

NORM CONVERGENCE OF MARTINGALES OF RADON-NIKODYM DERIVATIVES GIVEN A σ -LATTICE

R. B. DARST AND G. A. DEBOTH

Suppose that $\{\mathcal{M}_k\}$ is an increasing sequence of sub σ -lattices of a σ -algebra \mathcal{A} of subsets of a non-empty set Ω . Let \mathcal{M} be the sub σ -lattice generated by $\bigcup_k \mathcal{M}_k$. Suppose that L^ϕ is an associated Orlicz space of \mathcal{A} -measurable functions, where Φ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, and let $h \in L^\phi$. It is verified that the Radon-Nikodym derivative, f_k , of h given \mathcal{M}_k is in L^ϕ and shown that the sequence $\{f_k\}$ converges to f in L^ϕ , where f is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of h given \mathcal{M} .

1. Introduction. H. D. Brunk defined conditional expectation given a σ -lattice and established several of its properties in [1]. Subsequently S. Johansen [5] described a Radon-Nikodym derivative given a σ -lattice and showed that the Radon-Nikodym derivative was the conditional expectation in the appropriate case. Then H. D. Brunk and S. Johansen [2] proved an almost everywhere martingale convergence theorem for the Radon-Nikodym derivatives given an increasing sequence of σ -lattices. We shall establish norm convergence of these derivatives in L_1 and in the Orlicz spaces L^ϕ , where Φ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition. The theory of these Orlicz spaces can be found in [6], so we shall assume and build upon the results therein. Thereby, we can place fewer restrictions on Φ and obtain L_1 -convergence as a byproduct.

2. Notation. Let \mathcal{A} be a σ -algebra of subsets of a (non-empty) set Ω , and let μ be a non-negative (bounded) σ -additive function defined on \mathcal{A} .

For our purposes the following information about Φ will suffice: Φ is an even, convex function defined on the real numbers, R , with $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi(x) \neq 0$ for some x . Moreover, there exists $K > 0$ with $\Phi(2x) \leq K\Phi(x)$ for all $x \in R$. This latter property is called the Δ_2 -condition; it implies

$$(1) \quad \Phi\left(2\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\right) \leq K\Phi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \left(\frac{K}{2}\right)[\Phi(x) + \Phi(y)].$$

Then L^ϕ denotes the collection of (real valued) \mathcal{A} -measurable functions h defined on Ω with $\int_\Omega \Phi(h)d\mu < \infty$. Since Φ is convex and not

identically zero, $L^\phi \subset L_1$; L^ϕ is usually a proper subset of L_1 if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x)/x = \infty$. This latter property and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \Phi(x)/x = 0$ are required of an Orlicz space; but, these two properties are not necessary for our estimates to be valid. Examples are $\Phi(x) = |x|^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Let $h \in L^\phi$ and $\lambda(E) = \int_E h d\mu$, where $E \in \mathcal{A}$. Let \mathcal{M} be a sub σ -lattice of \mathcal{A} and let f be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of λ with respect to μ . Thus, f is the \mathcal{M} -measurable function defined on Ω (ϕ : the empty set, Ω , and $[f > a]$ belong to \mathcal{M} , for all $a \in R$) satisfying

$$(2) \quad \lambda(A \cap [f \leq b]) \leq b\mu(A \cap [f \leq b]), \quad \text{where } A \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } b \in R,$$

and

$$(3) \quad \lambda([f > a] \cap B^c) \geq a\mu([f > a] \cap B^c),$$

where $B^c = \Omega - B$, $B \in \mathcal{M}$, and $a \in R$.

Our first result is a preliminary step to an L^ϕ martingale convergence theorem.

3. The derivative of an L^ϕ -function is an L^ϕ -function. We shall verify this assertion by establishing a sequence of estimates, the first of which is

$$(4) \quad \int_{[f > a]} \Phi(f) d\mu \leq \int_{[f > a]} \Phi(h) d\mu, \quad \text{for all } a \geq 0.$$

To verify (4), choose $\delta > 0$ and $a = a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots$ with $\Phi(a_k) = \Phi(a_{k-1}) + \delta$. Let $A_k = [a_k \leq f < a_{k+1}]$ and notice that (3) implies

$$|\lambda|(\Omega) \geq \lambda([f > a_k]) \geq a_k \mu([f > a_k]).$$

Thus, $\mu([f > a_k]) \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\int_{[f > a]} \Phi(\cdot) d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{A_k} \Phi(\cdot) d\mu + \int_{[f > a_n]} \Phi(\cdot) d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_k} \Phi(\cdot) d\mu.$$

Applying (3) again, $\int_{A_k} h d\mu = \lambda(A_k) \geq a_{k-1} \mu(A_k)$, so

$$a_{k-1} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \int_{A_k} h d\mu, \quad \text{where } \alpha_k = \mu(A_k) > 0.$$

Then, applying Jensen's inequality,

$$\Phi(a_{k-1}) \leq \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_k} \int_{A_k} h d\mu\right) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \int_{A_k} \Phi(h) d\mu.$$

Next, notice that

$$\int_{A_k} \Phi(f)d\mu \leq \Phi(a_k)\mu(A_k) = (\Phi(a_{k-1}) + \delta)\mu(A_k) \leq \int_{A_k} \Phi(h)d\mu + \delta\mu(A_k) .$$

Thus $\int_{[f>a]} \Phi(f)d\mu \leq \int_{[f>a]} \Phi(h)d\mu + \delta\mu(\Omega)$, for all $\delta > 0$, which implies (4).

By a similar argument, one obtains

$$(5) \quad \int_{[f\leq a]} \Phi(f)d\mu \leq \int_{[f\leq a]} \Phi(h)d\mu , \quad \text{for all } a \leq 0 .$$

Hence, splitting Ω into two pieces, $[f > 0]$ and $[f \leq 0]$, and applying (4) and (5), yields

$$(6) \quad \int_{\Omega} \Phi(f)d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi(h)d\mu ;$$

thus verifying Theorem 1.

THEOREM 1. *The Radon-Nikodym derivative of an L^{ϕ} -function is an L^{ϕ} -function.*

4. A Martingale convergence theorem. Suppose that $\{\mathcal{M}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an increasing sequence of σ -lattices of subsets of Ω , and \mathcal{M} is the σ -lattice generated by the lattice $\mathcal{M}_{\infty} = \bigcup_k \mathcal{M}_k$. Denote by \mathcal{A}_k the σ -algebra that is generated by \mathcal{M}_k and by λ_k and μ_k the restrictions of λ and μ to \mathcal{A}_k . Let h_k be an \mathcal{A}_k -measurable function satisfying $\lambda(E) = \int_E h_k d\mu$, where $E \in \mathcal{A}_k$, and denote by f_k the Radon-Nikodym derivative of λ_k with respect to μ_k on \mathcal{M}_k .

THEOREM 2. *The sequence $\{f_k\}$ converges to f in L^{ϕ} -norm:*

$$(7) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(f - f_k)d\mu = 0 .$$

Proof. To begin, notice that applying (4) and (5) to f_k yields

$$(8) \quad \int_{[f_k > a]} \Phi(h_k)d\mu \geq \int_{[f_k > a]} \Phi(f_k)d\mu , \quad \text{for all } a \geq 0 ,$$

and

$$(9) \quad \int_{[f_k \leq a]} \Phi(h_k)d\mu \geq \int_{[f_k \leq a]} \Phi(f_k)d\mu , \quad \text{for all } a \leq 0 .$$

Since λ_k is the restriction of λ to \mathcal{A}_k , a variation on the theme which established (4) verifies

$$(10) \quad \int_E \Phi(h)d\mu \geq \int_E \Phi(h_k)d\mu , \quad \text{for all } E \in \mathcal{A}_k :$$

To substantiate this latter assertion, suppose $a \geq 0$, $\delta > 0$, $b > a$, $\Phi(b) = \Phi(a) + \delta$, $E \in \mathcal{A}_k$, $F = E \cap [b \geq h_k > a]$, and $\mu(F) > 0$. Then $\int_F h_k d\mu = \int_F h d\mu$, since $F \in \mathcal{A}_k$. Moreover,

$$\int_F \Phi(h_k) d\mu \leq \Phi(b) \mu(F) = [\Phi(a) + \delta] \mu(F),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(a) &\leq \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\mu(F)} \int_F h_k d\mu\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\mu(F)} \int_F h d\mu\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(F)} \int_F \Phi(h) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_F \Phi(h_k) d\mu \leq \int_F \Phi(h) d\mu + \delta \mu(F).$$

Hence, appealing to the proof of (4) and to the sentence containing (5), we claim (10). Consequently,

$$(11) \quad \int_{[f_k > a]} \Phi(h) d\mu \geq \int_{[f_k > a]} \Phi(f_k) d\mu, \quad \text{where } a \geq 0 \text{ and } k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$(12) \quad \int_{[f_k \leq a]} \Phi(h) d\mu \geq \int_{[f_k \leq a]} \Phi(f_k) d\mu, \quad \text{where } a \leq 0 \text{ and } k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Moreover, $a\mu([|f_k| > a]) \leq |\lambda|([|f_k| > a]) \leq |\lambda|(\Omega)$, where $a \geq 0$; thus,

$$(13) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[|f_k| > n]} \Phi(f_k) d\mu = 0.$$

So we can truncate the functions and still approximate them uniformly as follows. Whenever n is a positive integer and u is a (real valued) function defined on Ω , let $u^n(x) = u(x)$, where $|u(x)| \leq n$, and $u^n(x) = nu(x)/|u(x)|$ otherwise. Then, using (1) and setting $M = \max\{(K/2), (K^2/4)\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(f - f_k) d\mu &= \int_{\Omega} \Phi(\{f - f^n\} + \{f^n - (f_k)^n\} + \{(f_k)^n - f_k\}) d\mu \\ &\leq M(A_n + B_n + C_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_n = \int_{[|f|>n]} \Phi(f) d\mu,$$

$$B_n = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(f_n - (f_k)^n) d\mu,$$

and

$$C_n = \int_{[|f_k|>n]} \Phi(f_k) d\mu.$$

From (4), (5) and (13), we obtain $A_n \rightarrow 0$ and $C_n \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, for each $\delta > 0$,

$$B_n \leq \Phi(2n)\mu([|f^n - (f_k)^n| > \delta]) + \Phi(\delta)\mu(\Omega)$$

$$\leq \Phi(2n)\mu([|f - f_k| > \delta]) + \Phi(\delta)\mu(\Omega).$$

But, Brunk and Johansen have shown that $\lim_k \mu([|f - f_k| > \delta]) = 0$, where $\delta > 0$, so Theorem 2 is established.

Because of the approximation properties which are verified in [4], the results of this paper extend immediately to analogous results for the derivatives of additive set functions defined on algebras of subsets of Ω given a sub lattice (cf. [3]). Results for vector valued functions with respect to lattices which are related to the results: [7], [8], [9], of J. J. Uhl, Jr. for vector valued functions with respect to algebras should appear subsequently.

REFERENCES

1. H. D. Brunk, *Conditional expectation given a σ -lattice and applications*, Annals. Math. Statist., **36** (1965), 1339-1350.
2. H. D. Brunk and S. Johansen, *A generalized Radon-Nikodym derivative*, Pacific J. Math., **34** (1970), 585-617.
3. R. B. Darst, *The Lebesgue decomposition, Radon-Nikodym derivative, conditional expectation and martingale convergence for lattices of sets*, Pacific J. Math., **35** (1970), 581-600.
4. R. B. Darst and G. A. DeBoth, *Two approximation properties and a Radon-Nikodym derivative for lattices of sets*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **21** (1971), 355-362.
5. S. Johansen, *The descriptive approach to the derivative of a set function with respect to a σ -lattice*, Pacific J. Math., **21** (1967), 49-58.
6. M. A. Krasnosel'skii and Ya. B. Rutickii, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces* (Translation), Groningen, 1961.
7. J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Orlicz spaces of finitely additive set functions*, Studia Math., T. **XXIX** (1967), 19-58.
8. ———, *Applications of Radon-Nikodym theorems to martingale convergence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **145** (1969), 271-285.

9. J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Martingales of vector valued set functions*, Pacific J. Math., **30** (1969), 533-548.

Received April 7, 1971, R. B. Darst was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant no. GP 9470 and G. A. DeBoth was supported by a National Science Foundation Science Faculty Fellowship.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY
COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY
AND
ST. NORBERT COLLEGE