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#### Abstract

A ring $A$ is called power invariant if whenever $B$ is a ring such that the formal power series rings $A[[X]]$ and $B[[X]]$ are isomorphic, then $A$ and $B$ are isomorphic. $A$ ring $A$ is said to be strongly power invariant if whenever $B$ is a ring and $\phi$ is an isomorphism of $A[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$, then there exists a $B$-automorphism $\psi$ of $B[[X]]$ such that $\psi(X)=\phi(X)$. Strongly power invariant rings are power invariant. For any commutative ring $A, A / J(A)^{n}$ is strongly power invariant, where $J(A)$ is the Jacobson radical of $A$, and $n$ is any positive integer. A left or right Artinian ring is strongly power invariant. If $A$ is a left or right Noetherian ring, then $A[t]$, the polynomial ring in an indeterminate $t$ over $A$, is strongly power invariant.


Introduction. Coleman and Enochs [2] raised the following question: Can there be nonisomorphic rings $A$ and $B$ whose polynomial rings $A[X]$ and $B[X]$ are isomorphic? Recently Hochster [4] answered this question in the affirmative. The analogous question about a commutative formal power series ring was raised by O'Malley [7]: If $A[[X]] \cong B[[X]]$, must $A \cong B$ ? We know no counterexamples.

In this paper all rings are assumed to have identity elements. The Jacobson radical and the prime radical (the intersection of all prime ideals) of a ring $A$ will be denoted by $J(A)$ and $\operatorname{rad}(A)$, respectively. Let $A[[X]]$ be the formal power series ring in a commutative indeterminate $X$ over a ring $A$, and let $\beta$ be a central element of $A[[X]]$. Then ( $\beta^{n}$ ) will denote the ideal of $A[[X]]$ generated by $\beta^{n}$ for a nonnegative integer $n$, and ( $A[[X]],(\beta)$ ) denotes the topological ring $A[[X]]$ with the $(\beta)$-adic topology. It is well known that $(A[[X]],(\beta))$ is Hausdorff if and only if $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\beta^{n}\right)=(0)$. The $(\beta)$-adic topology is metrizable in the obvious way, and we say that ( $A[[X]],(\beta)$ ) is complete if each Cauchy sequence of $A[[X]]$ converges in $A[[X]]$. Then clearly $(A[[X]],(X))$ is a complete Hausdorff space.

Extending the terminology used in [2], O'Malley [7] defined "power invariant ring" and "strongly power invariant ring" as follows: A ring $A$ is power invariant if whenever $B$ is a ring such that $A[[X]] \cong B[[X]]$, then $A \cong B$. A ring $A$ is said to be strongly power invariant if whenever $B$ is a ring and $\phi$ is an isomorphism of $A[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$, then there exists a $B$-automorphism $\psi$ of $B[[X]]$ such that $\psi(X)=\phi(X)$.

Let $A$ be a strongly power invariant ring and let $\phi$ be an isomorphism of $A[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$. Then there exists a $B$-automorphism
$\psi$ of $B[[X]]$ such that $\psi(X)=\phi(X)$. Then $\psi^{-1} \phi$ is an isomorphism of $A[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$ such that $\left(\psi^{-1} \phi\right)(X)=X$. Therefore, $A \cong$ $A[[X]] /(X) \cong B[[X]] /(X) \cong B$. Thus a strongly power invariant ring is power invariant.

In this paper we attempt to impose conditions on a ring $A$ so that $A[X] \cong B[[X]]$ implies $A \cong B$.

1. Strongly power invariant rings. The following theorem extends Theorem (4.5) in [8].

Theorem 1.1. Let $B$ be a ring and $\beta=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} X^{i}$, an element of $B[[X]]$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $b_{i}$ is central for each $i, b_{1}$ is a unit, and $(B[[X]],(\beta))$ is a complete Hausdorff space.
(2) There exists a B-automorphism of $\psi$ of $B[[X]]$ such that $\psi(X)=\beta$.

Proof. Suppose that (2) holds. Since ( $B[[X]],(X)$ ) is a complete Hausdorff space and $\psi$ is a uniformly bicontinuous mapping of $(B[[X]],(X))$ onto ( $B[[X]],(\beta))$, $(B[[X]],(\beta))$ is a complete Hausdorff space. Since $X$ commutes with every element of $B, \beta$ commutes with any element of $B$ and therefore $b_{i}$ is central for each $i$. Let $C$ be the center of $B$. Then $C[[X]]$ is the center of $B[[X]]$ and hence $\phi(C[[X]])=C[[X]]$. Then $\psi$ induces the $C$-automorphism of $C[[X]]$ which maps $X$ onto $\beta$. Therefore, by Theorem (4.5) in [8], $b_{1}$ is a unit. Thus (2) implies (1).

Suppose that (1) holds. Since ( $B[[X]],(\beta))$ is a complete Hausdorff space, there is a $B$-endomorphism $\psi$ of $B[[X]]$ such that $\psi(X)=\beta$. This comes from the same argument as the commutative case; namely (2.2) in [8]. Since $b_{i}$ is central for each $i$, that $\psi$ is a $B$-automorphism, also follows from the commutative argument; namely Lemma (4.2) and Corollary (4.4) in [8]. This completes the proof.

Let $\phi$ be an isomorphism of $A[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$ such that $\phi(X)=$ $\beta=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} X^{i}$. By similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we see that $b_{i}$ is central in $B$ for each $i$ and $(B[[X]],(\beta))$ is a complete Hausdorff space. Therefore, by Theorem 1.1, we see that a ring $A$ is strongly power invariant if and only if whenever $B$ is a ring and $\phi$ is an isomorphism of $A[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$ such that $\phi(X)=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} X^{i}$, then $b_{1}$ is a unit.

The following lemma has appeared as Result 4.3 in [7] for the commutative case.

Lemma 1.2. For any ring $A, A / J(A)$ is strongly power invariant. In particular, if $A$ is a semisimple ring then $A$ is strongly power invariant.

Proof. Let $A$ be a semisimple. To prove this lemma, it suffices to show that $A$ is strongly power invariant. Let $B$ be a ring such that there is an isomorphism $\phi$ of $A[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$. Let $\phi(X)=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} X^{i}$. Since $J(A)=(0)$, it follows that $J(A[[X]])=(X)$, and

$$
\phi(J(A[[X]]))=\phi((X))=(\phi(X))=\dot{\phi}(X) \cdot B[[X]]=J(B[[X]])
$$

Clearly $X \in J(B[[X]])$, and so there exists $\sum_{i=6}^{\infty} c_{i} X^{i} \in B[[X]]$ such that $\phi(X) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{i} X^{i}=X$; i.e., $\quad\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} X^{i}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{i} X^{i}\right)=X$. Then $b_{0} c_{1}+$ $b_{1} c_{0}=1$. But $b_{0} \in J(B)$, so $1-b_{0} c_{1}$ is a unit. Therefore, $b_{1} c_{0}$ is a unit, and so $b_{1}$ is a unit. Hence $A$ is strongly power invariant.

Theorem 1.3. If $A$ is a commutative ring, then for any positive integer $n, A / J(A)^{n}$ is strongly power invariant.

Proof. Let $A$ be a commutative ring such that $J(A)$ is nilpotent. To prove this theorem, it suffices to show that $A$ is strongly power invariant. Let $B$ be a ring such that there is an isomorphism $\phi$ of $A[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$, and let $\dot{\phi}(X)=\beta=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} X^{i}$. Then clearly $B$ is commutative. Let $N$ be the ideal of nilpotent elements of $B$, and let $\left\{P_{r}\right\}$ be the collection of prime ideals of $B$. Then $N=\bigcap_{r} P_{r}$, and for each $\gamma, P_{\gamma}[[X]]$ is a prime ideal of $B[[X]]$. Therefore, the ideal of nilpotent elements of $B[[X]]$ is a subset of $N[[X]]$. Note that $N[[X]]$ is not necessarily the ideal of nilpotent elements of $B[[X]]$. Since $J(A)$ is nilpotent, $J(A)[[X]]$ is the ideal of nilpotent elements of $A[[X]]$. Therefore, $\phi(J(A)[[X]]) \subseteq N[[X]]$. In order to show the opposite inclusion, let $g=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_{i} X^{i} \in N[[X]] ; g_{i} \in N$ for each $i$, and let $\phi^{-1}(X)=\alpha=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} X^{i}, a_{i} \in A$. Then $\phi^{-1}(g)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi^{-1}\left(g_{i}\right) \alpha^{i}$, and $\phi^{-1}\left(g_{i}\right)$ is a nilpotent element of $A[[X]]$ for each $i$. Note that $a_{0} \in J(A)$ i.e., $a_{0}$ is nilpotent, and $\phi^{-1}\left(g_{i}\right) \in J(A)[[X]]$. Expanding $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi^{-1}\left(g_{i}\right) \alpha^{i}$ in powers of $X$, we see that the coefficient of $X^{i}$ is an element of $J(A)$ for each $i$ since $a_{0}$ is nilpotent. Thus $\phi^{-1}(g) \in J(A)[[X]]$. Therefore, we get $\phi(J(A))[[X]]=N[[X]]$. Consider the isomorphism $\bar{\phi}$ : $(A / J(A))[[X]] \rightarrow(B / N)[[X]]$ given by
$(A / J(A))[[X]] \longrightarrow A[[X]] / J(A)[[X]] \longrightarrow B[[X]] / N[[X]] \longrightarrow(B / N)[[X]]$
where the middle isomorphism is induced by $\phi$ and others are the obvious ones. Then it follows that $\bar{\phi}(X)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \bar{b}_{i} X^{i}$, where $\bar{b}_{i}$ denotes the coset $b_{\imath}+N$ in $B / N$. Since $A / J(A)$ is strongly power invariant, $\bar{b}_{1}$ is a unit in $B / N$. But $N \subseteq J(B)$ so $b_{1}$ is a unit in $B$. Thus $A$ is strongly power invariant. This completes the proof.

Corollary 1.4. Let $A$ be a ring and $C$, the center of $A$. If $J(C)$ is nilpotent, then $A$ is strongly power invariant. In particular, if $C$ is a Artinian ring, then $A$ is strongly power invariant.

Proof. Let $B$ be a ring such that there is an isomorphism $\phi$ of $A[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$, and let $\phi(X)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} X^{i}$. If $D$ denotes the center of $B, \phi(C[[X]])=D[[X]]$. But by Theorem $1.3, C$ is strongly power invariant. Therefore, $b_{1}$ is a unit and so $A$ is strongly power invariant.

It is well known that the prime radical of a ring $A$, denoted by $\operatorname{rad}(A)$, is the intersection of all prime ideals of $A$, and also it is the ideal of all strongly nilpotent elements of $A$. (P. 55-56 in [6].) Clearly, every strongly nilpotent element is nilpotent. In particular, if $A$ is commutative, then every nilpotent element is strongly nilpotent. Note that if $A$ is a commutative Noetherian ring, and $N$ is the ideal of nilpotent elements of $A$, then $N[[X]]$ is the ideal of nilpotent elements of $A[[X]]$ [3]. The following lemma extends this statement to the noncommutative case.

Lemma 1.5. If $A$ is a left or right Noetherian ring, then $\operatorname{rad}(A[[X]])=\operatorname{rad}(A)[[X]]$.

Proof. We show that if $P$ is a prime ideal of $A$, then $P[[X]]$ is a prime ideal of $A[[X]]$. Suppose that $P$ is a prime ideal of $A$ and $P[[X]]$ is not a prime ideal of $A[[X]]$. Then there exist $f=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_{i} X^{i}$ and $g=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_{i} X^{i}$ in $A[[X]]$ such that $f \cdot A[[X]] \cdot g \subseteq P[[X]]$ but $f \notin P[[X]]$ and $g \notin P[[X]]$. Let $m$ be the smallest integer such that $f_{m} \notin P$, and let $n$ be the smallest integer such that $g_{n} \notin P$. Since $f \cdot A[[X]] \cdot g \subseteq P[[X]], f \cdot a \cdot g$ belongs to $P[[X]]$ for any element $a$ of $A$. Expanding $f \cdot a \cdot g$ in powers of $X$, we see that the coefficient of $X^{m+n}$ is $\sum_{i+j=0}^{m+n} f_{i} a g_{j}$ which is in $P$. But $\sum_{i+j=0}^{m+n} f_{i} a g_{j}-f_{m} a g_{n} \in P$, so $f_{m} a g_{n}$ must be in $P$. Therefore, $f_{m} A g_{n} \subseteq P$, but $P$ is a prime ideal of $A$; so $f_{m} \in P$ or $g_{n} \in P$. This is a contradiction to our choice of $m$ and $n$. Hence $P[[X]]$ is a prime ideal of $A[[X]]$. Therefore, it follows that $\operatorname{rad}(A[[X]]) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A)[[X]]$. To show the opposite inclusion, we let $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} X^{i} \in \operatorname{rad}(A)[[X]]$. Then each $a_{i}$ is strongly nilpotent. Let $\mathfrak{\vartheta l}$ be the ideal of $A$ generated by the set of all $a_{i}$ 's. Then clearly $\mathfrak{\Re} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A)$; therefore, $\mathfrak{A}$ is a nil ideal of $A$. But since $A$ is left or right Noetherian, $\mathfrak{\Re l}$ is nilpotent. Thus $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} X^{i} \in \operatorname{rad}(A[[X]])$. Therefore, $\operatorname{rad}(A[[X]])=\operatorname{rad}(A)[[X]]$.

Theorem 1.6. Let $A$ be a left or right Noetherian ring and let $N=\operatorname{rad}(A) . \quad$ Then $A$ is strongly power invariant if $A / N$ is strongly power invariant.

Proof. Let $B$ be a ring such that there is an isomorphism $\phi$ of $A[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$, and let $M=\operatorname{rad}(B)$. Since $A$ is left (or right) Noetherian, $A[[X]]$ is left (or right) Noetherian. Then $B[[X]]$ is left
(or right) Noetherian, and therefore, $B$ is left (or right) Noetherian. So $\operatorname{rad}(B[[X]])=M[[X]]$ (by Lemma 1.5). From the invariance of the prime radical under isomorphism, we have that $\phi(N[[X]])=$ $M[[X]]$. Write $\phi(X)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} X^{i} ; b_{\imath} \in B$. Consider the isomorphism, $\bar{\phi}:(A / N)[[X]] \rightarrow(B / M)[[X]]$ given by

$$
(A / N)[[X]] \longrightarrow A[[X]] / N[[X]] \longrightarrow B[[X]] / M[[X]] \longrightarrow(B / M)[[X]],
$$

where the middle isomorphism is induced by $\phi$ and the others are the obvious ones. Since $A / N$ is strongly power invariant, we can show that $b_{1}$ is a unit of $B$ by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.3. Thus $A$ is a strongly power invariant ring.

Corollary 1.7. If $A$ is a left or right Noetherian ring such that $J(A)$ is nil, then $A$ is strongly power invariant.

Proof. Clearly $J(A)$ is nilpotent. So every element of $J(A)$ is strongly nilpotent. Therefore, $J(A)=\operatorname{rad}(A)$. By Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 1.6, $A$ is strongly power invariant.

Corollary 1.8. A left or right Artinian ring is strongly power invariant.

Corollary 1.9. If $A$ is a left or right Noetherian ring and if $A[t]$ is the polynomial ring in a commutative indeterminate $t$ over $A$, then $A[t]$ is strongly power invariant.

Proof. It is well known that for any ring $A, J(A[t])=N[t]$ holds, where $N=J(A[t]) \cap A$ and $N$ is a nil ideal in $A[1]$. Since $A$ is left (or right) Noetherian, $N$ is nilpotent and $A[t]$ is left (or right) Noetherian. Thus $J(A[t])=N[t]$ is a nilpotent ideal in $A[t]$. Therefore, by Corollary 1.7, $A[t]$ is strongly power invariant.
2. Perfect power invariant rings. The following proposition extends Theorem 3.1 in [7].

Proposition 2.1. Let $A$ and $B$ be rings and suppose that $\phi$ is an isomorphism of $A[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$. If $\phi(A) \cong B$, then $\phi(A)=B$.

Proof. Let $\phi(X)=\beta=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} X^{i} ; b_{i} \in B$. Then $b_{i}$ is central for each $i$ and $(B[[X]],(\beta))$ is a complete Hausdorff space. Then there exists a $B$-endomorphism $\psi$ of $B[[X]]$ into $B[[X]]$ such that $\psi(X)=$ $\beta$. Then by hypothesis, we have

$$
B[[X]]=\phi(A)[[\beta]] \cong B[[\beta]] \cong B[[X]]
$$

Therefore, $B[[\beta]]=B[[X]]$, which implies $\psi$ is onto. Now let $\bar{B}$ be $B /\left(b_{1}\right)$ and let $\bar{b}=b+\left(b_{1}\right)$ for $b \in B$. Then $X \rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \bar{b}_{i} X^{i}$ induces a surjective $\bar{B}$-endomorphism of $\bar{B}[[X]]$. But $\bar{b}_{1}$ is 0 , so this impossible unless $\left(b_{1}\right)=B$; i.e., $b_{1}$ is a unit. Therefore, by Theorem 1.1, $\psi$ is a $B$-automorphism of $B[[X]]$. Then $\psi^{-1} \phi$ is an isomorphism of $A[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$ such that $\psi^{-1} \phi(A) \cong B$ and $\psi^{-1} \phi(X)=X$. So $\psi^{-1} \phi(A)=$ $B$; but $\psi^{-1}(B)=B$; therefore $\phi(A)=B$.

Definition. A ring $A$ is said to be perfectly power invariant if whenever $B$ is a ring and $\phi$ is an isomorphism of $A[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$, then $\phi(A) \cong B$.

Let $A$ be a perfectly power invariant ring, and let $B$ be a ring such that there is an isomorphism $\phi$ of $A[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$. In the proof of Proposition 2.1, we have shown that there exists a $B$-automorphism $\psi$ of $B[[X]]$ such that $\psi(X)=\phi(X)$. So a perfectly power invariant ring is strongly power invariant. But a strongly power invariant ring is not necessarily perfectly power invariant.

Example. Let $K$ be a field and let $K[t]$ be the polynomial ring in an indeterminate $t$ over $K$ then $K[t]$ is strongly power invariant (by Corollary 1.9). But, by Corollary 2.8 in [5], we see that there is an automorphism $\phi$ of $K[t][[X]]$ such that $\phi(K[t]) \not \equiv K[t]$. Therefore, $K[t]$ is not perfectly power invariant.

Proposition 2.2. If a ring $A$ is generated by its central idempotents, then $A$ is perfectly power invariant. In particular a Boolean ring is perfectly power invariant.

Proof. Let $B$ be a ring such that there is an isomorphism $\phi$ of $A[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$. It is straightforward to show that the only central idempotents of $B[[X]]$ are those of $B$, therefore $\phi(A) \cong B$. Thus $B$ is perfectly power invariant.

Proposition 2.3. Let $K$ be a field and let $I I$ be the prime field of $K$. If $K$ is algebraic over $\Pi$, then $K$ is perfectly power invariant.

Proof. Let $B$ be a ring such that there is an isomorphism $\phi$ of $K[[X]]$ onto $B[[X]]$. Since $K$ is strongly power invariant, we have $K \cong B$. Therefore, $B$ is a field. Clearly, $\phi(I)$ is the prime field of $B$. It is straightforward to show that any element $f \in B[[X]] ; f \notin B$, is not algebraic over a field $B$. So $f$ is not algebraic over $\phi(I I)$. But $\phi(K)$ is algebraic over $\phi(I)$, therefore $\phi(K) \cong B$. Thus $K$ is perfectly power invariant.

Corollary 2.4. Let $D$ be an integral domain and let $\Pi$ be the prime ring of $D$ (that is, $I$ is the subring of $D$ generated by the identity element of $D$ ). If $D$ is integral over $\Pi$, then $D$ is perfectly power invariant.

Corollary 2.5. An algebraic number field is perfectly power invariant, and the ring of algebraic integers is perfectly power invariant.
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