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By using the Perron-Frobenius Theorem it is easily shown that if $A$ is an irreducible matrix then there is a diagonal matrix $D$ with positive main diagonal so that $D A D^{-1}=r S$ where $r$ is a positive scalar and $S$ a stochastic matrix. This paper gives a short proof of this result without direct appeal to the Perron-Frobenius Theorem.

Definitions and Notations. Let $n \geqq 2$ be an integer. Let $N=$ $\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$. An $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix $A$ is said to be reducible if there is a permutation matrix $P$ so that
$P A P^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A_{1} & 0 \\ B & A_{2}\end{array}\right)$ where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are square. If $A$ is not reducible we say that $A$ is irreducible. By agreement each $1 \times 1$ matrix is irreducible.

Denote by

$$
u(A)=\min _{M}\left[\max _{\substack{\varepsilon \in M \\ j \notin M}} a_{i j}\right]
$$

where the minimum is over all proper subsets of $N$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(A) & =\max _{i \in N} \sum_{k \in N} a_{i k}, \quad p(A)=\min _{i \in N} \sum_{k \in N} a_{i k} \\
D & =\left\{d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{n}\right) \mid \text { each } d_{k}>0 \text { and } \min _{k} d_{k}=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$f(d)=\max _{i_{j \in N}}\left|\sum_{k \in Y} d_{i} a_{i k} d_{k}^{-1}-\sum_{k \in N} d_{j} a_{j k} d_{k}^{-1}\right|$ where each $d_{k}>0$ and $A$ is irreducible. Finally let $S(A)$ denote the positive number so that $S(A) \cdot u(A)-r(A)=f(e)$ where $e=(1,1, \cdots, 1)$.

## Results.

Lemme 1: $\quad f(d)=f(\lambda \cdot d)$ for each $\lambda>0$.
Lemma 2. If $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{n}\right) \in D$, and $\max _{k \in N} d_{k}>[S(A)]^{n-1}$, then $f(d)>f(e)$.

Proof. Reorder ( $d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{n}$ ) to ( $d_{i_{1}}, d_{i_{2}}, \cdots, d_{i_{n}}$ ) so that $d_{i_{1}} \geqq$ $d_{i_{2}} \geqq \cdots \geqq d_{i_{n}}$. Let $s$ denote the smallest integer so that $\left(d_{i_{s}} / d_{i_{s+1}}\right)>$ $S(A)$. That there is such an $s$ follows since $\left(d_{i_{k}} / d_{i_{k+1}}\right) \leqq S(A)$ for each $k \in\{1,2, \cdots, n-1\}$ would imply that

$$
d_{i_{1}}=\frac{d_{i_{1}}}{d_{i_{i_{n}}}}=\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{d_{i_{k}}}{d_{i_{k+1}}}\right) \leqq[S(A)]^{n-1} .
$$

Let $M=\left\{d_{i_{1}}, d_{i_{2}}, \cdots, d_{i_{s}}\right\}$. Note that $M \neq N$. Since $A$ is irreducible there is an $a_{p q}=\max _{i \in \Perp, j \varepsilon M} a_{i j}>0$. Then since $p \in M$ and $q \in M$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d_{p}}{d_{q}}>S(A), \frac{d_{i_{n}}}{d_{k}} \leqq 1 \text { for each } k \in N, \\
\sum_{k \in N} d_{p} a_{p k} d_{k}^{-1}>S(A) \cdot u(A), \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{k \in N} d_{i_{n}} a_{i_{n} k} d_{k}^{-1} \leqq r(A) .
\end{gathered}
$$

From this it follows that

$$
f(d) \geqq\left|\sum_{k \in N} d_{p} a_{p k} d_{k_{k}^{-1}}^{-1}-\sum_{k \in N} d_{i_{n}} a_{i_{n k} k} d_{k=1}^{-1}\right|>S(A) \cdot u(A)-r(A)=f(e) .
$$

Lemma 3. $f$ achieves a minimum in $D$.
Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 2, the fact that $f$ is continuous on the compact set $\left\{d \mid d \in D\right.$ and $\left.\max _{k} d_{k} \leqq[S(A)]^{n-1}\right\}$, and $e \in D$.

Theorem. The minimum of $f$ in $D$ is 0 , i.e., $\operatorname{Min}_{d_{k}>0}{ }_{k \in N} f(d)=0$.
Proof. We first prove the theorem for positive matrices. Suppose $A>0$ and $f$ achieves its minimum at $d^{0}=\left(d_{1}^{1}, d_{2}^{0}, \cdots, d_{n}^{0}\right) \in D$. Further suppose $f\left(d^{0}\right)>0$. Let $D_{0}=$ diagonal $\left(d_{1}^{0}, d_{2}^{0}, \cdots, d_{n}^{0}\right)$. Let $D_{0} A D_{0}^{-1}=B$. If $P$ is a permutation matrix then $\left(P D_{0} P^{T}\right) P A P^{T}\left(P D_{0}^{-1} P^{T}\right)=P B P^{r}$. Hence we may assume that

$$
\sum_{k \in N} b_{1 k} \geqq \sum_{k \in N} b_{2 k} \geqq \cdots \geqq \sum_{k \in N} b_{n k}
$$

Let

$$
M_{1}=\left\{i \mid \sum_{k \in, V} b_{i k}=\sum_{k \in N} b_{1 k}\right\} \quad M_{2}=\left\{i \mid \sum_{k \in V} b_{i k}=\sum_{k \in N} b_{n k}\right\} .
$$

Let

$$
d_{k}= \begin{cases}1-\varepsilon & k \in M_{1} \\ (1-\varepsilon)^{-1} & k \in M_{2} \\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Consider $D B D^{-1}$ and let $g(\varepsilon)$

$$
=\sum_{k \in, V} d_{i} b_{i k} d_{k}^{-1}-\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} d_{j} b_{j_{k}} d_{k}^{-1} \quad i \in M_{1}, j \in M_{2}
$$

Then

$$
g^{\prime}(0)=-\sum_{\substack{k \in V_{1} \\ k \in M_{2}}} b_{i k}-2 \sum_{k \in N_{2}} b_{i k}-2 \sum_{k \in M_{1}} b_{j k}-\sum_{\substack{k_{k} H_{1} H_{2} \\ k \in M_{2}}} b_{j k}<0 .
$$

Hence for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$,

$$
f_{A}\left[d_{1} d_{1}^{0}, d_{2} d_{2}^{0}, \cdots, d_{n} d_{n}^{0}\right]<f\left(d^{0}\right) .
$$

However, this contradicts $f$ having its minimum at $d^{0}$. Therefore, if $A>0, \min _{d_{k}>0} k_{k \in .} f(d)=0$.

Now suppose $A$ is irreducible. For each positive integer $k$, let $A_{k}=A+(1 / k) J$ where $J$ is the $n \times n$ matrix of ones so that $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} A_{m}=$ A. For each $A_{m}$ there is a diagonal matrix $D_{m}=\operatorname{diag} .\left(d_{1}^{m}, d_{2}^{m}, \cdots, d_{n}^{m}\right)$, $\left(d_{1}^{m}, d_{2}^{m}, \cdots, d_{n}^{m}\right) \in D$, so that $D_{m} A_{m} D_{m}^{-1}$ has equal row sums. Further

$$
1 \leqq d_{k}^{m} \leqq\left[S\left(A_{m}\right)\right]^{n-1} \text { for each } k \in N
$$

The $S\left(A_{m}\right)$ 's are easily seen to be bounded, and hence the $D_{m}$ 's are bounded having a limit point $D$. Let $\left\{D_{m^{\prime}}\right\}$ denote a subsequence of $\left\{D_{m}\right\}$ so that $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} D_{m^{\prime}}=D$. Then $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} D_{m^{\prime}} A_{m^{\prime}} D_{m^{\prime}}^{-1}=D A D^{-1}$ which has all its row sums equal. Hence $\min _{d_{k}>0}{ }_{k \in \mathcal{V}} f(d)=0$.

Corollary. If $A$ is an irreducible matrix then there is a diagonal matrix $D$ with positive main diagonal so that $D A D^{-1}=r S$ where $S$ is a row stochastic matrix and $r$ a positive number.

We also include the following corollary to Lemma 2.
Corollary. If $A$ is irreducible with Perron eigenvector $x=$ $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ then $\max _{i_{j}} x_{i} / x_{j} \leqq[S(A)]^{n-1}=\left((2 r(A)-p(A) / u(A))^{n-1}\right.$.

We include this bound as the bound involves the quantity $u(A)$ which to our knowledge is new.

## Reference

1. F. R. Gantmacher, The Theory of Matrices. Chelsea Publishing Co. New York, N. Y. (1969).

Received December 4, 1970 and in revised form May 6, 1971.
Texas A \& M University

