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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to characterize planar dynamical systems satisfying certain stability criterion. These flows are called dynamical systems of characteristic 0. Basically the set $S$ of critical points of such a flow is shown to be in one of three categories: $S=\varnothing$; $S$ consists of at most two Poincaré centers; or $S=R^{2}$.


1. Introduction. In $\S 2$ we give the basic concepts used throughout the paper. In §3 we give examples of flows of characteristic $0^{+}$ and $0^{-}$that are not of characteristic 0 . We also give examples of flows of characteristic 0 which are not of characteristic $0^{+}, 0^{-}$, or $0^{ \pm}$. Further, by Examples 2 and 3 we show that the set $S$ of critical points in Theorem 4.8 may actually consist of one or two local Poincaré centers. In $\S 4$ we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a flow ( $R^{2}, \pi$ ) to have characteristic 0 .
2. Definitions, notations, and basic theorems. We shall denote the real numbers, nonnegative real numbers, nonpositive real numbers, and Euclidean plane by $R, R^{+}, R^{-}$, and $R^{2}$, respectively. We shall use $R^{2 *}$ to designate the one point compactification of $R^{2}$.

A pair $(X, \pi)$ consisting of a topological space $X$ and a continuous mapping $\pi: X \times R \rightarrow X$ from the product space $X \times R$ into $X$ is called a dynamical system or (continuous) flow whenever the following conditions are satisfied.

1. Identity axiom: $\pi(x, 0)=x$ for each $x \in X$.
2. Homomorphism axiom: $\pi(\pi(x, t), s)=\pi(x, t+s)$ for each $x \in X$ and $t, s \in R$.
3. Continuity axiom: $\pi$ is continuous on $X \times R$.

In this paper $X$ will always be Hausdorff.
We shall let $\pi(x, t)=x t$ for brevity. For each $x \in X, C(x)=x R$, $C^{+}(x)=x R^{+}$, and $C^{-}(x)=x R^{-}$are called the trajectory (or orbit), positive semi-trajectory, and negative semi-trajectory through $x$, respectively. A point $x \in X$ is called a critical or rest point if $x R=x$. If $x$ is not critical and $x t=x$ for some $t>0$, then $x$ is called periodic. For $M \subset X, M$ is said to be invariant if $C(M)=M$ and positively (negatively) invariant if $C^{+}(M)=M\left(C^{-}(M)=M\right)$.

We shall denote the boundary, interior, and closure of a set $M \subset X$ by $\partial M, M^{0}$, and $\bar{M}$, respectively. For any simple closed curve $C$ in $R^{2}$ we shall denote the bounded and unbounded components of $R^{2}-C$ by int $C$ and ext $C$, respectively. We shall let $\eta(x)$ and $\eta(M)$ denote
the neighborhood filters of $x \in X$ and $M \subset X$, respectively.
The sets $\overline{C(x)}, \overline{C^{+}(x)}$, and $\overline{C^{-}(x)}$ shall be denoted by $K(x), K^{+}(x)$, and $K^{-}(x)$, respectively. The positive (negative) limit set of $x \in X$ is $L^{+}(x)=\bigcap_{t \in R} K^{+}(x t)\left(L^{-}(x)=\bigcap_{t \in R} K^{-}(x t)\right)$. The limit set of $x \in X$ is $L(x)=L^{+}(x) \cup L^{-}(x)$.

A set $M \subset X$ is called positively (orbitally) stable if for every $U \in \eta(M)$ there exists a $V \in \eta(M)$ such that $V=C^{+}(V) \subset U$. Negative and bilateral stability are defined by replacing $C^{+}(V)$ above by $C^{-}(V)$ and $C(V)$, respectively. One can easily verify that a set $M$ is bilaterally stable if and only if $M$ is both positively and negatively stable. When we write stable we shall mean positively stable.

For each $x \in X$, the positive (negative) prolongation of $x$ is given by

$$
D^{+}(x)=\bigcap_{M \in \eta(x)} \overline{C^{+}(M)} \quad\left(D^{-}(x)=\bigcap_{x \in \eta(x)} \overline{\left.C^{-}(M)\right)} .\right.
$$

The prolongation of $x$ is $D(x)=D^{+}(x) \cup D^{-}(x)$. The positive (negative) prolongational limit set of $x$ is given by

$$
J^{+}(x)=\bigcap_{t \in R} D^{+}(x t) \quad\left(J^{-}(x)=\bigcap_{t \in R} D^{-}(x t)\right)
$$

The prolongational limit set is $J(x)=J^{+}(x) \cup J^{-}(x)$.
The following theorem which we shall use several times in this paper is due to Ura (see [6] and [11]).

Theorem 2.1. Let $X$ be locally compact and $\partial M$ be compact. Then $M$ is stable (negatively stable) if and only if $D^{+}(M)=M\left(D^{-}(M)=M.\right)$ Furthermore, $M$ is bilaterally stable if and only if $D(M)=M$.

A flow $(X, \pi)$ is called parallelizable if it is isomorphic to a parallel flow; that is, if there is a flow $\left(Y \times R, \pi^{\prime}\right)$ such that $(y, t) s=(y, t+s)$ for each $y \in Y$ and $t, s \in R$ and a homeomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y \times R$ such that $f(x t)=f(x) t$ for each $x \in X$ and $t \in R$. We shall use the following characterization of a parallelizable flow. For a proof see [3] and [4].

Theorem 2.2. Let $X$ be a locally compact separable metric space. A flow $(X, \pi)$ is parallelizable if and only if for each $x \in X, D^{+}(x)=C^{+}(x)$ $\left(D^{-}(x)=C^{-}(x)\right)$ and there are no rest points or periodic orbits.

A flow $(X, \pi)$ is said to have characteristic $0^{+}\left(0^{-}\right)$if $D^{+}(x)=$ $K^{+}(x)\left(D^{-}(x)=K^{-}(x)\right)$ for each $x \in X$. A flow having both characteristic $0^{+}$and $0^{-}$is said to have characteristic $0^{ \pm}$. A flow $(X, \pi)$ is said to have characteristic 0 if $D(x)=K(x)$ for each $x \in X$. The flow $(X, \pi)$ has characteristic $0^{+}\left(0^{-}\right)$if and only if $J^{+}(x)=L^{+}(x)\left(J^{-}(x)=L^{-}(x)\right)$
for each $x \varepsilon X$. The corresponding statement does not hold for flows of characteristic $0\left(J\left(x_{0}\right) \neq L\left(x_{0}\right)\right.$ in Example 2).

The basic properties of dynamical systems used in succeeding sections are contained in [5], [6], and [7].
3. Flows of characteristic 0 in $R^{2}$. The characteristic $0^{+}$and $0^{-}$concepts were introduced by Ahmad in [1]. He classified such systems on the plane in terms of their critical points. In [8] necessary and sufficient conditions are given for a flow $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ to have characteristic $0^{+}$or $0^{-}$in terms of the set $S$ of critical points. Ahmad showed that a flow $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ has characteristic $0^{ \pm}$if and only if $S=\varnothing$ and ( $R^{2}, \pi$ ) is parallelizable, $S=R^{2}$, or $S=\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ is a global Poincaré center (that is, all trajectories in $R^{2}-\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ are periodic orbits surrounding $s_{0}$ ).

It seems natural to ask whether there is a connection between flows of characteristic 0 and flows of characteristic $0^{+}, 0^{-}$, or $0^{ \pm}$. Since $D^{+}(x)=K^{+}(x)$ and $D^{-}(x)=K^{-}(x)$ for each $x \in R^{2}$ implies $D(x)=K(x)$, any flow of characteristic $0^{ \pm}$is a flow of characteristic 0 . A flow which has characteristic $0^{+}\left(0^{-}\right)$but not characteristic 0 is given below in Example 1. Examples 2 and 3 consist of flows of characteristic 0 that are not of characteristic $0^{+}, 0^{-}$, or $0^{ \pm}$.

Example 1. The system of differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x} & =-x \\
\dot{y} & =-y
\end{aligned}
$$

defines a flow of characteristic $0^{+}$in which the origin is a proper node. Note, however, that $D((0,0))=R^{2} \neq\{(0,0)\}=K((0,0))$ so that the flow does not have characteristic 0 .

Similarly, the flow defined by $\dot{x}=x$ and $\dot{y}=y$ is of characteristic $0^{-}$but not of characteristic 0 .

Example 2. Let a flow be defined by the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{r}=-r^{2} \sin \theta \\
\dot{\theta}=1 \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $r \geqq 0$. Figure 1 illustrates the trajectories of the flow.
This flow is of characteristic 0 but not characteristic $0^{+}, 0^{-}$, or $0^{ \pm}$. For let $x_{0}$ be a point on the parabolic boundary of the region consisting of the pole and the periodic orbits surrounding the pole. Then $D\left(x_{0}\right)=D^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)=D^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)=C\left(x_{0}\right)=K\left(x_{0}\right)$ implies that $D^{+}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq$ $K^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $D^{-}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq K^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Example 3. The flow defined by the system of differential equations


Figure 1


Figure 2

$$
\dot{x}=-x y
$$

$$
\dot{y}=\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
x-1-y^{2} & \text { for } x \geqq 0  \tag{2}\\
-x-1-y^{2} & \text { for } x<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

is of characteristic 0 . After changing system (1) to Cartesian coordinates, system (2) can be obtained by translation and reflection. The phase plane of (2) is illustrated in Figure 2.
4. Characterization of flows having characteristic 0 . The purpose of this section is to give necessary and sufficient conditions for a flow ( $R^{2}, \pi$ ) to have characteristic 0 . Unless otherwise specified we shall let ( $R^{2}, \pi$ ) be a fixed flow of characteristic 0 and $S$ be the set
of critical points. We shall first prove a few lemmas.
Lemma 4.1. If $L^{+}(x) \neq \varnothing\left(L^{-}(x) \neq \varnothing\right)$ for some $x \in R^{2}$, then $x$ is either periodic or critical.

Proof. Let $y \in L^{+}(x)$. Then $x \in J^{-}(y)$ since $y \in J^{+}(x)$. Hence, $x \in D(y)=K(y) \subset L^{+}(x)$. Seibert and Tulley have shown in [10] that a point is positively (negatively) Poisson stable if and only if it is either a critical point or a periodic point. The result for $L^{-}(x) \neq \varnothing$ follows similarly.

Lemma 4.2. If $x \in S$ or $x$ is periodic, then $C(x)$ is bilaterally stable.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1 since $D(x t)=K(x t)=$ $K(x)=C(x)$ for each $t$ in $R$ implies $D(C(x))=C(x)$.

Notation. For any $s \in S$ we shall henceforth let
$N_{s}=\left\{x \in R^{2}: x=s\right.$ or $x$ is periodic and $\left.S \cap \operatorname{int} C(x)=\{s\}\right\}$.
Lemma 4.3. If $s_{0}$ is an isolated point of $S$, then $s_{0}$ is a Poincaré center and $N_{s_{0}}$ is an unbounded connected open set. If $N_{s_{0}} \neq R^{2}$, then $\partial N_{s_{0}}$ is a single trajectory and $N_{s_{0}}$ is a simply connected component of $R^{2}-\partial N_{s_{0}}$.

Proof. Let $C$ be a simple closed curve with $S \cap \operatorname{int} C=\left\{s_{0}\right\}$. By virtue of Lemma 4.2 there exists a $V \in \eta\left(s_{0}\right)$ such that $C(V) \subset \operatorname{int} C$. Since $L^{+}(x) \neq \varnothing$ for each $x \in V, V-\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ consists of periodic points. If $x \in V-\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ then $\varnothing \neq S \cap \operatorname{int} C(x) \subset S \cap$ int $C=\left\{s_{0}\right\}$. Thus, $V$ consists of $s_{0}$ and periodic orbits surrounding $s_{0}$ implying that $s_{0}$ is a Poincaré center.

Let $x \in N_{s_{0}}-\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ and $y \in($ int $C(x))-\left\{s_{0}\right\}$. Since $L^{+}(y) \neq \varnothing, y$ is periodic. We have $\varnothing \neq S \cap \operatorname{int} C(y) \subset S \cap \operatorname{int} C(x)=\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ so that $y \in N_{s_{0}}$. Hence, int $C(x) \subset N_{s_{0}}$. Furthermore, $N_{s_{0}}$ is connected since $N_{s_{0}}=$ $\mathbf{U}_{x \in N s_{0}} \overline{\operatorname{int} C(x)}$ is the union of connected sets each containing the point $s_{0}$.

If $\partial N_{s_{0}}=\varnothing$ then $N_{s_{0}}=R^{2}$ and $s_{0}$ is a global Poincaré center. Suppose $\partial N_{s_{0}} \neq \varnothing$. Note that $\partial N_{s_{0}}$ is invariant since $N_{s_{0}}$ is invariant. We shall show that in this case $\partial N_{s_{0}}$ contains no critical points or periodic points. First, suppose $s \in \partial N_{s_{0}} \cap S$. There is an open simply connected neighborhood $U$ in $\eta(s)$ such that $s_{0} \notin U$. By Lemma 4.2 there exists a $V_{1} \in \eta(s)$ such that $C\left(V_{1}\right) \subset U$. Let $x \in V_{1} \cap N_{s_{0}}$. Then $C(x) \subset U$. Since $U$ is simply connected, $s_{0} \in \operatorname{int} C(x) \subset U$ which is a
contradiction. Hence, $S \cap \partial N_{s_{0}}=\varnothing$. Next, suppose there is a periodic point $x$ in $\partial N_{s_{s}}$ Let $S_{0}=S \cap \operatorname{int} C(x)$. There is a simply connected neighborhood $U \in \eta(C(x))$ such that $S \cap U=S_{0}$. By Lemma 4.2 there is a $V_{2} \in \eta(C(x))$ such that $C\left(V_{2}\right) \subset U$. For $y \in\left(N_{s_{1}} \cap V_{2}\right)-\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ we have $C(y) \subset V_{2}$. Since $U$ is simply connected, int $C(y) \subset U$. Hence, $s_{0} \in S \cap$ $U=S_{0}$. The sets $S_{0}$ and $S-S_{0}$ are closed, so there are simple closed curves $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ contained in int $C(x)$ and ext $C(x)$, respectively, such that $S \cap\left(\operatorname{ext} C_{1}\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{int} C_{2}\right)=\varnothing . \quad$ By Lemma 4.2 there is a $V_{3} \in \eta(C(x))$ such that $C\left(V_{3}\right) \subset\left(\operatorname{ext} C_{1}\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{int} C_{2}\right)$. Now $N_{s_{0}}$ is connected with $s_{0} \in N_{s_{0}}$ and $C(x) \subset \partial N_{s_{0}}$, so that we can select a point $y$ from $N_{s_{0}} \cap V_{3} \cap \operatorname{int} C(x)$. Thus, $S_{0} \cap \operatorname{int} C(y) \neq \varnothing, S_{0} \subset \operatorname{int} C_{1}$, and $C(y) \subset V_{3} \subset \operatorname{ext} C_{1}$ imply $S_{0} \subset$ int $C(y)$. Hence, $S_{0}=\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ and $x \in N_{s_{0}}$. Finally, for any point $z \in V_{3} \cap$ ext $C(x), L^{+}(z) \neq \varnothing$ implying $z$ is periodic. Since $C(z) \subset C\left(V_{3}\right) \subset \operatorname{int} C_{2}$ we have $S \cap \operatorname{int} C(z)=S_{0}$. The point $z$ is in $N_{s_{0}}$ and $C(x) \subset \operatorname{int} C(z) \subset N_{s_{0}}^{0}$. This contradicts $x \in \partial N_{s_{0}}$. Therefore, the points of $\partial N_{s_{0}}$ are neither periodic nor critical.

By virtue of Lemma 4.1 and the fact that $\partial N_{s_{0}}$ contains no periodic or rest points, $L^{ \pm}(x)=\varnothing$ for each $x \in \partial N_{s_{0}}$. Thus, $\partial N_{s_{0}}$ is not bounded and hence $N_{s_{0}}$ is an unbounded open set.

We now show that $\partial N_{s_{0}}$ is a single trajectory. Let $x$ and $y$ be distinct points of $\partial N_{s_{0}}$. Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be simple closed curves such that $x \in \operatorname{int} C_{1}, y \in \operatorname{int} C_{2}$, and $\overline{\operatorname{int} C_{1}} \cap \overline{\operatorname{int} C_{2}}=\varnothing$. For $z \operatorname{in} N_{s_{0}} \cap \operatorname{int} C_{1}$ we have $\overline{\operatorname{int} C(z)} \subset N_{s_{0}}$, and so ext $C(z) \in \eta(y)$. Thus, (int $\left.C_{2}\right) \cap$ $(\operatorname{ext} C(z)) \in \eta(y)$ and $\left(\operatorname{int} C_{2}\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{ext}(C(z)) \cap N_{s_{0}} \neq \varnothing\right.$. Let $w \in\left(\operatorname{int} C_{2}\right) \cap$ $(\operatorname{ext} C(z)) \cap N_{s_{0}}$. Then $C(z) \subset \operatorname{int} C(w) \subset N_{s_{0}}$. We have $z \in \operatorname{int} C(w)$ and $x \in \operatorname{ext} C(w)$. Since $x, z \in \operatorname{int} C_{1}$ and int $C_{1}$ is connected, it follows that $C(w) \cap \operatorname{int} C_{1} \neq \varnothing$. Hence, we can find nets $\left(w_{i}\right)$ and $\left(w_{i} t_{i}\right)$ converging to $y$ and $x$, respectively. In other words, $x \in D(y)=K(y)=C(y)$.

Suppose $N_{s_{0}}$ is not a component of $R^{2}-\partial N_{s_{0}}$. Since $N_{s_{0}}$ is connected, it is a subset of some component $B$. If $N_{s_{0}} \neq B$, then $\partial N_{s_{0}} \cap$ $B \neq \varnothing$ contradicting $B \subset R^{2}-\partial N_{s_{0}}$. Hence, $N_{s_{0}}$ is a component of $R^{2}-\partial N_{s_{0}}$.

Finally, let $R^{2} \neq N_{s_{0}}$. Suppose that $C$ is a simple closed curve lying in $N_{s_{0}}$ with int $C \not \subset N_{s_{0}}$. Then int $C$ connected and $N_{s_{0}} \cap \operatorname{int} C \neq$ int $C$ imply that $\partial N_{s_{0}} \cap \operatorname{int} C \neq \varnothing$. Furthermore, $\partial N_{s_{0}} \cap \operatorname{ext} C \neq \varnothing$ since $\partial N_{s_{0}}$ is unbounded. Thus, $C \cap \partial N_{s_{0}} \neq \varnothing$ contradicting $C \subset N_{s_{0}}$. Therefore, $N_{s_{0}}$ is simply connected.

Lemma 4.4. If $S_{0}=S \cap \operatorname{int} C\left(x_{0}\right)$ for some periodic point $x_{0}$, then $S_{0}$ consists of exactly one Poincaré center.

Proof. Let $N=\left\{x \in \operatorname{int} C\left(x_{0}\right): x\right.$ is periodic and $\left.S_{0}=S \cap \operatorname{int} C(x)\right\}$ and $D=\bigcap_{x \in N} \overline{\text { int } C(x)}$. At least $x_{0} \in N$, so that $D \neq \varnothing$. Also, $D$ is the intersection of closed invariant sets containing $S_{0}$ so that $D$ is a
closed invariant set and $S_{0} \subset D$. It also follows that $\partial D$ is invariant.
In order to facilitate the argument we show that $V \in \eta(C(y))$ implies $V \cap N \neq \varnothing$ for all $y \in \partial D$. Suppose $V \cap N=\varnothing$ for some $V \in \eta(C(y))$. By Lemma 4.2 there is a connected set $U \in \eta(C(y))$ such that $C(U) \subset V$. For $x \in N, U \cap C(x)=\varnothing$. Since $y \in \operatorname{int} C(x)$ and $U$ is connected we have $U \subset \operatorname{int} C(x)$. The point $x$ was arbitrary, so that $U \subset D$. But this implies $y \in D^{0}$ which contradicts $y \in \partial D$.

Suppose that $D$ is not a singleton. We first show that there exists a point $y \in N$ such that $D=\overline{\operatorname{int} C(y)}$. If $D=\overline{\operatorname{int} C\left(x_{0}\right)}$ then we are done. Assume $D \neq \overline{\operatorname{int} C\left(x_{0}\right)}$ and choose points $x$ in $D$ and $y$ in $\partial D$ such that $x \neq y$. Either $y \in S_{0}$ or $y$ is periodic. Suppose there exists a simple closed curve $C$ such that $x \in \operatorname{ext} C$ and $C(y) \subset \operatorname{int} C$. By Lemma 4.2 there is a $V \in \eta(C(y))$ such that $C(V) \subset \operatorname{int} C$. We have shown that $V \cap N \neq \varnothing$. Let $z \in V \cap N$. Then $C(z) \subset C(V) \subset \operatorname{int} C$. But this implies that $x \in \operatorname{int} C(z) \subset \operatorname{int} C$ contradicting $x \in \operatorname{ext} C$. Thus, $y$ is periodic and $x \in \operatorname{int} C(y)$. Since $x$ was an arbitrary point of $D$, we have $D \subset \overline{\text { int } C(y)}$. Furthermore, $C(y) \subset \partial D \subset \operatorname{int} C(z)$ for each $z \in N$ implying $\overline{\operatorname{int} C(y)} \subset \bigcap_{z \in N} \overline{\operatorname{int} C(z)}=D$. Hence, $D=\overline{\operatorname{int} C(y)}$.

Since $S_{0}$ is compact there exists a simple closed curve $C \subset \operatorname{int} C(y)$ with $S_{0} \subset$ int $C$. By Lemma 4.2 there is a $V \in \eta(C(y))$ such that $C(V) \subset$ ext $C$. Each point $z$ in $V \cap \operatorname{int} C(y)$ is periodic by Lemma 4.1, and so, $S_{0} \cap \operatorname{int} C(z) \neq \varnothing$. Since $C(z) \subset \operatorname{ext} C$, int $C(z) \cap \operatorname{int} C \neq \varnothing$, and int $C$ is connected, we have $S_{0} \subset \operatorname{int} C \subset \operatorname{int} C(z)$ and $z \in N$. Thus, $D \subset \overline{\operatorname{int} C(z)}$ and $C(z) \subset \operatorname{int} C(y)$ imply that $D \subset \operatorname{int} C(y)$ which contradicts $y \in D$. Consequently, $D$ must be a singleton.

Finally, $\varnothing \neq S_{0} \subset D$ implies that $D$ is composed of an isolated critical point. By Lemma 4.3, $S_{0}$ consists of a Poincaré center.

Lemma 4.5. If $S \neq \varnothing$ and $S \neq R^{2}$, then $S$ consists of Poincaré centers.

Proof. Let $S_{0}$ denote the set of Poincaré centers. We can select a point $s$ from $\partial S$ since $S \neq \varnothing$ and $S \neq R^{2}$. For any compact set $U \in \eta(s)$ there exists a $V \in \eta(s)$ such that $C(V) \subset U$ by Lemma 4.2. For any $x \in V \cap\left(R^{2}-S\right), L^{+}(x) \neq \varnothing$ implying that $x$ is periodic. Thus, Lemma 4.4 implies $S_{0} \neq \varnothing$.

Suppose $s \in \partial\left(S-S_{0}\right)$. Since $s$ is bilaterally stable, $\eta(s)$ contains a compact connected simply connected invariant set $V$. Either $V$ contains a regular point or a center. If it contains a regular point $x$, then $x$ must be periodic so that int $C(x)$, and hence $V$, must contain a center. Therefore, we can assume that $V$ contains a center $s_{0}$. Now, for each $x \in N_{s_{0}}-\left\{s_{0}\right\}, s_{0} \in \operatorname{int} C(x)$ and, by Lemma 4.4, $s \in \operatorname{ext} C(x)$. Thus, $V$ must meet $C(x)=\partial$ int $C(x)$ since it is connected. But this implies $C(x) \subset V$ and hence $N_{s_{0}} \subset V$, contradicting Lemma
4.3. Therefore, $\partial\left(S-S_{0}\right)=\varnothing$, and so $S=S_{0}$.

Lemma 4.6. If $S \neq \varnothing$ and $S \neq R^{2}$, then $S$ consists of at most two Poincaré centers.

Proof. Suppose $s_{1}, s_{2}$, and $s_{3}$ are distinct points of $S$. We shall show that this supposition leads to a countable collection of mutually disjoint closed sets whose union is $R^{2}$ which is impossible. Unless explicitly stated, the remainder of the proof will be considered relative to the extended dynamical system on $R^{2 *}$. We denote the closure of the trajectory through $x$ in the extended system by $K^{*}(x)$.

Since the sets $N_{s}$ are disjoint and open relative to $R^{2}, A=R^{2}-$ $\bigcup_{s \in S} N_{s}$ is nonempty. For each $x \in A, K^{*}(x)=C(x) \cup\{\infty\}$ is a simple closed curve. Let $M=\left\{x \in A: N_{s_{1}} \subset A_{x}\right.$ and $N_{s_{2}} \cup N_{s_{3}} \subset B_{x}$ where $A_{x}$ and $B_{x}$ are the components of $\left.R^{2 *}-K^{*}(x)\right\}$. By Lemma 4.3, $M \neq \varnothing$ since $\partial N_{s_{1}}-\{\infty\} \subset M$. Note that $\overline{A_{x}}=A_{x} \cup K^{*}(x)$ and let $F_{s_{1}}=\bigcup_{x \in M} \overline{A_{x}}$. Each set $\overline{A_{x}}$ is connected and contains $N_{s_{1}}$, and so $F_{s_{1}}$ is connected.

For any point $p_{1}$ in $\partial F_{s_{1}}-\{\infty\}$ we have $F_{s_{1}}=\overline{A_{p_{1}}}$. For let $p_{1}$ and $q_{1}$ be distinct points in $\partial F_{s_{1}}-\{\infty\}$ and let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be simple closed curves in $R^{2}$ surrounding $p_{1}$ and $q_{1}$, respectively, such that $\overline{\operatorname{int} C_{1}} \cap \overline{\operatorname{int} C_{2}}=\varnothing$. There exists a point $p$ for which $A_{p} \cap \operatorname{int} C_{1}$, and hence $C(p) \cap \operatorname{int} C_{1}$, are nonempty sets. Since $B_{p} \cap \operatorname{int} C_{2} \in \eta\left(q_{1}\right)$ there exists a point $q$ such that $A_{q} \cap B_{p} \cap \operatorname{int} C_{2} \neq \varnothing$; hence, $C(q) \cap \operatorname{int} C_{2} \neq \varnothing$. Now, $A_{q}$ meets $A_{p}$ and $B_{p}$, so that $A_{p} \subset A_{q}$. Thus, $A_{q}$ is a connected set which meets both int $C_{1}$ and ext $C_{1}$ implying that $C(q) \cap \operatorname{int} C_{1} \neq \varnothing$. We can find nets $\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $\left(x_{i} t_{i}\right)$ converging to $q_{1}$ and $p_{1}$, respectively; hence, $p_{1} \in D\left(q_{1}\right)=K\left(q_{1}\right)=C\left(q_{1}\right)$ and $\partial F_{s_{1}}-\{\infty\}=C\left(p_{1}\right)$. Now, $C\left(p_{1}\right) \not \subset N_{s}$ for any $s$ in $S$ since $N_{s} \cap F_{s_{1}} \neq \varnothing$ implies there exists an $x$ in $M$ such that $C\left(p_{1}\right) \subset N_{s} \subset A_{x} \subset F_{s_{1}}^{0}$ contradicting $C\left(p_{1}\right) \subset \partial F_{s_{1}}$. Thus, $C\left(p_{1}\right) \subset A$. Since $F_{s_{1}}$ is an invariant set, either $C\left(p_{1}\right) \subset F_{s_{1}}$ or $C\left(p_{1}\right) \cap F_{s_{1}}=\varnothing$. Suppose $C\left(p_{1}\right) \cap F_{s_{1}}=\varnothing$. Then $F_{s_{1}}-\{\infty\}$ is the connected set $F_{s_{1}}^{0}$, and so it is a component of $R^{2 *}-K^{*}\left(p_{1}\right)=R^{2 *}-\partial F_{s_{1}}$. Also, $N_{s_{2}} \cup$ $N_{s_{3}} \subset \bigcap_{x \in M} B_{x}=R^{2^{*}}-F_{s_{s_{1}}}$ which means $p_{1} \in F_{s_{1}}$, contradicting $C\left(p_{1}\right) \cap$ $F_{s_{1}}=\varnothing$. Hence, $F_{s_{1}}=\bar{A}_{p_{1}}$.

Analogously, for $s_{2}$ and $s_{3}$ there exists points $p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ in $A$ and sets $F_{s_{2}}$ and $F_{s_{3}}$ such that $F_{s_{2}}=\bar{A}_{p_{2}}^{\prime}$ and $F_{s_{3}}=\bar{A}_{p_{3}}^{\prime \prime}$. Note that $F_{s_{1}}=$ $\bar{A}_{p_{1}}$ and $F_{s_{2}} \subset B_{p_{1}}$. If $\partial F_{s_{1}}=K^{*}\left(p_{1}\right)=K^{*}\left(p_{2}\right)=\partial F_{s_{2}}$ then $F_{s_{1}} \cup F_{s_{2}}=R^{2 *}$ which contradicts $s_{3} \notin F_{s_{1}} \cup F_{s_{2}}$. Hence, $F_{s_{1}} \cap F_{s_{2}}=\{\infty\}$. Similarly, $F_{s_{1}} \cap F_{s_{3}}=F_{s_{2}} \cap F_{s_{3}}=\{\infty\}$.

Let $F=\bigcup_{i=1}^{3} F_{s_{i}}$. Obviously, $R^{2 *} \neq F$, and so $R^{2 *}-F \neq \varnothing$. Suppose that $A \cap\left(R^{2 *}-F\right)=\varnothing$. Then $R^{2 *}-F$ must consist of periodic and rest points, so that $N_{s} \subset R^{2 *}-F$ for some $s \in S$. Furthermore, $\partial N_{s}-\{\infty\} \subset A$ implies that $\partial N_{s} \subset \partial F=\bigcup_{i=1}^{3} K^{*}\left(p_{i}\right)$. By letting $\partial N_{s}=$ $K^{*}\left(p_{k}\right)$ we have $R^{2 *}=N_{s} \cup F_{s_{k}}$ since $N_{s}$ and $F_{s_{k}}^{0}$ are components of
$R^{2 *}-K^{*}\left(p_{k}\right)$. But this implies that $s_{i} \in F_{s_{k}}$ for $i \neq k$ which is clearly not possible. Therefore, $A \cap\left(R^{2 *}-F\right) \neq \varnothing$.

For each point $x$ in $A \cap\left(R^{2 *}-F\right)$ one component $R^{2 *}-K^{*}(x)$ contains $F$ since $K^{*}(x)$ does not separate any of the sets $N_{s_{1}}, N_{s_{2}}$, and $N_{s_{3}}$ from the other two. Denote the components of $R^{2 *}-K^{*}(x)$ by $G_{x}$ and $H_{x}$ where $F \subset H_{x}$. For any point $y$ in $A \cap\left(R^{2 *}-F\right)$, let $M_{y}=\left\{x \in A \cap\left(R^{2 *}-F\right): G_{y} \subset G_{x}\right\}$. Note that $M_{y} \neq \varnothing$ since $y \in M_{y}$. Let $F_{y}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{x \in M_{y}} G_{x}$. By arguing as we did for $F_{s_{1}}$, we can find a point $w$ in $\partial F_{y}^{\prime} \cap A$ such that $F_{y}^{\prime}=\bar{G}_{v}$. For each point $p$ in $A \cap\left(R^{2^{*}}-F\right)$ for which $F_{p}^{\prime}=F_{y}^{\prime}$, select a point $y_{0}$ in $C(w)$ and denote $F_{p}^{\prime}$ by $F_{y_{0}}$. Let $I^{\prime}$ be the index set for all the $F_{y_{0}}$ sets and let $I=I^{\prime} \cup\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\}$.

If $x$ and $z$ are distinct points in $I$, then $F_{x} \cap F_{z}=\{\infty\}$. For suppose $F_{x} \cap F_{z} \neq\{\infty\}$. The sets $F_{x}^{0}$ and $F_{z}^{0}$ are components of $R^{2 *}-\partial F_{x}$ and $R^{2^{*}}-\partial F_{z}$, respectively, where $\partial F_{x}$ and $\partial F_{z}$ are simple closed curves each consisting of $\{\infty\}$ and a single trajectory. Thus, either $\partial F_{x}-\{\infty\} \subset F_{z}^{0}, \partial F_{z}-\{\infty\} \subset F_{x}^{0}$, or $F_{x}^{0} \cap F_{z}^{0}=\varnothing$. The first two statements imply that $F_{x}=F_{z}$, and hence $x=z$, contradicting $x \neq z$. The third statement implies that $F_{x} \cup F_{z}=R^{2^{*}}$ which is impossible. Therefore, $F_{x} \cap F_{z}=\{\infty\}$.

Next, $R^{2 *}=\bigcup_{x \in I} F_{x}$. For let $z$ belong to $R^{2 *}-E$ where $E=$ $\bigcup_{x \in I} F_{x}$. Since $A \subset E$, there is a point $s$ in $S$ such that $z \in N_{s}$. For some point $y$ in $E, K^{*}(y)=\partial N_{s}$. Furthermore, there is a point $x$ in $I$ such that $K^{*}(y)=\partial F_{x}$ since $K^{*}(y) \subset \partial E$. The sets $N_{s}$ and $F_{x}^{0}$ are disjoint components of $R^{2^{*}}-K^{*}(y)$, and so $R^{2^{*}}=N_{s} \cup F_{x}$. This implies $F_{x}=E$, and thus $s_{i} \in F_{x}$ for $i=1,2,3$, which is clearly impossible. Hence, $R^{2 *}=E$.

The set $\left\{F_{x}: x \in I\right\}$ is a countable collection of closed sets such that $F_{x} \cap F_{z}=\{\infty\}$ for $x \neq z$. Hence, $\left\{F_{x}-\{\infty\}: x \in I\right\}$ is a countable collection of mutually disjoint sets closed in $R^{2}$ and $R^{2}=\bigcup_{x \in I}\left(F_{x}-\{\infty\}\right)$. This is not possible as we indicated at the outset of our argument. Therefore, $s_{1}, s_{2}$, and $s_{3}$ are not distinct.

Lemma 4.7. Let $S \neq R^{2}$. Then the flow restricted to $R^{2}-\bigcup_{s \in S} N_{s}$ is parallelizable.

Proof. Let $Y=R^{2 *}-\bigcup_{s \in S} N_{s}$. The set $\{\infty\}$ is compact and invariant. According to Theorem 2 p. 151 of [9], $J(x)=\infty$ for each $x$ in $Y-\{\infty\}$. Thus, relative to $Y-\{\infty\}, J(x)=\varnothing$ and $D^{+}(x)=C^{+}(x)$. The result follows by Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 4.8. A flow $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ has characteristic 0 if and only if one of the following holds.
(1) $S=\varnothing$ and $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ is parallelizable.
(2) $S$ consists of at most two Poincaré centers. For each $s \in S$,
either $s$ is a global Poincaré center or $N_{s}$ is unbounded and $\partial N_{s}$ is a single trajectory. The restriction of the flow to $R^{2}-\bigcup_{s \in S} N_{s}$ is parallelizable.
(3) $S=R^{2}$.

Proof. The necessity of the conditions follows from the lemmas. Conversely, Theorem 2.2 shows that condition (1) is sufficient. Similarly, if condition (2) holds, we get $D(x)=K(x)$ for each $x \in R^{2}-$ $\mathrm{U}_{s \in S} N_{s}$. For each $s \in S, N_{s}$ is a component of $R^{2}-\partial N_{s}$ since $\partial N_{s}$ is a single trajectory. Thus, $N_{s}$ is a connected simply connected set. Obviously, $x \in \bar{N}_{s}$ implies $D(x)=K(x)$. Hence, condition (2) is sufficient. Condition (3) is trivially sufficient.

Corollary 4.9. A flow $\left(R^{2}, \pi\right)$ has characteristic 0 if and only if $D(x)=C(x)$ for each $x \in R^{2}$.

Remark. That there are six basic types of planar flows (up to dynamical isomorphism) having characteristic 0 follows from Theorem 4.8. These are
(1) parallelizable flows,
(2) flows having a global Poincaré center,
(3) flows similar to Example 2,
(4) flows similar to Example 3,
(5) flows similar to Example 3 except that $\partial N_{s}=\partial N_{t}$ where $S=$ $\{s, t\}$, and
(6) flows having only critical points.

Note that only the flows in (1), (2), and (6) have characteristics $0,0^{+}$, $0^{-}$, and $0^{ \pm}$and that the flows in (3), (4), and (5) have only characteristic 0 .

The author is grateful to his Ph. D. research advisor, Professor Shair Ahmad, for suggesting that he characterize flows of characteristic 0 as part of his thesis research. This paper is based on Chapter 3 of the thesis.
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