A PROPERTY OF THE GROUPS Aut $PU(3, q^2)$

Peter Lorimer

The automorphism group Aut $PU(3, q^2)$ of the projective unitary group $PU(3, q^2)$ has a natural doubly transitive representation on $q^3 + 1$ symbols. If this group contained a sharply doubly transitive subset, it would serve to define a projective plane with $q^3 + 2$ points on a line.

However it is the purpose of this note to prove that Aut $PU(3, q^2)$ does not have such a subset when q > 2.

The group PU(3, 4) is a sharply doubly transitive group and so forms a sharply doubly transitive subset of Aut PU(3, 4). This subset corresponds to the projective plane defined by the near field of order 9. Our result is

THEOREM. Let q be a power of a prime number, q > 2. Then the group Aut $PU(3, q^2)$ represented in the usual way as a doubly transitive group of degree $q^3 + 1$ does not have a sharply doubly transitive subset.

If G is a group of permutations on a set Σ and R is a subset of G we call R sharply doubly transitive on Σ if

I $1 \in R$

II if $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Sigma$, $\alpha \neq \beta, \gamma \neq \delta$ there is a unique member $r \in R$ with $r(\alpha) = \gamma, r(\beta) = \delta$.

III the relation ~ defined on R by $r \sim s$ if r = s or $r(\alpha) \neq s(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \Sigma$ is an equivalence relation. Each equivalence class is sharply transitive on Σ , i.e., if $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$ each class contains exactly one member r with $r(\alpha) = \beta$.

For the relation between projective planes and sharply transitive sets see [1, p. 140]. If Σ is finite III follows from II. The elementary properties of sharply doubly transitive sets are given by the following lemma which we state here without proof.

LEMMA. Let G be a permutation group on a finite set Σ which has n members and suppose that G has a sharply doubly transitive subset R. Then

(1) R has n(n-1) members

(2) The equivalence classes of R under \sim each contain n members

(3) R contains n-1 members which fix no symbol of R and n(n-2) which fix one symbol. Only the identity in R fixes more than one symbol.

(4) If $r \in R$, $r^{-1}R$ is also a sharply doubly transitive subset of G.

PETER LORIMER

If $q \ge 5$ the theorem follows easily from the results of [3] but the cases q = 3 and 4 must be treated separately. In §1 we gather the results that we need about the groups Aut $PU(3, q^2)$ and the following sections give the proofs necessary for the different cases.

1. The groups Aut $PU(3, q^2)$. In our discussion of these groups we will be guided by [2, pages 233-250]. The notations established in this section will be used in the rest of the paper.

Let q be a prime power, K the field of order q^2 and τ the unique involutory automorphism of K. Let V be a 3-dimensional vector space over K and w_1, w_2, w_3 a basis of V. Define a hermitian form on V by

$$(w_2, w_2) = (w_1, w_3) = 1$$

 $(w_1, w_2) = (w_2, w_3) = (w_1, w_2) = (w_2, w_3) = 0$

Then we may take the unitary group $U(3, q^2)$ as the group of linear transformations of V leaving this form invariant.

The 1-dimensional subspaces of V form the points and the 2dimensional subspaces the lines of the projective plane $P(2, q^2)$ over K. $U(3, q^2)$ has its induced representation $PU(3, q^2)$ as a permutation group on the points and lines of $P(2, q^2)$ and we may take Aut $PU(3, q^2)$ as the normal extension of $PU(3, q^2)$ by the field automorphisms of K.

If $v \in V$ and (v, v) = 0, v is called an isotropic vector. The isotropic vectors form $q^3 + 1$ points of $P(2, q^2)$ and we will call these points isotropic points and denote the set of them by A. Aut $PU(3, q^2)$ acts faithfully and doubly transitively on A. This is the representation of Aut $PU(3, q^2)$ referred to in the theorem.

If $v \in V$, $v \neq 0$, we will denote the point of $P(2, q^2)$ which contains v by $\langle v \rangle$ and if $u \notin \langle v \rangle$ we denote the line of $P(2, q^2)$ which contains both u and v by $\langle u, v \rangle$.

If l is a line of $PU(3, q^2)$ which contains 2 isotropic points then it contains exactly q + 1. If L is the stabilizer of l in Aut $PU(3, q^2)$ L has a representation as a permutation group on the q + 1 isotropic points of l and this representation may be taken as Aut $PU(2, q^2)$ acting on these points. The representation is thus permutation isomorphic to Aut $PGL(2, q) = P\Gamma L(2, q)$, see [2, p. 237].

It is now necessary to consider this representation in more detail. As Aut $PU(3, q^2)$ is doubly transitive on A it is sufficient to consider the line $l = \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$. We define the following subgroups of Aut $PU(3, q^2)$:

L is the stabilizer of l;

H is the stabilizer of both $\langle w_1 \rangle$ and $\langle w_3 \rangle$;

M is the stabilizer of all isotropic points $\langle w \rangle$ with $\langle w \rangle \in l$.

In a straightforward manner we find that $M \subseteq H$ and that M is the kernel of the representation of L on the q + 1 isotropic points of l. From the properties of the linear group $U(3, q^2)$ we also find that if $\langle u \rangle, \langle v \rangle$ are two isotropic vectors of l then L consists precisely of those members f of Aut $PU(3, q^2)$ with $f \langle u \rangle, f \langle v \rangle \in l$. In particular $H \subseteq L$ and L is doubly transitive on the isotropic points of l.

Considering now a possible sharply doubly transitive subset R of Aut $PU(3, q^2)$. We can prove the following.

PROPOSITION. Let R be a sharply doubly transitive subset of Aut $PU(3, q^2)$. Then the members $rM, r \in R \cap L$, of L/M form a sharply doubly transitive subset of L/M in its representation on the isotropic points of l.

Proof. It is sufficient to notice that if $\langle u \rangle$, $\langle v \rangle$ are two isotropic vectors of l then $R \cap L$ contains all those members r of R with $r\langle u \rangle$, $r\langle v \rangle \in l$.

2. $q \ge 5$. The results of [3] enable us to prove our theorem when $q \ge 5$.

Suppose that Aut $PU(3, q^2)$ has a sharply doubly transitive subset R. Using the proposition in §1 we obtain a sharply doubly transitive subset of the group L/M. As this group is permutation isomorphic to $P\Gamma L(2, q)$ we obtain a sharply doubly transitive subset of $P\Gamma L(2, q)$. This contradicts the results of [3] when $q \ge 5$.

As the groups $P\Gamma L(2, 3)$ and $P\Gamma L(2, 4)$ each contain a sharply doubly transitive subset this proof does not work for q = 3 or q = 4 and it is necessary to treat these cases separately. We do this in the next two sections.

3. q = 3. In this section we treat the group Aut PU(3,9). PU(3,9) has order 28.27.8 and has index 2 in Aut PU(3,9). K has 9 members and we may take them as the elements a + ib where a, b = 0, 1, -1, the members of the field of order 3 and $i^2 + 1 = 0$. The one automorphism τ of K is given by $\tau(a + ib) = a - ib$ or equivalently $\tau(x) = x^3$ for all $x \in K$. The set A of isotropic points has 28 members.

The stabilizer of the two points $\langle w_1 \rangle$ and $\langle w_3 \rangle$ of l has order 16. Thus Aut PU(3, 9) has 16 members which interchange $\langle w_1 \rangle$ and $\langle w_3 \rangle$. Following [2, p. 242] we may take these as the transformations $T(\sigma, k)$, $\sigma = 1, \tau, k \in K - \{0\}$ defined by

$$(x, y, z) \longrightarrow (kz^{\sigma}, k^2y^{\sigma}, k^{-3}x^{\sigma})$$

Suppose now that Aut PU(3, 9) has a sharply doubly transitive subset

R. Then R contains exactly one member r which interchanges $\langle w_i \rangle$ and $\langle w_3 \rangle$. As the stabilizer of $\langle w_i \rangle$ and $\langle w_3 \rangle$ has order 16, r is a 2-element. If it fixed one member of A it would have to fix another as A has 28 members. From the lemma in the introduction it follows that r fixes no members of A, i.e., $r \sim 1$. Denote the class of 1 under this relation by R^* . R^* contains 28 members and because of the double transitivity of Aut PU(3, 9) the above shows that when we decompose the members of R^* into disjoint cycles we obtain (1/2)28.27transpositions. Thus the 27 nonidentity members of R^* must all be involutions. In particular, r is an involution.

We now proceed to show that every involution interchanging $\langle w_1 \rangle$ and $\langle w_3 \rangle$ fixes at least two isotropic points and hence show that rcannot exist.

Any involution interchanging $\langle w_1 \rangle$ and $\langle w_3 \rangle$ is conjugate to one of T(1, 1), $T(\tau, 1)$ or $T(\tau, 1+i)$. T(1, 1) fixes $\langle (1, -1, 1) \rangle$ and $\langle (1, 1, 1) \rangle$, $T(\tau, 1)$ fixes $\langle (1, 0, i) \rangle$ and $\langle (1, 0, -i) \rangle$ and $T(\tau, 1+i)$ fixes $\langle (1-i, 1-i, i) \rangle$ $i, i) \rangle$ and $\langle (1-i, -1+i, i) \rangle$. In each case we have two isotropic vectors so that none of these can be r.

Thus no r interchanging $\langle w_1 \rangle$ and $\langle w_3 \rangle$ can exist and this proves the result when q = 3.

4. q = 4. Finally we treat the case q = 4.

The group Aut PU(3, 16) has order $65 \cdot 64 \cdot 15 \cdot 4$ and has PU(3, 16)as a subgroup of index 4. In this case there are 65 isotropic points in P(2, 16) and we are interested in the representation on the set Acontaining these 65 points. We let w_1, w_3, l, H, L , and M be as in Section 1. The line l containing $\langle w_1 \rangle$ and $\langle w_3 \rangle$ contains 5 isotropic points and we will denote the set of them by l^* .

H has a normal Sylow 5-subgroup consisting of the transformations arising from the matrices $S(k, \alpha)$ in U(3, 16) for $\alpha, k \in K$ $\alpha^5 = k^5 = 1$ where $S(k, \alpha)$ is the matrix

$$egin{pmatrix} k & \cdot & \cdot \ \cdot & lpha & \cdot \ \cdot & \cdot & k \end{pmatrix}$$

relative to the basis w_1, w_2, w_3 . Such a matrix fixes every point on the (projective) line l and also fixes the point $\langle w_2 \rangle$. Now consider the lines through $\langle w_2 \rangle$ in P(2, 16). There are 17 of them and each meets l in a point fixed by $S(k, \alpha)$. Thus $S(k, \alpha)$ fixes each of these lines. If $w \in l^*, w + \alpha w_2$ has length α^5 and so the line through $\langle w \rangle$ and $\langle w_2 \rangle$ contains exactly one isotropic point, namely $\langle w \rangle$. As l^* contains 5 points the remaining 60 isotropic points are distributed among the other 12 lines through w_2 and as no line can contain more than 5 isotropic points it follows that each of these lines contains exactly 5.

Now consider the Sylow 5-subgroups of PU(3, 16). They have order 25 and so are abelian. As any 5-element fixing 2 isotropic points is conjugate to a matrix $S(k, \alpha)$, such a 5-element fixes exactly 5 isotropic points and moves the other 60 points in orbits of length 5. If PU(3, 16) contained an element of order 25 it would have to fix no isotropic point and yet have its 5th power fixing exactly 5 such points. This is not possible so that the Sylow 5-subgroups are elementary abelian.

If a is a 5-element of $M \cap PU(3, 16)$ and b is a 5-element of PU(3, 16)it follows that a and b lie in a Sylow 5-subgroup together if and only if ab = ba. Reference to the end of §1 shows that $L/M \cong P\Gamma L(2, 4)$ and as L contains a Sylow 5-subgroup of PU(3, 16) and M has order 5, L contains the same number of Sylow 5-subgroups as $P\Gamma L(2, 4)$, namely 6. Any two intersect in M so that each 5-element of Mcommutes with exactly 124 5-elements of L and clearly commutes with no other 5-element of PU(3, 16). Again let a be a 5-element of M. We showed in the last paragraph that a fixes 12 lines which contain 5 isotropic points each and it can clearly not fix any more such lines. Hence for 12 lines a lies in the normalizer of the stabilizer of the 5 isotropic points on the line. It thus commutes with each of the 4 5-elements which fix all these points. Hence a commutes with $12 \cdot 4 = 48$ 5-elements outside M which fix exactly 5 isotropic vectors and so commutes with 120-48 = 72 5-elements which fix no isotropic vector. As L contains 6 Sylow 5-subgroups it follows that each Sylow 5-subgroup contains 12 members which fix no isotropic point.

We now suppose that R is a sharply doubly transitive subset of Aut PU(3, 16). From the results at the end of §1 we see that $R \cap L$ contains 20 members and because $P\Gamma L(2, 4)$ contains only one type of sharply doubly transitive subset, namely that corresponding to the set of semilinear transformation $x \rightarrow ax + b$, $a \neq 0$ over the field of order 5, it follows that $R \cap L$ contains 4 members which have in their decomposition into disjoint cycles, a cycle of order 5 on the isotropic points of l^* . If r is one of these and r fixes an isotropic point, say u, then r^5 fixes 6 isotropic points, namely u and the 5 members of l^* . But only the identity in Aut PU(3, 16) fixes more than 5 isotropic points and so $r^{5} = 1$. But each element of order 5 fixes either 5 or no isotropic points and as no member of R except 1 can fix more than one point we obtain a contradiction. Hence r fixes no isotropic points or $r \sim 1$. If we denote the equivalence class containing 1 by R^* it follows that we obtain $(65 \cdot 64)/(5 \cdot 4) \cdot 4$ 5-cycles when we decompose the members of R^* into disjoint cycles. As R^* contains only 64 members apart from 1 each of these must decompose into 13 5-cycles, i.e., each

PETER LORIMER

must be an element of order 5 and moreover the isotropic points in each 5-cycle which occurs must lie together on one line in P(2, 16).

Let r be a member of R^* -{1} and denote the set of 5-elements of Aut PU(3, 16) which fix 5 points of A by Q. Suppose that r lies in α Sylow 5-subgroups. The intersection of any two of them can only consist of r and its powers so that no member of Q lies in two of them. Each contains 12 members of Q so that r commutes with 12 α members of Q. On the other hand we have shown that r fixes 13 lines containing 5 isotropic vectors each and as PU(3, 16) is transitive on such lines it follows from the above analysis that r commutes with the 4 5-elements that fix the points of each line and r commutes with no other member of Q. Thus r commutes with 13.4 members of Q and so $13.4 = 12\alpha$. As α is an integer we have a contradiction.

This establishes the result when q = 4 and so proves the theorem.

References

1. P. Dembowski, Finite Geometries, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1968.

2. B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1967.

3. P. Lorimer, A Property of the Groups $P\Gamma L(m,q), q \ge 5$. To appear Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.

Received January 28, 1972 and in revised form June 22, 1972.

UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND