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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with the dilation, in the case of a Banach space, of operator-valued functions on a group into representations. Banach-space analogues of Sz.-Nagy's theorem and Ando's theorem are obtained.


Throughout this note $Z$ (resp. $R$, resp. $R^{+}$, resp. $N$, resp. $C$ ) is the set of all integer (resp. real, resp. nonnegative real, resp. nonnegative integer, resp. complex) numbers. Also $G$ is a group, $e \in G$ its neutral element: $K: G \rightarrow R^{+}$a submultiplicative function (i.e., $K(g h) \leqq K(g) K(h)$ for all $g, h \in G)$ with $K(e)=1 ; X$ a Banach space; $\mathscr{B}(X)$ the Banach algebra of all linear bounded operators on $X$ and $I \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ the identity.
$\mathscr{C}^{m}(R)(m \in N, m=\infty)$ being the algebra of all $m$-times differentiable functions on $R$ with the usual topology and $\Gamma=\{z \in C ;|z|=1\}$, $\mathscr{C}^{m}(\Gamma)$ is the algebra of all functions $f: \Gamma \rightarrow C$ such that $t \rightarrow f\left(e^{i t}\right)$ belongs to $\mathscr{C}^{m}(R)$, endowed with the topology induced by $\mathscr{C}^{m}(R)$. An operator $T \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ is called $\mathscr{C}^{m}(\Gamma)$-unitary if it is $\mathscr{C}^{m}(\Gamma)$-scalar ([2], [4]).

Theorem. (See also [7] Theorem 1). Let $\phi: G \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(X)$ be a function with the property $\left\|\phi_{g}\right\| \leqq K(g)$ for all $g \in G$ and $\phi_{e}=I$.

Then there exists a Banach space $\widetilde{X}$ containing $X$ (by an isometric isomorphism), a norm one projection $P$ of $\tilde{X}$ onto $X$ and a representation $\tilde{\phi}$ of $G$ as a group of invertible operators on $\tilde{X}$ such that
(0) $1 / K\left(\gamma^{-1}\right) \leqq\left\|\tilde{\phi}_{\gamma}\right\| \leqq K(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in G$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{e}=\tilde{I}$.
(i) $P \tilde{\phi}_{\gamma \mid X}=\phi_{r}$ for any $\gamma \in G$.
(ii) $\widetilde{X}$ is the closed vector space spanned by $\left\{\tilde{\phi}_{\gamma} x ; \gamma \in G, x \in X\right\}$.
(iii) If $\phi$ takes its values from the set of contractions on $X$, then $G$ is represented by $\tilde{\phi}$ as a group of invertible isometries on $\tilde{X}$. Moreover, if $G$ is a topological group and for every $x \in X$, the function $g \rightarrow \phi_{g} x$ is left uniformly continuous, then the representation $\tilde{\phi}$ is strongly continuous.

Proof. Let $Y$ be the vector space of all $X$-valued functions on $G, y($.$) with the property$

$$
\|y(g)\| \leqq M K(g) \quad \text { for all } \quad g \in G
$$

where $M$ is a positive real constant and $K$ the submultiplicative function from the hypothesis. (In what follows we shall denote elements of $Y$ also by $\left(y_{g}\right)_{g \in G}$.) One sees easily that $Y$ endowed with the norm

$$
\|y(\cdot)\|=\sup _{g}\|y(g)\| K(g)^{-1}, \text { is a Banach space }
$$

Let $X^{(G)}=\bigoplus_{g \in G} X^{g}$ be the direct sum with $X^{g}=X$ for all $g \in G$. Define a map $\Theta: X^{(G)} \rightarrow X$ by $(\Theta y)_{g}=\sum_{h} \phi_{g h} y_{h}$ for all $g \in G$ and $y \in X^{(G)}$. Then for every $y \in X^{(G)}$ one has $\Theta y \in Y$ and the set $\hat{X}=\left\{\Theta y ; y \in X^{(G)}\right\}$ is a subspace of $Y$. Consider the closure of $\hat{X}$ in $Y$ and denote it by $\widetilde{X}$.

Now let $X_{0}$ be a subspace of $\hat{X}$ of elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
y(\cdot)=\left(\phi_{g} x\right)_{g \in G}=\left(\sum_{h} \phi_{g h} \delta_{e h} x\right)_{g \in G} \text { when } x \text { runs over } X \\
\left(\delta_{g h}=0 \text { for } g \neq h \text { and } \delta_{g h}=1 \text { for } g=h\right) . \text { Define a map } \\
\varphi: X_{0} \rightarrow X \text { by } \varphi(y(\cdot))=y(e) \text { for all } y(\cdot) \in X_{0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then one has

$$
\|\varphi(y(\cdot))\|=\|y(e)\| \leqq \sup _{g}\|y(g)\| K(g)^{-1}=\|y(\cdot)\|
$$

and

$$
\|y(\cdot)\|=\sup _{g}\left\|\phi_{g} x\right\| K(g)^{-1} \leqq\|x\|=\|y(e)\|
$$

Hence $\varphi$ is an isometric isomorphism of $X_{0}$ onto $X$.
Let $Q: \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ be a map defined by

$$
Q y(\cdot)=y(e) \text { for all } y(\cdot) \in \hat{X}
$$

Obviously, $Q$ is linear surjective and satisfies $\|Q y()\| \leqq.\|y()$.$\| for$ all $y(.) \in \hat{X}$. Its extension by continuity to a linear map of $\tilde{X}$ onto $X$ will be denoted by the same symbol. Then $\varphi^{-1} Q$ is a norm one projection of $\widetilde{X}$ onto $X$.

For every $\gamma \in G$, define a map $\hat{\phi}_{\gamma}: \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ by

$$
\hat{\phi}_{r} \Theta y=\left((\Theta y)_{g r}\right)_{g \in G}=\left(\Sigma_{h} \phi_{g r h} y_{h}\right)_{g \in G}=\left(\Sigma_{d} \phi_{g d} z_{d}\right)_{g \in G}=\Theta z \in \hat{X}
$$

when $y$ runs over $X^{(G)}$. (It is made the notation $d=\gamma h, z_{d}=y_{h}$ for all $h \in G$; hence $z$ with these components belongs to $X^{(G)}$.) One sees easily that $\tilde{\phi}_{r}$ is well defined and linear. Moreover, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\hat{\phi}_{r} \Theta y\right\|= & \sup _{g}\left\|\Sigma_{h} \phi_{g \gamma h} y_{h}\right\| K(g)^{-1} \\
= & \sup _{g}\left\|\Sigma_{h} \phi_{g \gamma h} y_{h}\right\| K(g \gamma)^{-1} K(g)^{-1} K(g \gamma) \\
& \leqq K(\gamma) \sup _{g \gamma}\left\|\Sigma_{h} \phi_{g \gamma h} y_{h}\right\| K(g \gamma)^{-1}=K(\gamma)\|\Theta y\|
\end{aligned}
$$

That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{\phi}_{r} \Theta y\right\| \leqq K(\gamma)\|\Theta y\| \quad \text { for all } \quad y \in X^{(\sigma)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\hat{\phi}_{r}$ can be extended by continuity to an element of $\mathscr{B}(\widetilde{X})$ which will be denoted by $\tilde{\phi}_{\gamma}$. One sees easily that $\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha \beta}=\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha} \tilde{\phi}_{\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in G$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{e}=\widetilde{I}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Theta y\| \leqq\left\|\hat{\phi}_{r^{-1}} \hat{\phi}_{r} \Theta y\right\| \leqq K\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)\left\|\hat{\phi}_{r} \Theta y\right\| \quad \text { for all } y \in X^{(G)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also $\hat{\phi}_{r}: \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is surjective since one has

$$
\Theta y=\hat{\phi}_{r}\left((\Theta y)_{g \gamma-1}\right)_{g \in G} \quad \text { for all } \quad y \in X^{(G)} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma \in G .
$$

Thus the property (0) is proved. To show (i) we see that

$$
\left(\left(\varphi^{-1} Q\right) \tilde{\phi}_{r}\right) \varphi^{-1}(x)=\varphi^{-1}\left(\phi_{\gamma} x\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in X \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma \in G .
$$

Identifying $X_{0}$ and $X$ via $\varphi$ and writting $P$ instead of $\varphi^{-1} Q$, this equality reads more naturally as $P \tilde{\phi}_{r \mid X}=\phi_{r}$. The property (ii) is immediate noting that every $\Theta y \in \hat{X}$ can be written $\Theta y=\Sigma_{h} \tilde{\phi}_{h} \varphi^{-1}\left(y_{h}\right)$. The first assertion of (iii) is immediate because taking $K(g)=1$ for all $g \in G$, the above inequalities (1) and (2) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{\phi}_{r} \theta y\right\|=\|\Theta y\| \text { for all } y \in X^{(G)} \text { and } \quad \gamma \in G \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the second assertion of (iii) we assume still that $G$ is a topological group and $g \rightarrow \phi_{g} x$ is left uniformly continuous for each $x \in X$. Taking into account of (ii) it is enough to show that for any fixed $\gamma \in G$ and $y(.) \in X_{0}$, the map $\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}_{\alpha}\left(\tilde{\phi}_{7} y\right)()=.\left(\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha \gamma} y\right)($.$) is continu-$ ous. As this map is the composition of $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \gamma$ and $\alpha \gamma \rightarrow\left(\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha} \gamma y\right)(\cdot)$, we need only show that for each $y(\cdot) \in X_{0}$, the map $a \rightarrow\left(\tilde{\phi}_{a} y\right)(\cdot)$ is continuous. For this it is sufficient to show the continuity at $a=e$. But this fact is immediate from the left uniform continuity of $g \rightarrow \phi_{g} x$ for every $x \in X$, because $\left\|\left(\tilde{\phi}_{a} y\right)()-.y(\cdot)\right\|=\sup _{g}\left\|\phi_{g a} y(e)-\phi_{g} y(e)\right\| \cdot$

Corollary 1. Let $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \in R^{+}} \subset \mathscr{B}(X)$ be a semigroup of contractions. Then there exists a Banach space $\tilde{X}$ containing $X$, a norm one projection $P$ of $\tilde{X}$ onto $X$ and a group $\left\{U_{t}\right\}_{t \in R}$ of invertible isometries on $\tilde{X}$ such that:
(i) $P U_{t} x=T_{|t|} x$, for all $x \in X, t \in R$.
(ii) $\tilde{X}$ is the closed vector space spanned by

$$
\left\{U_{t} x ; t \in R, x \in X\right\}
$$

(iii) If $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \in R^{+}}$is strongly continuous, then $\left\{U_{t}\right\}_{t \in R}$ is also strongly continuous.

Proof. Taking $G=R$, the additive group of real numbers defining $\phi$ by $\phi_{t}=T_{|t|}$, and $K$ by $K(t)=1$, for any $t \in R$, we are in assumptions of the previous theorem.

REMARK 1. An invertible isometry is a $\mathscr{C}^{m}(\Gamma)$-unitary operator with $m>1$, ([2], Proposition 5.1.4). Hence Corollary 1 can be understood as a Banach space analogue of Sz.-Nagy's theorem ([9]) about of the dilation of a semigroup of contractions into a group of unitary operators.

Corollary 2. (See [9], Theorem IV). Let $T \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be a contraction. Then there exists a Banach space $\tilde{X}$ containing $X, a$ norm one projection $P$ of $\tilde{X}$ onto $X$ and an invertible isometry $U$ on $\widetilde{X}$ such that:
(i) $P U^{n} x=T^{|n|} x$, for all $x \in X, n \in Z$.
(ii) $\tilde{X}$ is the closed vector space spanned by

$$
\left\{U^{n} x ; n \in Z, x \in X\right)
$$

Proof. Obviously, for this case one takes $G=Z$ the additive group of integer numbers, $\phi$ defined by $\phi_{n}=T^{|n|}$ and $K$ by $K(n)=1$, for all $n \in Z$.

Corollary 3. Let $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{p}\right\} \subset \mathscr{B}(X)$ be a finite system of not necessarily commuting contractions. Then there exists a Banach space $\tilde{X}$ containing $X$, a norm one projection $P$ of $\widetilde{X}$ onto $X$ and a finite system of commutative invertible isometries $\left\{U_{1}, U_{2}, \cdots, U_{p}\right\}$ on $\widetilde{X}$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P U_{1}^{n_{1}} U_{2}^{n_{2}} \cdots U_{p}^{n_{p}} x=T_{1}^{\left|n_{1}\right|} T_{2}^{\left|n_{2}\right|} \cdots T_{p}^{n_{p}} x \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any

$$
n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{p} \in Z, x \in X
$$

(ii) $\tilde{X}$ is the closed vector space spanned by

$$
\left\{U_{1}^{n_{1}} U_{2}^{n_{2}} \cdots U_{p}^{n_{p}} x ; n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{p} \in Z, x \in X\right\}
$$

Proof. We take $G=Z_{1} \times Z_{2} \times \cdots \times Z_{p}$ with $Z_{i}=Z$ for $i=$ $1,2, \cdots, p$; define $\phi$ by $\phi\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{p}\right)=T_{1}^{\left|n_{1}\right|} T_{2}^{\left|n_{2}\right|} \cdots T_{p}^{\left|n_{p}\right|}$ and $K$ by $K\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{p}\right)=1$ for any $n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{p} \in Z$, then apply the above theorem.

Remark 2. Corollary 3 is a Banach space analogue of Ando's theorem ([1]). We remark that it is not necessarily to assume any
property of commutativity also we can take a number of more than two contractions, (in a Hilbert space this is not true, see [5]).

Remark 3. The above theorem also asserts that for any sequence $\left\{T_{n}\right\}_{n \in Z} \subset \mathscr{B}(X)$ of contractions with $T_{0}=1$, there exists a Banach space $\widetilde{X} \supset X$, a norm one projection $P$ of $\widetilde{X}$ onto $X$ and a invertible isometry $U$ on $\widetilde{X}$ such that $T_{n}=P U^{n}{ }_{\mid X}$ for any $n \in Z$. Also $\widetilde{X}$ is the closed vector space spanned by $\left\{U^{n} x ; n \in Z, x \in X\right\}$. (This fact is true in a Hilbert space if and only if $T_{n}$ is a positive definite sequence.)

Corollary 4. Let $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \in R^{+}} \subset \mathscr{B}(X)$ be a semigroup of operators such that $\left\|T_{t}\right\| \leqq M e^{a t}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left\|T_{t}\right\| \leqq t^{\alpha}+1$, with $0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1$ ) for all $t \in R^{+}$, where $a$ and $M$ are real positive constants. Then there exists a Banach space $\widetilde{X} \supset X$, a norm one projection $P$ of $\widetilde{X}$ onto $X$ and a group of invertible (resp. $\mathscr{C}^{m}(\Gamma)$-unitary with $m>\alpha+1$ ) operators on $\widetilde{X},\left\{U_{t}\right\}_{t \in R}$ such that:
(0) $\quad M^{-1} e^{-a|t|} \leqq\left\|U_{t}\right\| \leqq M e^{a|t|}$ for all $t \in R$, if $M>1$, or $e^{-a|t|} \leqq$ $\left\|U_{t}\right\| \leqq e^{a|t|}$ for all $t \in R$, if $M \leqq 1$, (resp. $\left(|t|^{\alpha}+1\right)^{-1} \leqq\left\|U_{t}\right\| \leqq|t|^{\alpha}+1$ for all $t \in R$ ).
(i) $P U_{t} x=T_{|t|} x$ for all $t \in R, x \in X$,
(ii) $\tilde{X}$ is the closed vector space spanned by $\left\{U_{t} x ; t \in R, x \in X\right\}$.

Proof. Taking $G=R$ the additive group of real numbers, defining $\phi$ by $\phi_{t}=T_{|t|}$ for all $t \in R$ and $K$ thus: if $M>1, K(t)=M e^{a|t|}$ for $t \neq 0$, and $K(0)=1$; or if $M \leqq 1, K(t)=e^{a|t|}$ for $t \neq 0$ and $K(0)=1$, (resp. $K(t)=|t|^{\alpha}+1$ for any $t \in R$ ), we have the hypothesis of the theorem.

Moreover, for the second case we obtain

$$
\left\|U_{n t}\right\|=\left\|\left(U_{t}\right)^{n}\right\| \leqq|n|^{\alpha}\left(|t|^{\alpha}+1\right)
$$

for all $|n|>1, t \in R$. Then applying Proposition 5.1.4 from [2], it follows that $U_{t}$ is a $\mathscr{C}^{m}(\Gamma)$-unitary operator with $m>\alpha+1$, for each $t \in R$.

Corollary 5. Let $T \in \mathscr{B}(X)$, satisfying $\left\|T^{n}\right\| \leqq n^{\alpha}+1$ for all $n \in N$, with $0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1$. Then there exists a Banach space $\widetilde{X} \supset X, a$ norm one projection $P$ of $\tilde{X}$ onto $X$ and $a \mathscr{C}^{m}(\Gamma)$-unitary operator, with $m>\alpha+1, U$ on $\widetilde{X}$ such that:
(0) $\quad\left(|n|^{\alpha}+1\right)^{-1} \leqq\left\|U^{n}\right\| \leqq|n|^{\alpha}+1$ for all $n \in Z$.
(i) $P U^{n} x=T^{|n|} x$ for all $n \in Z, x \in X$.
(ii) $\tilde{X}$ is the closed vector space spanned by

$$
\left\{U^{n} x ; n \in Z, x \in X\right\}
$$
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