ASYMPTOTICS FOR A CLASS OF WEIGHTED EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

PHILIP W. WALKER

Abstract: This paper deals with the asymptotic behavior at infinity of the solutions to $\mathcal{L}(y) = \lambda w y$ on $[a, \infty)$ where \mathcal{L} is an *n*th order ordinary linear differential operator, λ is a nonzero complex number and w is a suitably chosen positive valued continuous functions. As an application the deficiency indices of certain symmetric differential operators in Hilbert space are computed.

1. Preliminaries. Throughout the first three sections \checkmark will denote an operator of the form,

(1.1)
$$\qquad \qquad \swarrow(y) = y^{(n)} + \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_k y^{(n-k)} \quad \text{on } [a, \infty) ,$$

where each of p_2, \dots, p_n is a continuous complex valued function on $[a, \infty)$. In view of the transformation indicated on p. 309 of [2] it results in no great loss of generality to take the coefficient of $y^{(n-1)}$ to be zero, and in order to simplify the exposition we shall do this. We shall be concerned with the behavior at infinity of the solutions to

(1.2)
$$\qquad \qquad \swarrow(y) = \lambda wy \quad \text{on } [a, \infty)$$

where λ is a nonzero complex number and w is an appropriate weight (i.e., positive valued continuous function). For a given \checkmark we shall consider the weights w indicated by the following definition. $\mathscr{L}(a, \infty)$ denotes the Banach space of all complex valued measurable functions which are absolutely Lebesgue integrable on $[a, \infty)$.

DEFINITION. If \checkmark is as in 1.1 the statement that w is an \checkmark -admissible weight means that

(1) w is differentiable, strictly increasing, and unbounded on $[a, \infty)$;

(2) each of $[w'/w^{1+1/n}]'$ and $[(w'/w)^2(1/w^{1/n})]$ is continuous on $[a, \infty)$ and is in $\mathscr{L}(a, \infty)$; and

(3) $p_j/w^{(j-1)/n} \in \mathscr{L}(a, \infty)$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$.

For example if $\swarrow(y)(t) = y''(t) \pm t^{\alpha}y(t)$ for $t \ge 1$ and $w(t) = t^{\beta}$ then w will be an \checkmark -admissible weight if and only if $\beta > 0$ and $\beta > 2(\alpha + 1)$.

We shall demonstrate that when w is an \checkmark -admissible weight the solutions of 1.2 have a particularly simple asymptotic behavior and

we shall establish that every operator of the form 1.1 has admissible weights.

Our asymptotic theorem relies on the classic perturbation theorem of Norman Levinson [2, Therem 8.1 p. 92 or 10]. Recent related works include [3, 7, 8, 9, 11, and 12]. The results in §4 complement those of reference [13].

2. Results. Our main results are stated in the following two theorems.

THEOREM 1. If \checkmark is as in 1.1 and U is a continuous function on $[a, \infty)$ there is an \checkmark -admissible weight w with $w(t) \ge U(t)$ for $t \ge a$.

THEOREM 2. If \checkmark is as in 1.1, w is an \checkmark -admissible weight, and λ is a nonzero complex number then equation 1.2 has n linearly independent solutions y_1, \dots, y_n such that for $k = 0, \dots, n-1$

$$y_{i}^{(k)}(t)w^{\alpha_{k}}(t)e^{-\mu_{j}h(t)}\longrightarrow \mu_{i}^{k} \text{ as } t \longrightarrow \infty$$
,

where

$$h(t) = \int_a^t w^{1/n} \, dt$$

 μ_1, \dots, μ_n are the distinct nth roots of λ , and $\alpha_{k-1} = (n - 2k + 1)/2n$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$.

3. Proofs. The proof of Theorem 1 will be facilitated by the following results.

LEMMA. If r > 1 and 1 < c < d there exist positive constants M_r and N_r , depending only on r, and a function f defined on [0, 1] such that

(1) f is continuously differentiable, strictly increasing, f(0) = c, f(1) = d, and f'(0) = 0 = f'(1);

(2) $[f'/f^r]'$ exists and is continuous on [0, 1] and has the value 0 at 0 and at 1; and

(3) $|[f'/f^r]'(x)| \leq M_r c^{1-r}$ and $[(f'/f)^2 f^{1-r}](x) \leq N_r c^{1-r}$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Given r > 1 and 1 < c < d let $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a twice continuously differentiable fuction such that g(0) = 0, g(1) = 1, g'(x) > 0 for $x \in (0, 1)$, and g'(0) = g''(0) = g'(1) = g''(1) = 0 (e.g. let g(x) = h(h(x)) where $h(x) = (2x - x^2)^2$). Then let $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [c, d]$ be given by

$$f = \left\{ c^{1-r} - 6 \left(c^{1-r} - d^{1-r}
ight) \left[\left(rac{1}{2}
ight) g^2 - \left(rac{1}{3}
ight) g^3
ight]
ight\}^{1/(1-r)}$$
 ,

clearly f(0) = c and f(1) = d. Since each of g and the function whose value at x is $(1/2)x^2 - (1/3)x^3$ is strictly increasing on [0, 1]and since 1 - r < 0 and 1 < c < d we see that f is strictly increasing on [0, 1]. Using the above listed properties of g we see that f' is continuous on [0, 1] and that f'(0) = 0 = f'(1). Computation shows that

$$[f'/f^r] = (6/(r-1))(c^{1-r} - d^{1-r})(g - g^2)g'$$

and

$$[f'/f^r]' \approx (6/(r-1))(c^{1-r}-d^{1-r})[(1-2g)(g')^2+(g-g^2)g'']$$
.

Hence condition (2) of the lemma is satisfied. Letting M_r be a bound for $(6/(r-1))[(1-2g)(g')^2 + (g-g^2)g'']$ on [0, 1] we see that

$$|[f'/f^r]'(x)| \leq M_r c^{1-r}$$
 for $x \in [0, 1]$.

Noting that $c^{1-r} \ge (f(x))^{1-r} \ge d^{1-r}$ for $x \in [0, 1]$ and letting N_r be a bound for $[(6/(r-1))(g-g^2)g']^2$ on [0, 1] we see that

$$|[(f'/f^r)^2 f^{1-r}](x)| \leq N_r c^{3(1-r)} \leq N_r c^{1-r}$$

for $x \in [0, 1]$, and the lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1. We shall make use of the fact that if U is a continuous function on $[a, \infty)$ and γ is a positive number there is a weight w such that $U/w' \in \mathscr{L}(a, \infty)$. To see this let w be such that

$$rac{1+\mid U(t)\mid}{w^{r}(t)}=rac{1}{(t-a+1)^{2}}$$

Given an \checkmark as in 1.1 and a continuous function U on $[a, \infty)$ choose weights v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n such that $p_j/v_j^{(j-1)/n} \in \mathscr{C}(a, \infty)$ for $j = 2, \dots, n$ and let v be a weight such that $v(t) \ge \max\{U(t), v_2(t), \dots, v_n(t)\}$ for all $t \ge a$. Next let $\{c_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of numbers with $c_k \ge k^{2n}$ and $c_k \ge \max$ maximum of v(t) for $t \in [a+k-1, a+k]$ and let f_k be a function satisfying the conclusion to the lemma with $r = 1 + 1/n, c = c_k$ and $d = c_{k+1}$ for each k. Let w be defined by

$$w(t) = f_k(t - a - k + 1)$$
 for $t \in [a + k - 1, a + k]$.

Clearly then w satisfies condition (1) in the definition of admissible weight, and since $w(t) \ge v(t)$, we see that $w(t) \ge U(t)$ and w satisfies condition (3) of the definition. To see that condition (2) is satisfied note that

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{a}^{\infty} \mid [w'/w^{1+1/n}]' \mid = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \mid [f_k'/f_k^{1+1/n}]' \mid \ &\leq \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{1+1/n} c_k^{-1/n} \leq M_{1+1/n} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-2} < \infty \ , \end{aligned}$$

and

$$egin{aligned} &\int_a^\infty \left[w'/w
ight)^2 (1/w^{1/n})
ight] &= \sum\limits_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^1 \left[(f_k'/f_k)^2 f_k^{-1/n}
ight] \ &\leq \sum\limits_{k=1}^\infty N_{1+1/n} c_k^{-1/n} \leq N_{1+1/n} \sum\limits_{k=1}^\infty k^{-2} < \infty ~. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We shall establish the theorem by showing that the standard vector-matrix formulation,

(3.1)
$$y' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ (\lambda w - p_n) - p_{n-1} - p_{n-2} & \cdots - p_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} y$$

of equation (1.2) has a fundamental matrix Y_0 such that

 $Q(t) Y_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(t) E(t) \longrightarrow L \quad {\rm as} \quad t \longrightarrow \infty$,

where

 $Q = \operatorname{diag} [w^{\alpha_1}, \cdots, w^{\alpha_n}]$

with $\alpha_k = (n - 2k + 1)/2n$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$;

 $E(t) = \text{diag} [e^{-\mu_1 h(t)}, \dots, e^{-\mu_n h(t)}]$

with μ_1, \dots, μ_n the distinct *n*th roots of λ and

$$h(t)=\int_a^t w^{1/n};$$

and

$$L = \left[egin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \cdots & \mu_n \ \mu_1^2 & \mu_2^2 & \cdots & \mu_n^2 \ & \cdots & & \cdots \ \mu_1^{n-1} & \mu_2^{n-1} & \cdot & \mu_n^{n-1} \end{array}
ight].$$

Using this notation we begin by letting Y be a fundamental matrix for equation (3.1). Since h is strictly increasing on $[a, \infty)$ we may let g be the function inverse to it $(h(g(s)) = s \text{ for } s \ge 0)$ and let Z(s) = Q(g(s)) Y(g(s)) for $s \ge 0$. Noting that g'(s) = 1/h'(g(s)) and

that Q(g(s)) is nonsingular we see that Z is a fundamental matrix for

(3.2)
$$z'(s) = [1/h'(g(s))]$$

$$[Q(g(s)M(g(s))Q^{-1}(g(s)) + Q'(g(s))Q^{-1}(g(s))]z(s)]$$

where M is the coefficient matrix on the right hand side of equation (3.1). Computation shows that equation (3.2) is the same as

(3.3)
$$z'(s) = [A + \alpha(s)D + R(s)]z(s), \ s \ge 0$$

where

$$A = egin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \ & & \ddots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \ & & \lambda & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (n imes n) \, , \ lpha(s) = [w'/w^{1/1n}](g(s)) \, , \ D = ext{diag} \left[lpha_1, \, \cdots, \, lpha_n
ight] \, ,$$

and R(s) is the $n \times n$ matrix having

$$[(-p_j/w^{(j-1)/n})(1/w^{1/n})](g(s))$$

as its n, n - j + 1 entry for $2 \leq j \leq n$ and zero for all other entries. Since $h(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\int_{0}^{h(b)} | [(p_j/w^{(j-1)/n})(1/w^{1/n})](g(s)) | ds = \int_{a}^{b} | [(p_j/w^{(j-1)/n})](t) | dt$$

we see from condition (3) of the definition of \checkmark -admissible weight that $|R| \in \mathscr{L}(0, \infty)$ since by condition (2) of the definition it is the case that $[w'/w^{1+1/n}]'$ and $[w'/w)^2(1/w^{1/n})]$ are in $\mathscr{L}(a, \infty)$ we see from similar "changes of variable" that α' and α^2 are in $\mathscr{L}(0, \infty)$. Since $\alpha' \in \mathscr{L}(a, \infty)$ and α' is continuous, α has a limit at ∞ and since $\alpha^2 \in \mathscr{L}(0, \infty)$ this limit must be zero. The characteristic roots of A are μ_1, \dots, μ_n . Hence for $j = 1, \dots, n$ we may let λ_j be the continuous function such that $\lambda_j(s) \to \mu_j$ as $s \to \infty$ and $\lambda_j(s)$ is a characteristic root of $A + \alpha(s)D$ for $s \ge 0$.

We now shall show that $\lambda_j - \mu_j \in \mathcal{L}(0, \infty)$ for each *j*. Following the procedure used in [9] we note that

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \det \left[A + \alpha(s)D - \lambda_j(s)I \right] \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} \lambda + \pi_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i \alpha(s) - \lambda_j(s)) \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} \lambda + (-\lambda_j(s))^n + (-\lambda_j(s))^{n-1} \alpha(s) \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i + \alpha^2(s) F(s) , \end{split}$$

where F is a bounded function. (Racall that $\alpha(s) \to 0$ and $\lambda_j(s) \to \mu_j$ as $s \to \infty$.) Noting that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 0$ we then have

$$0 = (-1)^{n+1} \lambda + (-\lambda_j(s))^n + \alpha^2(s) F(s)$$

and

 $0 = (-1)^{n+1}\lambda + (-\mu_j)^n$.

From which we conclude that

$$(\lambda_j(s))^n - \mu_j^n = -(-1)^n lpha^2(s) F(s)$$

and

$$|\lambda_j(s) - \mu_i| |\sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_j(s))^{n-i} \mu_j^{i-1}| \leq |lpha^2(s)F(s)|$$
 .

Since $\lambda_j(s) \to \mu_j \neq 0$ as $s \to \infty$, since $\alpha^2 \in \mathscr{L}(a, \infty)$ and since F is bounded we see that $|\lambda_j(s) - \mu_j|$ is for all large s dominated by a function in $\mathscr{L}(0, \infty)$; hence $\lambda_j - \mu_j \in \mathscr{L}(0, \infty)$.

Thus all the hypotheses of Thorem 8.1 p. 92 of [2] are satisfied and noting that the *j*th column of *L* is an eigenvector of *A* corresponding to μ_j we are able to conclude that there exist numbers s_1, \dots, s_n and a fundamental matrix Z_0 for equation (3.3) such that

 $Z_0(s)G(s) \longrightarrow L \quad \text{as} \quad s \longrightarrow \infty$

where

$$G(s) = \exp\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left[-\int_{s_1}^s \lambda_1, \cdots, -\int_{s_n}^s \lambda_n\right]\right\}$$

Since $\lambda_j - \mu_j \in \mathscr{L}(a, \infty)$ it follows that there is a nonsingular diagonal constant matrix H such that

$$Z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(s)H ext{ diag } [e^{-\mu_1 s}, \cdots, e^{-\mu_n s}] \longrightarrow L ext{ as } s \longrightarrow \infty$$
 .

(See the procedure followed at the end of the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [12].) Since each of Z_0H and Z is a fundamental matrix for equation (3.3) there is a constant nonsingular matrix C such that $Z_0H = ZC$. Letting Y_0 be YC and recalling that Z(s) = Q(g(s)) Y(g(s))we have

$$Q(g(s)) Y_{0}(g(s)) \operatorname{diag} [e^{-\mu_{1}s}, \cdots, e^{-\mu_{n}s}] \longrightarrow L \quad \text{as} \quad s \longrightarrow \infty$$
.

Hence $Q(t) Y_0(t) E(t) \rightarrow L$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and the theorem is proved.

4. Application. If w is a weight on $[a, \infty)$ we denote by \mathscr{L}^2 $(w; a, \infty)$ the Hilbert space of all complex valued measurable y such that

$$\int_a^\infty \mid y \mid^{_2} w < \infty$$

with the obvious inner product. If \checkmark is an *n*th formally self-adjoint (in the sense defined in [2]; see in particular 13 and 14 p. 204) operator, w is a weight,

$$\mathscr{D} = \{ y \mid y \in \mathscr{L}^2(w; a, \infty), y^{(n-1)} \text{ is absolutely continuous}$$

and $(1/w) \checkmark (y) \in \mathscr{L}^2(w; a, \infty) \}$,

$$\mathcal{D}_0' = \{y \mid y \in \mathcal{D} \text{ and has compact support interior to } [a, \infty)\}$$
.

and L and L'_0 are the restriction of $(1/w) \checkmark$ to \mathscr{D} and \mathscr{D}'_0 respectively then L'_0 is a densely defined symmetric operator in $\mathscr{L}^2(w; a, \infty)$, hence admits a closure L_0 in this space, and $L^*_0 = L$ where * denotes adjoint operator in $\mathscr{L}^2(w; a, \infty)$. Verification of these assertions closely parallels that for the case $w \equiv 1$ found in [1], [4], and [11].

The deficiency indices of L_0 are (n_1, n_2) where n_j is the dimension of the subspace of solutions to

$$\mathscr{C}(y) = (-1)^{j+1} \mathrm{i} w y$$

which lie in $\mathscr{L}^2(w; a, \infty)$. (Actually for \checkmark formally self-adjoint any λ in the upper half plane may be used for i and any λ in the lower half plane for -i. See [4 Theorem 19, p. 1232, 5, and 6].)

By use of Theorem 2 we may conclude the following.

THEOREM 3. Let \checkmark be as in 1.1 and let w be an \checkmark -admissible weight.

(1) If n is even and $\text{Im } \lambda \neq 0$ the dimension of the subspace of solution to equation 1.2 which lie in $\mathscr{L}^2(w; a, \infty)$ is n/2.

(2) If n = 4k + 1 = 2m + 1 and $\text{Re}\lambda > 0$ or if n = 4k + 3 = 2m + 1, and $\text{Re}\lambda < 0$ the dimension of the subspace is m.

(3) If n = 4k + 1 = 2m + 1, and $\text{Re}\lambda < 0$ or if n = 4k + 3 = 2m + 1, and $\text{Re}\lambda > 0$ the dimension is m + 1.

Proof. We begin by noting that for c real, w an \checkmark -admissible weight for some \checkmark , and $E \subset [a, \infty)$ with E of infinite Lebesgue measure (for the first application below we will take $E = [a, \infty)$),

(4.1)
$$\int_{E} \exp\left\{\int_{a}^{t} w^{1/n} \left[c + (1/n)(w'/w^{1+1/n})\right]\right\} dt,$$

is finite if c < 0 and infinite if c > 0. To see this recall that in the proof of Theorem 2 we showed that $\alpha(s) = [w'/w^{1+1/n}](g(s)) \to 0$ as $s \to \infty$. Hence $[w'/w^{1+1/n}](t) = \alpha(h(t)) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Since $w(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$ we then see that $w^{1/n}(t) [c + (1/n)(w'/w^{1+1/n})(t)] > c$ for c > 0 and < c for c < 0 for all large t and the above assertion is immediate.

We next observe from Theorem 2 that if w is an \checkmark -admissible

weight then equation 1.2 has *n* lineary independent solutions U_1, \dots, U_n (with $U_j = (w(a))^{(n-1)/2n}y_j$) such that

(4.2)
$$|U_j(t)|^2 w(t) = (1 + o(1)) \exp\left\{\int_a^t w^{1/n} [2 \operatorname{Re} \mu_j + (1/n)(w'/w^{1+1/n})]\right\}.$$

If n = 2m and $\operatorname{Im} \lambda \neq 0$ we may arrange the *n*th roots of λ so that

$$\mathrm{Re}\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} < \mathrm{Re}\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} < \cdots < \mathrm{Re}\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle m} < 0 < \mathrm{Re}\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle m-1} < \cdots < \mathrm{Re}\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle n}$$
 .

Thus each of U_1, \dots, U_m will lie in $\mathscr{L}^2(w; a, \infty)$; and if $c_{m+1}, c_{m+2}, \dots, c_n$ are not all zero and j is the largest integer with $m+1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $c_j \neq 0$ then

$$\sum_{k=1}^m c_{m+k}U_{m+k} = c_jU_j(1+o(1)) \notin \mathscr{L}^2(w:a,\infty)$$
.

Hence the first assertion of the theorem is established.

In case Im $\lambda \neq 0$ the last two assertions follow analogously upon noting that in Case 2 if Im $\lambda \neq 0$ the *n*-th roots may be arranged so that

$${
m Re}\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\!<\!\cdots< {
m Re}\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle m}\!<\!0< {
m Re}\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle m+1}\!<\!\cdots< {
m Re}\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle n}$$
 ,

and that in Case 3 they may be arranged so that

$$\operatorname{Re}\mu_1 < \cdots < \operatorname{Re}\mu_{m+1} < 0 < \operatorname{Re}\mu_{m+2} < \cdots < \operatorname{Re}\mu_m$$
.

If λ is real and positive and n = 4k + 1 the roots may be arranged so that

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{Re} \mu_{1} &= \mathrm{Re} \mu_{2} < \mathrm{Re} \mu_{3} \\ &= \mathrm{Re} \mu_{4} < \cdots < \mathrm{Re} \mu_{2k-1} \\ &= \mathrm{Re} \mu_{2k} < 0 < \mathrm{Re} \mu_{2k+1} \\ &= \mathrm{Re} \mu_{2k+2} < \cdots < \mathrm{Re} \mu_{n-2} \\ &= \mathrm{Re} \mu_{n-1} < \mathrm{Re} \mu_{n} \end{split}$$

and so that if $\mu_j = \mu_{j+1}$ then $\operatorname{Im} \mu_{j+1} > 0$. Then each of U_1, \dots, U_{2k} is in $\mathscr{L}(a, \infty)$, and each of U_{2k+1}, \dots, U_n is not in $\mathscr{L}^2(a, \infty)$. It remains to be shown that no nontrivial linear combination of U_{2k+1} , \dots, U_n lies in $\mathscr{L}^2(a, \infty)$ and to do this it is sufficient to show if $2k+1 \leq j < n$ with j odd then no nontrivial linear combination of U_j and U_{j+1} lies in $\mathscr{L}^2(a, \infty)$.

Suppose that $c_1U_j + c_2U_{j+1} \in \mathscr{L}^2(w; a, \infty)$ with c_1 and c_2 not both zero and j odd with $2k + 1 \leq j < n$. Since $U_j \notin \mathscr{L}^2(w; a, \infty)$, it follows that $c_1 \neq 0$ and $U_j + cU_{j+1} \in \mathscr{L}^2(w; a, \infty)$ where $c = c_2/c_1$. From Theorem 2 and the definition of U_1, \dots, U_n we have that

$$U_{j}(t) + c U_{j+1}(t) = U_{j}(t) \left[1 + c(U_{j+1}(t)/U_{j}(t)) \right]$$
is
(4.3) $(1 + o(1)) U_{j}(t) \left\{ 1 + (c + o(1)) \exp\left[\int_{d}^{t} 2i (\operatorname{Im} \mu_{j+1}) w^{1/n} \right] \right\}.$

For all large t. Hence |c| = 1 for if $|c| \neq 1$ the term in $\{ \}$ would be bounded away from zero for all large t and this would contradict the fact that $U_j \notin \mathscr{L}^2(w; a, \infty)$. Letting $E = \{t | \text{modulus of term in 4.3} in \{ \} is \geq \sqrt{2} \}$ we see since w is increasing that E is of infinite measure. (Think of the exponential term in 4.3 or giving the position of a particle on the unit circle at time t moving counterclockwise at an ever increasing rate.) Hence from 4.3 we see that for some constant K,

$$\int_{_E} \mid U_{j} \mid^{_2} w \leqq K \int_{_a}^{^{\infty}} \mid U_{j} + \, c \, U_{j+_1} \mid^{_2} w \, < \, \infty \, \, \, .$$

But from 4.1 and 4.2 we see that

$$\int_{E}\mid U_{j}\mid^{_{2}}w=\infty$$

must be the case. This contradiction shows then that $c_1U_j + c_2U_{j+1} \in \mathscr{L}^2(w; a, \infty)$.

The proofs of the remaining assertions when λ is real are naalogous.

References

1. N. I. Akhiezer and I. M. Glazman, *Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space*, Volume II, Frederick Ungar, New York, 1963.

2. E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, Theory of ordinary differential equations, Mc-Graw-Hill, New York, 1955.

3. A. Devinatz, The asymptotic nature of the solutions of certain linear systems of differential equations, Pacific J. of Math., 15 (1965), 75-83.

4. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators* Part II, Interscience-Wiley, New York and London, 1963.

5. W. N. Everitt, Integrable-square solutions of ordinary differential equations, Quart J. Math., Oxford Ser (2), 10 (1959), 145-155.

6. _____, Integrable-square Solutions of ordinary differential equations, (II) Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser (2), **13** (1962), 217-220.

7. M. V. Fedorjuk, Asymptotic methods in the theory of one-dimensional singular differential operators, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., **15** (1966), 333-386 in Amer. Math. Soc. English Translation.

8. _____, Asymptotic properties of the solutions of ordinary n^{th} order linear differential equations, Differential Equations 2 (1966), 250-258.

9. D. B. Hinton, Asymptotic behavior of the solutions of $(ry^{(m)})^{(k)} \pm qy = 0$. J. Differential Equations, 4 (1968), 590-596.

10. N. Levinson, The asymptotic nature of the solutions of linear systems of differential equations, Duke Math. J., 15 (1948), 111-126.

PHILIP W. WALKER

11. M. A. Naimark, Linear Differential Operators Part II, Frederick Ungar, New York, 1968. 12. P. W. Walker, Asymptotics of the solutions to $[(ry'')-py']'+qy=\sigma y$, J. Differential Equations, **9** (1971), 1-25.

13. _____, Weighted singular differential operators in the limit-circle case, J. London Math. Soc., to appear.

Received January 19, 1971.

VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY BLACKSBURG, VIRGINIA 24061