# ON THE DENSITY OF CERTAIN COHESIVE BASIC SEQUENCES 

Donald L. Goldsmith


#### Abstract

It has been shown in previous investigations of the combinatorial properties of basic sequences that any cohesive basic sequence $\mathscr{B}$ which is contained in $\mathscr{M}$ (the set of all pairs of relatively prime positive integers) must be large in some sense. To be precise, it has been proved that if $\mathscr{B}$ is a cohesive basic sequence and $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{M}$, then $C_{\mathscr{B}}(p)$ is infinite for every prime $p$, where $C_{\mathscr{B}}(p)$ is the set of prime companions of $p$ in primitive pairs in $\mathscr{B}$. While this implies that $\mathscr{B}$ must contain a great many primitive pairs, no specific statement has been made about the density of $\mathscr{F}$. It is reasonable to ask, therefore, whether there are cohesive basic sequences $\mathscr{\mathscr { B }}$, contained in $\mathscr{M}$, with density $\grave{\delta}(\mathscr{B})=0$.

It is shown here that such basic sequences do exist, and a method is given for the construction of a large class of these sequences.


A proof that $C_{\infty}(p)$ is infinite when $\mathscr{B}$ is cohesive and $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{M}$ may be found in [2].

A basic sequence $\mathscr{B}$ is a set of pairs $(a, b)$ of positive integers satisfying
(i) $(1, k) \in \mathscr{B}(k=1,2, \cdots)$,
(ii) $(a, b) \in \mathscr{B}$ if and only if $(b, a) \in \mathscr{B}$,
(iii) $(a, b c) \in \mathscr{B}$ if and only if $(a, b) \in \mathscr{B}$ and $(a, c) \in \mathscr{B}$.

A pair $(a, b)$ of positive integers is called a primitive pair if both $a$ and $b$ are primes. If $a \neq b$, the pair is a type $I$ primitive pair; if $a=b$, the pair is a type $I I$ primitive pair. If $\Phi$ is a set of pairs (primitive or not) of positive integers, the basic sequence generated by $\Phi$ is defined to be

$$
\Gamma[\Phi]=\bigcap \mathscr{D},
$$

where the intersection is taken over all basic sequences $\mathscr{D}$ which contain $\Phi$.

A basic sequence $\mathscr{B}$ is cohesive if for each positive integer $k$ there is an integer $a>1$ such that $(k, a) \in \mathscr{B}$.

Finally, we recall that the density of a basic sequence $\mathscr{B}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\mathscr{B})=\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{{ }^{\Downarrow} B_{k}}{d(k)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the limit exists, where $d(k)$ is the number of positive divisors of $k$, and ${ }^{\#} B_{k}$ is the number of members $(m, n)$ of $\mathscr{B}$ for which $m n=k$.
2. The main theorem. We will use the following notation.

$$
P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots\right\}
$$

is the sequence of all primes, written in order of increasing magnitude;

$$
Q=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots\right\}
$$

is any sequence of primes, also written in order of increasing size; and

$$
Q_{i}=\left\{q_{i}, q_{i+1}, q_{i+2}, \cdots\right\} \quad(i=1,2, \cdots)
$$

We define $\mathscr{B}_{Q}$ to be the basic sequence generated by the primitive pairs

$$
\left\{\left(p_{1}, q\right) \mid q \in Q_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{\left(p_{2}, q\right) \mid q \in Q_{2}\right\} \cup \cdots
$$

Remark 1. $\mathscr{B}_{Q}$ is cohesive. For suppose $k>1$, so that $k=$ $p_{i_{1}}^{t_{1}} p_{i_{2}}^{t_{2}} \cdots p_{i_{M}}^{t_{M}}$ where $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{M L}$. Then $\left(q_{i_{3 M}}, p_{i_{j}}\right) \in \mathscr{B}_{Q}$ for $j=$ $1,2, \cdots, M$, so $\left(q_{i_{M}}, k\right) \in \mathscr{B}_{Q}$.

REMARK 2. $\mathscr{B}_{Q} \subset \mathscr{M}$ if $q_{1} \geqq 3$. For if $q_{1} \geqq 3\left(=p_{2}\right)$ then $q_{i}>p_{i}$ for every $i$, and $\mathscr{B}_{Q}$ will contain no type II primitive pairs.

Theorem. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1 / q_{i}$ converges, then $\delta\left(\mathscr{B}_{Q}\right)=0$.
Proof. Let $L$ be a (large) fixed, but arbitrary positive integer which will be determined later. Decompose the set $\boldsymbol{Z}^{+}$of positive integers as follows:
(a) $X^{\prime}=\left\{k \mid{ }^{\#} B_{k}=2\right\}$,
(b) $X^{\prime \prime}=\left\{k \mid k \notin X^{\prime}\right.$ and $k$ has less than $4 L$ different prime divisors $\}$,
(c) $Y=\left\{k \mid k \notin\left(X \cup X^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$.

In order to prove that $\delta\left(\mathscr{B}_{Q}\right)=0$, let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \in S}}^{N} \frac{{ }^{\#} B_{k}}{d(k)}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S=X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}$ and $Y$.
By Lemma 3.2 in [1], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \in X^{\prime}}}^{N} \frac{B_{k}}{d(k)} \leqq \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{2}{d(k)}=0, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

while by Theorem 11.8 in [3] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \in X^{\prime \prime}}}^{N} \frac{{ }^{\sharp} B_{k}}{d(k)} \leqq \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \in X^{\prime \prime}}}^{N} 1=0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to estimate the sum in (2.1) when $S=Y$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \in Y}}^{N} \frac{{ }^{\prime} B_{k}}{d(k)} \leqq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \in Y}}^{N} 1, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we will find an upper bound for the number of elements of $Y$ which do not exceed $N$. Our estimate will depend on the following

Lemma. Every integer in $Y$ is divisible by at least one of the primes $q_{i}$ with $i \geqq L$.

Proof of the Lemma. Let $k$ be an element of $Y$. Then ${ }^{*} B_{k}>2$, so there are integers $u, v$ such that

$$
k=u v, u>1, v>1,(u, v) \in \mathscr{B}_{Q}
$$

Suppose that $u$ and $v$ are expressed canonically as products of prime powers:

$$
u=p_{i_{1}}^{a_{1}} p_{i_{2}}^{a_{2}} \cdots p_{i_{r}}^{a_{r}}, \quad v=p_{j_{1}}^{b_{1}} p_{j_{2}}^{b_{2}} \cdots p_{j_{s}}^{b_{s}},
$$

where $r \geqq 1, s \geqq 1, p_{i_{1}}<p_{i_{2}}<\cdots<p_{i_{r}}, p_{j_{1}}<p_{j_{2}}<\cdots<p_{j_{s}}$. Since $k$ is divisible by at least $4 L$ distinct primes, we have $r+s \geqq 4 L$. At least one of the numbers $r, s$ must be $\geqq 2 L$, say

$$
r \geqq 2 L
$$

If $p_{j_{1}} \in Q$, then every prime divisor of $u$ is in $Q$ since every primitive pair in $\mathscr{B}_{Q}$ contains at least one member from $Q$. Hence $p_{i_{r}}=q_{i}$ (for some $q_{i}$ in $Q$ ) and $q_{i} \geqq q_{r} \geqq q_{2 L}$.

Suppose, on the other hand, that $p_{j_{1}}$ is in $Q$. Now separate the primes $p_{i_{1}}, \cdots, p_{i_{r}}$ into two classes, depending on whether or not they are in $Q$. Let $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2}$ be those not in $Q$, written in order of ascending size, and let $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{\nu}$ be those in $Q$, also given in ascending order. Thus

$$
u=x_{1}^{c_{1}} \cdots x_{2_{2}^{2}}^{c_{2}} y_{1}^{d_{1}} \cdots y_{\nu}^{d_{\nu}}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda+\nu=r \geqq 2 L \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.5) that either $\lambda \geqq L$ or $\nu \geqq L$.
If $\lambda \geqq L$, then $x_{2}=p_{m}$ for some $m \geqq L$. Since $p_{m} \notin Q$, only
the primes in $Q_{m}$ appear as companions of $p_{m}$ in primitive pairs of $\mathscr{B}_{Q_{Q}}$. In particular, since $\left(p_{m}, p_{j_{1}}\right) \in \mathscr{B}_{Q_{Q}}$, we have

$$
p_{j_{1}} \in Q_{m} \subset Q_{L} .
$$

Thus $p_{j_{1}} \in Q, p_{j_{1}} \geqq q_{\iota}$, and $p_{j_{1}} \mid k$.
If $\nu \geqq L$, then $y_{\nu} \in Q, y_{\nu} \geqq q_{L}$, and $y_{\nu} \mid k$.
That proves the Lemma.
We return to the estimation of the second sum in (2.4). As a consequence of the Lemma we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\
k \in Y}}^{v} 1 & \leqq \sum_{\substack{q_{i k i} \mid k=1 \\
\text { some some } i \geq L}}^{v} 1 \\
& \leqq \sum_{i=L}^{\infty}\left[\frac{N}{q_{i}}\right] \\
& \leqq N \sum_{i=L}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_{i}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and this together with (2.4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \in Y}}^{N} \frac{\forall B_{k}}{d(k)} \leqq \sum_{i=L}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_{i}} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $\varepsilon>0$ be given and choose $L$ large enough so that

$$
\sum_{i=L}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_{i}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}
$$

( $L$ depends only on $\varepsilon$ and $Q$ ). Then from (2.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\forall B_{k}}{d(k)}<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it follows from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.7) that there is an integer $N_{0}(\varepsilon)$ such that
when $N \geqq N_{0}(\varepsilon)$.
That proves $\delta\left(\mathscr{B}_{q}\right)=0$, and completes the proof of the Theorem.
By Remarks 1 and 2 and the Theorem, each sequence $Q$ of distinct odd primes such that $\Sigma 1 / q_{j}$ converges leads to a cohesive basic sequence $\mathscr{\mathscr { O }}_{\mathscr{Q}}$ in $\mathscr{C}$ such that $\delta\left(\mathscr{O}_{\ell}\right)=0$.
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