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Oscillation and nonoscillation results are presented for the operator

$$
L_{2 n} y=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\left(p_{k} y^{(n-k)}\right)^{(n-k)}
$$

where $p_{0}(x)>0$ on $(0, \infty)$ and for $k=0,1, \cdots, n, p_{k}$ is a realvalued, $n-k$ times differentiable function on $(0, \infty)$. Also, $y$ is an element of the set of all real-valued, $2 n$ - fold continuously differentiable, finite functions on ( $0, \infty$ ).

In particular, a nonoscillation result is given for $L_{2 n}$ without sign restrictions on the coefficients. Oscillation results are given for $L_{4}$ without the requirement that $p_{1}$ be negative for large $x$. Finally, the oscillation of

$$
L_{2 n} y=(-1)^{n}\left(r y^{(n)}\right)^{(n)}+p y
$$

is considered for $r(x)$ not necessarily bounded.
The oscillatory behavior of $L_{4}$ has been considered by Leighton and Nehari [8], Barrett [1], and Hinton [4]. In general, $L_{2 n}$ has been considered by Glazman [2], Hinton [5], Hunt [6], and Hunt and Namboodiri [7].

Definition 0.1. The operator $L_{2 n}$ is called oscillatory on $[a, b]$ provided there is a function $y, y \not \equiv 0$, and numbers $c$ and $d$ for which $a \leqq c<d \leqq b$ such that $L_{2 n} y=0$ and

$$
y^{(k)}(c)=0=y^{(k)}(d) \text { for } k=0,1, \cdots, n-1
$$

Otherwise, $L_{2 n}$ is called nonoscillatory on $[a, b]$. The operator $L_{2 n}$ is called oscillatory on $[a, \infty)$ if for any given $c \geqq a$ there is a $d>c$ such that $L_{2 n}$ is oscillatory on $[c, d]$.

Definition 0.2 . Given a positive integer $n$ and a number $a$ define $\mathfrak{D}_{n}(b)$ for all $b>a$ to be the set of all real-valued functions $y$ with the following properties:
(a) $y^{(k)}$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ for $k=0,1, \cdots, n-1$,
(b) $y^{(n)}$ is essentially bounded on $[a, b]$, and
(c) $y^{(k)}(a)=0=y^{(k)}(b)$ for $k=0,1, \cdots, n-1$.

For $y \in \mathfrak{D}_{n}(b)$ define

$$
I_{b}(y)=\int_{a}^{b} \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{k}(x)\left(y^{(n-k)}(x)\right)^{2} d x
$$

which is called the quadratic functional for $L_{2 n}$.
The following theorem has provided the primary motivation for the results which are to follow.

Theorem 0.1 (Reid [9]). The following two statements are equivalent.
(i) The operator $L_{2 n}$ is nonoscillatory on $[a, b]$.
(ii) If $y \in \mathfrak{D}_{n}(b)$ and $y \not \equiv 0$ then $I_{b}(y)>0$.

Consequently, in order to show that $L_{2 n}$ is oscillatory on ( $0, \infty$ ), given any $a>0$, it will suffice to construct a $y \in \mathfrak{D}_{n}(b)$ for some $b>$ $a$ for which $I_{b}(y)$ is not positive and $y \not \equiv 0$. This is the technique of proof for all of the oscillation theorems which follow.

This method of proof is especially conducive to oscillation theorems which require that integral conditions be met by the coefficients of $L_{2 n}$. For example, Glazman [2, p. 104] showed that $(-1)^{n} y^{(2 n)}+p y$ is oscillatory on ( $0, \infty$ ) when $\int^{\infty} p=-\infty$ (see Theorem 3.2).

Initially, the construction of $y$ is suggested by the conditions of the hypothesis on the coefficients of $L_{2 n}$ and the corresponding quadratic formula. For example, to establish the above result, Glazman let $y \equiv 1$ over the major portion of the interval $[a, b]$. To show that $y^{i v}-\left(q y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is oscillatory when $\int^{\infty} q=-\infty$ (see Theorem 2.2) the author let $y(x)=x-a$ over a portion of $[a, b]$. Next, we construct $y$ over the remaining portion of $[a, b]$ to insure that $y \in \mathfrak{D}_{n}(b)$ and the integral of $p_{n-k} \cdot y^{(n-k)^{2}}$ is bounded above for $k=0,1, \cdots, n$ independent of $b$.

For other proofs using this method the reader should consult Glazman [2, pp. 95-105] and Hinton [4].

## 1. The nonoscillation of $L_{2 n}$.

Lemma 1.1 (Glazman [2, p. 83]).
(i) If $g(a)=0$ for some $a>0$ and $g^{\prime}$ is continuous on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\int_{a}^{b} x^{-2 m}(g(x))^{2} d x \leqq\left(\frac{2}{2 m-1}\right)^{2} \int_{a}^{b} x^{-2 m+2}\left(g^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} d x
$$

for $m$ a positive integer. Moreover, if $g \not \equiv 0$ on $[a, b]$, the above inequality is strict.
(ii) If $g \not \equiv 0, g^{(m)}$ is continuous on $[a, b]$, and $g(a)=\cdots=g^{(m-1)}(a)=0$, then

$$
\int_{a}^{b} x^{-2 m}(g(x))^{2} d x<\left(\frac{2^{m}}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot \cdots \cdot(2 m-1)}\right)^{2} \int_{a}^{b}\left(g^{(m)}\right)^{2} d x
$$

A well known result in oscillation theory is a sufficient condition for the nonoscillation of $L_{2}$ due to Hille [3]. A generalization of this result for $L_{2 n}$ is given in the next theorem.

Theorem 1.1. For $L_{2 n}$ defined above with $p_{0}(x) \equiv 1$ let $P_{k}^{0}(x)=$ $p_{k}(x)$ and

$$
P_{k}^{m}(x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} P_{k}^{m-1}(t) d t
$$

for $m$ an integer greater than or equal to one when

$$
-\infty<\int^{\infty} P_{k}^{m-1}(t) d t<\infty
$$

If for $k=1, \cdots, n$ and $x \geqq a$ we have $-\infty<\int_{a}^{\infty} P_{k}^{m}<\infty$ for $m=0,1, \cdots, \quad k-1, \quad x^{k}\left|P_{k}^{k}\right| \leqq a_{k}, \quad$ and $\quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} M_{k} \leqq 1 \quad$ where $M_{k}=k!2^{4 k-1} /(2 k)!$, then $L_{2 n}$ is nonoscillatory on $[a, b]$ for all $b>a$.

Proof. The proof is given only for $n>1$. Suppose $L_{2 n}$ is oscillatory on $[a, b]$. Then, there are numbers $c$ and $d$ and a function $y$ which is not identically zero such that $L_{2 n} y=0$ and $y^{(k)}(c)=0=$ $y^{(k)}(d)$ for $k=0,1, \cdots, n-1$. Since $\left(L_{2 n} y\right) y=0$ then

$$
-\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-k} \int_{c}^{d}\left(p_{k} y^{(n-k)}\right)^{(n-k)} y=(-1)^{n} \int_{c}^{d} y^{(2 n)} y=\int_{c}^{d}\left[y^{(n)}\right]^{2},
$$

by integrating by parts $n$ times. By integrating by parts $n-k$ times we find that

$$
-\int_{c}^{d}(-1)^{n-k}\left(p_{k} y^{(n-k)}\right)^{(n-k)} y=-\int_{c}^{d} p_{k}\left(y^{(n-k)}\right)^{2} .
$$

However, by integrating by parts $k$ times and using Leibniz's rule we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{c}^{d} p_{k}\left(y^{(n-k)}\right)^{2}=-\int_{c}^{d} P_{k}^{k}\left[\left(y^{(n-k)}\right)^{2}\right]^{(k)}=-\int_{c}^{d} P_{k}^{k} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{k}{i}\left(y^{(n-k)}\right)^{(k-i)}\left(y^{(n-k)}\right)^{(i)} \\
& \quad \leqq \sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{k}{i} \int_{c}^{d} \frac{\left|y^{(n-i)}\right|}{t^{i}} \cdot \frac{\left|y^{(n-k+i)}\right|}{t^{k-i}} \cdot t^{k}\left|P_{k}^{k}\right| \\
& \quad \leqq a_{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{k}{i} \cdot \int_{c}^{d} \frac{\left|y^{(n-i)}\right|}{t^{k}} \cdot \frac{\left|y^{(n-k+i)}\right|}{t^{k-i}} \\
& \quad=a_{k}\left[2 \int_{c}^{d}\left|y^{(n)}\right| \cdot \frac{\left|y^{(n-k)}\right|}{t^{k}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\binom{k}{i} \int_{c}^{d} \frac{\left|y^{(n-i)}\right|}{t^{i}} \cdot \frac{\left|y^{(n-k+i)}\right|}{t^{k-i}}\right] \\
& \quad \leqq a_{k}\left[2\left\|y^{(n)}\right\|_{2}\left\|\frac{y^{(n-k)}}{t^{k}}\right\|_{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\binom{k}{i}\left\|\frac{y^{(n-i)}}{t^{i}}\right\|_{2}\left\|\frac{y^{(n-k+i)} \|_{2}}{t^{k-i}}\right\|_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&<a_{k}\left[\left\|y^{(n)}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{2^{k+1}}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot \cdots \cdot(2 k-1)}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\binom{k}{i}\left\|y^{(n)}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{2^{i}}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot \cdots \cdot(2 i-1)}\right)\left(\frac{2^{k-i}}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot \cdots \cdot(2(k-i)-1)}\right)\right] \\
&=a_{k} C_{k} \int_{c}^{d}\left[y^{(n)}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 1.1 and the Cauchy inequality where

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{k}= & \frac{2^{k+1}}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot \cdots \cdot(2 k-1)} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\binom{k}{i} \cdot\left(\frac{2^{k}}{[1 \cdot 3 \cdot \cdots \cdot(2 i-1)][1 \cdot 3 \cdots(2(k-i)-1)]}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

A simplification shows that

$$
C_{k}=\left[2^{2 k} k!/(2 k)!\right] \sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{2 k}{2 i}
$$

Since

$$
0=(1-1)^{2 k}=\sum_{i=0}^{2 k}(-1)^{i}\binom{2 k}{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{2 k}{2 i}-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\binom{2 k}{2 i-1},
$$

then

$$
2^{2 k-1}=\frac{1}{2}(1+1)^{2 k}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{2 k}{2 i}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\binom{2 k}{2 i-1}\right]=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{2 k}{2 i} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
C_{k}=\left[2^{4 k-1} k!\right] /(2 k)!=M_{k}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{c}^{d}\left[y^{(n)}\right]^{2} & =-\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-k} \int_{0}^{d}\left(p_{k} y^{(n-k)}\right)^{(n-k)} y \\
& =-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{c}^{d} p_{k}\left(y^{(n-k)}\right)^{2}<\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} M_{k} \int_{c}^{d}\left[y^{(n)}\right]^{2} \leqq \int_{c}^{d}\left[y^{(n)}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $L_{2 n}$ is nonoscillatory on $[a, b]$.
It will be useful in applying Theorem 1.1 to note that $M_{k+1}=$ $8 M_{k} /(2 k+1)$.

For the remainder of this paper we will assume that $p_{k}(x)$ is identically zero for $k=1$ to $n-2$ and will denote $p_{0}(x), p_{n-1}(x)$, and $p_{n}(x)$ by $r(x), q(x)$, and $p(x)$ respectively. Similarly, $P_{n}^{k}(x)$ and $P_{n-1}^{k}(x)$ will be denoted by $P_{k}(x)$ and $Q_{k}(x)$ respectively.

If $p(x)=k x^{-4}, r \equiv 1$, and $q \equiv 0$, then $L_{4} y=0$ is the familiar Euler equation. In this case, $L_{4}$ is oscillatory if and only if $k<-9 / 16$.

Also, $k<-9 / 16$ and $p(x)=k x^{-4}$ implies $x^{2} P_{2}(x)<-3 / 32$. Theorem 1.1 shows that $L_{4} y=y^{i v}+p y$ is nonoscillatory when $x^{2}\left|P_{2}(x)\right| \leqq 3 / 32$.
2. The oscillation of $L_{4}$. Using Theorem 0.1, Hinton [4] showed that $L_{4}$ is oscillatory when $\int^{\infty} 1 / r=\infty, q \leqq 0$, and $\int^{\infty} p=-\infty$. The same technique yields the following results.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $r(x) \leqq N, q(x) \leqq M$ and $\int^{\infty} p=-\infty$ for $x>0$, then $L_{4} y=\left(r y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}-\left(q y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p y$ is oscillatory on $(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2.2. If $0<r(x) \leqq M, \int_{i}^{\infty} q=-\infty$, and $\int^{\infty} x^{2}|p(x)|<\infty$ then $L_{4} y=\left(r y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}-\left(q y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p y$ is oscillatory on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Let $\xi(x)=x^{2} / 2$. Define $y(x)$ as follows:

$$
y(x)= \begin{cases}0 & x<a \\ \xi(x-a) & a \leqq x<a+1 \\ x-a-1 / 2 & a+1 \leqq x<b_{1} \\ -\xi\left(x-b_{2}\right)+b_{1}-a & b_{1} \leqq x<b_{2}=b_{1}+1 \\ b_{1}-a & b_{2} \leqq x<b_{3} \\ -\xi\left(x-b_{3}\right)+b_{1}-a & b_{3} \leqq x<b_{4} \\ -\xi^{\prime}\left(b_{4}-b_{3}\right)\left(x-b_{4}\right)+b_{1}-a-\xi\left(b_{4}-b_{3}\right) & b_{4} \leqq x<b_{5} \\ \xi(x-b) & b_{5} \leqq x<b \\ 0 & b \leqq x\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to show that

$$
\int_{a}^{d} r\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+p y^{2}<16 M+\int_{a}^{\infty} x^{2}|p|
$$

if we require that $b_{4}-b_{3}=b-b_{5} \leqq 1$. There is a number $c$ such that

$$
1+16 M+\int_{a}^{\infty} x^{2}|p|+\int_{a}^{a+1} q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\int_{a+1}^{x} q \leqq 0
$$

for all $x \geqq c$.
Let $Y_{1}(x)=\int_{c}^{x} q(t) d t$. Since $Y_{1}(x)$ tends to $-\infty$ as $x$ tends to $c$ there is a number $b_{1}$ which is the last zero of $Y_{1}(x)$. Hence,

$$
\int_{b_{1}}^{b_{2}} q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\left.Y_{1}(x)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right|_{b_{1}} ^{b_{2}}-2 \int_{b_{1}}^{b_{2}} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} Y_{1}<0
$$

since $Y_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)=0=y^{\prime}\left(b_{2}\right), y^{\prime \prime}=-1, y^{\prime} \geqq 0$, and $Y_{1}<0$ on $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right.$.
Let $Y_{2}(x)=\int_{b_{2}}^{x} q(t) d t$ and let $b_{3}$ be the last zero of $Y_{2}$. Pick $b_{4}$ so
that $-1 / 2 \leqq Y_{2}(x) \leqq 0$ on $\left[b_{3}, b_{4}\right]$ and $b_{4}-b_{3} \leqq 1$. Since $y^{\prime}=0$ on $\left[b_{2}, b_{3}\right],-1 \leqq y^{\prime} \leqq 0$ on $\left[b_{3}, b\right], Y_{2} \leqq 0$ on $\left[b_{3}, \infty\right), \int_{b_{1}}^{b_{2}} q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}<0$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{b_{1}}^{b} q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2} & <\int_{b_{3}}^{b} q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\left.Y_{2}(x)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right|_{b_{3}} ^{b}-2 \int_{b_{3}}^{b} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} Y_{2} \\
& =-2 \int_{b_{3}}^{b_{4}} y^{\prime}(-1) Y_{2}-2 \int_{b_{5}}^{b} y^{\prime}(1) Y_{2} \\
& <2 \int_{b_{3}}^{b_{4}} y^{\prime} Y_{2}<2 \int_{b_{3}}^{b_{4}}\left|y^{\prime} Y_{2}\right|<1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{b}(y) & =\int_{a}^{b} r\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+p y^{2} \\
& <16 M+\int_{a}^{\infty} x^{2}|p|+\int_{a}^{a+1} q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\int_{a+1}^{b_{1}} q+1 \leqq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
We now know that $L_{4}$ is oscillatory on $(0, \infty)$ is for $r$ bounded either $\int^{\infty} p=-\infty$ and $q \leqq 0$ or $\int^{\infty} q=-\infty$ and $p \leqq 0$. These facts suggest the results of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. If $\int^{\infty} p=-\infty, \int^{\infty} q=-\infty$, and $0<r(x) \leqq M$ then $L_{4}$ is oscillatory on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Except for some changes in the parameters we may define $y(x)$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.2. As before, if $b_{4}-b_{3}=b_{6}-b_{5} \leqq$ 1 then $\int_{a}^{b} r\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} \leqq 16 M$.

There is a number $c$ such that

$$
1+16 M+\int_{a}^{a+1} q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\int_{a+1}^{x} q<0
$$

for all $x \geqq c$. Let $Y(x)=\int_{c}^{x} q(t) d t$ and let $b_{1}$ be the last zero of $Y(x)$. Integrating by parts we obtain the fact that

$$
\int_{b_{1}}^{b} q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}=-2 \int_{b_{1}}^{b} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} Y
$$

since $Y\left(b_{1}\right)=0=y^{\prime}(b)$. Since $y^{\prime} \geqq 0, y^{\prime \prime}=-1$, and $Y \leqq 0$ on $\left[b_{1}, b_{2}\right]$ then

$$
-2 \int_{b_{1}}^{b} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} Y<-2 \int_{b_{2}}^{b} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} Y
$$

Since $y^{\prime \prime}=0$ on $\left[b_{2}, b_{3}\right]$ and $\left[b_{4}, b_{5}\right], y^{\prime} \leqq 0$ on $\left[b_{5}, b\right], y^{\prime \prime}=1$ on $\left[b_{5}, b\right]$, and $Y<0$ on $\left[b_{5}, b\right]$, then

$$
-2 \int_{b_{2}}^{b} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} Y<-2 \int_{b_{3}}^{b_{4}} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} Y=2 \int_{b_{3}}^{b_{4}} y^{\prime} Y .
$$

But, on $\left[b_{3}, b_{4}\right], y^{\prime} \leqq 1$. Consequently,

$$
\int_{b_{1}}^{b} q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}<2 \int_{b_{3}}^{b_{4}} y^{\prime} Y \leqq 2 \int_{b_{3}}^{b_{4}}|Y|
$$

Since $\int^{\infty} p=-\infty$, there is a number $d>b_{2}$ such that for $x \geqq d$

$$
\int_{a}^{b_{2}} p y^{2}+\left(b_{1}-a\right)^{2} \int_{b_{2}}^{x} p<0 .
$$

Let $W(x)=\int_{d}^{x} p$ and $b_{3} \geqq d$ be the last zero of $W$. Hence,

$$
\int_{b_{3}}^{b} p y^{2}=-2 \int_{b_{3}}^{b} y y^{\prime} W(t) d t<0 .
$$

Let $N=\max \left\{|Y(x)|: x \in\left[b_{3}, b_{3}+1\right]\right\}$ which we may assume is greater than or equal to one. Pick $b_{4}$ so that $b_{4}-b_{3}=1 /(2 N)$. Consequently,

$$
\int_{b_{1}}^{b} q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}<1 .
$$

Pick $b_{5}$ so that $\lim _{x \rightarrow b_{5}-} y(x)=\left(b_{4}-b_{3}\right)^{2} / 2$ and pick $b$ so that $b-b_{5}=$ $b_{4}-b_{3}$. We now have that

$$
I_{b}(y)<16 M+\int_{a}^{a+1} q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\int_{a+1}^{x} q+1+\int_{a}^{b_{2}} p y^{2}+\int_{b_{2}}^{b_{3}}\left(b_{1}-a\right)^{2} p<0 .
$$

Theorem 2.4. If $0<r(x) \leqq M,-\infty<\int^{\infty} p<\infty, \int^{\infty} P_{1}=-\infty$, $\int^{\infty}|q| x^{-1}<\infty$, and $q(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ then $L_{4}$ is oscillatory on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Let $\xi(x)=-\left(3 x^{3}-5 x^{2}\right) / 2, \alpha(x)=\sqrt{x}$, and $\beta(x)=x^{2}$. Let

$$
y(x)= \begin{cases}0 & x<a \\ \xi(x-a) & a \leqq x<a+1 \\ \alpha(x-a) & a+1 \leqq x<b_{1} \\ -\beta\left(x-b_{2}\right)+\alpha\left(b_{1}-a\right)+\beta\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) & b_{1} \leqq x<b_{2} \\ \alpha\left(b_{1}-a\right)+\beta\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) & b_{2} \leqq x<b_{3} \\ -\beta\left(x-b_{3}\right)+y\left(b_{2}\right) & b_{3} \leqq x<b_{4} \\ \alpha(b-x) & b_{4} \leqq x<b_{5} \\ \xi(b-x) & b_{5} \leqq x<b \\ 0 & b \leqq x .\end{cases}
$$

Given $b_{1}$ and $b_{3}$ we choose $b_{4}, b_{5}$, and $b$ so that $b_{4}-b_{3}=b_{2}-b_{1}, b_{5}-$ $b_{4}=b_{1}-(a+1)$, and $b=b_{5}+1$. Actually, only $b_{1}$ and $b_{3}$ will be chosen for reasons other than symmetry and the continuity of $y$ and $y^{\prime}$.

First, note that since we are going to pick $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{5}$ so that $y \in \mathfrak{D}_{2}(b)$ then

$$
\int_{a}^{b} p y^{2}=-\left.P_{1} y^{2}\right|_{a} ^{b}+\int_{a}^{b} P_{1}\left(y^{2}\right)^{\prime}=\int_{a}^{b} P_{1}\left(y^{2}\right)^{\prime}
$$

Hence,

$$
I_{b}(y) \leqq \int_{a}^{b} M\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+P_{1}\left(y^{2}\right)^{\prime}
$$

Calculations show that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} M\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} \leqq M\left[2 \int_{0}^{1}(5-9 x)^{2}+2+\frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-3} d x\right] \equiv M_{1}
$$

since $y^{\prime}$ being continuous requires that $0<b_{2}-b_{1}=b_{4}-b_{3} \leqq 1 / 4$.
Since $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} q(x)=0$ and $q$ is continuous then $q$ is bounded by some number, $B$, on $[a, \infty)$. Let

$$
A=4 B \int_{0}^{1} u^{2}+B \int_{0}^{1}\left(-\frac{9}{2} u^{2}+5 u\right)^{2} d u+1
$$

There is a number $c$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1} & +2+\int_{a}^{a+1}\left[q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+P_{1}\left(y^{2}\right)^{\prime}\right] \\
& +A+B+(a+1) \int_{a+1}^{\infty} x^{-1}|q(x)| \leqq-\int_{a+1}^{x} P_{1}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\left|P_{1}(x)\right| \leqq 1$ for all $x \geqq c$ since $P_{1} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.
Let $R(x)=\int_{0}^{x} P_{1}(t) d t$ and $b_{1}$ be the last zero of $R(x)$. Pick $b_{2}$ so that $1 /\left(2 \sqrt{b_{1}-a}\right)=-2\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)$ which insures that $y^{\prime}$ is continuous at $b_{1}$. We now have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a+1}^{b_{1}} q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2} & \leqq \int_{a+1}^{b_{1}}(x-a)^{-1}|q|=\int_{a+1}^{b_{1}} x(x-a)^{-1} x^{-1}|q| \\
& <(a+1) \int_{a+1}^{b_{1}} x^{-1}|q|<(a+1) \int_{a+1}^{\infty} x^{-1}|q|
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\int_{b_{1}}^{b_{2}} q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2} \leqq B \int_{b_{1}}^{b_{2}} 4\left(x-b_{2}\right)^{2}<B
$$

since $b_{2}-b_{1} \leqq 1 / 4$. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{b_{1}}^{b_{2}} P_{1}\left(y^{2}\right)^{\prime} & =\left.2 y y^{\prime} R\right|_{b_{1}} ^{b_{2}}-2 \int_{b_{1}}^{b_{2}}\left[\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+y y^{\prime \prime}\right] R \leqq-2 \int_{b_{1}}^{b_{2}}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2} R \\
& =-2\left[\left.R(t) \int_{b_{2}}^{t}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right|_{b_{1}} ^{b_{2}}-\int_{b_{1}}^{b_{2}}\left(P_{1}(t) \int_{b_{2}}^{t}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)\right] \\
& \leqq 2 \int_{b_{1}}^{b_{2}}\left|P_{1}(t)\right| \int_{b_{1}}^{b_{2}}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}<1
\end{aligned}
$$

since $y^{\prime}\left(b_{2}\right)=0=R\left(b_{1}\right), y^{\prime \prime} \leqq 0, y \geqq 0$, and $R \leqq 0$ on $\left[b_{1}, b_{2}\right]$. Pick $b_{3}$ so that $\left|P_{1}(x)\right| \leqq\left[6 y\left(b_{2}\right)\left(b_{2}-a\right)\right]^{-1}$ and $|q(x)| \leqq 4\left(b_{1}-a-1\right)^{-1}$ for $x \geqq$ $b_{3}$. Consequently,

$$
\int_{b_{2}}^{b} P_{1}\left(y^{2}\right)^{\prime}=\int_{b_{3}}^{b} P_{1}\left(y^{2}\right)^{\prime} \leqq 2 \int_{b_{3}}^{b}\left|P_{1}\|y\| y^{\prime}\right| \leqq 1
$$

since $|y| \leqq y\left(b_{2}\right),\left|y^{\prime}\right| \leqq 3$, and $b-b_{3}=b_{2}-a$. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{b_{2}}^{b} q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2} \leqq & B \int_{b_{3}}^{b_{4}} 4\left(x-b_{3}\right)^{2}+(1 / 4) \int_{b_{4}}^{b_{5}}\left(b_{6}-x\right)^{-1}|q(x)| \\
& +B \int_{b_{5}}^{b}\left[5\left(b_{6}-x\right)-9\left(b_{6}-x\right)^{2} / 2\right]^{2} \\
< & (A-1)+(1 / 4) \int_{b_{4}}^{b_{5}}|q| \leqq A
\end{aligned}
$$

In conclusion,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{b}(y) \leqq M_{1} & +\int_{a}^{a+1} q\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+(a+1) \int_{a+1}^{\infty} x^{-1}|q|+B+A \\
& +\int_{a}^{a+1} P_{1}\left(y^{2}\right)^{\prime}+\int_{a+1}^{b_{1}} P_{1}+1+1 \leqq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

The conditions of Theorem 2.4, $\int^{\infty}|q| / x<\infty$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} q(x)=0$, could be replaced by the conditions, $\int^{\infty}|q|<\infty$ and $q$ bounded, to obtain the same result with a similar proof.

THEOREM 2.5. Suppose $0<r(x) \leqq M, \int^{\infty} p<\infty, \int^{\infty} P_{1}<\infty$, and $P_{1}(x) \leqq C x^{-4}$ for $x>0$. If $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf x^{2} P_{2}(x)<-7 \frac{1}{32} M$ then $L_{4} y=$ $\left(r y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}+p y$ is oscillatory on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. We will use the fact that for $a>0$

$$
I_{b}(y)=\int_{a}^{b}\left[r\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+2 y y^{\prime} P_{1}\right]
$$

for $y$ given below. Let $\hat{\xi}(x)=-\left(3 x^{3}-5 x^{2}\right) / 2, \alpha(x)=\sqrt{x}$, and $\beta(x)=$ $x^{2}$. For $0<\mu<1,0<\sigma \leqq 1, \rho>0$, and $0<\gamma \leqq 1$ define $y$ as follows:

$$
y(x)= \begin{cases}0 & x<\mu \rho \\ \xi\left(\frac{x-\mu \rho}{\rho[1-\mu]}\right) & \mu \rho \leqq x<\rho \\ \alpha\left(\frac{x-\mu \rho}{\rho[1-\mu]}\right) & \rho \leqq x<R \\ -\beta(x-(R+\sigma))+\beta(\sigma)+\alpha\left(\frac{R-\mu \rho}{\rho[1-\mu]}\right) & R \leqq x<R+\sigma \\ \beta(\sigma)+\alpha\left(\frac{R-\mu \rho}{\rho[1-\mu]}\right) & R+\sigma \leqq x<N \\ -\beta(x-N)+y(R+\sigma) & N \leqq x<N+\gamma \\ -2 \gamma(x-N-\gamma)+y(R+\sigma)-\beta(\gamma) & N+\gamma \leqq x<b-\gamma \\ \beta(x-b) & b-\gamma \leqq x<b \\ 0 & b \leqq x\end{cases}
$$

Calculations show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mu \rho}^{b} r\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad<\left(1 /\left[\rho^{3}(1-\mu)\right]\right)\left[7 \frac{1}{32} M(1-\mu)^{-2}+4 \sigma M \rho^{3}(1-\mu)+8 \gamma M \rho^{3}(1-\mu)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\lim \inf x^{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} P_{1}<-7 \frac{1}{32} M
$$

there is a $\delta>0$ and a sequence $\left\langle\rho_{k}\right\rangle \rightarrow \infty$ for which

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k}^{2} \int_{\rho_{k}}^{\infty} P_{1} \leqq-\left(7 \frac{1}{32} M+2 \delta\right)
$$

Pick $\mu$ so close to zero that $7(1 / 32) M(1-\mu)^{-2}=7(1 / 32) M+7 \delta / 8$. There is a positive integer $N$ so large that $\mu \rho_{k}>a, C\left(\mu^{-3}-1\right) / \rho_{k}<$ $\delta / 8$, and

$$
\rho_{k}^{2} \int_{\rho_{k}}^{\infty} P_{1} \leqq-\left(7 \frac{1}{32} M+7 \delta / 4\right)
$$

for all $k \geqq N$. Let $\rho=\rho_{N}$.
Given $R$, we will pick $\sigma$ so that $y^{\prime}(x)$ is continuous at $x=R$. Therefore,

$$
\sigma=1 /(4 \sqrt{\rho[1-\mu](R-\mu \rho)})
$$

Since $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $P_{1}$ is bounded on $[a, \infty)$ pick $R$ so large that

$$
\rho^{2} \int_{\rho}^{R} P_{1} \leqq-\left(7 \frac{1}{32} M+13 \delta / 8\right)
$$

$$
\sigma<(\delta / 8) /\left[4 M \rho^{3}(1-\mu)\right]
$$

and $\sigma\left|P_{1}\right|<\delta /\left(8 \rho^{2}\right)$ for all $x \geqq R$. On $[R, R+\sigma]$,

$$
0<y(x) \leqq \alpha((x-\mu \rho) /(\rho[1-\mu]))
$$

and

$$
0 \leqq y^{\prime}(x) \leqq \alpha^{\prime}((x-\mu \rho) /(\rho[1-\mu])) \cdot 1 /(\rho[1-\mu])
$$

which implies that $0 \leqq 2 y y^{\prime} \leqq 1 /(\rho[1-\mu])$ on $[R, R+\sigma]$.
On $[\mu \rho, \rho] 0 \leqq 2 y y^{\prime}<3 /(\rho[1-\mu])$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{a}^{b} y y^{\prime} P_{1}< & 3(\rho[1-\mu])^{-1} \int_{\mu \rho}^{\rho} P_{1}^{+}+(\rho[1-\mu])^{-1} \int_{\rho}^{R} P_{1} \\
& +(\rho[1-\mu])^{-1} \int_{R}^{R+\sigma}\left|P_{1}\right|+2 \int_{N}^{b}\left|y y^{\prime}\right|\left|P_{1}\right| \\
< & 3 C(\rho[1-\mu])^{-1} \int_{\mu \rho}^{\rho} x^{-4} d x+(\rho[1-\mu])^{-1} \int_{\rho}^{R} P_{1} \\
& +(\delta / 8) /\left(\rho^{3}[1-\mu]\right)^{-1}+2 \int_{N}^{b}\left|y y^{\prime} \| P_{1}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{1}^{+}(x)=P_{1}(x)$ when $P_{1}(x) \geqq 0$ and zero otherwise.
On $[N, b] 0 \leqq y(x) \leqq y(R+\sigma)$ and $\left|y^{\prime}\right| \leqq 2 \gamma$. Since $y$ is linear on $[N+\gamma, b-\gamma]$ we have that

$$
\left[y(R+\sigma)-2 \gamma^{2}\right] /[b-N-2 \gamma]=2 \gamma
$$

or

$$
b-N=[y(R+\sigma)] /(2 \gamma)+\gamma
$$

Since $P_{1}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ we can pick $N$ so large that

$$
\left|P_{1}\right| \leqq(\delta / 8) /\left(2[y(R+\sigma)]^{2} \rho^{3}[1-\mu]\right)
$$

for all $x \geqq N$. Pick $\gamma$ so small that $2 \gamma^{2}[y(R+\sigma)]^{-1}<1$ and

$$
8 M \gamma \rho^{3}[1-\mu]<\delta / 8
$$

Pick $b$ so that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow(b-r)^{-}} y(x)=\gamma^{2}
$$

We now have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{N}^{b}\left|y y^{\prime} \| P_{1}\right| & \leqq 4 \gamma y(R+\sigma) \int_{N}^{b}\left|P_{1}\right| \\
& \leqq 2 \gamma(b-N) \cdot(\delta / 8) /\left(y(R+\sigma) \rho^{3}[1-\mu]\right) \\
& =2 \gamma([y(R+\sigma) /(2 \gamma)]+\gamma) \cdot(\delta / 8) /\left(y(R+\sigma) \rho^{3}[1-\mu]\right) \\
& =(\delta / 8) /\left(\rho^{3}[1-\mu]\right)+2 \gamma^{2}(\delta / 8) /\left(y(R+\sigma) \rho^{3}[1-\mu]\right) \\
& <(\delta / 4) /\left(\rho^{3}[1-\mu]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{a}^{b} y y^{\prime} P_{1} & <\left(\rho^{3}[1-\mu]\right)^{-1}\left(C\left(\mu^{-3}-1\right) \rho^{-1}+\rho^{2} \int_{\rho}^{R} P_{1}+3 \delta / 8\right) \\
& <\left(\rho^{3}[1-\mu]\right)^{-1}\left(\delta / 2+\rho^{2} \int_{\rho}^{R} P_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{b}(y) & =\int_{a}^{b} r\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+2 y y^{\prime} P_{1} \\
& <\left(\rho^{3}[1-\mu]\right)^{-1}\left(7 \frac{1}{32} M+7 \delta / 8+\delta / 8+\delta / 8+\delta / 2+\rho^{2} \int_{\rho}^{R} P_{1}\right) \leqq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
3. The oscillation of $L_{2 n} y=(-1)^{n}\left(r y^{(n)}\right)^{(n)}+p y$.

Theorem 3.1. If $p(x) \leqq 0,0<r(x) \leqq M x^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha<2 n-1$, and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup x^{2 n-1-\alpha} \int_{x}^{\infty}|p(t)| d t>M A_{n}^{2}
$$

where

$$
A_{n}^{-1}=\sqrt{2 n-1} /[(n-1)!] \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k-1}\binom{n-1}{k-1}(2 n-k)^{-1}
$$

then $L_{2 n} y=(-1)^{n}\left(r y^{(n)}\right)^{(n)}+p(x) y$ is oscillatory on $(0, \infty)$.
Proof. Let $\xi(x)$ be the polynomial of degree $2 n-1$ such that $\xi(0)=\xi^{(k)}(0)=\xi^{(k)}(1)=0$ for $k=1,2, \cdots, n-1$ and $\xi(1)=1$. Given $a>0$, define $y(x)$ as follows:

$$
y(x)= \begin{cases}0 & x<\mu \rho \\ \xi([x-\mu \rho] /[\rho(1-\mu)]) & \mu \rho \leqq x<\rho \\ 1 & \rho \leqq x<R \\ \xi([\nu R-x] /[[R(\nu-1)]) & R \leqq x<\nu R \\ 0 & \nu R \leqq x .\end{cases}
$$

It can be shown that $\int_{0}^{1}\left(\xi^{(n)}(x)\right)^{2} d x=A_{n}^{2}$.
A result due to Glazman [2, p. 100] considers the case when $\alpha \leqq$
0 . Consequently, we will consider here only the case in which $\alpha>0$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mu \rho}^{\nu R} r\left(y^{(n)}\right)^{2} \leqq & M \rho^{\alpha} \int_{\mu \rho}^{\rho}\left(y^{(n n}\right)^{2}+M(\nu R)^{\alpha} \int_{R}^{\nu R}\left(y^{(n n}\right)^{2} \\
= & M A_{n}^{2} /\left[\rho^{2 n-1-\alpha}(1-\mu)^{2 n-1}\right] \\
& +M A_{n}^{2} /\left[R^{2 n-1-\alpha}\left(\nu^{\nu-\alpha / 2 n-1)}-\nu^{-\alpha /(2 n-1)}\right)^{2 n-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and $p(x) \leqq 0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\nu R}(y)=\int_{\mu \rho}^{R}\left[r\left(y^{(n)}\right)^{2}+p y^{2}\right] \\
& \leqq \frac{1}{\rho^{2 n-1-\alpha}}\left(\frac{M A_{n}^{2}}{(1-\mu)^{2 n-1}}+\frac{M A_{n}^{2} \rho^{2 n-1-\alpha}}{R^{2 n-1-\alpha}\left(\nu^{1-\alpha /(2 n-1)}-\nu^{-\alpha /(2 n-1}\right)^{2 n-1}}-\rho^{2 n-1-\alpha} \int_{\rho}^{R}|p|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

There is a sequence $\left\langle\rho_{k}\right\rangle \rightarrow \infty$ and a number $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k}^{2 n-1-\alpha} \int_{\rho_{k}}^{\infty}|p| \geqq M A_{n}^{2}+\delta
$$

Choose $\mu>0$ so small that

$$
M A_{n}^{2} /\left[(1-\mu)^{2 n-1}\right]<M A_{n}^{2}+\delta / 4
$$

There is a number $K$ so that $\mu \rho_{k}>a$ and

$$
\rho_{k}^{2 n-1-\alpha} \int_{\rho_{k}}^{\infty}|p|>M A_{n}^{2}+3 \delta / 4
$$

for all $k \geqq K$. Set $\rho=\rho_{K}$. Choose $R$ so large that

$$
\rho^{2 n-1-\alpha} \int_{\rho}^{R}|p|>M A_{n}^{2}+\delta / 2
$$

Choose $\nu>1$ so large that

$$
M A_{n}^{2} \rho^{2 n-1-\alpha} /\left[R^{2 n-1-\alpha}\left(\nu^{1-\alpha /(2 n-1)}-\nu^{-\alpha /(2 n-1)}\right)^{2 n-1}\right]<\delta / 4 .
$$

We now have that $I_{\nu R}(y)<0$ which implies that $L_{2 n}$ is oscillatory on $(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 3.2. If there are numbers $M$ and $\alpha$ such that $0<r(x) \leqq$ $M x^{\alpha}$ and if for some $\nu>1$ and $A_{n}$ as in Theorem 3.1

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left(K x^{\alpha-2 n+1}+\int_{a}^{x} p\right)=-\infty
$$

where $K=M A_{n}^{2} \nu^{\alpha} /(\nu-1)^{2 n-1}$ then $L_{2 n} y=(-1)^{n}\left(r y^{(n)}\right)+p y$ is oscillatory on ( $0, \infty$ ).

Proof. For $\mu, \rho, R$, and $\nu$ below, let $y(x)$ be as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Pick $\mu$ and $\nu$ so that $0<\mu<1$ and $\nu>1$. Pick $\rho$ so large that $\mu \rho \geqq a$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1

$$
\int_{\mu \rho}^{\nu R} r\left(y^{(n)}\right)^{2} \leqq M A_{n}^{2}\left(\frac{\rho^{\alpha-2 n+1}}{(1-\mu)^{2 n-1}}+\frac{R^{\alpha-2 n+1} \nu^{\alpha}}{(\nu-1)^{2 n-1}}\right) .
$$

There is a number $c$ such that

$$
M A_{n}^{2}\left(\frac{\rho^{\alpha-2 n+1}}{(1-\mu)^{2 n-1}}+\frac{x^{\alpha-2 n+1} \nu^{\alpha}}{(\nu-1)^{2 n-1}}\right)+\int_{\mu \rho}^{\rho} p y^{2}+\int_{\rho}^{x} p<0
$$

for all $x \geqq c$. Let $T(x)=\int_{0}^{x} p$. Since $T(x) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, there is a last zero of $T(x)$. Let $R$ be the last zero of $T(x)$. This implies that

$$
\int_{R}^{\nu R} p y^{2}=-2 \int_{R}^{\nu R} y y^{\prime} T(x)<0
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\mu \rho}^{\nu R} p y^{2}<\int_{\mu \rho}^{\rho} p y^{2}+\int_{\rho}^{R} p
$$

then $I_{R}(y)<0$.
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