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#### Abstract

For each faithful finite dimensional irreducible representation $R$ of a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra $L$ over the complex field, this paper treats the integrally parameterized subgroup $G_{Z}$ of the Chevalley Group $G$ over the rational field $Q$. For $L$ of type $A_{l}, D_{l}$, or $E_{l}$, Lie algebraic methods are used to extend a result of $J$. Nielson on $S L(3, Z)$ to obtain a finite set of defining relations for $G_{Z}$. Similar relations augmented by defining relations for $G_{Z}\left(B_{2}\right)$ are shown to define $G_{Z}$ when $L$ is of type $B_{l}, C_{l}$, or $F_{4}$. (The relations for $G_{Z}\left(B_{2}\right)$ are not listed here.)


Defining relations for the $n$-dimensional group of lattice transformations have been given by W. Magnus in [4]. His method easily yields relations for the group $S L(n, Z)$ respectively $\operatorname{PSL}(n, Z)$ isomorphic to the universal respectively adjoint group $G_{Z}$ for $L$ of type $A_{n-1}$. H. Klingen [2] has proven the existence of a finite set of defining relations for $S p(2 n, Z)$, which is essentially the group $G_{Z}$ for $L$ of type $C_{n}$. Hence, the defining relations in $\S 2$ extend Magnus' result to $G_{Z}$ of types $D_{l}$ and $E_{l}$ and Klingen's result to $G_{Z}$ of types $B_{l}$ and $F_{4}$.

It might be helpful to the reader to note that a displayed equation is referred to by a symbol in parentheses, e.g., "(3.1)" or "(B)" and a theorem, lemma, or corollary is referred to by its title and a number without parentheses, e.g., "Lemma 3.1".
2. Statement of results. Let $R$ be a faithful finite dimensional irreducible representation of a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra $L$ over the complex field $C$, and let $\Sigma$ be the set of nonzero roots of $L$ with respect to some Cartan subalgebra. $L$ has a Chevalley basis $\left\{X_{\rho}\right.$, $\left.H_{\rho}: \rho \in \Sigma\right\}$ as defined in [1, p. 24, Th. 1] or [9, p. 6, Th. 1]. The $L$ module $V$ associated with $R$ contains a lattice $M$ which is invariant under the action of the Chevalley basis. If $M$ is properly chosen and $K$ is an arbitrary field, the automorphism $x_{\rho}(t)=\exp t R\left(X_{\rho}\right)$ on $V_{K}=$ $K \otimes_{Z} M$ can be defined for each $\rho$ in $\Sigma$ and $t$ in $K$. The group $G_{K}$ generated by all of these automorphisms is the Chevalley group over the field $K$ of type $L$ corresponding to the representation $R . G_{K}$ is the adjoint respectively universal Chevalley group if $R$ is the adjoint respectively universal representation of $L$. (See [9, pp. 42-45].)

We will be concerned with the rational Chevalley group $G_{Q}$ (henceforth denoted by $G$ ) and its subgroup, the integrally parameterized Chevalley group $G_{Z}$ generated by the $x_{\rho}(t)$ with $\rho$ in $\Sigma$ and $t$ in $Z$.

The relations $x_{\rho}(s) x_{\rho}(t)=x_{\rho}(s+t)$ in $G$ show the finite set $\left\{x_{\rho}(1): \rho \in\right.$ $\Sigma\}$ suffices to generate $G_{z}$. Our goal is to find defining relations for $G_{Z}$ in terms of these generators.

We will let $P$ denote the set of positive roots and $\Pi$, the set of simple roots with respect to some (henceforth fixed) regular ordering (as defined in [1, p. 20] or [9, p. 266]) of $\Sigma$. Greek letters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ will denote arbitrary simple roots, and $\gamma, \delta, \rho, \sigma$, and $\tau$ will be generic symbols for any roots.

A set $S$ of roots is called closed if $\rho, \sigma \in S$ and $\rho+\sigma \in \Sigma$ implies that $\rho+\sigma \in S$. A closed set $S$ of roots is an admissible system if $-\rho \in$ $S$ whenever $\rho \in S . \quad S$ is a positive set of roots if $S$ is closed and $\rho \in S$ implies $-\rho \notin S$. If $S$ is a positive set of roots, it is possible to find a regular ordering of $\Sigma$ which makes all of the roots in $S$ positive; such a regular ordering will be called a relative ordering corresponding to the positive set $S$, to distinguish it from the fixed regular ordering. Finally, we define $L_{R}$ to be the additive group generated by the set of all weights of the representation $R$.

Consider the abstract generators $\left\{x_{\rho}: \rho \in \Sigma\right\}$ and define $w_{\rho}=x_{\rho} x_{-\rho}^{-1} x_{\rho}$ and $h_{\rho}=w_{\rho}^{2}$ for each $\rho \in \Sigma$. Designate the following relations:

$$
\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right) \quad\left(x_{\rho}, x_{\sigma}\right)=\Pi x_{i \rho+j \sigma}^{f(i, j ; \rho, \sigma)}(\rho, \sigma \in \Sigma, \rho+\sigma \neq 0)
$$

where $(x, y)$ denotes the commutator $x y x^{-1} y^{-1}$ and the product is over all positive integers $i$ and $j$ such that $i \rho+j \sigma \in \Sigma$, taken in increasing order of the roots $i \rho+j \sigma$. The $C(i, j ; \rho, \sigma)$ are integers depending only on $i, j, \rho, \sigma$, the choice of Chevalley basis, and the structure of $L$. (See [1, p. 27] or [9, p. 22].)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}\right) & w_{\rho} x_{\rho} w_{\rho}^{-1}=x_{-\rho}^{-1} & (\rho \in \Sigma) \\
\text { (B) } \quad h_{\rho}^{2}=1 \\
\text { (C) } \quad \Pi h_{\alpha}=1 \quad \text { if } \quad & (\rho \in \Sigma) \\
& I(-1)^{c(\mu, \alpha)}=1 \text { for all } \mu \in L_{R},
\end{array}
$$

where $c(\beta, \alpha)$ is the Cartan integer $2(\beta, \alpha) /(\alpha, \alpha)$ and both products are over an increasing sequence $(\alpha)$ of (not necessarily all) simple roots. Let (A) denote the relations ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) respectively ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$ ) when $r k \Sigma>1$ respectively $r k \Sigma=1$ ( $r k$ means rank). If $L$ is of type $A_{l}, D_{l}, E_{l}$, or $G_{2}$, let $(D)$ be the empty set of relations. If $L$ is of type $B_{l}, C_{l}$, or $F_{4}$, let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be the simple roots forming a system of type $B_{2}$ with long root $\beta$, and let $G_{z}(\alpha, \beta)$ be the subgroup of $G_{Z}$ generated by $\left\{x_{\alpha}(1), x_{-\alpha}(1), x_{\beta}(1), x_{-\beta}(1)\right\}$. In this case, let (D) be the relations in $\left\{x_{\alpha}\right.$, $\left.x_{-\alpha}, x_{\beta}, x_{-\beta}\right\}$ obtained by replacing each $x_{\rho}(1)$ by $x_{\rho}$ in a set of defining relations for $G_{z}(\alpha, \beta)$. The principal result is

Main Theorem. Let $L$ be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over $C$ which is not of type $G_{2}$. Then the integrally parameterized

Chevalley group $G_{Z}$ is isomorphic (by the canonical map defined by $\left.x_{\rho} \mapsto x_{\rho}(1)\right)$ to the abstract group $G^{\prime}$ generated by $\left\{x_{\rho}: \rho \in \Sigma\right\}$ subject to the relations (A), (B), (C), and (D).

The relations (C) can be omitted if $G$ is the universal Chevalley group. If $G$ is the adjoint Chevalley group, then $L_{R}=Z \Pi$ and can be replaced by $I I$ in the relations (C).

The main theorem is proved by showing (in §4) that a normal form for writing elements of $G_{Z}$ (given in Theorem 3.3) can be duplicated in the abstract group $G^{\prime}$.

In accomplishing the latter, it is shown that a set $E$ of compatible relations (See $\S 4$.) containing (A), (B), and (C) suffices to define $G_{Z}$ if it suffices to define the rank two subgroups of $G_{Z}$. This technique of parlaying defining relations for the rank two subgroups to defining relations for the whole group is reminiscent of Magnus' extension in [4] of Nielsen's result [6] and of Klingen's treatment in [2] of $S p(2 n, Z)$.

An explicit set of defining relations for $S p(4, Z)$ would probably allow the relations (D) for $L$ of type $B_{l}, C_{l}$, and $F_{4}$ to be explicitly stated, as suggested by R . Ree's identification $\operatorname{PSp}(4, Q) \cong G_{Z}\left(C_{2}\right) \cong G_{Z}\left(B_{2}\right)$ in [7]. It seems likely that the relations (D) are in fact unnecessary.
3. A normal Form for $G$ and $G_{Z}$. In this section we develop several notions, notations, and a normal form in the concrete group $G_{Z}$ which we will use to study the abstract group in $\S 4$. Many of the results displayed in this section are known, and most of them appear in sources such as [1], [8], [9], and [10].

Let $U$ be the subgroup of $G$ generated by $\left\{x_{\rho}(r): \rho \in P, r \in Q\right\}$ and $U_{Z}$ the subgroup of $G_{Z}$ generated by $\left\{x_{\rho}(1): \rho \in P\right\}$. Corresponding to each root $\rho$ we define the one parameter subgroups $\mathfrak{X}_{\rho}=\left\{x_{\rho}(r): r \in Q\right\}$ of $G$ and $\mathfrak{X}_{\rho}(Z)=\left\{x_{\rho}(r): r \in Z\right\}$ of $G_{Z}$. More generally, for any $S \subseteq$ $\Sigma, \mathfrak{X}_{S}$ respectively $\mathfrak{X}_{s}(Z)$ is the subgroup of $G$ respectively $G_{Z}$ generated by $\left\{x_{\rho}(r): \rho \in S, r \in Q\right\}$ respectively $\left\{x_{\rho}(1): \rho \in S\right\}$. Then $G(\rho, \sigma, \cdots)=$ $\mathfrak{X}_{s}$ and $G_{Z}(\rho, \sigma, \cdots)=\mathfrak{X}_{s}(Z)$, where $S$ is the admissible system of roots generated by $\rho, \sigma, \cdots$.

Consider the homomorphism $\varphi_{\rho}$ from $S L(2, Q)$ into $G$ defined (See [1, pp. 33-37].) for each $\rho \in \Sigma$ by

$$
\varphi_{\rho}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0  \tag{3.1}\\
r & 1
\end{array}\right)=x_{-\rho}(r), \varphi_{\rho}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & r \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=x_{\rho}(r) \quad(r \in Q)
$$

$\varphi_{\rho}$ maps $S L(2, Q)$ onto $G(\rho)$. Its restriction to $S L(2, Z)$, which we also denote by $\varphi_{\rho}$, is a homomorphism into $G_{\ell}$. Since $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ generate $S L(2, Z)$ (See [6, p. 8] or [3, vol. 2, Appendix B].), $\varphi_{\rho}$ maps $S L(2, Z)$ onto the subgroup $G_{Z}(\rho)$ of $G_{Z}$ generated by $x_{-\rho}(1)$ and $x_{\rho}(1)$. Now define

$$
h_{\rho}(t)=\varphi_{\rho}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t & 0  \tag{3.2}\\
0 & t^{-1}
\end{array}\right), w_{\rho}(t)=\varphi_{\rho}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & t \\
-t^{-1} & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

for each $\rho \in \Sigma$ and $t \in Q^{*}$, where $Q^{*}$ is the set of nonzero elements of Q. Then $H$ respectively $H_{Z}$ is the subgroup of $G$ respectively $G_{Z}$ generated by $\left\{h_{p}(t): \rho \in \Sigma, t \in Q^{*}\right\}$ respectively $\left\{h_{\rho}(-1): \rho \in \Sigma\right\}$, and $N$ respectively $N_{Z}$ is the subgroup of $G$ respectively $G_{Z}$ generated by $\left\{w_{\rho}(t): \rho \in \Sigma, t \in Q^{*}\right\}$ respectively $\left\{w_{\rho}(1): \rho \in \Sigma\right\}$.
The identities

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w_{\rho}(t)=x_{\rho}(t) x_{-\rho}\left(-t^{-1}\right) x_{\rho}(t) & \left(\rho \in \Sigma, t \in Q^{*}\right) \\
h_{\rho}(t)=w_{\rho}(t) w_{\rho}(-1) & \left(\rho \in \Sigma, t \in Q^{*}\right) \tag{3.3b}
\end{array}
$$

follow from corresponding identities in $S L(2, Q)$.
R. Steinberg showed in [9, p. 66 Th. 8 and p. 43 Lemma 28] (Also see [8].) that the group $G$ is defined by the generators $\left\{x_{\rho}(r): \rho \in \Sigma\right.$, $r \in Q\}$ subject to the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{\rho}(r) x_{\rho}(s)=x_{\rho}(r+s)  \tag{3.4a}\\
& \left(x_{\rho}(r), x_{\sigma}(s)\right)=\Pi x_{\imath \rho+j \sigma}\left(C(i, j ; \rho, \sigma) r^{i} s^{j}\right) \quad(\rho+\sigma \neq 0)  \tag{3.4b}\\
& w_{\rho}(t) x_{\rho}(r) w_{\rho}(t)^{-1}=x_{-\rho \rho}\left(-t^{2} r\right) \\
& h_{\rho}(t) h_{\rho}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=h_{\rho}\left(t t^{\prime}\right)  \tag{3.4c}\\
& \Pi h_{\alpha}\left(t_{\alpha}\right)=1 \text { if } \Pi t_{\alpha r}^{\tau(\mu, \alpha)}=1 \text { for every } \mu \in L_{R}, \tag{3.4d}
\end{align*}
$$

where $w_{\rho}(t)$ and $h_{\rho}(t)$ are defined by (3.3ab), $\rho, \sigma \in \Sigma, r, s \in Q, t, t^{\prime}, t_{\alpha} \in$ $Q^{*}$. The product (3.4b) is over all positive integers $i, j$ such that $i \rho+j \sigma$ is a root, taken in increasing root order, and the product in (3.4d) is taken over all $\alpha \in I I$ in increasing root order. The relations (3.4b) respectively ( $3.4 b^{\prime}$ ) can be omitted if rk $\Sigma=1$ respectively $r k \Sigma>1$, and the relations (3.4d) can be omitted if $G$ is the universal Chevalley group. If $R$ is the adjoint representation, it suffices to have $I t_{\alpha}^{c(\mu, \alpha)}=1$ for all $\mu \in I$ in ( 3.4 d ).

Let $W$ denote the Weyl group of $\Sigma$ and let $\omega_{\rho}$ denote the reflection in the hyperplane perpendicular to the root $\rho$. For $\rho, \sigma \in \Sigma, \sigma^{\prime}=\omega_{\rho}(\sigma)$, $c=c\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \rho\right), d=c(\sigma, \rho), t, s \in Q^{*}$, and $n=n(\rho, \sigma)= \pm 1$, the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{\rho}(t) x_{\sigma}(s) w_{\rho}(-t)=x_{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(n t^{\sigma} s\right)  \tag{3.5a}\\
& w_{\rho}(t) w_{\sigma}(s) w_{\rho}(-t)=w_{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(n t^{c} s\right)  \tag{3.5b}\\
& w_{\rho}(t) h_{\sigma}(s) w_{\rho}(-t)=h_{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(n t^{c} s\right) h_{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(n t^{c}\right)^{-1}  \tag{3.5c}\\
& h_{\rho}(t) x_{\sigma}(s) h_{\rho}(t)^{-1}=x_{\sigma}\left(t^{d} s\right)  \tag{3.5d}\\
& h_{\rho}(t) w_{\sigma}(s) h_{\rho}(t)^{-1}=w_{\sigma}\left(t^{d} s\right)  \tag{3.5e}\\
& h_{\rho}(t) h_{\sigma}(s) h_{\rho}(t)^{-1}=h_{\sigma}\left(t^{d} s\right) h_{\sigma}\left(t^{d}\right)^{-1} \tag{3.5f}
\end{align*}
$$

hold in G. (See [1], [8, p. 119], or [9, p. 67] (3.5c) corrects a misprint in [8].) The last five relations are immediate from the first and the
properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(\rho, \sigma)=n(\rho,-\sigma)=(-1)^{c(\sigma, \rho)} n\left(\rho, \sigma^{\prime}\right), n(\rho, \rho)=-1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the function $n: \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow\{-1,1\}$, using (3.3ab).
Now it is clear from (3.5d) that $B=H U$ respectively $B_{Z}=H_{Z} U_{Z}$ is a group containing $U$ respectively $U_{z}$ as a normal subgroup, and, by [1, p. 42], $U \cap H=U_{Z} \cap H_{Z}=\{1\}$. An element $b$ of $B$ can be uniquely represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=h u \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $h \in H$ and $u \in U$ expressed as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
h=\Pi h_{\alpha}\left(t_{\alpha}\right) & \left(t_{\alpha} \in Q^{*}\right) \\
u=\Pi x_{\rho}\left(r_{\rho}\right) & \left(r_{\rho} \in Q\right) \tag{3.7b}
\end{array}
$$

where the product in (3.7a) is over all $\alpha \in \Pi$ in increasing root order and the product in (3.7b) is over all $\rho \in P$ in increasing root order. The expression (3.7b) is unique, and (3.7a) is unique modulo the relations (3.4d). (See [8, p. 122] and [1, p. 39, Lemme 6].) Moreover, by [9, p. 114, Lemma 49, and p. 115 Cor. 3], $B_{Z}=B \cap G_{Z}, H_{Z}=H \cap G_{Z}$, $U_{z}=U \cap G_{Z}$, and an element $b=h u$ in the form (3.7ab) is in $B_{Z}$ if and only if each $t_{\alpha}= \pm 1$ and each $r_{\rho} \in Z$.

We will have use for the following easily proved result.
Lemma 3.1. Let the group $\mathfrak{X}$ be a product $\mathfrak{X}=\mathfrak{X}_{1} \ldots \mathfrak{X}_{n}$ of subgroups $\mathfrak{X}_{1}, \cdots, \mathfrak{X}_{n}$ such that $\left(\mathfrak{X}_{i}, \mathfrak{X}_{j}\right) \subseteq \Pi_{k \geqq j} \mathfrak{X}_{k}$ and uniqueness holds for the representation $x=x_{1} \cdots x_{n}\left(x_{i} \in \mathfrak{X}_{i}\right)$, and let $p$ be any permutation of the numbers $1,2, \cdots, n$. Then $\mathfrak{X}=\mathfrak{X}_{\left.p^{\prime}\right)} \cdots \mathfrak{X}_{p_{(n)}}$ with uniqueness of representation.

Now if $S$ is a positive set of roots it follows from (3.4ab) that

$$
\mathfrak{X}_{s}=\Pi \mathfrak{X}_{\rho},
$$

where the product is over all $\rho \in S$ taken in increasing relative order. Since $\mathfrak{X}_{s} \subseteq U^{\prime}=\mathfrak{X}_{P^{\prime}}$ where $P^{\prime}$ is a positive system of roots containing $S$, the representation (3.7b) (for $U^{\prime}$ instead of $U$ ) of $u \in \mathfrak{X}_{s}$ is unique, so (3.4b) and Lemma 3.1 show that any element $u \in \mathfrak{X}_{s}$ can be uniquely expressed in the form $u=\Pi x_{\rho}\left(r_{\rho}\right)$, with the product taken over all $\rho \in S$ in any fixed order.

There is a unique homomorphism $\zeta$ of $N$ onto the Weyl group $W$ of $L$, with kernel $H$, such that $w \cdot X_{\rho} \in Q \cdot X_{\omega(\rho)}$ when $\zeta(w)=\omega$. (Recall that $X_{\rho}$ is an element of the Chevalley basis of $L$, and that elements of $G$ act as automorphisms on $L$. See [1, p. 37, Lemma 3] or [9, pp. 29-31, Lemmas 20 and 22].) Thus $\psi: N / H \rightarrow W: H w \mapsto \zeta(w)$ is an
isomorphism onto $W$. Moreover, for any $t \in Q^{*}, H w_{\rho}(t)=H w_{\rho}(1)$ and $\psi\left(H w_{\rho}(t)\right)=\zeta\left(w_{\rho}(1)\right)=\omega_{\rho}$. It is clear that $H_{Z}$ is the kernel of the restriction of $\zeta$ to $N_{z}$ and $\zeta\left(N_{Z}\right)=W$. Thus $\psi_{Z}: N_{z} / H_{Z} \rightarrow W: H_{z} w \mapsto$ $\zeta(w)$ is an isomorphism onto $W$.

A set $N^{*}$ of representatives of $N$ modulo $H$ (as well as $N_{z}$ modulo $H_{z}$ ) can be chosen in $N_{z}$ so that $w_{\rho}(1) \in N^{*}$ for each $\rho \in P$. For each $\omega \in W$ there is a unique representative $w(\omega) \in N^{*}$ such that $\zeta(w(\omega))=$ $\omega$. Henceforth, the elements $\omega \in W$ are frequently identified with the representatives $w(\omega)$, and both are denoted by $w$. We will also denote the reflection $\omega_{\rho}$ by $w_{\rho}$.

The Chevalley group $G$ has Bruhat decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\bigcup_{w \in W} B w B \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

into disjoint double cosets $B w B=B w U_{w}$, where $U_{w}$ is the group generated by the $x_{\rho}$ such that $\rho>0$ and $w(\rho)<0$. This provides the normal form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=b w u \quad\left(b \in B, w \in N^{*}, u \in U_{w}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for uniquely expressing any element $x \in G$. Since (3.9) is invalid in $G_{z}$ (since we might have $x=b w u \in G_{Z}$ with $b, u \notin G_{Z}$ ), we must modify this normal form to a normal form for $G$ which applies to $G_{Z}$ as well.

A reflection $w_{\alpha}$ in $W$ corresponding to a simple root $\alpha$ is called a simple reflection. It is well known that the simple reflections generate the Weyl group $W$. For each root $\rho$, let

$$
Y_{\rho}=\left\{\left.\varphi_{\rho}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\,\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in S L(2, Z), 0 \leqq a<c\right\}
$$

where $\varphi_{\rho}: S L(2, Q) \rightarrow G(\rho)$ is the canonical homomorphism described above (3.1). Then $Y_{\rho}$ is a system of representatives for $B \backslash B w_{\rho} B$, and we have

Lemma 3.2. For every $w \in W$ choose a minimal expression $w=$ $w_{\alpha} w_{\beta} \cdots w_{o}$ as a product of simple reflections. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B w B=B Y_{\alpha} Y_{\beta} \cdots Y_{\delta} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with uniqueness of expression on the right.
Lemma 3.2 is a special case of [9, pp. 99-100, Theorem 15 and Lemma 43]. (A more detailed proof for the special case was given in [10].)

For any rational number $r$, define

$$
x(r)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & r \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), y(r)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
r & 1
\end{array}\right), \text { and } \Omega=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Consider $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in S L(2, Z)$ with $0 \leqq a<c$. If $a=0$, then $c=1$, $b=-1$, and $A=y(-d) \Omega^{-1}$. If $a>0$, there is a positive integer $r_{1}$ such that $c=r_{1} a+c_{1}$ with $a>c_{1} \geqq 0$ and $d=r_{1} b+d_{1}$. If $c_{1}=0$, then $a=d_{1}=1$ and $A=y\left(r_{1}\right) x(b)$; if $c_{1}>0$, there is a positive integer $s_{1}$ such that $a=s_{1} c_{1}+a_{1}$ with $c_{1}>a_{1} \geqq 0, b=s_{1} d_{1}+b_{1}$, and $A=$ $y\left(r_{1}\right) x\left(s_{1}\right) A_{1}$ with $A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a_{1} & b_{1} \\ c_{1} & d_{1}\end{array}\right) \in S L(2, Z)$ with $c>c_{1}>a_{1} \geqq 0$. By induction on $c$, repeated application of the division algorithm will yield

$$
A=y\left(r_{1}\right) x\left(s_{1}\right) \cdots y\left(r_{n-1}\right) x\left(s_{n-1}\right) y\left(r_{n}\right) x(k)
$$

or

$$
A=y\left(r_{1}\right) x\left(s_{1}\right) \cdots x\left(s_{n-1}\right) y\left(r_{n}\right) x\left(s_{n}\right) y(k) \Omega^{-1}
$$

where $n \geqq 0, r_{i}$ and $s_{i}$ are positive integers which do not appear if $n=0$, and $k$ is an integer. Clearly, the integers $n, r_{i}, s_{i}$, and $k$ are uniquely determined by $A$. In view of (3.1) and (3.2), transforming the above result by the homomorphism $\varphi_{\rho}$ shows that every element $g_{\rho}$ of $Y_{\rho}$ can be expressed in exactly one of the forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\rho}=x_{-\rho}\left(r_{1}\right) x_{\rho}\left(s_{1}\right) \cdots x_{-\rho}\left(r_{n-1}\right) x_{\rho}\left(s_{n-1}\right) x_{-\rho}\left(r_{n}\right) x_{\rho}(k) \tag{3.11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\rho}=x_{-\rho}\left(r_{1}\right) x_{\rho}\left(s_{1}\right) \cdots x_{\rho}\left(s_{n-1}\right) x_{-\rho}\left(r_{n}\right) x_{\rho}\left(s_{n}\right) x_{-\rho}(k) w_{\rho}(-1), \tag{3.11b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integers $n \geqq 0$, the positive integers $r_{i}$ and $s_{i}$ (which do not occur if $n=0$ ) and the integer $k$ are uniquely determined by $g_{\rho}$. Thus we have

Theorem 3.3. For every $g \in G$, there is a unique $w \in W$ such that $g \in B w B$. Thus, for any minimal decomposition $w=w_{\alpha} w_{\beta} \cdots w_{r}$ of $w$ as a product of simple reflections $w_{\rho}, g$ can be expressed as a product of generators $x_{\rho}(r), h_{\dot{\delta}}(t)$, and $w_{\dot{\delta}}(1)(\rho \in \Sigma, \delta \in \Pi)$ by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=b g_{\alpha} g_{\beta} \cdots g_{\gamma} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $b$ in the form (3.7ab), and each $g_{\delta} \in Y_{\delta}$ in one of the forms (3.11). Moreover, $g \in G_{Z}$ if and only if every parameter $r_{\rho}$ is an integer in (3.7b) and every parameter $t_{i}= \pm 1$ in (3.7a). Thus, (3.12) provides a normal form for $G_{Z}$.
4. The abstract group. In this section, we will consider several abstract groups generated by the symbols $x_{\rho}(\rho \in \Sigma)$ and defined by different sets of relations. A set $E$ of relations among the generators $x_{\rho}(\rho \in \Sigma)$ is called compatible if the corresponding relations in $G_{Z}$, obtained by replacing each $x_{\rho}$ by $x_{\rho}(1)$, are valid. Henceforth, $E$ will
denote a compatible set of relations which contains the relations (A) of $\S 2$, and $G^{\prime}$ will denote the abstract group generated by the symbols $x_{\rho}(\rho \in \Sigma)$ and defined by the relations $E$. Thus the mapping $x_{\rho} \mapsto x_{\rho}(1)$ extends to an epimorphism $\pi: G^{\prime} \rightarrow G_{z}$, which we call the canonical projection of $G^{\prime}$ onto $G_{z}$.

For each $S=\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \cdots\right\} \subseteq \Sigma$, let $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(S)=\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \cdots\right)$ be the subgroup of $G^{\prime}$ generated by $\left\{x_{o} \in G^{\prime}: \sigma \in S\right\}$, and let $G^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \cdots\right)=$ $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(\bar{S})$, where $\bar{S}$ is the admissible system generated by $S$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $S$ be any positive set of roots. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(S)=I \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(\sigma), \tag{4.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and any element $x \in \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(S)$ can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\Pi x_{\sigma}^{k(\sigma)}, \tag{4.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where both products are taken over all roots $\sigma$ in $S$ in any (fixed) order, and $k(\sigma)$ are uniquely determined by $x$ and the order in which the product is taken.

Proof. First, induction on the cardinality $m$ of $S$ is used to show that $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(S)=\Pi \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(\sigma)$ with uniqueness of expression, where the product is taken in increasing order (by some fixed relative ordering associated with $S$ ) of $\sigma \in S$. If $m=1$, this is clear. Suppose $m>1$. Let $\gamma$ be the smallest and $\delta$ the largest root of $S$ with respect to the relative ordering. Denote $S^{\prime}=S-\{\gamma\}, \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(S)=\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime} . \quad S^{\prime}$ is a positive set of roots with cardinality $m-1$, so the lemma holds for $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$. Now, $\gamma+\delta>\delta$, so $\gamma+\delta \notin S$, and hence $\gamma+\delta \notin \Sigma$, since $S$ is closed. Since $S$ contains at least two linearly independent roots, $r k \Sigma>1$ and the relations ( $A^{\prime}$ ) hold in $G^{\prime}$. Thus $\left(x_{r}, x_{i}\right)=1$ and $x_{r}^{-1} x_{i} x_{r}=x_{i} \in \mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$. Suppose that for some $\sigma \in S^{\prime}, x_{r}^{-1} x_{\rho} x_{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$ for every $\rho \in S^{\prime}$ with $\rho>\sigma$. Then $\left(x_{\gamma}, x_{o}\right)=\Pi x_{\rho}^{n(\rho)}\left(\rho>\sigma, \rho \in S^{\prime}\right)$ is in $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$, so $\left(x_{o}, x_{i}^{-1}\right)=x_{r}^{-1}\left(x_{r}, x_{\sigma}\right) x_{\gamma}=$ $I I\left(x_{r}^{-1} x_{\rho} x_{r}\right)^{n(\rho)}\left(\rho>\sigma, \rho \in S^{\prime}\right)$ is in $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$. Hence $x_{r} x_{\sigma} x_{r}^{-1}=\left(x_{r}, x_{\sigma}\right) x_{\sigma}$ and $x_{\gamma}^{-1} x_{\sigma} x_{r}=\left(x_{o}, x_{\gamma}^{-1}\right)^{-1} x_{\sigma}$ are in $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$ for every $\sigma \in S^{\prime}$. Thus the elements of $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(\gamma)$ can be commuted to the left in any product of elements of $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$, leaving an element of $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime \prime}$ to the right, and the factors of this element can be taken in increasing root order by the induction hypothesis. Hence, the product $x=\Pi x_{\sigma}^{k(\sigma)}$ can be taken in increasing root order. Since the $k(\sigma)$ are uniquely determined in the image $\pi(x)=\Pi x_{\sigma}(k(\sigma))$ of $x$ under the canonical projection $\pi$, the $k(\sigma)$ are uniquely determined by $x$. (Note that this shows $\pi$ is biunique when restricted to $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(S)$.) The result for arbitrary root order now follows from Lemma 3.1.

Corollary 4.2. Let $S$ be a positive set of roots. Then the subgroup $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(S)$ of $G^{\prime}$ is isomorphic by the canonical projection $\pi$ to the corresponding subgroup $\pi\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(S)\right)=\mathfrak{X}(Z)$ of $G_{\%}$.

## Corollary 4.3. The relations

where the product is taken over all positive integers $i$ and $j$ such that $i \rho+j \sigma \in \underline{V}$ in increasing order of the roots io $+j \sigma$, hold in $G^{\prime}$.

Proof. $S=\left\{i_{i}+j \sigma \in \Sigma: i\right.$ and $j$ are nonnegative integers $\}$ is a positive set of roots, so the result follows from the isomorphism $\pi$ and the corresponding relations in $\mathfrak{X}_{S}(Z)$.

We now investigate various other relations in $G^{\prime}$. First, it will be useful to show the equivalence of three formulas ( 4.3 abc ). The definition $w_{\rho}=x_{\rho} x_{-\rho}^{-1} x_{\rho}(\rho \in \Sigma)$ gives the identity $w_{\rho} w_{-\rho}=w_{\rho} x_{\rho} w_{\rho}^{-1} x_{-\rho}$, and $h_{\mu}=w_{\mu}^{2}$ by definition, so the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{p} x_{p} w_{p}^{-1}=x_{-\rho}^{-1} \tag{4.3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{p} w_{-\rho}=h_{p} h_{-\rho}=1 \tag{4.3b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter yields $1=w_{\ldots \rho} w_{\rho}=x_{-\rho} w_{\rho}{ }^{\prime} x_{\rho} w_{\rho}$, and so $w_{\rho}{ }^{-1} x_{\rho} w_{\rho}=x_{-\rho}^{-1}$. Conjugating this last relation or (4.3a) with appropriate powers of $w_{\rho}$ and using (4.3b) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\rho}^{t} x_{\sigma} w_{\rho}^{-t}=x_{\sigma}^{-1} \quad(\sigma= \pm \rho, t= \pm 1) \tag{4.3c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, for any $\rho \in \Sigma$, the relations (4.3abc) are equivalent. Moreover, the relation (4.3a) and the definition $h_{\sigma}=w_{\sigma}^{2}$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(w_{o} x_{\sigma}\right)^{3}=h_{\sigma}^{2} \quad(\sigma= \pm \rho) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will now imitate the methods of [8] to discover more of the structure of $G^{\prime}$.

Lemma 4.4. Let $\rho, \sigma \in \Sigma, \sigma^{\prime}=\omega_{\rho} \sigma, c=c\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \rho\right), t= \pm 1$, and $s \in Z$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\rho}^{t} x_{\sigma}^{s} w_{\rho}^{-t}=x_{\sigma^{\prime}}^{n, \rho, \sigma) t_{s}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $G^{\prime}$, where $n(\rho, \sigma)=n(\rho,-\sigma)= \pm 1$ depends only on $\rho$ and $\sigma$.
The proof of Lemma 4.4 is quite similar to the proof of Lemma 7.2 in [8, p. 118], and is hence omitted. It is perhaps helpful to note that when considering the case $\rho= \pm \sigma$ for the integrally parame-
terized group, one uses the existence of roots $\gamma$ and $\delta$ such that ( $x_{\gamma}$, $\left.x_{\delta}\right)=x_{\rho}^{v} I l^{\prime}$ with $v= \pm 1$ occurs among the relations $\left(A^{\prime}\right)$.

Note that for any $\rho \in \Sigma$, (4.5) specializes to (4.3a), which implies that (4.3abc) and (4.4) hold in $G^{\prime}$.

Utilizing Lemma 4.4 and the definitions of $w_{\rho}$ and $h_{\rho}$, we get the next corollary.

Corollary 4.5. Let the notation be as in Lemma 4.4, and let. $d=c(\sigma, \rho)$. Then the following relations hold in $G^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{\rho}^{t} w_{\sigma}^{s} w_{\rho}^{-t}=w_{\sigma^{\prime}}^{n}(\rho, \sigma) t^{c_{s}},  \tag{4.6}\\
& w_{\rho}^{t} h_{\sigma}^{s} w_{\rho}^{-t}=h_{\sigma}^{n(\rho, \sigma) t_{s}},  \tag{4.7}\\
& h_{\rho}^{t} x_{\sigma}^{s} h_{\rho}^{-t}=x_{\sigma}^{(-1) d_{s}},  \tag{4.8}\\
& h_{\rho}^{t} w_{\sigma}^{s} h_{\rho}^{-t}=w_{\sigma}^{(-1) d_{s}}  \tag{4.9}\\
& h_{\rho}^{t} h_{\sigma}^{s} h_{\rho}^{-t}=h_{\sigma}^{(-1) d_{s}} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The next corollary is immediate from the relations (B), (4.3b), (4.4), (4.7) and (4.10).

Corollary 4.6. Let the notation be as in Lemma 4.4. If the defining relations $E$ of $G^{\prime}$ contain the relations $(B)$, then the following relations hold in $G^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{\rho}=h_{\rho}^{-1}=h_{-\rho},  \tag{4.11}\\
& \left(w_{\rho} x_{\rho}\right)^{3}=1,  \tag{4.12}\\
& w_{\rho}^{t} h_{\sigma}^{s} w_{\rho}^{-t}=h_{\sigma^{\prime}}^{s},  \tag{4.13}\\
& h_{\rho} h_{\sigma}=h_{\sigma} h_{\rho} . \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

We define $N^{\prime}$ (respectively $H^{\prime}$ ) to be the subgroup of $G^{\prime}$ generated by the elements $w_{\rho}$ (respectively $h_{\rho}$ ) for all roots $\rho \in \Sigma$. For each root $\rho, H^{\prime}(\rho)$ is the subgroup of $H^{\prime}$ generated by $h_{\rho}$. It is easy to show that $H^{\prime}$ is a normal subgroup of $N^{\prime}$ and that the mapping $H^{\prime} w_{\rho} \mapsto$ $\omega_{\rho}(\rho \in \Sigma)$ extends to an isomorphism of $N^{\prime} / H^{\prime}$ onto the Weyl group $W$, but we will not need this fact here.

Lemma 4.7. For any $\rho \in \Sigma, H^{\prime}(\rho)$ is a normal subgroup of $H^{\prime}$, and $H^{\prime}=\Pi H^{\prime}(\alpha)$, where the product is taken over all simple roots $\alpha$. If $E$ contains the relations $(B)$, then $H^{\prime}$ is abelian.

The proof of Lemma 4.7 is omitted because of its similarity to the proof of [8, 7.7, p. 120].

Now, let $U^{\prime}=\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(P)$ be the subgroup of $G^{\prime}$ generated by $\left\{x_{\rho}: \rho \in P\right\}$
and let $B^{\prime}$ be the subgroup of $G^{\prime}$ generated by $H^{\prime} \cup U^{\prime}$.
Lemma 4.8. $U^{\prime} H^{\prime}=H^{\prime} U^{\prime}=B^{\prime}$ and $U^{\prime} \cap N^{\prime}=U^{\prime} \cap H^{\prime}=\{1\}$.
Proof. $\quad U^{\prime} H^{\prime}=H^{\prime} U^{\prime}=B^{\prime}$ by (4.8). Since $\pi\left(U^{\prime} \cap N^{\prime}\right)=U_{Z} \cap N_{Z}=\{1\}$ in $G_{Z}$ and $\pi$ is an isomorphism on $U^{\prime}$ by Corollary 4.2, $U^{\prime} \cap N^{\prime}=\{1\}$ in $G^{\prime}$.

Corollary 4.9. Any element $b$ in $B^{\prime}$ can be uniquely decomposed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=h u \quad\left(h \in H^{\prime}, u \in U^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.15a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any $h \in H^{\prime}$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=I I h_{\alpha}^{t(\alpha)} \quad(t(\alpha) \in Z), \tag{4.15b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product is over all $\alpha \in \Pi$ in increasing root order. Any $u \in U^{\prime}$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\Pi x_{\rho}^{k(\rho)} \quad(k(\rho) \in Z), \tag{4.15c}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product is over all $\rho \in P$ in any order. The $k(\rho)$ in (4.15c) are uniquely determined by $u$ and the order in which the product is taken. Furthermore, the integers $t(\alpha)$ in (4.15b) can be chosen in $\{0$, 1\} if $E$ contains the relations $(B)$.

Proof. (4.15a), (4.15b), and (4.15c) are immediate from Lemmas 4.8, 4.7, and 4.1, respectively.

Corollary 4.10. An element $b$ in $B^{\prime}$ is in the kernel of $\pi$ if and only if $b=I h_{\alpha}^{t(\alpha)}$ with $\Pi(-1)^{t(\alpha)(\mu, \alpha)}=1$ for every $\mu \in L_{R}$, where both products are taken over all $\alpha \in \Pi$ (in any order). If $E$ contains the relations $(B)$ and $(C)$, then $\pi$ restricted to $B^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism of $B^{\prime}$ onto $B_{Z}$.

Proof. Expressing $b$ in the form (4.15a), we have $\pi(b)=\pi(h) \pi(u)=$ 1 , so $\pi(u)$ lies in $U_{Z} \cap H_{Z}=\{1\}$. Thus $\pi(u)=1$ implies $u=1$ by Corollary 4.2, and $b=h$ can be written in the form (4.15b). Now, $\pi\left(h_{\rho}\right)=\pi\left(w_{\rho}^{2}\right)=w_{\rho}(1)^{2}=w_{\rho}(-1) w_{\rho}(-1)=h_{\rho}(-1)$, so $\pi(h)=$ $\Pi h_{\alpha}\left((-1)^{t(\alpha)}\right)=1$ if and only if $\Pi(-1)^{t(\alpha) c(\mu, \alpha)}=1$ for every $\mu \in L_{R}$, by (3.4d) and [9, p. 43, Lemma 28(c)]. If $E$ contains the relations (B) and $(\mathrm{C})$, the relations $(\mathrm{B})$ "reduce" the $t_{\alpha}$ to 0 or 1 , and then the condition for $b$ to be in the kernel of $\pi$ implies $b=1$ by the relations (C), so $\pi$ restricted to $B^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism.

Corollary 4.9 establishes a normal form for elements of $B^{\prime}$ which corresponds to the normal form in $B_{Z}$ under the canonical projection $\pi$. Following the next technical lemma, we will seek to extend this
normal form to all of $G^{\prime}$.

Lemma 4.11. Let $\rho, \sigma \in \Sigma$ be simple roots relative to some ordering of $\Sigma$. Then every element of $G^{\prime}(\rho)$ commutes with every element of $G^{\prime}(\sigma)$ if and only if $(\rho, \sigma)=0$.

Proof. Since $\rho, \sigma$ are simple roots in the relative ordering, $\rho-\sigma$ and $\sigma-\rho$ are not roots, and $\rho+\sigma$ is not a root if and only if $-q=$ $c(\rho, \sigma)=0$. Thus, $(\rho, \sigma)=0$ implies there are no roots $i \rho+j \sigma \in$ $\Sigma(i, j \in Z)$ except $\pm \rho$ and $\pm \sigma$, so $\left(x_{\rho}, x_{\sigma}\right)=\left(x_{\rho}, x_{-\sigma}\right)=\left(x_{-\rho}, x_{\sigma}\right)=$ $\left(x_{-\rho}, x_{-\sigma}\right)=1$ by $\left(A^{\prime}\right)$. If $(\rho, \sigma) \neq 0,\left(x_{\rho}, x_{\sigma}\right) \neq 1$, since $\rho+\sigma$ is a root and the right hand product in $\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ has the factor $x_{o+\sigma}^{k}$ with $k=C(1,1$; $\rho, \sigma)= \pm 1$, and hence cannot be 1 because of the uniqueness in (4.15c).

We now try to duplicate the normal form of Theorem 3.3 for $G_{Z}$ in the abstractly defined group $G^{\prime}$. An element $x$ in $G^{\prime}$ such that $\pi(x) \in B w B$ is called completely decomposable (c.d.) if, for every minimal representation $w=w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{n}$ of $w$ in terms of simple reflections $w_{i}$, $x$ can be written in the normal form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=h u g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{n}, \tag{4.16a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ and $u$ are in the forms (4.15b) and (4.15c), respectively, and for each $i=1,2, \cdots, n$, either

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}=x_{-\alpha}^{r(1)} x_{\alpha}^{s(1)} \cdots x_{-\alpha}^{r(m)} x_{\alpha}^{b_{b}^{c}} \quad(m>0) \tag{4.16b}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}=x_{-\alpha}^{r(1)} x_{\alpha}^{s(1)} \cdots x_{\alpha}^{s(m)} x_{-\alpha}^{\varepsilon} w_{\alpha}^{-1} \quad(m \geqq 0), \tag{4.16c}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r(j)$ and $s(j)$ are positive integers, $k$ is an integer, and $\alpha$ is the simple root such that $w_{i}=w_{\alpha}$. A subset of $G^{\prime}$ is completely decomposable (c.d.) if every one of its elements is c.d. We denote by $Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ the set of all elements $g_{i}$ in $G^{\prime}(\alpha)$ which can be written in the form (4.16b) or (4.16c).

The expressions (4.16) are more conveniently treated in terms of the following generators of $G^{\prime}$.

Lemma 4.12. $G^{\prime}$ is generated by $\left\{x_{\alpha}, w_{\alpha} \in G^{\prime}: \alpha \in \Pi\right\}$.
Proof. Let $G^{*}$ be the subgroup of $G^{\prime}$ generated by $\left\{x_{\alpha}, w_{\alpha} \in G^{\prime}\right.$ : $\alpha \in \Pi\}$. For any $\rho \in P$, we show by induction on $h t(\rho)$ that $x_{\rho}$ and $x_{-\rho}$ are in $G^{*}$. If $h t(\rho)=1, \rho \in \Pi$ implies $x_{\rho}$ and $x_{-\rho}=w_{\rho} x_{\rho}^{-1} w_{\rho}^{-1}$ are in $G^{*}$. If $h t(\rho)>1$, there is a root $\alpha \in \Pi$ such that $\rho^{\prime}=w_{\alpha}(\rho)$ is a positive root with $h t\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)<h t(\rho)$. (See [9, p. 267 (10), (11)].) Thus, $x_{\rho^{\prime}}, x_{-\rho^{\prime}} \in G^{*}$ implies that $x_{\rho}=w_{\alpha} x_{\rho^{\prime}}^{n\left(\alpha, \rho^{\prime}\right)} w_{\alpha}^{-1}, x_{-\rho}=w_{\alpha} x_{-\rho^{\prime}}^{n\left(\alpha, \rho^{\prime}\right)} w_{\alpha}^{-1} \in G^{*}$ by
(4.5). Since the $x_{\rho}\left(\rho \in \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ generate $G^{\prime}, G^{*}=G^{\prime}$.

Now, the identity of $G^{\prime}$ is trivially c.d., and it follows inductively that $G^{\prime}$ is c.d. if it can be shown for each $x \in G^{\prime}$ that $x$ c.d. implies $x_{\alpha}^{t} x$ and $w_{n}^{t} x(\alpha \in I, t= \pm 1)$ are c.d. We use this to prove

Lemma 4.13. $G^{\prime}$ is c.d. if $G^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta)$ is c.d. for every $\alpha, \beta \in h^{\prime}$.
Proof. Let $x \in \pi^{-1}(B w B)$ be c.d., let $\alpha$ be a simple root, and let $t= \pm 1$. For every minimal representation $w=w_{1} \cdots w_{n}, x$ can be put in the corresponding normal form (4.16) $x=h u g_{1} \cdots g_{n}$. Writing $x_{\alpha}^{t} h u=h^{\prime} u^{\prime} \in B^{\prime}$ in the form (4.15), $x_{a}^{t} x=h^{\prime} u^{\prime} g_{1} \cdots g_{n}$ is in the form (4.16) corresponding to the minimal representation $w=w_{1} \cdots w_{n}$ of $w$, and $\pi\left(x_{n}^{t} x\right) \in B w B$. Thus, $x_{\alpha}^{t} x$ is c.d.

Now, consider $w_{a}^{t} x$. The normality of $H^{\prime}$ in $W^{\prime}$ implies $w_{a}^{t} H^{\prime}=$ $H^{\prime} w_{\alpha}^{t}=H^{\prime} w_{\alpha}^{-1}$, where we use $w_{\alpha}=h_{\alpha} w_{\alpha}^{-1}$ if $t=1$. By Lemma 4.1, any element $u \in U^{\prime}$ can be written in the form $u=\left(\| x_{\alpha^{k}}^{k}\right) x_{n}^{k}$, where the product $/ I x_{n}^{\prime, \rho,}$ is taken over all $\rho \in P-\{\alpha\}$. Since $w_{n}(P-\{\alpha\})=$ $P-\{\alpha\}$ (See [9, p. 267, (11)].), the relations (4.5) imply $u^{\prime}=w_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(I I x_{o}^{k(,())}\right) w_{\kappa}$ is in $U^{\prime}$. Thus, $w_{\alpha}^{\prime} x=h^{\prime} u^{\prime} w_{\alpha}^{-1} x_{\alpha}^{k} g=h^{\prime} u^{\prime} x_{-\alpha}^{-k} w_{\alpha}^{-1} g$, with $h^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime}$ in the forms (4.15b) and (4.15c), respectively, and $g$ in the form $g=g_{1} \cdots g_{n}$ is obtainable for every form $x=h u g_{1} \cdots g_{n}$ for $x$. Noting that $g_{n}=$ $x_{-\alpha}^{-k} w_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is in the form (4.16c), we see that $w_{\alpha}^{t} x=h^{\prime} u^{\prime} g_{\alpha} g_{1} \cdots g_{n}$ is in the normal form (4.16) if $w_{\alpha} w_{1} \cdots w_{n}$ is a minimal representation for $w_{n} w$. If not, then there is a minimal representation for $w$ which begins with $w_{\alpha}$. (See $[9, \mathrm{p} .270,(21)]$.) Since $x$ is c.d., we can assume that $x=h u g_{1} \cdots g_{n}$ with $g_{1} \in Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. Since $G^{\prime}(\alpha)=G^{\prime}(\alpha, \alpha)$ is c.d. by hypothesis, we can write $g_{k} g_{1}=h_{1} u_{1} g_{\mathrm{l}}^{\prime}$ in the normal form (4.16) with $g_{1}^{\prime}=1$ or in the form (4.16b) or (4.16c). In either case,

$$
w_{n}^{\prime} x=h^{\prime} u^{\prime} h_{1} u_{1} g_{1}^{\prime} g_{2} \cdots g_{n}=h^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime} g_{1}^{\prime} g_{2} \cdots g_{n}
$$

can be put in the normal form (4.16), since both $w_{1} \cdots w_{n}$ and $w_{2} \cdots$ $w_{n}$ are minimal representations. The proof that $w_{\alpha}^{t} x$ is c.d. is completed in the following lemma, which shows that the decomposition (4.16) can be obtained corresponding to every minimal representation of the related Weyl group element.

Lemma 4.14. Let $G^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta)$ be c.d. for every $\alpha, \beta \in I$. Suppose that $w=w_{1} \cdots w_{n}=w_{1}^{\prime} \cdots w_{n}^{\prime}$ are two minimal representations of $w \in$ $W$, and that $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}$ are elements of $G^{\prime}$ such that $g_{\imath} \in Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ when $w_{i}=$ $w_{n}$. Then there exist $h \in H^{\prime}, u \in U^{\prime}$, and $g_{0}^{\prime}$ such that $g_{i}^{\prime} \in Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ when $u_{2}^{\prime}=w_{\alpha}$ and $g_{1} \cdots g_{n}=h u g_{1}^{\prime} \cdots g_{n}^{\prime}$.

Proof. By [5], $w_{1}^{\prime} \cdots w_{n}^{\prime}$ can be obtained from $w_{1} \cdots w_{n}$ by succes-
sive substitutions of terms $w_{\alpha} w_{\beta} w_{\alpha} \cdots$ of $k$ factors by terms $w_{\beta} w_{\alpha} w_{\beta} \cdots$ of $k$ factors, where $k$ is the order of $w_{\alpha} w_{\beta}$, so it suffices to prove the lemma in the case

$$
w_{1} \cdots w_{j} w_{\alpha} w_{\beta} w_{\alpha} \cdots w_{j+k+1} \cdots w_{n}=w_{1} \cdots w_{j} w_{\beta} w_{\alpha} w_{\beta} \cdots w_{j+k+1} \cdots w_{n}
$$

Since $G^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta)$ is c.d., we can write

$$
g_{j+1} \cdots g_{j+k}=h^{\prime} u^{\prime} g_{j+1}^{\prime} \cdots g_{j+k}^{\prime}
$$

with $g_{j+i}, g_{j+i+1}^{\prime} \in Y_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ and $g_{j+i}^{\prime}, g_{j+i+1} \in Y_{\beta}^{\prime}$ for odd integers $i$ between 1 and $k$.

Now we complete the proof by showing that the factor $h^{\prime} u^{\prime}$ can be "commuted" to the left to get

$$
g_{1} \cdots g_{n}=h u g_{1}^{\prime} \cdots g_{n}^{\prime},
$$

by showing that for any $\gamma \in \Pi, g_{\gamma} \in Y_{\gamma}^{\prime}, h^{\prime} \in H^{\prime}$, and $u^{\prime} \in U^{\prime}, g_{\gamma} h^{\prime} u^{\prime}=$ $h^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime} g_{r}^{\prime}$ with $h^{\prime \prime} \in H^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime} \in U^{\prime}$, and $g_{r}^{\prime} \in Y_{r}^{\prime}$. First, since $g_{r}$ is a product of powers of $x_{r}$ and $x_{-r}$, and $h^{\prime}$ is a product of $h_{\rho}(\rho \in \Sigma)$, we see by (4.8) that $g_{\gamma} h^{\prime}=h^{\prime} g$, where $g \in G^{\prime}(\gamma)$ can be written $g=h_{1} u_{1} g_{r}^{\prime \prime}$ with $g_{\gamma}^{\prime \prime} \in Y_{r}^{\prime}$, since $G^{\prime}(\gamma)$ is c.d. and $\pi(g) \in B w_{r} B$.

Consider $u^{\prime}=x_{r}^{s} \Pi_{\rho \neq r} x_{\rho}^{k(\rho)}$. Since $G^{\prime}(\gamma)$ is c.d., we can write $\mathrm{g}_{i}^{\prime \prime} x_{r}^{s}=$ $b_{1} g_{r}^{\prime}$ with $b_{1} \in B^{\prime}$ and $g_{r}^{\prime} \in Y_{r}^{\prime}$. Let $\rho \in S=P-\{\gamma\}$. Then $p \rho \pm q \gamma \in S$ for any positive integers $p$ and $q$ such that $p \rho \pm q \gamma \in \Sigma$. Hence, $x=$ $\Pi_{\rho \in S} x_{\rho}^{k(\rho)} \in \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(S)$ implies that $x_{ \pm \gamma}^{r} x x_{ \pm \gamma}^{-r}=\Pi_{\rho \in S}\left(x_{ \pm \gamma}^{r}, x_{\rho}^{k(\rho)}\right) x_{\rho}^{k(\rho)}$ is in $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(S)$ by (4.2). Since $g_{\gamma}^{\prime}$ is a product of powers of $x_{\gamma}$ and $x_{-r}$, it follows that $x^{\prime}=g_{r}^{\prime} x g_{r}^{\prime-1} \in \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}(S) \cong U^{\prime}$.

Combining the above results and applying Corollary 4.9 to $h^{\prime} h_{1} u_{1} b_{1} x^{\prime} \in$ $B^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
g_{r} h^{\prime} u^{\prime}=h^{\prime} h_{1} u_{1} b_{1} x^{\prime} g_{r}^{\prime}=h^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime} g_{r}^{\prime}
$$

with $h^{\prime \prime} \in H^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime} \in U^{\prime}$, and $g_{r}^{\prime} \in Y_{r}^{\prime}$. This completes the proof.
Now, if $G^{\prime}$ is c.d., $\pi$ gives a one-to-one correspondence between the normal form (4.16) in $G^{\prime}$ and the normal form of Theorem 3.3 in $G_{Z}$, modulo the subgroup $B^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}$. Thus the kernel of $\pi$ is the subgroup of $H^{\prime}$ described in Lemma 4.10, and, if $E$ contains the relations (B) and (C), then $\pi$ is an isomorphism of $G^{\prime}$ onto $G_{Z}$. We utilize this notion and Lemma 4.13 to complete the proof of the main theorem, by showing that each $G^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta)(\alpha, \beta \in \Pi)$ is c.d. when $E$ contains the relations (B), (C), and (D).

Case 1. $\alpha=\beta$ and $G^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta)=G^{\prime}(\alpha)$. By [6, p. 8] the group $S L(2$, $Z)$ is generated by $x=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $y=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ subject to the relations

$$
y x^{-1} y x y^{-1} x=\left(x y^{-1} x\right)^{4}=1
$$

Substituting $x_{\alpha}$ for $x$ and $x_{-\alpha}$ for $y, w_{\rho}=x_{\rho} x_{-\rho}^{-1} x_{\rho}$, and $h_{\rho}=w_{\rho}^{2}$, these relations are

$$
w_{-\alpha} w_{\alpha}=h_{\alpha}^{2}=1
$$

which hold in $G^{\prime}(\alpha)$ by (4.3b) and (B). Since $S L(2, Z)$ is c.d. (by proof of Theorem 3.3) in terms of its generators $x$ and $y, G^{\prime}(\alpha)$ is also c.d.

Case 2. $\alpha, \beta \in I,(\alpha, \beta)=0$. In this case, every element of $G^{\prime}(\alpha)$ commutes with every element of $G^{\prime}(\beta)$ by Lemma 4.11. Thus, $G^{\prime}(\alpha$, $\beta)=G^{\prime}(\alpha) G^{\prime}(\beta)$ and this case follows from Case 1 and the above commutativity property.

Case 3. $\alpha, \beta$ form a system of type $A_{2}$. The admissible set of roots generated by $\alpha$ and $\beta$ is $\Sigma_{0}=\{-\alpha-\beta,-\beta,-\alpha, \alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta\}$, and $G^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta)$ is generated by $\left\{x_{\rho}: \rho \in \Sigma_{0}\right\}$. For this case, it can be shown that the structural constants satisfy $C(i, j ; \rho, \sigma)=0$ if $(i, j) \neq$ $(1,1), C(1,1 ; \rho, \sigma)=N_{\rho, \sigma}, N_{\rho, \sigma}=n(\rho, \sigma)$ if $\rho+\sigma \in \Sigma_{0}$, and $N_{\rho, \sigma}=0$ if $\rho+\sigma \notin \Sigma_{0}$, for every $\rho, \sigma \in \Sigma_{0}$. Moreover, $n(\alpha, \beta)=-n(\beta, \alpha)=n(\beta$, $\alpha+\beta)=-n(\alpha, \alpha+\beta)=n(a+\beta, \beta)=-n(\alpha+\beta, \alpha), n(\rho, \rho)=n(\rho$, $-\rho)=-1$, and $n(\rho, \sigma)=n(\rho,-\sigma)=-n(-\rho, \sigma)$ for any $\rho, \sigma \in \Sigma_{0}$ with $\rho \neq \pm \sigma$. Thus, all of the constants are determined by the value of $n(\alpha, \beta)$. We assume $n(\alpha, \beta)=1$, renaming $\alpha$ and $\beta$, if necessary.

To parallel the notation of $[6, \S 2]$, we let the roots $\rho$ in $\Sigma_{0}$ correspond to subscripts ij as follows:

| $\alpha \longleftrightarrow 12$, | $\beta \longleftrightarrow 23$, |
| :---: | ---: |$\quad \alpha+\beta \longleftrightarrow 13$,

For $\rho \leftrightarrow i j$, we write $x_{\rho}=x_{i j}, w_{\rho}=w_{i j}$, and $h_{\rho}=h_{i j}$. Different letters will always denote different subscripts. In writing down the following relations, which are numbered to match corresponding relations from [6], we will put a reference to the relations implying them to the right. With this notation, the following relations hold in $G^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (A1) } & w_{i j}^{2}=h_{i j}=h_{j i} & w_{\rho}^{2}=h_{\rho} \text { and (4.11), } \\
\text { (A2) } & w_{i j} w_{j i}=1 & (4.3 \mathrm{~b}), \\
\text { (A3) } & w_{i j} w_{j k}=w_{j k} w_{i k} & (4.6), \\
\text { (A4) } & h_{i j}^{2}=1 & (4.11), \\
\text { (A5) } & h_{i j} h_{j k}=h_{j k} h_{i j} & (4.14), \\
\text { (A6) } & h_{12} h_{23} h_{13}=1 & \text { (A1), (4.9), (4.7), (A44) , }  \tag{4.5}\\
\text { (B7) } & w_{i j}^{-1} x_{i j} w_{i j}=x_{j i}^{-1} & (4.5), \\
\text { (B8) } & w_{i k}^{-1} x_{i j} w_{i k}=x_{k j} & (4.5),
\end{array}
$$

(A4) $h_{i j}^{2}=1$

| (B9) | $w_{j k}^{-1} x_{i j} w_{j k}=x_{i k}$ | (4.5), |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (B10) | $h_{i j} x_{i j}=x_{i j} h_{i j}$ | (4.8), |
| (B11) | $h_{i k}^{-1} x_{i j} h_{i k}=x_{i j}^{-}$ | (4.8), |
| (B12) | $h_{j k}^{-1} x_{i j} h_{j k}=x_{i j}^{-1}$ | $(4.8)$, |
| (C13) | $w_{i j}^{-1} x_{i j} x_{j i}^{-1} x_{i j}=1$ | $w_{\rho}=x_{\rho} x_{-1}^{-1} x_{\rho}$, |
| (C14) | $\left(x_{i j}, x_{i k}\right)=1$ | (A $),$ |
| (C15) | $\left(x_{i j}, x_{k j}\right)=1$ | (A'), |
| (C16) | $\left(x_{i j}, x_{j k}\right)=x_{i k}$ | (A'). |

The proof that these relations define $\operatorname{PSL}(3, Z)=S L(3, Z)$ proceeds almost exactly as in [6, §2]. R. Ree has shown $\operatorname{PSL}(3, Z) \cong G_{z}\left(A_{2}\right)$ in [7]. Since one can obtain a "canonical" isomorphism of $G^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta)$ onto $G_{z}\left(A_{2}\right)$, the group $G^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta)$ is c.d.

Case 4. $\alpha, \beta$ form a system of type $B_{2}$ with long root $\beta$. Then the relations (D) show that the "canonical" projection $\pi^{\prime}: G^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow$ $G_{z}\left(B_{2}\right)$ defined by $x_{\rho} \mapsto x_{\rho}(1)$ (in $G_{\ell}\left(B_{2}\right)$ ) is an isomorphism onto the c.d. group $G_{z}\left(B_{2}\right)$, and hence, $G^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta)$ is c.d.

This completes the proof of the main theorem.
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