## STRUCTURE OF NOETHER LATTICES WITH JOIN-PRINCIPAL MAXIMAL ELEMENTS

E. W. JOHNSON AND J. P. LEDIAEV

## In this paper we explore the structure of Noether lattices with join-principal maximal elements.

Results which completely specify the structure of certain special classes of Noether lattices, and relate them to lattices of ideals of Noetherian rings, have been obtained in [1], [2], [3], [4], [7], and [8]. For example, in [7] we showed that if every maximal element of a Noether lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  is meet-principal, then  $\mathcal{L}$  is distributive and can be represented as the lattice of ideals of a Noetherian ring. Moreover, for distributive Noether lattices, the condition that every maximal element is meet-principal is equivalent to representability. In a more recent paper [8], we began considering the complementary case of a Noether lattice in which every maximal element is join-principal in order to determine the extent of the relationship between the two situations. There we showed that if 0 is prime in  $\mathcal{L}$  (and every maximal element is join-principal), then  $\mathcal{L}$  is distributive and representable. Hence, if 0 is prime, the assumptions that every maximal element is meet-principal and that every maximal element is joinprincipal are equivalent, and either implies representability.

In this paper, we continue the investigation begun in [8]. Our results extend the class of Noether lattices for which embedding and structure theorems are known, and also introduce a construction process for Noether lattices which leads to new examples.

In §1, we show that in a local Noether lattice  $(\mathscr{L}, M)$  in which M is join-principal and not a prime of 0, the maximal element M has a minimal base  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  of independent principal elements (i.e.,  $E_i \wedge (E_1 \vee \cdots \vee \hat{E_i} \vee \cdots \vee E_k) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). And we use this result to show that if M is join-principal and not a prime of 0, then  $\mathscr{L}$  is distributive. In §2, we obtain structure and embedding theorems for distributive local Noether lattices with join-principal maximal elements. In §3, we investigate some of the consequences of our results outside of the local case.

We adopt the terminology of [5].

1. Let  $(\mathscr{L}, M)$  be a local Noether lattice and let  $B \in \mathscr{L}$ . The quotient B/MB is a finite dimensional complemented modular lattice and the number of elements in any minimal set of principal elements with join B is the dimension of the quotient B/MB ([4], [6]). Hence

if  $E_1, \dots, E_s$  is any set of principal elements with the property that the elements  $E_i \vee MB$  are independent in B/MB, then  $E_1, \dots, E_s$  can be extended to a minimal base for B. We will have occasion to use these observations in what follows.

In this section we show that if  $(\mathcal{L}, M)$  is a local Noether lattice in which M is join-principal and not a prime of 0, then  $\mathcal{L}$  is distributive.

We begin with a lemma.

LEMMA 1.1. Let  $(\mathscr{L}, M)$  be a local Noether lattice in which M is join-principal and not a prime of 0. Let  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  be a minimal base for M and, for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , set  $C_i = E_1 \vee \dots \vee \hat{E_i} \vee \dots \vee E_k$ . Then each of the elements  $C_i(i = 1, \dots, k)$  is prime.

Proof. Since M is principal in  $\mathscr{L}/C_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, k)$ , each of the elements  $C_i$  is either prime or M-primary [7]. Assume that  $C_r$  is M-primary. And let n be the least positive integer such that  $E_r^{n+1} \leq C_r$ . Then  $E_r^{n+1} \leq MC_r$ . For, if not, there exist principal elements  $F_1, \dots, F_s$  among  $E_1, \dots, \widehat{E}_r, \dots, E_k$  such that  $E_r^{n+1}, F_1, \dots, F_s$  is a minimal base for  $C_r$ . But then  $E_r, F_1, \dots, F_s$  is a minimal base for  $M = E_r \vee C_r$ . Since  $C_r$ , by definition, has fewer elements in a minimal base than M, this is a contradiction. Hence  $E_r^{n+1} \leq MC_r$ , as claimed. Consequently,  $M^{n+1} \leq MC_r$ , and therefore

$$E_r^n \leq M^n \lor (0:M) = M^{n+1}: M = MC_r: M = C_r \lor (0:M) = C_r$$
 ,

since M is join-principal and not a prime of 0. Since  $E_r^n \leq C_r$ , this leads to a contradiction. Hence, each of the elements  $C_i$  is prime.

LEMMA 1.2. Let  $(\mathscr{L}, M)$  be a local Noether lattice in which M is join-principal and not a prime of 0. Then, in the notation of Lemma 1.1,  $C_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_k = 0$ .

Proof. Let  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  and  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  be as in Lemma 1.1. We first show that for  $1 \leq r < s \leq k$ ,  $E_rE_s = 0$ . Hence, suppose that  $E_rE_s \neq 0$ , and let n be a positive integer such that  $E_rE_s \leq M^n$  and  $E_rE_s \leq M^{n+1}$ . Then  $E_rE_s$  can be used in a minimal base for  $M^n$ . Now, since M is join-principal and not a prime of 0, it follows from the relation  $M^{nk+n} = M^{nk}(E_1^n \vee \cdots \vee E_k^n)$  that the elements  $E_1^n, \dots, E_k^n$ form a minimal base for  $M^n$ . Hence, for some  $i, 1 \leq i \leq k, M^n =$  $E_rE_s \vee E_1^n \vee \cdots \vee E_k^n \vee \cdots \vee E_k^n$ . But then  $M^n \leq C_i$ , which contradicts Lemma 1.1. It now follows that, for each s  $(1 \leq s \leq k), C_s \wedge E_s =$  $(C_s: E_s)E_s = C_sE_s = 0$ , since  $C_s$  is prime and  $E_s \leq C_s$ . Hence by modularity  $C_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_s = E_{s+1} \vee \cdots \vee E_k$  for  $s \leq k$ , so that  $C_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_k = 0$ .

102

We are now in a position to establish the main result of the section.

THEOREM 1.3. Let  $(\mathcal{L}, M)$  be a local Noether lattice in which M is a join-principal and not a prime of 0. Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is distributive.

*Proof.* Let  $E_1, \dots E_k$  and  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  be as in Lemma 1.1. A simple inductive argument using modularity proves that

$$(ee E_i^{j(i)}) \wedge (ee E_i^{k(i)}) = ee E_i^{\max(j(i),k(i))}$$

with the convention that  $E_i^{\infty}$  means 0. Thus it suffices to show that the only principal elements in  $\mathscr{L}$  are 0, I and the powers  $E_i^n$  of the elements  $E_1, \dots, E_k$ . If k = 1, the result is immediate, so assume  $k \geq 2$ . Let E be any principal element of  $\mathscr{L}$  different from 0 and I. We assume that the elements  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  are arranged so that  $E \leq C_i$  for i > r and  $E \leq C_i$  for  $i \leq r$ . Set  $C = C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_r$  and consider  $\mathscr{L}/C$ . Since M is principal in each of the local Noether lattices  $\mathscr{L}/C_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, k)$ , it follows by Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2 that the primes of  $\mathscr{L}/C$  are just M and  $C_1, \dots, C_r$ . Hence, by the choice of E, the element  $E \vee C$  is M-primary in  $\mathscr{L}/C$ , and therefore also in  $\mathscr{L}$ . Let n be a positive integer such that  $M^{n+1} \leq E \vee C$  and  $M^n \leq E \vee C$ , then, by modularity,

$$egin{aligned} M^{n+1} ee C &= C \lor ((M^{n+1} \lor C) \land E) \ &= C \lor ((M^{n+1} \lor C) \colon E)E \,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, either  $M^{n+1} \leq C \vee ME$  or  $(M^{n+1} \vee C): E = I$ . In the first case, however,

$$M^n \leq M^{n+1}$$
 :  $M \leq (C \lor ME)$  :  $M = (C \colon M) \lor E = C \lor E$  ,

which contradicts the choice of n. Hence  $(M^{n+1} \vee C): E = I$  and  $E \leq M^{n+1} \vee C$ . Then  $E \vee C = M^{n+1} \vee C$ , so by the join-irreducibility of principal elements in a local Noether lattice, it follows that  $E \vee C = E_1^{\varphi(1)} \cdots E_k^{\varphi(k)} \vee C$ , for some nonnegative integers  $\varphi(1), \dots, \varphi(k)$ . On the other hand,  $E_i \leq C$  for i > r and  $E \leq C$ , so  $\varphi(i) = 0$  for i > r. Now, if  $i \neq j$  and  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , then  $E_i \vee C \leq C_j$ . It follows that  $r \leq 1$ , and hence that  $E \leq C_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_k$ . Then by the proof of Lemma 1.2,  $C_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_k = E_1$  and  $ME_1^n = E_1^{n+1}$ , for all n. Hence, there exists a positive integer u such that  $E \leq E_1^u$  and  $E \leq ME_1^u = E_1^{u+1}$ . Since  $E_1$  is principal, it is now immediate that  $E = E_1^u$ .

We note that if  $(\mathscr{L}, M)$  is a local Noether lattice in which  $M^2 = 0$ , then M is join-principal. Since such a Noether lattice need not be distributive, the statement of Theorem 1.3 need not be valid without the assumption that M is not a prime of 0. On the other hand, if  $\mathscr{L}$  is an arbitrary Noether lattice in which every maximal element is join-principal, then the number of maximal primes associated with 0 is finite. Hence, at most finitely many of the localizations  $\mathscr{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$  (*M* maximal) are nondistributive.

2. Let  $(\mathscr{L}_1, M_1)$  and  $(\mathscr{L}_2, M_2)$  be local Noether lattices, and let  $\mathscr{L} = \{(A, B) \in \mathscr{L}_1 \oplus \mathscr{L}_2; A = I \text{ if and only if } B = I\}$ . It is clear that  $\mathscr{L}$  is a sub-multiplicative-lattice of  $\mathscr{L}_1 \oplus \mathscr{L}_2$ . Moreover, if  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are principal elements of  $\mathscr{L}_1$  and  $\mathscr{L}_2$ , respectively, with  $E_1 \neq I$  and  $E_2 \neq I$ , then the elements  $(E_1, 0)$  and  $(0, E_2)$  are principal in  $\mathscr{L}$ . Hence  $\mathscr{L}$  is a local Noether lattice with maximal element  $(M_1, M_2)$ . We refer to  $\mathscr{L}$  as the local direct sum of  $\mathscr{L}_1$  and  $\mathscr{L}_2$ . An alternative characterization is given by  $\mathscr{L} = (M_1 \mid 0 \oplus M_2 \mid 0) \cup \{(I, I)\}$ .

In this section we continue our investigation of a local Noether lattice  $(\mathscr{L}, M)$  with join-principal maximal element. However, we drop the hypothesis that M is not a prime of 0 and consider, instead, the general distributive case. Our main result is that a distributive local Noether lattice  $(\mathscr{L}, M)$ , in which M is join-principal, is the local direct sum of local Noether lattices with principal maximal elements. We begin with an extension of Lemma 1.2.

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $(\mathscr{L}, M)$  be a distributive local Noether lattice in which M is join-principal. Let  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  be a minimal base for M. Then  $E_i \wedge E_j = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ .

*Proof.* For each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , set  $C_i = E_1 \vee \dots \vee \hat{E}_i \vee \dots \vee E_k$ . Then

$$M=M^2$$
 :  $M=(MC_i\vee E_i^2)$  :  $M=C_i\vee (E_i^2$  :  $M)$ 

and

$$E_i \lor (E_i^2:M) = (ME_i \lor E_i^2): M = ME_i: M = E_i \lor (0:M)$$

so because

$$(E_{i}^{2}:M) = (E_{i}^{2}:M) \wedge (E_{i} \vee 0:M) = 0: M \vee ((E_{i}^{2}:M) \wedge E_{i})$$

by modularity, we have that

$$M = C_i \lor (0:M) \lor ((E_i^2:M) \land E_i) = C_i \lor (0:M) \lor (E_i^2:ME_i)E_i$$

 $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Since principal elements are join-irreducible in a local Noether lattice, since  $\mathscr{L}$  is distributive, and since  $E_i \leq C_i$ , it follows that either  $E_i \leq 0: M$  or  $E_i \leq (E_i^2: ME_i)E_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

Assume that  $E_r \leq (E_r^2: ME_r)E_r$ . Then  $E_r^2: ME_r = I$ , so  $ME_r = E_r^2$ . Hence  $M = ME_r: E_r = E_r^2: E_r = E_r \lor (0:E_r)$ . It follows that  $E_i \leq E_r \lor (0:E_r)$  for all *i*, and that  $E_i \leq 0:E_r$  for  $i \neq r$  since  $\mathscr{L}$  is distributive and  $E_i$  is join-irreducible. Therefore  $C_iE_i = 0$   $(i = 1, \dots, k)$ . Now, assume that  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$  and let E be a principal element such that  $E \leq E_i \wedge E_j$ . Suppose that  $E \neq 0$  and choose integers uand v such that  $E \leq E_i^u \wedge E_j^v$ ,  $E \leq E_i^{u+1}$  and  $E \leq E_j^{v+1}$ . Then  $E \leq E_i^u$ and  $E \leq ME_i^u$ , so  $E = E_i^u$ . Similarly  $E = E_j^v$ , so  $E_i^u = E = E_j^v$ . Then u > 1 and v > 1, so  $ME_i^{u-1} = ME_j^{v-1}$ . It follows that  $E_i^{u-1} \vee (0:M) =$  $E_j^{v-1} \vee (0:M)$ , so that either  $E_i^{u-1} \leq E_j^{v-1}$  or  $E_i^{u-1} \leq 0:M$ . In either case,  $E_i^u = 0$ . Hence E = 0 and  $E_i \wedge E_j = 0$ .

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $(\mathcal{L}, M)$  be a distributive local Noether lattice. Then M is join-principal if, and only if,  $\mathcal{L}$  is the (finite) local direct sum of local Noether lattices with principal maximal elements.

*Proof.* Assume that  $(\mathscr{L}, M)$  is a distributive local Noether lattice in which M is join-principal. Let  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  be a minimal base for M. And for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , let  $(\mathscr{L}_i, M_i)$  be a local Noether lattice such that  $M_i$  is principal and  $M_i^n = 0$  if, and only if,  $E_i^n = 0$ . Since  $\mathscr{L}$  is distributive, it follows by Lemma 2.1 and [2] that every element  $A \in \mathscr{L}$  has a unique minimal basis consisting of powers of the elements  $E_1, \dots, E_k$ . If we set  $E_i^\infty = 0$  and  $E_i^0 = I$ , then it is clear that the map  $E_1^{n_1} \vee \cdots \vee E_k^{n_k} \to (M_1^{n_1}, \dots, M_k^{n_k})$  is a multiplicative lattice isomorphism of  $\mathscr{L}$  onto the local direct sum of  $\mathscr{L}_1, \dots, \mathscr{L}_k$ .

The converse is clear.

COROLLARY 2.3. Let  $(\mathcal{L}, M)$  be a distributive local Noether lattice in which M is join-principal. Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is Noether-lattice-embeddable in the lattice of ideals of a homomorphic image of a regular local ring.

*Proof.* By Corollary 2.2,  $\mathscr{L}$  is the local direct sum of local Noether lattices  $(\mathscr{L}_1, M_1), \dots, (\mathscr{L}_k, M_k)$ , where, for each  $i, M_i$  is principal in  $\mathscr{L}_i$ . If  $M_i$  is nilpotent in  $\mathscr{L}_i$ , let  $n_i$  be the least positive integer such that  $M_i^{n_i} = 0$ ; otherwise, let  $n_i = \infty$ . Let  $RL_k$  be the regular local Noether lattice introduced in [1], and let  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  be the minimal base for the maximal element of  $RL_k$ . Let A be the join of the elements  $X_i X_j$  and  $X_i^{n_i}$  (where  $X_i^{\infty} = 0$ ). Then  $\mathscr{L}$  is clearly isomorphic to  $RL_k/A$ . Since  $RL_k$  is Noether-lattice-embeddable in the lattice of ideals of a regular local ring, [1], it follows that  $RL_k/A$  and  $\mathscr{L}$  are embeddable in the lattice of ideals of a homomorphic image of a regular local ring.

3. In this section we interpret some of the implications of the results of §§1 and 2 outside of the local case.

We begin with a new characterization of the representable distributive Noether lattices. THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\mathscr{L}$  be a Noether lattice. Then  $\mathscr{L}$  is distributive and representable as the lattice of ideals of a Noetherian ring if, and only if, for each maximal element M of  $\mathscr{L}$ , M is join-principal and  $O_M$  is meet-irreducible.

*Proof.* If  $\mathscr{L}$  is distributive and representable, then each maximal element M is principal [7]. Consequently,  $\mathscr{L}_M$  is a quotient of a regular local Noether lattice of altitude 1, and  $O_M$  is meet-irreducible.

Now, assume that  $\mathscr{L}$  is a Noether lattice such that, for every maximal element M, M is join-principal and  $O_M$  is meet-irreducible. Fix M and consider  $\mathscr{L}_{M}$ . If  $\{M\}$  is not a prime of 0 in  $\mathscr{L}_{M}$ , then by Lemma 2.1,  $O_M$  is meet-irreducible if, and only if,  $\{M\}$  is principal. On the other hand, if  $\{M\}$  is a prime of 0 in  $\mathscr{L}_{M}$ , then  $\{M\}$  is the only prime of 0. In this case, let E be any principal element such that  $E \leq 0: \{M\}$ . Then  $\{M\}E = 0$ , so E is a point in  $\mathscr{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Since the meet of any two points is 0 and  $O_M$  is irreducible by assumption, it follows that  $0: \{M\}$  is itself a point and that  $0: \{M\} \leq A$ , for every A 
eq 0. Now, assume that  $\{M\} \neq 0: \{M\}$ , and let F be a principal element such that  $F \leq \{M\}, F \leq \{M\}^2$  and  $\{M\}F \neq 0$ . Then F is  $\{M\}$ primary, so there is a nonnegative integer n such that  $\{M\}^n \leq F$  and  $\{M\}^{n+1} \leq F$ . Hence  $\{M\}^{n+1} = \{M\}^{n+1} \wedge F = (\{M\}^{n+1} : F)F$ , and therefore either  $\{M\}^{n+1}: F = I$  or  $\{M\}^{n+1} \leq \{M\}F$ . In the first case,  $\{M\}^{n+1} = F$ , so  $\{M\} = F$  by the choice of F. In the second case,

$$\{M\}^n \leq \{M\}^{n+1} \colon \{M\} = \{M\}F \colon \{M\} = F \lor (0 \colon \{M\}) = F$$

a contradiction. Hence  $\{M\}$  is principal in  $\mathscr{L}_M$ . It now follows by [7] that  $\mathscr{L}$  is distributive and representable.

Recall that a Noether lattice  $\mathscr{L}$  satisfies the weak union condition if, given elements A, B and C such that  $A \leq B$  and  $A \leq C$ , it follows that there exists a principal element  $E \leq A$  such that  $E \leq B$  and  $E \leq C$ . This concept was used in [7] to characterize the distributive Noether lattices which are representable. It is easy to see that if  $\mathscr{L}$ is a Noether lattice which satisfies the weak union condition, then every localization  $\mathscr{L}_M$  has the (weaker) property that, given primes  $P_1, \dots, P_k$  and an element A such that  $A \leq P_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, k)$ , there exists a principal element  $E \leq A$  such that  $E \leq P_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, k)$ . We say that a Noether lattice with this latter property satisfies the union condition on primes.

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $\mathscr{L}$  be a distributive Noether lattice such that, for every maximal element  $M, \mathscr{L}_{M}$  satisfies the union condition on primes. If 0 has no embedded primes and if every maximal element is join-principal, then  $\mathscr{L}$  is Noether-lattice-embeddable in the lattice of ideals of a Noetherian ring. **Proof.** Let  $0 = Q_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Q_k$  be a normal decomposition in which  $Q_i$  is  $P_i$ -primary. And let M be a maximal element of  $\mathscr{L}$ . If M is a prime of 0, then M is a minimal prime. On the other hand, by Lemma 1.1, if M is not a prime of 0, then 0 is prime in  $\mathscr{L}_M$ . Hence, if we assume that  $P_1, \cdots, P_s$  are nonmaximal primes and that  $P_{s+1}, \cdots, P_k$  are maximal primes, we have that

$$\mathscr{L} \cong \mathscr{L} / P_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{L} / P_s \oplus \mathscr{L} / Q_{s+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{L} / Q_k$$
.

Then each of the summands  $\mathscr{L}/P_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, s)$  is isomorphic to the lattice of ideals of some Noetherian ring [8], and each of the summands  $\mathscr{L}/Q_i$   $(i = s + 1, \dots, k)$  is Noether-lattice-embeddable in the lattice of ideals of a Noetherian ring (Corollary 2.3). The conclusion is now immediate.

By the results of [9], it is easy to see that any Noether lattice of the type described in Theorem 3.2 has the property that every element has a unique normal decomposition. On the other hand, a Noether lattice with this latter property is the direct sum of local Noether lattices with nilpotent maximal elements and one-dimensional Noether lattices in which 0 is prime [9]. These observations lead to the following, the proof of which is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2:

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $\mathscr{L}$  be a Noether lattice in which each maximal element is join-principal. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) Each element has a unique normal decomposition.
- (ii) *S* satisfies the union condition on primes and 0 has no embedded primes.
- (iii) *I* is the (finite) direct sum of Noether lattices with principal maximal elements and local Noether lattices with nilpotent maximal elements.

If, in addition,  $\mathscr{L}$  is distributive, then each of the above implies that  $\mathscr{L}$  is Noether-lattice-embeddable in the lattice of ideals of a Noetherian ring.

## References

- 1. K. P. Bogart, Structure theorems for regular local Noether lattices, Michigan Math. J., **15** (1968), 167-176.
- 2. -----, Distributive local Noether lattices, Michigan Math. J., 16 (1969), 215-223.
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_, Idempotent Noether lattices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 22 (1969), 127-128.

6. E. W. Johnson, A-transforms and Hilbert functions in local lattices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 137 (1969), 125-139.

<sup>4. ——,</sup> Nonimbeddable Noether lattices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 22 (1969), 129-133.

<sup>5.</sup> R. P. Dilworth, Abstract commutative ideal theory, Pacific J. Math., **12** (1962), 481-498.

7. E. W. Johnson, and J. P. Lediaev, Representable distributive Noether lattices, Pacific J. Math., 28 (1969), 561-564.

8. \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_, Join-principal elements and the Principal Ideal Theorem, Michigan Math. J., to appear.

9. \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, and J. A. Johnson, Structure and embedding theorem for unique normal decomposition lattices, Fund. Math., to appear.

Received February 17, 1970.

THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA