the fact that $p_{k}$ is the sequential limit point of $S(p, k)$.

Theorem 5. $D(b)$ is a dense subset of $S$.
Proof. Suppose $q$ is in $S$ and $q$ is not in $D(b)$. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Since $D_{C}$ is a dense subset of $S$, there is a point $p$ in $D_{C}$ so that
(1) $\|p-q\|<\varepsilon / 3$.

Theorem 2 implies there is a positive integer $k$ so that
(2) $\|p(k, k)-p\|<\varepsilon / 3$ and
(3) $(M+1)^{2}\|C p\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(k+n)^{-a}<\varepsilon / 3$.

Theorem 2, Theorem 3 and statement (3) imply there is a $p_{k}$ in $D(b)$ so that
(4) $\left\|p_{k}-p(k, k)\right\|<\varepsilon / 3$.

Statements (1), (2) and (4) imply $\left\|p_{k}-q\right\|<\varepsilon$. Thus, $D(b)$ is a dense subset of $S$.

Theorem 6. Suppose $p_{k}$ is in $D(b)$. Then

$$
p_{k}=k^{a} \int_{0}^{k^{-a}} d u T(u) p_{k+1} .
$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Then there is a positive integer $n$ so that
(1) $\left\|p(k, k+n)-p_{k}\right\|<\varepsilon / 2$ and
(2) $\left\|p(k+1, k+n)-p_{k+1}\right\|<\varepsilon / 2 M$.

Statement (2) implies
(3) $\left\|p(k, k+n)-k^{a} \int_{0}^{k^{-a}} d u T(u) p_{k+1}\right\|<\varepsilon / 2$.

Theorem 6 now follows from statements (1) and (3).
Theorem 7. The elements of $D(b)$ satisfy conditions (A) and (B).
Proof. Suppose $p_{k}$ is an element of $D(b)$. Theorem 6 implies $p_{k}$ is in the domain of $C^{n}$ for all positive integers $n$ and that
(1) $C^{n} p_{k}=\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(k+j)^{a} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left[T\left(1 /(k+j)^{a}\right)-I\right] p_{k+n}$.

Thus, the elements of $D(b)$ satisfy condition (A). Statement (1) and Theorem 2 imply
(2) $\left\|C^{n} p_{k}\right\| \leqq\left[\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(k+j)^{a}\right](M+1)^{n+1}\|p\|$.

Statement (2) implies $p_{k}$ satisfies condition (B). The proof of Theorem 7 is now complete.
3. Partial differential equations in a banach space. The results of $\S 2$ will be used in this section to obtain series solutions to the partial differential equations $U_{12}=C U$ and $U_{11}=C U$. Solutions to these equations may be easily obtained if $C$ is a bounded linear
transformation. The transformation $C$, however, may be unbounded; that is, $C$ may be discontinuous at each point where it is defined.

For each subset $D$ of $S$, let $P(D)$ denote the set of all functions $g$ for which there is a nonnegative integer $n$ and a sequence $p_{0}, p_{1}, \cdots$, $p_{n}$ each term of which is in $D$ so that

$$
g(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} x^{i} p_{i}
$$

if $x \geqq 0$. If $D$ is a dense subset of $S$, it may be shown that $P(D)$ is a dense subset of the set of continuous functions from $[0, d](d>0)$ to $S$.

Theorem 8. Let $d>0$. Let $b$ be a number so that $1<b<2$. Suppose each of $g$ and $h$ is a function in $P(D(b))$ so that $g(0)=h(0)$. Then there is a function $U$ from $[0, d] \times[0, d]$ to $S$ so that
(i) $U_{12}(x, y)=C U(x, y)$ for all $(x, y)$ in $[0, d] \times[0, d]$,
(ii) $U(x, 0)=g(x)$ for all $x$ in $[0, d]$ and
(iii) $U(0, y)=h(y)$ for all $y$ in $[0, d]$.

Proof. Suppose $n$ is a nonnegative integer and $p_{0}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n}$ is a sequence each term of which is in $D(b)$ so that

$$
g(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} x^{i} p_{i}
$$

if $x \geqq 0$. Suppose $m$ is a nonnegative integer and $q_{0}, q_{1}, \cdots, q_{m}$ is a sequence each term of which is in $D(b)$ so that

$$
h(y)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} y^{i} q_{i}
$$

if $y \geqq 0$. Let $U$ be the function from $[0, d] \times[0, d]$ to $S$ so that if $(x, y)$ is in $[0, d] \times[0, d]$, then
(1) $U(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x^{i} p_{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{m} y^{i} q_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(x y)^{k} x^{i} C^{k} p_{i} /(k!)(i+1) \cdots(i+k) \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(x y)^{k} y^{i} C^{k} q_{i} /(k!)(i+1) \cdots(i+k) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 7 implies $U$ is well defined on $[0, d] \times[0, d]$. Theorem 7 and the fact that $C$ is a closed transformation imply $U_{12}(x, y)=C U(x, y)$ for all $(x, y)$ in $[0, d] \times[0, d]$. Statement (1) implies $U(x, 0)=g(x)$ and $U(0, y)=h(y)$ for all $(x, y)$ in $[0, d] \times[0, d]$.

Theorem 9. Let $d>0$. Let $b$ be a number so that $1<b<2$. Suppose each of $g$ and $h$ is a function in $P(D(b))$. Then there is a function $U$ from $[0, d] \times[0, d]$ to $S$ so that
(i) $U_{11}(x, y)=C U(x, y)$ for all $(x, y)$ in $[0, d] \times[0, d]$,
(ii) $U(0, y)=g(y)$ if $y$ is in $[0, d]$ and
(iii) $\quad U_{1}(0, y)=h(y)$ if $y$ is in $[0, d]$.

Proof. Let each of $g$ and $h$ be defined as in the proof of Theorem 8. Then let $U$ be the function from $[0, d] \times[0, d]$ to $S$ so that for each $(x, y)$ in $[0, d] \times[0, d]$,
(1) $U(x, y)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} y^{i} p_{i}+x \sum_{i=0}^{m} y^{i} q_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{2 k} y^{i} C^{k} p_{i} /((2 k)!)(i+1) \cdots(i+k) \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{2 k+1} y^{i} C^{k} q_{i} /((2 k+1)!)(i+1) \cdots(i+k)
\end{aligned}
$$

An argument analogous to that used in Theorem 8 may be used to show $U$ is well defined on $[0, d] \times[0, d]$ and that $U$ satisfies conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) in the hypothesis of this theorem.

Remarks. (1) The solution $U$ to the Theorem 8 has the property that for each $(x, y)$ in $[0, d] \times[0, d]$, is in the domain of $C^{n}$ for all positive integers $n$. The same remark is true for the solution to the equation in Theorem 9.
(2) Theorem 5 implies there are solutions to $U_{12}=C U$ and $U_{11}=$ $C U$ for a set of boundary functions which is dense in the set of continuous functions from $[0, d]$ to $S$.
(3) Theorem 9 and Theorem 5 imply there are solutions to the ordinary differential equation $y^{\prime \prime}=C y$ for a dense set of initial values for $y(0)$ and $y^{\prime}(0)$.
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